PHYSICAL REVIEW B

## VOLUME 40, NUMBER 6

## Self-trapped holes in amorphous silicon dioxide

## David L. Griscom Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C. 20375

(Received 27 March 1989)

Electron-spin-resonance spectra tentatively attributed to self-trapped holes (STH's) in amorphous silicon dioxide are reported and analyzed. Two types of STH's were found in a numerical ratio  $\sim 1:1$  in all silica samples investigated (including high-OH flame hydrolytic, low-OH O<sub>2</sub>-plasma fused, sol-gel, and less pure isotopically enriched materials) following either 100-keV x-ray irradiation or 6.4-eV excimer-laser illumination at 77 K: STH<sub>1</sub>, stable below  $\sim 180$  K, is suggested to comprise a hole trapped on a "normal" bridging oxygen in the glass network. STH<sub>2</sub>, stable below  $\sim 140$  K, is provisionally ascribed to a hole trapped on *two* normal oxygens, in analogy with the  $V_K$  center in alkali halides.

While radiation damage processes in ionic crystals are now relatively well understood, the degree to which this knowledge can be transferred to partially covalent materials such as SiO<sub>2</sub> has remained in doubt. Only recently has strong evidence been given that the principal ionizing radiation damage mechanism in amorphous silica  $(a-SiO_2)$ is radiolytic.<sup>1,2</sup> That is, permanent atomic displacements are created primarily in the course of nonradiative decay of self-trapped excitons (STE's), rather than by elastic collisions of the bombarding particles (or Compton electrons) with lattice nuclei. In general, the mechanism(s) of exciton localization may be hole-lattice, electron-lattice, or exciton-lattice coupling.<sup>3</sup> In the alkali halides it is well known from electron-spin-resonance (ESR) experimentation that holes self-trap, forming  $V_K$  centers (halogen molecular ions  $X_2^{-}$ ). Recombination of a conduction electron with a  $V_K$  center has been shown<sup>4</sup> by ESR to result in the formation of a stable Frenkel pair consisting of a halogen vacancy (F center) and a halogen interstitial (Hcenter). The structure of the STE in alkali halides has been determined to comprise an F-H pair on adjacent sites.<sup>5,6</sup> But despite the availability of excellent optically detected magnetic resonance data,<sup>7</sup> the structure of the STE in SiO<sub>2</sub> has remained a matter of conjecture for lack of critical ground-state ESR characterizations of  $V_K$ and/or H-type species.

Very recently, Hayes and Jenkin<sup>8</sup> have elucidated a defect center in germanium-doped  $\alpha$  quartz which consists of a hole trapped on an oxygen bridging between a lattice silicon and a substitutional germanium impurity. With the absence of the impurity Ge, such a defect would be considered a self-trapped hole (STH). Hayes and Jenkin's negative results for undoped quartz indicate that for temperatures above  $\sim 4$  K the hopping rate for holes is too fast to permit ESR observation.<sup>8</sup> This is not surprising, given the pairwise equivalence of oxygens in  $\alpha$  quartz. By contrast, in *amorphous* SiO<sub>2</sub> any two oxygens are likely inequivalent due to the randomness in the vitreous state, presumably leading to greatly reduced hole-hopping rates and easier ESR observation. Many "oxygenassociated hole centers" (OHC's) have indeed been detected by ESR in irradiated a-SiO<sub>2</sub>, but previous attention has focused on two room-temperature stable species,

the nonbridging-oxygen hole centers (NBOHC's,  $\equiv$ Si-O·) (Refs. 9 and 10), and a class of peroxy radicals (PR's,  $\equiv$ Si-O-O·).<sup>10,11</sup> Here I describe the deconvolution of a universally observed, but heretofore unanalyzed, ESR spectrum recorded only after irradiation below ~200 K. I tentatively attribute the major components of this spectrum to STH's.

Samples included 4-mm-diam rods of high-purity Suprasil 1 (flame hydrolysis, 1200 ppm wt% OH) and Suprasil W1 ( $O_2$  plasma, < 5 ppm OH), as well as sol-gel silicas and small beads of flame-fused SiO<sub>2</sub> isotopically enriched in <sup>28</sup>Si and <sup>29</sup>Si. ESR spectra were recorded at temperatures  $\leq 110$  K following 77-K irradiation by 100-keV x rays or 6.4-eV (ArF) excimer-laser light. Spectral components herein attributed to STH's were clearly discernable in all samples despite varying degrees of overlap with the spectra of NBOHC's and/or PR's. Minimum interference by NBOHC's was encountered in the spectrum of x-ray-irradiated Suprasil W1 [fully drawn curve in Fig. 1(a)]. However, Suprasil W1 was always found to display one or more radiation-induced components ascribable to PR's [e.g., peaks  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  in Fig. 1(a)], presumably due to the well-known high content of dissolved  $O_2$  molecules in this material (e.g., Ref. 12). The use of low microwave power (0.4 mW) and field modulation (0.05 mT), not common in earlier studies, was crucial to resolving the spectral features of the putative STH's from those of the PR's. Another fundamental defect, the well-known E' center ( $\equiv$ Si·), was observed in all samples under x-ray irradiation but was not detectable in any sample subjected at 77 K to 6.4-eV photon fluences sufficient to produce an equally intense STH spectrum [see dotted curve in Fig. 1(a)].

Figure 2 illustrates the isochronal annealing behaviors of certain designated peaks in Fig. 1(a). The features ascribed to PR's (peaks  $P_i$ ) are clearly more stable thermally than are peaks A, B, and C, which will be attributed to STH's. Peaks A and B appeared in approximately the same initial amplitude ratio in all silica samples studied, regardless of dose or whether x-ray or uv irradiated, strongly suggesting that the defect(s) responsible are both interrelated and fundamental to a-SiO<sub>2</sub>. Nevertheless, there is no obvious way that peaks A and B can be com-

4224





FIG. 1. (a) X-band (9.42 GHz) ESR spectra recorded at 110 K for Suprasil W1 subjected at 77 K to a 6-Mrad dose of 100-keV x rays (fully drawn curve) or a  $10^7 \text{ mJ/cm}^2$  fluence of 6.4-eV photons (dotted curve drawn only in region marked "E' center"). Bold dashed curve in (a) is a computer simulation comprising a linear combination of synthetic spectra (b) and (c) based in turn on the illustrated g-value distributions. Features marked  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$  arise from peroxy radicals (not simulated). The total STH spin density by numerical integration is  $\sim 10^{16}/g$ .

puter simulated  $^{13}$  as features of a single spectrum. Moreover, the distinctly different anneal curves of peaks A and B confirm that these two features arise from separate centers. From inspection of the line shapes, peaks A and B must then each represent a powder-pattern feature corresponding to the smallest principal-axis g value of a defect with an anisotropic g matrix. Any reasonable analysis of the overall spectrum must assume that component spectra A and B overlap at field values equal to or less than the field position of peak B. In Fig. 2, the anneal curve for feature C is intermediate in temperature dependence between those for features A and B, thus suggesting that field position C is a region of substantial overlap of the A and B components. Constrained by these observa4226



FIG. 2. Isochronal anneal curves (5 min at temperature) for x-ray-induced defect centers in Suprasil W1 (data points). Arbitrarily normalized ESR spectral amplitudes due to STH's (A, B, and C) or PR's ( $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ , and  $P_3$ ) are keyed to Fig. 1(a). Curves without data points are isochronal data (20 min at temperature) for OHC's (Ref. 20) and trapped positive charge (Ref. 19) in  $\gamma$ -irradiated MOS structures.

tions, the dashed computer simulation of Fig. 1(a) was obtained by a protracted cut-and-try procedure (~50 trials) as a linear combination of two simulated spectra denoted by STH<sub>1</sub> [Fig. 1(b)] and STH<sub>2</sub> [Fig. 1(c)]. The illustrated fit<sup>14</sup> corresponds to a concentration ratio [STH<sub>1</sub>]:[STH<sub>2</sub>]=1.15. Following theoretical expectation and successful practice for vitreous-state ESR spectra,<sup>15</sup> the g-value distributions used in simulating the component spectra (insets in Fig. 1) were further constrained to be derived from the formula  $g_i = g_0 - \lambda C_i / \Delta$  by introducing Gaussian distributions in the energy denominator  $\Delta$  (here,  $g_0$  is the free-electron g value =2.0023,  $\lambda$  is the spin-orbit coupling constant, and  $C_i$  are fitting parameters).

The mean principal-axis g values for STH<sub>1</sub> are superficially similar to those for various NBOHC's and PR's (Table I), except that  $g_1$  for STH<sub>1</sub> is notably larger than for the other species. (PR<sub>1</sub> was previously identified by its <sup>17</sup>O hyperfine structure,<sup>11</sup> while PR<sub>2</sub> is tentatively

°This work.

assigned by successfully fitting its g matrix to the theoretical formulas of Känzig and Cohen.<sup>16</sup>) STH<sub>1</sub> is further distinguished from the NBOHC's and PR's by its relative thermal instability (Fig. 2, curve A). Finally, I point out that the g values for STH<sub>1</sub> correspond rather well to those of the Ge trapped-hole center in crystalline  $\alpha$  quartz. The latter defect comprises a hole trapped on an oxygen bridging between a silicon and a substitutional germanium and owes its stability (up to 35 K) (Ref. 8) to the presence of the Ge. By analogy, I suggest that STH<sub>1</sub> is a hole trapped on a "normal" bridging oxygen in the glass network, and I attribute its stability to ~180 K to the intrinsic randomness of the vitreous state.

The principal g values for STH<sub>2</sub> differ sharply from those of any known NBOHC's or PR's (Table I), and its production efficiency and thermal instability (Fig. 2, curve B) clearly ally it with STH<sub>1</sub>. As seen in Table I, the g matrix of STH<sub>2</sub> bears a particular similarity to that of the HC<sub>2</sub> defect center in irradiated alkali silicate glasses,<sup>15</sup> namely, in each case all three g shifts,  $\delta g_i \equiv g_i - g_0$ , are of roughly the same magnitude (whereas for the other OHC's  $\delta g_1 \approx 0$ ). I have argued elsewhere<sup>15</sup> that a likely explanation of this phenomenon is that the wave function of the unpaired spin is delocalized over two noncolinear 2p orbitals of two separate oxygens. If the analogy between HC<sub>2</sub> and STH<sub>2</sub> should prove decisive, STH<sub>2</sub> would be a  $V_K$ -type center (O<sub>2</sub><sup>3-</sup>)—as distinguished from a PR-type defect (O<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>).

Additional evidence that  $STH_1$  and  $STH_2$  are holes trapped on oxygens includes preliminary spectra (not shown) for x-ray-irradiated silica samples enriched in <sup>29</sup>Si exhibiting hyperfine splittings ~0.9 mT. This value is virtually identical to that determined for the Ge trappedhole centers in quartz (~0.8 mT) (Ref. 8) and shows the degree of wave-function overlap with silicon nuclei to be no more than ~1%. My tentative interpretation of the observed <sup>29</sup>Si hyperfine spectra is that the unpaired spin of STH<sub>2</sub> interacts with two nearly equivalent silicon nuclei, but this assessment remains to be tested by computer simulation.

Evidence associating  $STH_1$  and  $STH_2$  with trapped positive charge derives from a comparison of their isochronal anneal curves with those of holes trapped in the oxide layers of silicon-based metal-oxide-semiconductor

TABLE I. Principal g values of several oxygen-associated hole centers in silica, quartz, and silicate glass.

Defect	<i>a</i> .	<b>g</b> 2	<b>g</b> 3
	gı		
NBOHC in silica <sup>a</sup>	1.9999	2.0095	2.078
$PR_1$ in silica ( $\gamma$ rays at room temperature) <sup>b</sup>	2.0018	2.0078	2.067
$PR_2$ in silica (x rays at 77 K) <sup>c</sup>	2.0020	2.0085	2.027
Ge trapped hole in quartz <sup>d</sup>	2.003	2.008	2.046
STH <sub>1</sub> in silica <sup>c</sup>	2.0026	2.0093	2.049
STH <sub>2</sub> in silica <sup>c</sup>	2.0054	2.0073	2.012
HC <sub>2</sub> in alkali silicate glass <sup>e</sup>	2.012	2.013	2.016
<sup>a</sup> References 9 and 10.	<sup>d</sup> Reference 8.		
<sup>b</sup> References 10 and 11.	<sup>e</sup> Reference 15.		

<u>40</u>

(MOS) structures (Fig. 2). While ESR electrical correlations<sup>17</sup> have established that much of the radiationinduced positive charge in MOS oxides is due to E' centers, recent studies<sup>18</sup> involving charge generation by high-field stressing have resulted in trapped hole densities greatly exceeding the E'-center densities. Griscom, Brown, and Saks<sup>19</sup> have proposed that much of this "excess" positive charge may be in the form of STH's. Support for this suggestion is found in the ESR observation by Brower, Lenahan, and Dressendorfer<sup>20</sup> of OHC's, but not E' centers, in an MOS structure subjected to  $\gamma$  irradiation at 4 K. Although Brower's signals were too weak to resolve the kinds of spectral detail revealed in Fig. 1(a), it seems reasonable to suggest that his OHC's were in fact STH's. Indeed, the isochronal anneal curve of Brower's OHC's (Fig. 2) closely parallels those of  $STH_1$  and  $STH_2$ of the present study (a relative shift of  $\sim 20$  K is attributable to the difference in anneal times). Note that the cause of this anneal stage cannot be reaction of STH's with radiolytic hydrogen,<sup>21</sup> since I determined the initial concentration of  $H^0$  in irradiated Suprasil W1 to be

 $\sim 10^2$  times less than the initial STH population. (Of course, trapped charges in an MOS structure cannot be removed by reaction with a neutral species.) Thus, the data of Fig. 2 prompt the suggestion that the defects which I denote STH's are in fact identical with the positive charges which thermally detrap in the temperature range  $\sim 100-200$  K.

In summary, I report a detailed deconvolution of two dominant ESR spectral components observed when a-SiO<sub>2</sub> is irradiated at cryogenic temperatures, and I present several arguments for ascribing these components to self-trapped holes. Such defects may be responsible for much of the positive charge trapping in MOS oxides, and careful study of their spectroscopic properties may ultimately permit informed modeling of the self-trapped exciton in silicon dioxide. A more detailed report of this work is in preparation.

I thank E. J. Friebele for adding several time-saving improvements to his indispensible line-shape simulation program.

- <sup>1</sup>R. L. Pfeffer, J. Appl. Phys. 57, 5176 (1985).
- <sup>2</sup>T. E. Tsai, D. L. Griscom, and E. J. Friebele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 444 (1988).
- <sup>3</sup>K. Tanimura and N. Itoh, Nucl. Instum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 33, 815 (1988).
- <sup>4</sup>F. J. Keller and F. J. Patten, Solid State Commun. 7, 1603 (1969).
- <sup>5</sup>D. Block, A. Wasiela, and Y. Merle d'Aubigne, J. Phys. C 11, 993 (1973).
- <sup>6</sup>R. T. Williams and K. S. Song, Cryst. Lattice Defects Amorphous Mater. 17, 151 (1987).
- <sup>7</sup>W. Hayes, M. Kane, O. Salminen, R. L. Wood, and S. P. Doherty, J. Phys. C 17, 2943 (1984).
- <sup>8</sup>W. Hayes and T. J. L. Jenkin, J. Phys. C 19, 6211 (1986).
- <sup>9</sup>M. Stapelbroek, D. L. Griscom, E. J. Friebele, and G. H. Sigel, Jr., J. Non-Cryst. Solids **32**, 313 (1979).
- <sup>10</sup>D. L. Griscom and E. J. Friebele, Phys. Rev. B 24, 4896 (1981).
- <sup>11</sup>E. J. Friebele, D. L. Griscom, M. Stapelbroek, and R. A. Weeks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 1346 (1979).
- <sup>12</sup>R. L. Pfeffer, in The Physics and Technology of Amorphous

*SiO*<sub>2</sub>, edited by R. A. B. Devine (Plenum, New York, 1988), p. 181.

- <sup>13</sup>P. C. Taylor and P. J. Bray, J. Magn. Reson. 2, 305 (1970).
- <sup>14</sup>Apparent discrepancies between the experimental and computed spectra near  $P_2$  in Fig. 1(a) may signal a slight error (~0.001) in the value of  $g_2$  assumed for STH<sub>1</sub> and/or the presence of additional overlapping PR components.
- <sup>15</sup>D. L. Griscom, Non-Cryst. Solids **31**, 241 (1978).
- <sup>16</sup>W. Känzig and M. H. Cohen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 509 (1959).
- <sup>17</sup>P. M. Lenahan and P. V. Dressendorfer, J. Appl. Phys. 55, 3495 (1984).
- <sup>18</sup>W. L. Warren and P. M. Lenahan, IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. NS-34, 1355 (1987).
- <sup>19</sup>D. L. Griscom, D. B. Brown, and N. S. Saks, in *The Physics and Chemistry of SiO<sub>2</sub> and the Si-SiO<sub>2</sub> Interface*, edited by C. R. Helms and B. E. Deal (Plenum, New York, 1988), p. 287.
- <sup>20</sup>K. L. Brower, P. M. Lenahan, and P. V. Dressendorfer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 41, 251 (1982).
- <sup>21</sup>D. L. Griscom, J. Non-Cryst. Solids 68, 301 (1984).