# Acoustic deformation potentials in $A^{I}B^{III}C_{2}^{VI}$ chalcopyrite semiconductors

C. Rincón and J. González

Centro de Estudios de Semiconductores, Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Los Andes, Mérida 5101, Venezuela

(Received 3 May 1989)

From the analysis of the variation of the energy gap with temperature and pressure in some  $A^{I}B^{III}C_{2}^{VI}$  chalcopyrite compounds, valence- and conduction-band acoustic deformation potentials are calculated. It is found that both the valence- and conduction-band extrema move to higher energies on compression in these compounds. This should explain the small band-gap pressure and temperature coefficients observed in  $A^{I}B^{III}C_{2}^{VI}$  chalcopyrite compounds relative to their binary analogs.

## **INTRODUCTION**

The ternary  $A^{I}B^{III}C_{2}^{VI}$  semiconducting compounds which crystallize in the chalcopyrite structure have received much attention in recent years.<sup>1-3</sup> In order to obtain information about some of the relevant electricaltransport parameters of these compounds, analysis of the variation of the carrier mobility with temperature, using the Mathiesen's approximation, has been made.<sup>4-8</sup> However, difficulties arise in such analysis since the predominant scattering mechanisms of the charge carriers have not been completely identified in  $A^{I}B^{III}C_{2}^{VI}$  compounds. In particular, large discrepancies exist in relation to the values of the acoustic deformation potentials obtained by several authors who have used different approaches to estimate the magnitude of the different scattering mechanisms.

An attempt has been made recently to analyze under a consistent criteria the mobility data for  $\text{CuInSe}_2$  published by various authors.<sup>9</sup> The results are satisfactory for hole-mobility data since practically all curves reported in the literature were adjusted with a valence-band deformation potential,  $|C_h|$ , of about 7.5 eV. On the contrary, depending of the sample, the conduction-band deformation potential,  $|C_e|$ , must be varied from 13 to 55 eV in order to adjust the electron-mobility data. This range of variation of  $|C_e|$  seems to be very large and has not been explained satisfactorily to data.

In a recent paper, which analyzes the published data for the variation of the energy gap with temperature and pressure in CuInSe<sub>2</sub>,  $|C_e|$  and  $|C_h|$  were found to be 7.8 and 9.5 eV, respectively.<sup>11</sup> The result for  $|C_h|$  confirms the value obtained in Ref. 10. However,  $|C_e|$  is smaller than all the values reported in the literature. It has been suggested that this discrepancy can be explained if an additional scattering mechanism of the electrons, for example the electron-electron (e-e) scattering combined with polar-optical modes, is included in the theoretical adjustment of the electron-mobility data. This also indicates that if the influence of (e-e) scattering on the other scattering mechanism is not taken into account in the calculations, the values of  $|C_e|$  thus obtained must be overestimated.

In the present work, by analyzing the variation of the energy gap with temperature and pressure,  $C_e$  and  $C_h$  of the  $A^{T}B^{TT}C_2^{VT}$  compounds are obtained. Since in this analysis the knowledge of the predominant scattering mechanisms of the charge carriers is not required, the results are probably more reliable than those obtained up to data from the mobility data.

### THEORY

The shift of the energy gap with temperature in a semiconductor is caused mainly by two contributing effects. The most important one is the electron-phonon interaction, which is given by<sup>12</sup>

$$(dE_g/dT)_1 = -(8/9\pi)(3/4\pi)^{1/3}(k_B V^{2/3}/h^2 M v^2) \times (m_h C_h^2 + m_e C_e^2) , \qquad (1)$$

where *M* and *V* are the mass and volume of the unit cell, respectively. *v*, the velocity of sound, can be estimated by using the formula  $v = (k_B \Theta_D / h) (V / 6\pi^2)^{1/3}$ ,  $\Theta_D$  being the Debye temperature.

The second contribution to the shift in the energy gap is due to the thermal expansion of the lattice. This is given  $by^{13}$ 

$$(dE_g/dT)_2 = 2\alpha_L(C_h + C_e), \qquad (2)$$

where  $\alpha_L$ , the average thermal expansion coefficient, for  $A^{T}B^{TT}C_2^{VT}$  compounds is given by  $\alpha_L = (2\alpha_a + \alpha_c)/3$ ,  $\alpha_a$  and  $\alpha_c$  being the thermal expansion coefficients of the lattice parameters *a* and *c*, respectively.

This contribution to the shift in the band gap can also be related to the variation of the energy gap with pressure by the expression<sup>13</sup>

$$(dE_g/dT)_2 = -(3\alpha_L/\kappa)dE_g/dP , \qquad (3)$$

where  $\kappa$  is the compressibility of the crystal.

40

8552

© 1989 The American Physical Society

TABLE I. Calculated values of  $C_e$  and  $C_h$  for some  $A^{I}B^{III}C_2^{VI}$  chalcopyrite compounds and parameters used in the calculation. Values of  $|C_e|$  and  $|C_h|$  reported in Refs. 3, 7, and 15 are indicated in parentheses.

**BRIEF REPORTS** 

Compound	$\frac{m_e^*}{m_0}$	$\frac{m_h^*}{m_0}$	$\alpha_L $ (10 <sup>-6</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$\kappa$ (10 <sup>-11</sup> Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	Θ <sub>D</sub> (K)	$dE_g/dT$ (10 <sup>-4</sup> eV K <sup>-1</sup> )	$dE_g/dP$ (10 <sup>-11</sup> eV Pa <sup>-1</sup> )	$C_e$ (eV per	C <sub>h</sub> unit
								dilation)	
CuInS <sub>2</sub>	0.16	1.30	9.9	1.32	264	-2.0	2.4	-10.3	7.6 (8.5) <sup>a</sup>
CuInSe <sub>2</sub>	0.09	0.73	8.0	1.62	207	-1.1	2.6	-9.5	7.1
CuInTe <sub>2</sub>	0.14	0.78	9.5	2.20	156	-2.4	2.2	-10.1 (11.4) <sup>b</sup>	8.6 (5.4) <sup>b</sup>
CuGaS <sub>2</sub>	0.13	0.69	8.9	1.04	320	-2.2	4.0	-15.5	9.0
CuGaSe <sub>2</sub>	0.14	1.20	10.5	1.45	239	-2.3	5.0	-11.9	6.8 (4.0) <sup>c</sup>
AgGaS <sub>2</sub>	0.23	0.72	3.7	1.43	259	-2.2	2.2	-13.0	10.7
AgGaSe <sub>2</sub>	0.17	0.73	6.7	1.74	156	-1.4	5.3	- 8.5	4.0
AgInSe <sub>2</sub>	0.12	0.39	13.8	1.89	138	-1.5	2.7	-8.5	6.4

<sup>a</sup>Reference 3.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 15.

<sup>c</sup>Reference 7.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Combining Eqs. (1), (2), and (3) with the experimental data for  $dE_g/dT$ , <sup>14</sup>  $dE_g/dP$ , <sup>15</sup>  $m_h$  and  $m_e$ , <sup>16</sup>  $\alpha_L$ , <sup>17</sup>  $\kappa$ , <sup>18</sup> and  $\Theta_D$  (Ref. 19) reported in the literature,  $C_h$  and  $C_e$  for some  $A^{\rm I}B^{\rm III}C_2^{\rm VI}$  compounds were determined. The results are listed in Table I. Whenever possible, values of  $|C_h|$  and  $|C_e|$  obtained from mobility data were also included in this table for comparison.

It can be observed that  $C_e$  and  $C_h$  have opposite signs for all the compounds studied and  $|C_e| > |C_h|$ . This indicated that the valence- and conduction-band extrema move in the same direction on compression and that the relative shift of the conduction band is greater than that of the valence band. Thus, due to the fact that the band gap of these materials increases with pressure, it must be concluded that both band extrema move to higher energies on compression in these compounds. This also should explain the small band-gap pressure and temperature coefficients observed in these compounds relative to their binary analogs.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the "Consejo de Desarrollo Científico, Humanístico y Tecnológico" (CDCHT) de la Universidad de Los Andes.

- <sup>1</sup>Ternary and Multinary Compounds, edited by S. K. Deb and A. Zunger (Materials Research Society, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, 1987).
- <sup>2</sup>J. E. Jaffe and A. Zunger, Phys. Rev. B 28, 5822 (1983); 29, 1882 (1984).
- <sup>3</sup>C. Rincón and C. Bellabarba, Phys. Rev. B 33, 7160 (1986).
- <sup>4</sup>D. C. Look and J. C. Manthuruthil, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **37**, 173 (1976).
- <sup>5</sup>P. W. Yu, D. L. Downing, and Y. S. Park, J. Appl. Phys. **45**, 5283 (1974).
- <sup>6</sup>T. Irie, S. Endo, and S. Kimura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 18, 1303 (1979).
- <sup>7</sup>S. M. Wasim, G. Sánchez Porras, and R. D. Tomlinson, Phys. Status Solidi A 75, K69 (1983).
- <sup>8</sup>S. M. Wasim and G. Sánchez Porras, Phys. Status Solidi A 79, K65 (1983).
- <sup>9</sup>S. M. Wasim, Solar Cells 16, 289 (1986).
- <sup>10</sup>J. Bardeen and W. Shockley, Phys. Rev. 80, 72 (1950).
- <sup>11</sup>C. Rincón, J. Phys Chem. Solids **49**, 391 (1988).
- <sup>12</sup>H. Y. Yan, Phys. Rev. 82, 900 (1951).
- <sup>13</sup>T. S. Moss, Optical Properties of Semiconductors (Butterworths, London, 1959).
- <sup>14</sup>Data for CuInS<sub>2</sub>, T. Mi Yanchi, N. Yamamoto, Y. Hamakawa, and T. Nishino, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **12**, 606 (1973); for CuInSe<sub>2</sub>, H. Nakanishi, S. Endo, T. Irie, and B. H. Chang, in Ref. 1, p. 99; for CuInTe<sub>2</sub>, M. J. Thwaites, R. D. Tomlinson, and M. J. Hampshire, in *Institute of Physics Conference Procedings Series* (IOP, London, 1977), Vol. 35, p. 237; for CuGaS<sub>2</sub>, W. Hörig, H. Neumann, E. Reccius, H. Weinert, G. Kühn, and G. Schumann, Phys. Status Solidi A **51**, 57 (1979); for CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>, H. Neumann, W. Hörig, E. Reccius, W. Möller, and G. Kühn, Solid State Commun. **27**, 449 (1978); for AgGaSe<sub>2</sub> and AgGaSe<sub>2</sub>, L. Artús and Y. Bertrand, Solid State Commun. **61**, 733 (1987); and for AgInSe<sub>2</sub>, V. A. Aliyev, G. D. Guseinov, F. I. Mamedov, and L. M. Chapanova, Solid State Commun. **59**, 745 (1986).
- <sup>15</sup>Data for CuInS<sub>2</sub>, CuInSe<sub>2</sub>, CuInTe<sub>2</sub>, CuGaS<sub>2</sub>, and CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>, J. González and C. Rincón (unpublished); for AgGaS<sub>2</sub>, Ag-GaSe<sub>2</sub>, and AgInSe<sub>2</sub>, A. Jayaraman, V. Narayanamurti, H. M. Kasper, M. A. Chin, and R. G. Maines, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3516 (1976).
- <sup>16</sup>Data for CuInS<sub>2</sub>, see Ref. 4; for CuInSe<sub>2</sub>, see Ref. 9; for CuInTe<sub>2</sub>, S. M. Wasim, Phys. Status Solidi A 75, K69 (1983);  $m_h$  for CuGaS<sub>2</sub>, see Ref. 5;  $m_h$  for CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>, L. Mandel, R.

<sup>17</sup>Data for CuInS<sub>2</sub>, P. Kistaiah, Y. G. Venudhar, K. S. Murthy, L. Iyengar, and K. V. K. Rao, Pramana 16, 281 (1981); for CuInSe<sub>2</sub>, P. Deus, H. Neumann, G. Kühn, and B. Hinze, Phys. Status Solidi A 80, 205 (1983); for CuInTe<sub>2</sub>, H. Neumann, P. Deus, R. D. Tomlinson, G. Kühn, and B. Hinze, Phys. Status Solidi A 84, 87 (1984); for CuGaS<sub>2</sub>, N. Yamamoto, H. Horinaka, and T. Miyauchi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 18, 255 (1979); for CuGaSe<sub>2</sub>, H. G. Bruhl, H. Neumann, and G. Kühn, Solid State Commun. 34, 225 (1980); for AgGaS<sub>2</sub> and AgGaSe<sub>2</sub>, P. Kistaiah and S. Murthy, J. Phys. D 18, 861 (1985); for AgInSe<sub>2</sub>, G. C. Bhar and L. K. Samanta, Phys. Rev. B 26, 2275 (1982).

<sup>18</sup>H. Neumann, Cryst. Res. Technol. 18, 665 (1983).

<sup>19</sup>B. N. Oshcherin, Phys. Status Solidi A **35**, K35 (1976).