Photoemission study of $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)$ 3Cu₂O_v

A. Fujimori

Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

S. Takekawa, E. Takayama-Muromachi, Y. Uchida, and A. Ono National Institute for Research in Inorganic Materials, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305, Japan

> T. Takahashi, Y. Okabe, and H. Katayama-Yoshida Department of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan (Received 3 August 1988)

The electronic structure of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_y has been studied by photoemission and electronenergy-loss spectroscopy (EELS). From analysis of the Cu 2p core-level photoemission spectra, the Cu3d – O2p bond in the CuO₂ layer is found to be more ionic than that in La_{2 – x}Sr_xCuO₄ and YBa₂Cu₃O_y, which leads to a weaker superexchange coupling between the Cu²⁺ spins within the layer. Valence-band photoemission and EELS spectra suggest a significant shift of the Bi 6s contribution from the deep-lying Bi $6s - O2p$ bonding states to the antibonding states in the O 2p band region as compared to the results of band-structure calculations on $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$. This is probably due to excess oxygen atoms around Bi, which may raise the Bi 6s orbital energy and provide the system with holes.

I. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge of the electronic structure is essentially important in studying the mechanism of high- T_c superconductivity in the layered perovskite oxides. So far, highenergy spectroscopic studies¹ such as photoemission spectroscopy, 2^{-4} electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS),⁵ and x-ray-absorption spectroscopy^{6} on the La-Sr-Cu-O and Y-Ba-Cu-0 and related systems have revealed that Cu atoms are at most divalent and that the Cu 3d electrons are nearly localized due to strong electron correlation and that doped holes enter the 0 2p band rather than the Cu 3d. Starting from this picture, various spinthe Cu 3*d*. Starting from this picture, various spin-
fluctuation-⁷⁻¹⁰ and charge-fluctuation-mediated¹¹ pairing mechanisms have been proposed. The recent discovery of high- T_c superconductivity in the Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O (Ref. 12) and Ti-Ba-Ca-Cu-0 (Ref. 13) systems naturally raises the question of whether or not the same picture holds for the new systems and can explain the similarities and differences between the new and previous systems.

In this paper, we have studied $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_v by photoemission spectroscopy and EELS. The results indicate that the Cu3d – O 2p bond within the CuO₂ layer is more ionic than in the La-Sr-Cu-0 and Y-Ba-Cu-0 systems. Further, a significant Bi 6s contribution is found in the valence-band region. We will discuss implications of these results on the character of doped holes and also on the crystal structure and oxygen content of $Bi₂(Sr,$ Ca)₃Cu₂O_v which have not been settled yet.

II. EXPERIMENT

Sintered $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_v samples were prepared by a solid-state reaction as described in Ref. 14. Singlecrystal $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)_3Cu_2O_y$ was grown by the traveling-
solvent floating-zone method¹⁵ and showed a T_c of \sim 90 K. Its chemical composition was determined to be $Bi_{2,2}$ -

 $Sr_{1.8}Ca_{1.0}Cu₂O_y$ by electron microprobe analysis. A single crystal of $La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO_{4 - \delta}$ was grown from the melt by using CuO as a flux.

The samples were introduced into the spectrometer with a base pressure of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-10}$ Torr via an airlock entry. Clean surfaces were obtained by scraping in situ with a diamond file. In order to minimize possible surface degralation, ¹⁶ the samples were cooled to liquid-nitrogen temperature during the measurements. X-ray and ultraviolet photoemission spectroscopy (XPS and UPS) spectra were excited with a Mg x-ray source $(hv=1253.6 \text{ eV})$ and a He resonance lamp $(hv=21.2 \text{ eV}$ and 40.8 eV), and EELS spectra with a grazing-incidence electron gun. Electrons were collected using a double-pass cylindricalmirror analyzer. The total resolution of XPS, UPS, and EELS was \sim 0.9, \sim 0.15, and \sim 0.7 eV, respectively. Signals due to the satellites of the x-ray source were numerically subtracted.

Spectra of the single-crystal $La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO_{4-δ}$ were obviously of better quality than those of sintered samples as judged from the single-peaked 0 ls core-level XPS spectrum and the weakness of the UPS feature of unknown origin at a binding energy $E_B \sim 9$ eV. ¹⁶ As for $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_v, spectra were virtually identical between the single-crystal and sintered samples, except for Cu deficiency in the latter as measured by core-level XPS intensities, and both were found to be clean from the $O 1s$ XPS and valence-band UPS. All spectra presented in this paper are those of the single crystals.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Cu-O bonds and superexchange interaction within the $CuO₂$ layer

As shown in Fig. 1, the Cu $2p$ XPS spectrum of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_v exhibits satellite structures charac-

FIG. 1. Cu 2p core-level XPS spectra of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)_{3}Cu_3O_\nu$ and $La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO_{4-δ}.$

teristic of divalent Cu as in the case of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCu$ - Q_4 . ¹⁻⁴ The figure also shows that the intensity of the satellite $(2p3d^9)$, where $2p$ denotes a Cu $2p$ core hole) relative to that of the main peak $(2p3d^{10}\underline{L})$, where \underline{L} denotes an O 2p ligand hole) is higher for $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)_3Cu_2O_\nu$ than for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$. The relative intensities I_s/I_m and
splittings ΔE_{ms} of the main (m) and satellite (s) peaks of these compounds as well as those of $YBa₂Cu₃O_v$ (Ref. 17) are listed in Table I.¹⁸ From these values, one can estimate following the procedure of van der Laan, Westra, Haas, and Sawatzky¹⁹ the $d^9 \rightarrow d^{10}L$ charge transfer energy, Δ , the Cu 3d-O 2p transfer integral, energy, Δ , the Cu 3d-O 2p transfer integral, $T[\equiv -\sqrt{3}(\rho d\sigma)]$, and the on-site Coulomb energy between the Cu 2p core and 3d electron, U_{cd} , by referring to Fig. 2, where Δ and U_{cd} are plotted as functions of T for the given I_s/I_m and ΔE_{ms} values of each compound. Considering that U_{cd} should not be much different between these compounds because of its intra-atomic nature nor T should because of nearly the same in-plane Cu-0 bond lengths, we have estimated T, Δ , and U_{cd} as given in Table I. (Note that the Δ and T thus obtained are those within the CuO₂ layer because of the $x^2 - y^2$ character of the unoccupied d orbital of Cu^{2+} .) Thus, we find that a significant increase in Δ , namely, in the ionic character of the in-plane Cu-O bond on going from $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ to $YBa_2Cu_3O_v$ to $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)_3Cu_2O_v$ (though the small

FIG. 2. $d^9 \rightarrow d^{10}L$ charge transfer energy Δ and the on-site Coulomb energy U_{cd} between the Cu 2p core and 3d electrons as functions of the Cu $3d$ to $2p$ transfer integral T for the experimental I_s/I_m and ΔE_{ms} values of Bi₂(Sr,Ca)₃Cu₂O_y, $YBa₂Cu₃O_v$ (Ref. 17), and La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO_{4- δ}.

difference between $\text{L}a_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ and $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_v$ may be within the experimental uncertainty). 20

Since the in-plane superexchange coupling J between the Cu²⁺ spins decreases as Δ increases,^{3,21} the present results suggest a decrease in J in going from La-Sr-Cu-O to Y-Ba-Cu-O to Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O. Indeed, J's obtained from two-magnon Raman scattering experiments have shown this tendency,²² suggesting a correlation between J or Δ and T_c (including results on Ti-Ba-Ca-Cu-O). If this correlation is real and the superconductivity occurs within the $CuO₂$ layer, spin fluctuations will be favored over charge fluctuations as the origin of the high- T_c superconductivity, since spin fluctuations generally become dominant with increasing Δ while charge fluctuations become dominant with decreasing Δ . However, one cannot conclude this at this stage because layers other than the $CuO₂$ layer may be actively participating in the superconductivity. 23,24

TABLE I. Relative intensities I_s/I_m and splittings ΔE_{ms} of the main (m) and satellite (s) peaks in the Cu $2p_{3/2}$ core-level XPS spectra. Electronic-structure parameters, Δ , T, and U_{cd} (for definition, see text) evaluated from the I_s/I_m and ΔE_{ms} for each compound are also given. Energies are in eV.

	I_s/I_m	ΔE_{ms}			U_{cd}
$Bi2(Sr,Ca)3Cu2Oy$	0.60	7.9	$2.0 + 0.5$	2.4 ± 0.2	8.1 ± 0.2
$YBa2Cu3Ov$	0.33 ^a	8.5 ^a	0.7 ± 0.2	2.6 ± 0.2	7.3 ± 0.1
$La1.92Sr0.08CuO4-8$	0.25	8.2	0.4 ± 0.3	2.7 ± 0.2	6.5 ± 0.1

^aTaken from the spectrum of Meyer et al. (Ref. 17).

B. Valence bands and possible Bi 6s contribution

In Fig. 3, the valence-band XPS and UPS spectra²⁵ of $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)_3Cu_2O_y$ are compared with theoretical spectra calculated using band theory.²⁶ As in the case of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, ^{16, 17, 27} the experimental spectra are shifted to higher binding energy relative to the band-structure calculations (in this case as much as \sim 2 eV). This together with the presence of a valence-band satellite at $E_B \sim 11$ eV demonstrates the inapplicability of oneelectron band theory to this material.

Thus, we calculated valence-band spectra using the configuration-interaction cluster model, which considers an octahedral $CuO₆$ or a pyramidal $CuO₅$ cluster and takes into account Coulomb and exchange interactions on the Cu site. 28 First, we fitted the calculated spectrum to the XPS spectrum of La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO₄-_{δ}, using Δ =1.0 eV, $T=2.4$ eV, [i.e., $(pd\sigma) = -2.2(pd\pi) = -1.4$ eV. Transfer integrals between the Cu $3d$ and off-plane O $2p$ orbitals were taken to be about 40% of the in-plane values ²⁸, and $U_{dd} = 6.5$ eV. (The values are similar but not identical to those estimated from the Cu $2p$ XPS because of the effective nature of the parameters.²⁹) Agreement with experiment is excellent as shown in Fig. 4. Here, the line shape and intensity of the $O 2p$ band contribution have been derived, respectively, from the hv $=$ 21.2-eV UPS spectrum for which the O 2p cross section is by far dominant, and the theoretical O 2p to Cu 3d XPS cross-section ratio.³⁰ Following the Cu 2p XPS results, we used a slightly larger Δ [(1.2 eV) and U_{dd} (7 eV): U_{dd} is expected to increase with U_{cd} (Ref. 29)], and a slightly smaller T (2.3 eV) for $YBa₂Cu₃O_y$ and obtained again nearly perfect agreement with the XPS spectrum in Ref. 17. Accordingly, we took $\Delta = 2.0$ eV, $T = 2.2$ eV, and U_{dd} =7.0 eV for Bi₂(Sr,Ca)₃Cu₂O_y. However, using

FIG. 3. Valence-band XPS and UPS spectra of $Bi_2(Sr,$ Ca)₃Cu₂O_y (dots) compared with band-theoretical spectra (solid curves) calculated by Marksteiner et al. (Ref. 26).

these parameters or varying them somewhat around these values, we could not obtain a reasonable fit, particularly in the main-band region with binding energy $E_B < 8$ eV as shown in Fig. 4. (As for the satellite region, there may be a contribution from Bi6s – O 2p bonding states at $E_B \sim 10$ eV, as discussed below; see, e.g., the valence-band XPS spectrum of $Bi₂O₃$ in the inset of Fig. 5.)

The above discrepancy would be attributed to states other than Cu $3d$ and O $2p$, probably related to Bi 6s states. The presence of Bi 6s character within several eV of the Fermi level (E_F) is supported by the following argument. In Bi oxides, $Bi 6s - O 2p$ bonding states are formed at $E_B \sim 10$ eV and antibonding states within or just above the O 2p band, 31 both being occupied in Bi³⁺ oxides. The splitting between the bonding and antibonding states is largely determined by the strong $Bi 6s - O 2p$ hybridization, particularly when the Bi 6s and O 2p levels are close to each other, and is relatively insensitive to the position of the Bi 6s level.³¹ In the EELS spectra shown in Fig. 5, one can see that structures A at $E_{\text{loss}} \lesssim 6$ eV and B at $E_{\text{loss}} \sim 10 \text{ eV}$ are observed for $\text{Bi}_2(\text{Sr},\text{Ca})_3\text{Cu}_2\text{O}_y$ but absent for $La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}CuO_4$. We attribute these structures to transitions from the $Bi 6s - O 2p$ bonding and antibonding states to Bi 6p states above E_F . This assignment is obvious for structure B of $Bi₂O₃$, since its XPS spectrum (inset of Fig. 5) shows a bonding feature at $E_R \sim 10$ eV; structure A is weak because the Bi 6s component is small

FIG. 4. Valence-band XPS spectra of $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)_3Cu_2O_y$ and La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}Cu₄-₈ compared with those calculated using the configuration-interaction cluster model. Shallow core levels have been subtracted from the raw data (dots), yielding satellites at $E_B = 10-12$ eV. The shaded area for Bi₂(Sr,Ca)₃Cu₃O_v indicates discrepancy between the experiment and theory. [For $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_y, an inelastic background has been subtracted.]

 $\frac{39}{2}$

FIG. 5. EELS spectra of $Bi_2(Ca, Sr)$ ₃Cu₂O_y, La_{1,92}Sr_{0,08}- $CuO_{4-δ}$, and Bi₂O₃. *P* denotes plasmon satellites, and C transitions from O $2p$ to higher conduction bands. Structures A and B are assigned, respectively, to transitions from the Bi $6s - O 2p$ bonding and antibonding states to the Bi 6p states above E_F as discussed in the text. The inset shows an XPS spectrum of $Bi₂O₃$.

in the antibonding states. In going from $Bi₂O₃$ to $Bi_2(Sr, Ca)_3Cu_2O_\nu$, the intensity is shifted from B to A, which we interpret as due to a shift of the Bi 6s weight from the bonding to antibonding states resulting from the upward shift of the Bi 6s level.

Band-structure calculations on $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ show no appreciable Bi $6s$ character in the O $2p$ band region. $24,32,33$ As the local-density approximation is expected to be reliable in predicting the Bi $6s$ - and O $2p$ -level positions and hybridization between them, we suspect that the crystal structure used in the calculations is not sufficiently accurate. Namely, the superstructure of the $Bi₂O₂$ layers has so far precluded accurate determination of the crystal structure: 34 Even the oxygen-coordinated number and geometry for the Bi atom nor the exact oxygen content y has not yet been determined. It is, therefore, probable that the oxygen content is greater than that assumed in the band-structure calculations $(y=8)$ and that the excess oxygens are coordinated to Bi, resulting in the upward shift of the Bi 6s level. Indeed, $y \approx 8.25 \pm 0.1$ has been obtained from thermogravimetry.³⁵ Since charges are balanced with $y=8$ for valences of Bi³⁺,
Sr²⁺, Ca²⁺, Cu²⁺, and O²⁻, excess oxygens are able to
supply beles to the otherwise involting gutar (Alterna supply holes to the otherwise insulating system. (Alternatively, a "self-doping" mechanism has been proposed by

FIG. 6. UPS spectra of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_y and La_{1.92}Sr_{0.08}- $CuO_{4-δ}.$

Hybertsen and Mattheiss³² and Krakauer and Pickett:³³ Even with $y = 8$ the bottom of the Bi 6p band is populated by electrons, thus providing holes to the system. Due to the large uncertainty in the crystal structure, however, discussions on such a detail of the band structure would not be quite meaningful.) If there is significant Bi 6s mixing near E_F , the holes may have partially Bi 6s character or a fraction of Bi atoms may be converted to Bi^{4+} or $Bi⁵⁺$, but its possible role in superconductivity is not clear at present.

As shown in Fig. 6, the UPS spectrum of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃- $Cu₂O_y$ is different from that of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄$ in that it shows a flat region within \sim 1 eV of E_F and a clear Fermi edge. [The spectra of $YBa_2Cu_3O_y$ are rather similar to those of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$, although a less clear Fermi edge has been observed for $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ with higher x (Ref. 27) and for $YBa₂Cu₃O_y$. ³⁶ As the above comparison between the XPS spectrum and the cluster calculation has suggested extra spectral intensity within several eV of E_F (Fig. 3), which we have attributed to the effect of Bi $6s$, the characteristic behavior of the $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)$ ₃Cu₂O_v UPS spectra near E_F might be related to the presence of the Bi 6s character in this region. The fact that the extra intensity identified by XPS is not observed by UPS is consistent with the decrease of the Bi 6s to O 2p cross-section ratio by a factor of $\sim 10^{-3}$ in going from XPS to UPS.³⁷

C. Character of doped oxygen holes

It is now established that the doped holes in the La-Sr-Cu-0 and Y-Ba-Cu-0 systems are 0 2p-like rather Cu $3d$ -like, $1-6$ but it is controversial whether the holes are created on the $p\sigma$, $n-9}$ $p\pi$, $n^{10,38}$ or p_z (Ref. 39) orbitals of oxygen in the CuO₂ layer or on the p_z orbitals of the offplane (i.e., BaO- or LaO-layer) oxygen above the Cu atom.²³ The recent polarized Cu $L_{2,3}$ -edge x-ray absorption study has given microscopic evidence for the presence of off-plane p_z holes.²⁴ Indeed, band-structure calculations on $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ and $YBa_2Cu_3O_y$ have shown that the average energy (center of gravity) of the off-plane O 2p partial density of states (DOS) is higher than that of

the in-plane O $2p$, $20,40,41$ Although this is not obvious in the band-structure calculations of $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$, $32,33,42$ if oxygen content is higher than $y = 8$ and these oxygens are located in or near the $Bi₂O₂$ layer, the O 2p level in the SrO layer which is adjacent to the $Bi₂O₂$ layer will be raised and may be preferentially doped with holes.

D. Core levels of Bi, 0, and Sr

The XPS spectra of Bi core levels exhibit a slight asymmetry. Although the core-level asymmetry is often due to excitations of conduction electrons across E_F and suggests a finite density of states (DOS) at E_F at the core-level site, 43 the Bi $4f$ core-level XPS spectrum (Fig. 7) could be better fitted by assuming an additional component on the high binding-energy side (solid curve) rather than by a
Doniach-Sunjić-type⁴³ asymmetric Lorentzian ($\alpha \approx 0.1$, dashed line) which represents the excitations of conduction electrons in a wide band with a sufficiently large Fermi energy. The high binding-energy component is at least partly due to energy loss satellites (see the EELS spectrum in the inset of Fig. 7), and an intrinsic high bindingenergy tail, if it exists, appears to be restricted within a narrow $(\ll 1$ eV) range from the main peak. The narrow tail is consistent with a small Fermi energy $(\ll 1 \text{ eV})$ for the doped hole carriers. As for the possibility of Bi mixed valency, the core-level shifts between Bi^{3+} and Bi^{5+} in oxides are unfortunately very small⁴⁴ and would not give useful information.

FIG. 7. Core-level XPS spectra of $Bi_2(Sr,Ca)_3Cu_2O_v$ and their line-shape analyses. The dashed curves for Bi $4f$ is a Doniach-Sunjić line-shape fit. The shaded part for the O 1s spectrum is due to contamination. The inset shows the elastic peak of an EELS spectrum (dots, $E_0 \approx 2$ kV) decomposed into the purely elastic part (represented by the EELS spectrum of a wide-gap insulator MnO) and a low energy-loss signal ($E_{loss} \sim 1$) eV).

The recent studies on single-crystal $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_{x}\text{CuO}_{4}$ (Ref. 16) and well-characterized sintered $YBa₂Cu₃O_v$ samples⁴³ have revealed that the O 1s XPS spectrum consists of a single peak at $E_B \sim 529$ eV rather than two peaks at $E_B \sim 529$ eV and 531 eV as observed in earlier studies and that the 531-peak is due to surface degradation or grain-boundary contamination. The single peak, however, is considerably broader than the other core levels, suggesting the presence of overlapping multicomponents. Using approximately the same Gaussian width as for the other core levels, the O 1s spectrum could be decomposed into three components (plus one, the shaded area in Fig. 7, due to contamination as judged from its time and filing-sequence dependence) as was done for La-Sr-Cu-O and Y-Ba-Cu-O by Weaver et al.⁴⁵ We assign the lowest binding-energy component to oxygens in the SrO and " $Bi₂O₂$ " layers, the second one to those in the $CuO₂$ layers (plus an energy-loss satellite accompanying the first one), and the highest binding-energy component to the energy-loss satellite of the $CuO₂$ -layer oxygen signal. The 0 ls binding energies deduced from the band calculation on $Bi_2Sr_2CaCu_2O_8$ are, on the other hand, such that $Bi_2O_2 > SrO \sim CuO_2$, and cannot explain the relative intensities of the deconvoluted peaks. As band calculations on La_2CuO_4 and $YBa_2Cu_3O_y$ have given O 1s binding energies consistent with experiment,⁴⁵ the discrepancy would be attributed to the crystal structure used in the calculation. We suspect that the excess oxygens in or near the $Bi₂O₂$ layer raise the O 1s orbital in the same layer most significantly and that in the adjacent SrO layer to a lesser extent, resulting in the ordering of the O 1s binding energies $CuO₂ > Bi₂O₂ - SrO$. Attempts to fit the 0 ls spectrum using the Doniach-Sunjic line shape gave unsatisfactory results as in the case of Bi 4f XPS.

The high binding-energy component of the Sr 3d corelevel XPS (Fig. 7) appears too intense $(-30\% \text{ of the})$ main component) to be assigned to an energy-loss satellite. This signal probably arises largely from Sr replacing Ca sites as has been suggested by a recent electron microscopy study.⁴⁶

IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have found an increase in the $d^9 \rightarrow d^{10}L$ charge transfer energy Δ or in the Cu-O bond ionicity within the CuO₂ layer in going from $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ to Bi₂(Sr, Ca)₃Cu₂O_y, which leads to a decrease in the in-plane superexchange coupling J. ^A significant Bi 6s contribution is suggested within several eV of E_F , although bandstructure calculations on $Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈$ predict most of the 6s weight to be in the Bi $6s - O$ 2p bonding states well below E_F . As the atomic arrangement of and around the $Bi₂O₂$ layer and the oxygen concentration y have not been established yet, we propose that the discrepancy is caused by excess oxygens accommodated in or near the " $Bi₂O₂$ " layers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Three of us (T.T., Y.O., and H.K.Y.) are indebted to S. Hosoya for advice in preparing the $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ single crystals.

- 'For a review, see G. Wendin, J. Phys. (Paris) 48, C9-1157 (1988).
- $2A$. Fujimori, E. Takayama-Muromachi, Y. Uchida, and B. Okai, Phys. Rev. B 35, 8814 (1987); Solid State Commun. 63, 857 (1987).
- 3Z.-X. Shen, J. W. Allen, J. J. Yeh, J.-S. Kang, W. Ellis, W. Spicer, I. Lindau, M. B. Maple, Y. D. Dalichaouch, M. S. Torikachvilli, and J. Z. Sun, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8414 (1987).
- 4T. Takahashi, F. Maeda, H. Arai, H. Katayami-Yoshida, Y. Okabe, T. Suzuki, S. Hosoya, A. Fujimori, T. Shidara, T. Koide, T. Miyahara, M. Onoda, S. Shamoto, and M. Sato, Phys. Rev. B 36, S686 (1987).
- 5N. Niicker, J. Fink, J. C. Fuggle, P. J. Durham, and W. M. Temmerman, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5158 (1988).
- 6A. Bianconi, A. C. Castellano, M. De Santis, P. Rudolph, P. Lagarde, A. M. Flank, and A. Marcelli, Solid State Commun. 63, 1009 (1987); J. M. Tranquada, S. M. Heald, and A. R. Moodenbaugh, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5263 (1987).
- 7V. J. Emery, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2794 (1987).
- sM. Imada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 56, 3793 (1987).
- ⁹K. Miyake, T. Matsuura, K. Sano, and Y. Nagaoka, Physica B 148, 381 (1987); K. Hida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 1544 (1988); M. Ogata and H. Shiba, *ibid*. 57, 3074 (1988).
- ¹⁰A. Aharony, R. J. Birgeneau, A. Coniglio, M. A. Kastner, and H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1330 (1988).
- ¹¹C. M. Varma, S. Schmitt-Rink, and E. Abraham, Solid State Commun. 62, 681 (1987); J. E. Hirsch, S. Tang, E. Loh, Jr., and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1668 (1988); M. Tachiki and S. Takahasi, Phys. Rev. B 38, 218 (1988).
- ¹²H. Maeda, Y. Tanaka, M. Fukutomi, and T. Asano, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L209 (1988).
- ¹³Z. Z. Shen and A. M. Herman, Nature (London) 332, 55 (1988).
- ¹⁴E. Takayama-Muromachi, Y. Uchida, A. Ono, F. Izumi, M. Onoda, Y. Matsui, K. Kosuda, S. Takekawa, and K. Kato, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L365 (1988).
- ¹⁵S. Takekawa, H. Nozaki, A. Umezono, M. Kobayashi, and K. Kosuda, J. Cryst. Growth 92, 687 (1988).
- ¹⁶T. Takahashi, F. Maeda, H. Katayama-Yoshida, Y. Okabe, T. Suzuki, A. Fujimori, S. Hosoya, S. Shamoto, and M. Sato, Phys. Rev. B 37, 9788 (1988).
- 17 H. M. Meyer III, D. M. Hill, T. J. Wagener, Y. Gao, J. H. Weaver, D. W. Capone II, and K. C. Goretta, Phys. Rev. B 38, 6500 (1988).
- ¹⁸The present ΔE_{ms} and I_s/I_m for La-Sr-Cu-O are appreciably different from those given in Ref. 3. This would be attributed to the different sample qualities, i.e., polycrystalline samples measured at room temperature in Ref. 3 versus single crystals cooled to liquid-nitrogen temperature in the present study.
- ¹⁹G. van der Laan, C. Westra, C. Haas, and G. A. Sawatzky Phys. Rev. B 23, 4369 (1981).
- ²⁰Band-structure calculations have predicted a decrease in Δ in going from La_2CuO_4 to $YBa_2Cu_3O_v$ [K.-T. Park, K. Terakura, T. Oguchi, A. Yanase, and M. Ikeda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 57, 344S (1988).
- $2^{1}J$ has been overestimated by an order of magnitude in Ref. 3 due to the use of an approximate expression [Eq. (33) of Ref. 3] which becomes inaccurate for small Δ . By solving Eqs. (26) and (27) directly, one obtains reasonable J values using spectroscopic parameters. A decrease in J with increasing Δ can also be confirmed.
- ²²H. Katayama-Yoshida, A. J. Mascarenhas, Y. Okabe, T. Takahashi, T. Suzuki, J. I. Pankove, T. Ciszek, S. K. Deb, H. Adachi, K. Setsune, and K. Horiuchi (unpublished).
- ²³E. Takayama-Muromachi, Y. Uchida, A. Fujimori, and K. Kato, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L223 (1988).
- A. Bianconi, M. de Santis, A. di Cicco, A. M. Flank, A. Fontaine, P. Lagarde, H. Katayama-Yoshida, A. Kotani, and A. Marcelli, Phys. Rev. B38, 7196 (1988).
- ²⁵The UPS spectrum taken with $hv=21.2$ eV reported by M. Onellion, M. Tang, Y. Chang, G. Margaritondo, J. M. Tarascon, P. A. Morris, W. A. Bonner, and N. G. Stoffel [Phys. Rev. B 38, 881 (1988)] is in good agreement with ours except that their spectrum shows more intense emission at 6 $eV < E_B < 9$ eV. We note that our sample is well characterized by the 0 1s XPS which is the most sensitive check of oxide samples.
- 26P. Marksteiner, S. Massida, J. Yu, A. J. Freeman, and J. Redinger, Phys. Rev. B 38, 5098 (1988).
- ²⁷B. Reihl, T. Riesterer, J. G. Bednorz, and K. A. Müller, Phys. Rev. B 35, 8804 (1987).
- 28 In the cluster calculations of Ref. 2, we have assumed cubic symmetry for the $CuO₆$ cluster and no $CuO₅$ cluster has been studied. In the present study, realistic cluster geometry is considered. Details of the new cluster calculations will be given elsewhere [A. Fujimori (unpublished)l.
- ²⁹J. Zaanen, C. Westra, and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 33, 8060 (1986).
- ³⁰J. H. Scofield, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 8, 129 (1976).
- 31 K. Takegahara and T. Kasuya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 56, 1478 (1987).
- ³²M. S. Hybertsen and L. F. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1661 (1988).
- 33H. Krakauer and W. E. Pickett, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 1665 (1988).
- 34Y. Matsui, H. Maeda, Y. Tanaka, and S. Horiuchi, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L361 (1988); 27, L372 (1988).
- 35J. M. Tarascon, Y. L. Page, P. Barboux, B. G. Bagley, L. H. Greene, W. R. McKinnon, G. W. Hull, M. Giroud, and D. M. Hwang, Phys. Rev. B 37, 9382 (1988).
- ³⁶E. R. Moog, S. D. Bader, A. J. Arko, and B. K. Flandenmeyer, Phys. Rev. B 36, 5583 (1987).
- 37J.J. Yeh and I. Lindau, At. Data Nucl. Tables 32, ¹ (1985).
- 8Y. Guo, J.-M. Langlois, and W. A. Goddard III, Science 239, 896 (1988).
- 39F.J. Adrian, Phys. Rev. B 37, 2326 (1988).
- ⁴⁰G. M. Stocks, W. M. Temmerman, S. Szotek, and P. A. Stern, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 1, 57 (1988).
- ⁴¹S. Massida, J. Yu, A. J. Freeman, and D. D. Koelling, Phys. Rev. Lett. A 122, 198 (1987).
- 42S. Massida, J. Yu, and A. J. Freeman, Physica C 152, 251 (1988).
- 43S. Doniach and M. Sunjić, J. Phys. C 3, 285 (1970).
- 44C. D. Wagner, W. M. Riggs, L. E. Davis, J. F. Moulder, and G. E. Muilenberg, Handbook of X -ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (Perkin-Elmer, Minneapolis, 1979).
- 45J. H. Weaver, H. M. Meyer III, T.J. Wagener, D. M. Hill, Y. Gao, D. Peterson, Z. Fisk, and A. J. Arko, Phys. Rev. B 38, 4668 (1988).
- ⁴⁶S. Horiuchi, H. Maeda, Y. Tanaka, and Y. Matsui, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 27, L1172 (1988).