

⁶B. Domeij, F. Brown, J. A. Davies, and M. McCargo, *Can. J. Phys.* **42**, 1624 (1964).

⁷J. A. Davies, Atomic Energy of Canada, Ltd., Report No. AECL-2757, 1967 (unpublished).

⁸E. V. Kornelsen, F. Brown, J. A. Davies, B. Domeij, and G. R. Piercy, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A849 (1964).

⁹F. Brown, G. C. Ball, D. A. Channing, L. M. Howe, J. P. S. Pringle, and J. L. Whitton, *Nucl. Instr. Methods* **38**, 249 (1965).

¹⁰D. Powers, W. K. Chu, and P. D. Bourland, *Phys. Rev.* **165**, 376 (1968); W. K. Chu, P. D. Bourland, K. H. Wang, and D. Powers, *ibid.* **175**, 342 (1968).

¹¹R. J. MacDonald and D. Haneman, *J. Appl. Phys.* **37**, 3048 (1966).

¹²F. Brown and J. A. Davies, *Can. J. Phys.* **41**, 844 (1963).

¹³L. B. Magnusson, *Phys. Rev.* **81**, 285 (1951).

¹⁴S. Yosim and T. H. Davies, *J. Phys. Chem.* **56**, 599 (1952).

¹⁵J. F. Emery, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Report No. ORNL-3889, 1965 (unpublished).

¹⁶H. Müller, *Umschau Wiss. Tech.* **17**, 534 (1968).

¹⁷H. Buser and P. Graf, *Angew. Chem.* **66**, 277 (1954).

¹⁸J. Pauly, *Compt. Rend.* **240**, 2415 (1955).

¹⁹T. B. Novey, Atomic Energy Commission Report No. CC-1631, 1944 (unpublished).

²⁰L. V. Groshev, A. M. Demidov, V. I. Pelekhov, L. L. Sokolovskii, G. A. Bartholomew, A. Doveika, K. M. Eastwood, and S. Monaro, *Nucl. Data* **5A**, 243 (1969).

²¹C-H. Hsiung, H-C. Hsiung, and A. A. Gordus, *J. Chem. Phys.* **34**, 535 (1961).

²²The penetration of a moving particle into matter is

best discussed in terms of the mass of material traversed (e.g., $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$)—that is, the distance multiplied by the density of the medium—rather than in terms of the distance itself (e.g., cm). In the present experiments, this convention is an obvious necessity.

²³This can be readily seen by noting that in helium the observed recoil fraction (Fig. 3 or 5) does not decrease from the value in vacuum until there is a certain amount of helium in the gap, which implies that essentially all of the recoils have a correspondingly significant component of motion in a direction normal to the plane of the gold surface (i.e., there are few recoils emitted at low angles with the surface).

²⁴J. H. Jeans, *An Introduction to the Kinetic Theory of Gases* (Cambridge U. P., Cambridge, England, 1940), pp. 53 and 54.

²⁵M. Knudsen, *The Kinetic Theory of Gases: Some Modern Aspects*, 3rd ed. (Methuen, London, 1950), pp. 26–29.

²⁶N. F. Ramsey, *Molecular Beams* (Oxford U. P., London, 1956), pp. 45, 46.

²⁷W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications* (Wiley, New York, 1950), Vol. I, Sec. XIV. 8.

²⁸G. Friedlander, J. W. Kennedy, and J. M. Miller, *Nuclear and Radiochemistry*, 2nd ed. (Wiley, New York, 1964), pp. 118–120.

²⁹D. J. Hughes, *Pile Neutron Research* (Addison-Wesley, Cambridge, Mass., 1953), pp. 25–27.

³⁰S. Dushman, in *Scientific Foundations of Vacuum Technique*, 2nd ed., edited by J. M. Lafferty (Wiley, New York, 1962), pp. 25–32.

Cubic-Site EPR Spectra of Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} in MgO Single Crystals*

M. M. Abraham, L. A. Boatner,[†] Y. Chen, J. L. Kolopus, and R. W. Reynolds[†]
Solid State Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
 (Received 14 June 1971)

The electron-paramagnetic-resonance spectra of Gd^{3+} and Eu^{2+} in cubic sites of MgO single crystals have been observed. Spin-Hamiltonian parameters for these ions have been determined as a function of temperature. The observed variation of the fourth-order spin-Hamiltonian parameter c vs lattice constant for the alkaline-earth oxides implies that in MgO overlap and covalency must be considered in determining the ground-state splitting of these ions.

INTRODUCTION

Although numerous electron-paramagnetic-resonance (EPR) investigations of iron-group impurities in magnesium oxide single crystals have been performed, until the recent observation¹ by EPR of Yb^{3+} in MgO , the only rare-earth resonance spectrum reported for this host was that of Er^{3+} .² Detailed studies of several rare-earth ions have been carried out, however, using the isomorphic (NaCl-type structure) hosts CaO , SrO , and BaO . Of these studies, the experiments^{3–13} dealing with

the impurity ions Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} ($4f^7$ configuration, $^8S_{7/2}$ ground state) are considered to be particularly significant, since they emphasize the inability of current models to account for crystal-field effects on the $^8S_{7/2}$ ground state. The cubic spectrum of Eu^{2+} in the oxides was especially interesting in that the fourth-order spin-Hamiltonian parameter was observed to vary from a positive quantity for Eu^{2+} in BaO , to approximately zero in SrO , to a negative quantity in CaO . A variation of this nature is clearly beyond the predictions of a simple crystal-field model. Additionally, the sign

of the fourth-order spin-Hamiltonian parameter for Gd^{3+} in the three oxides, where the Gd^{3+} impurity is coordinated with six nearest neighbors, was determined to be the same as that for Gd^{3+} when coordinated with eight nearest neighbors. This result is again unexpected on the basis of any rudimentary model for the crystal-field interaction.

Incorporating Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} in single-crystal MgO would make it possible to study the effects of a reduced lattice size on the signs and magnitudes of the spin-Hamiltonian parameters, and to compare temperature variations of the ground-state splittings with the other alkaline-earth oxides and with fluorite-structure hosts. Additionally, MgO is ideally suited for investigations of the effects of both uniaxial stress and pressure on S -state splittings. For these reasons numerous attempts have been made during the past several years to observe EPR spectra of either Eu^{2+} or Gd^{3+} in MgO single crystals. All previous attempts have been unsuccessful and no $^8S_{7/2}$ spectra of any symmetry type have been reported for this host.

The purposes of this paper are to report the ini-




FIG. 1. EPR spectrum of Gd^{3+} in MgO at 77 and 1.1 K for $\vec{H} \parallel [100]$. The change in relative intensity of the lines with decreasing temperature indicates that c is negative.




FIG. 2. EPR spectrum of Gd^{3+} in MgO at 77 and 1.1 K for $\vec{H} \parallel [111]$. The change in relative intensity of the lines with decreasing temperature indicates that c is negative.

tial observation of cubic-site EPR spectra for Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} in MgO single crystals, to present the spin-Hamiltonian constants which have been determined as a function of temperature, and to examine the implications of these results as they relate to the general question of cubic-field splittings of S states arising from f^7 configurations.

EXPERIMENT

The Eu^{2+} - and Gd^{3+} -doped single crystals used in this investigation were grown by the arc-fusion technique.¹⁴ The starting material consisted of high-purity MgO powder¹⁵ to which the appropriate rare-earth oxide had been added. EPR spectra were observed at a frequency of approximately 9 GHz using both a conventional homodyne spectrometer and a superheterodyne system for mea-

TABLE I. Spin-Hamiltonian parameters for Gd^{3+} and Eu^{2+} in MgO.

Temp. (K)	g	c (10^{-4} cm^{-1})	d (10^{-4} cm^{-1})
Gd^{3+}			
Room	1.9920 ± 0.0005	-134.5 ± 0.2	$+8.5 \pm 0.1$
77	1.9920 ± 0.0005	-139.8 ± 0.2	$+8.8 \pm 0.1$
4.2	1.9920 ± 0.0005	-140.0 ± 0.2	$+8.8 \pm 0.1$
Eu^{2+}			
77	1.9894 ± 0.0005	-291.8 ± 0.4	$+14.7 \pm 0.2$
4.2	1.9894 ± 0.0005	-292.6 ± 0.4	$+14.6 \pm 0.2$

measurements at 4.2 K or below.

In MgO single crystals doped with Gd^{3+} , a cubic-symmetry EPR spectrum was observed at room and lower temperatures. Figures 1 and 2 show the MgO: Gd^{3+} spectra obtained at 77 and 1.1 K with the magnetic field applied parallel to a fourfold symmetry axis and a threefold symmetry axis, respectively. The seven $\Delta M_s = \pm 1$ transitions are identified at the bottom of the figures. Spectra due to Cr^{3+} , Fe^{3+} , and Mn^{2+} are also present. The changes in relative intensities with temperature apparent in this figure fix the signs of the transitions and determine the sign of the fourth-order spin-Hamiltonian parameter as negative. The angular dependence of the Gd^{3+} spectrum is shown in Fig. 3 and illustrates the cubic-symmetry variation. It is important to notice in Fig. 3 that although the ordering of the $\Delta M_s = \pm 1$ transitions with $\vec{H} \parallel [100]$ is identical to that observed for Gd^{3+} in the fluorite-structure hosts, the ordering when the magnetic field is applied parallel to a $[111]$ direction is not.¹⁶ For $\vec{H} \parallel [111]$, the $-\frac{1}{2} \rightarrow -\frac{3}{2}$ and $-\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow -\frac{5}{2}$ lines on the low-field side of the spectrum and the $+\frac{3}{2} \rightarrow +\frac{1}{2}$ and $+\frac{5}{2} \rightarrow +\frac{3}{2}$ lines on the high-field side are interchanged from their ordering in the eightfold-coordinated hosts. This interchange occurs because the ratio of the crystal-field parameters B_6/B_4 is larger for Gd^{3+} in the sixfold-coordinated oxides than in the fluorite-structure hosts.

The cubic-site spectrum of Eu^{2+} was not seen in "as-grown" MgO crystals. After γ irradiation at either 77 or 295 K, cubic Eu^{2+} resonance transitions were observed due to the conversion of some europium to the divalent state. The resonance spectrum could not be observed at room temperature, but could be seen at 77 K and at lower temperatures. Axial Eu^{2+} spectra were not observed. Linewidths of individual Eu^{2+} hyperfine components were very small (less than 1 G wide) and the 12 hyperfine components due to the two isotopes ^{151}Eu and ^{153}Eu were clearly resolved.


The cubic-symmetry spectra of Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} were fitted to the spin Hamiltonian¹⁷

$$\mathcal{H} = g\mu_B \vec{H} \cdot \vec{S} + B_4 [O_4^0 + 5O_4^4] + B_6 [O_6^0 - 21O_6^4] \quad (1)$$

by means of a computer program which performed an exact diagonalization of the matrix corresponding to the $S = \frac{7}{2}$ ground state. For the analysis of hyperfine structure, Eq. (1) was appropriately modified by addition of the term $A\vec{I} \cdot \vec{S}$. The spin-Hamiltonian parameters determined for Eu^{2+} and Gd^{3+} are shown in Table I, where the usual notation $c = 240B_4$ and $d = 5040B_6$ is employed. Additionally, the following hyperfine parameters were determined (in 10^{-4} cm^{-1}): for Eu^{2+} (at 77 K), $^{151}A = -31.63 \pm 0.10$ and $^{153}A = -13.95 \pm 0.10$; and for Gd^{3+} (at 296 K), $^{155}A = 3.85 \pm 0.05$ and $^{157}A = 5.06 \pm 0.05$.


DISCUSSION

Previous investigations of the effects of lattice size, temperature, pressure, and uniaxial stress on the ground-state splittings of $4f^7$ -configuration ions have established that competing interactions are responsible for the observed splittings, and that these interactions include terms containing quadratic and higher powers of the crystal-field potential. Although a great deal of attention has been given to studies of these ions in the cubic fluorite-structure hosts, the information provided by the investigations using alkaline-earth-oxide hosts is believed to be more significant for two principal rea-



Angular Dependence of Gd^{3+} in MgO.

FIG. 3. Angular dependence of the MgO: Gd^{3+} EPR spectrum for \vec{H} in the (110) plane. The angle 0° corresponds to the $[001]$ axis, 54.74° corresponds to the $[\bar{1}11]$ axis, and 90° to the $[\bar{1}10]$ axis.



Research Conference, Gatlinburg, Tenn., 1967 (unpublished).

¹⁴C. T. Butler, B. J. Sturm, and R. B. Quincy, Jr., *J. Cryst. Growth* **8**, 197 (1971); M. M. Abraham, C. T. Butler, and Y. Chen, *J. Chem. Phys.* (to be published).

¹⁵Kanto Chemical Company, Tokyo, Japan.

¹⁶See, for example, M. M. Abraham, L. A. Boatner, C. B. Finch, E. J. Lee, and R. A. Weeks, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **28**, 81 (1967).

¹⁷K. R. Lea, M. J. M. Leask, and W. P. Wolf, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **23**, 1381 (1962).

Track-Effect Theory of Scintillation Efficiency

Myron Luntz

Department of Physics, State University of New York at Fredonia, Fredonia, New York 14063
(Received 7 June 1971)

A theoretical account is presented of the pulse-height characteristics of NaI(Tl) scintillation counters subjected to energetic heavy-ion bombardment ($Z \geq 5$, $E/A = 1-10$ MeV/nucleon) at room temperature. The falling off of scintillation efficiency dL/dE with decreasing energy and the charge dependence at fixed energy are simultaneously accounted for by introducing the concept of a cylinder of high energy-deposit density surrounding the particle track. Inside the cylinder competitive events, favored by high ionization density, are assumed to dominate those which produce the characteristic luminescence emission. Agreement with experiment is best for high- Z particles. Cylinder radii vary over the range $110 \lesssim R_c(Z, v) \lesssim 390$ Å. Estimates of the fraction of the total energy loss available for efficient light production yield the values $0.20 \lesssim F_0(Z, v) \lesssim 0.50$, while the critical value of energy-deposit density defining the high-density cylinder is approximated to be 5.32×10^7 erg/cm³. Also, a brief discussion is presented regarding interpretation of the heavy-ion pulse-height characteristics of pure alkali halides at low temperature, and those of anthracene and NE 102 plastic scintillators, in terms of the track-effect theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to provide a theoretical account of the response of activated alkali iodide scintillation counters to room-temperature bombardment by energetic heavy ions and, in so doing, to present a theory applicable to a fairly wide range of scintillating crystals. Treated explicitly are the data of Newman and Steigert¹ for NaI(Tl) corresponding to bombardment with B¹⁰, C¹², N¹⁴, O¹⁶, F¹⁹, and Ne²⁰ ions of incident energies ranging from approximately 1 to 10 MeV/nucleon. The curves displaying relative pulse heights, shown in Fig. 1, are linear at the higher energies, and become distinctly nonlinear as E decreases. The direction of curvature implies a systematic falling off of scintillation efficiency dL/dE with the slowing down of a particle. Also apparent is a dependence of pulse height on particle identity, such that the lighter the ion, the greater is the total light output for the same total energy loss.

Detailed explanations have been offered to account for these features.²⁻⁴ However, the treatments of Refs. 2 and 4 include explicit assumptions regarding luminescence mechanisms which have been demonstrated to be invalid or, at best, highly dubious. Also, several processes which are opera-

tive during the penetration of a highly ionizing particle—processes which are likely to have a profound effect on the luminescent response—are disregarded. The treatment of Ref. 3 suffers from difficulties of a somewhat different nature which, along with the above points, are discussed in the paper. Further examination of the problem would appear to be in order.

The present formulation incorporates the so-called "track-effect" profile of energy deposit about the path of a penetrating ion.⁵ An imaginary cylinder surrounding the particle track is employed to partition the crystal into regions of high and low energy-deposit density. Associated with each region is a corresponding contribution to the total scintillation efficiency. Upon consideration of events favored by high ionization density, e.g., electron-hole recombination and radiation-damage and lattice-heating effects, and upon consideration of the competitive role of such events with respect to luminescence, the assumption is made that dL/dE receives a negligible contribution from within the high-density region. In contrast, the response to energy deposited outside the high-density cylinder is assumed to be linear. Thus, the total light production efficiency of a particle of atomic number Z and velocity v is determined solely by the energy deposit at distances from the track which exceed