# Electron-energy-loss spectra of the Si(100)- $(2 \times 1)$ surface exposed to NH<sub>3</sub>

## M. Fujisawa, Y. Taguchi, Y. Kuwahara, M. Onchi, and M. Nishijima Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan (Received 4 January 1989)

High-resolution vibrational electron-energy-loss spectroscopy has been used to study the interaction of  $NH_3$  with the Si(100)-(2×1) surface.  $NH_3$  is decomposed on the Si(100) surface at 300 K to form the SiNH<sub>2</sub> and SiH species. It is proposed that the amino ( $NH_2$ ) species is bonded to the dangling bond of the Si(100) surface atom. Changes in the adsorbed state of both species under heat treatment are discussed.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The interaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with Si surface is of considerable interest not only from the scientific viewpoint but also from the technological application of silicon nitride. Several studies have been made on the interaction of NH<sub>3</sub> with the Si(100) surface by the use of x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy, etc.<sup>1-3</sup> Bozso and Avouris<sup>3</sup> have reported that NH<sub>3</sub> is dissociated at 300 K to form the SiNH and SiH species on the Si(100)-(2×1) surface. In the present work, vibrational electron-energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) has been employed to the study of the adsorbed state of NH<sub>3</sub> on the Si(100)-(2×1) surface. This work is a part of our investigation series on the gas-Si surface interactions [Si(111)-H,<sup>4</sup> Si(111)-N,<sup>5</sup> Si(111)-NH<sub>3</sub>,<sup>6</sup> etc.].

### **II. EXPERIMENT**

The experiments were performed by the in situ combined techniques of EELS, low-energy electron diffraction (LEED), and Auger-electron spectroscopy (AES) using an ultrahigh-vacuum system with a base pressure of  $1 \times 10^{-10}$  Torr. The EELS spectrometer used for the present study consists of a double-pass 127° cylindrical deflector analyzer (CDA) for the monochromator and a single-pass 127° CDA for the analyzer. For EELS measurements, the primary electron energy  $E_p$  of 5.1 eV and the incident angle  $\theta_i = 65^\circ$  with respect to the surface normal were used. The off-specular measurements were made by rotating the monochromator around an axis which was perpendicular to the incidence plane of the electron beam. The sample used was a Si(100) wafer (p type, boron-doped, 1000  $\Omega$  cm), and was mounted such that the electron beam scattered along the [011] azimuth. The clean Si(100) surface, showing the sharp  $(2 \times 1)$ LEED pattern, was carefully prepared by the standard technique (Ar<sup>+</sup>-ion-bombardment-annealing-flashing cycles). No impurities were observed on the clean surface thus prepared within the detection limit of AES. Ammonia was introduced into the vacuum chamber via the 10-mm-diam doser located 8 mm from the sample surface.

#### **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1(a) shows the EELS spectrum in the specular mode of the Si(100)-(2×1) surface at 300 K exposed to ~10 L NH<sub>3</sub> [1 langmiur (L)  $\equiv 10^{-6}$  Torrs], which nearly corresponds to the saturation coverage. Similar spectra were obtained for lower exposures. Losses are observed at ~50, 75, 103, 195, 258, and 414 meV. The off-specular measurements indicated that all losses, except for the 414-meV loss, were mainly dipole excited. It is noted that, in the saturation-coverage region, the (2×1) LEED pattern is observable with the increased background intensity. The 258-meV loss is attributed to the Si—H



FIG. 1. EELS spectra in the specular mode of the Si(100)- $(2 \times 1)$  surface (a) exposed to ~10 L NH<sub>3</sub> at 300 K; (b) after heating at ~600 K for 2 min; (c) after heating at ~900 K for 2 min ( $E_p = 5.1 \text{ eV}$ ).

39 12 918

stretching vibration of the SiH species by comparison with the EELS study for the Si(111)-H system.<sup>4</sup> The 103-, 195-, and 414-meV losses are attributed to the Si-N stretching vibration, the NH<sub>2</sub> scissors vibration, and to the NH<sub>2</sub> symmetric- and antisymmetric-stretching vibrations, respectively. These assignments are based on comparison with the infrared-absorption studies of the organosilicic compounds. Organosilazane  $(R_3 \text{SiNH}_2)$ has the Si-N stretching vibration at 103-106 meV, the  $NH_2$  scissors vibration at 190–192 meV, and the  $NH_2$ symmetric-stretching vibration at 422 meV, and the  $NH_2$ antisymmetric-stretching vibration at 431-432 meV. Peri<sup>8</sup> studied the NH<sub>3</sub>-exposed dry silica aerogel and observed the  $NH_2$  scissors vibration and the  $NH_2$ symmetric-stretching vibration at 193 and 427 meV, respectively. Thus, it is interpreted that at 300 K NH<sub>3</sub> is partially dissociated to form the SiNH<sub>2</sub> and SiH species and that the amino  $(NH_2)$  species is bonded to the Si surface with a covalent bond formed between its nitrogen atom and the dangling bond of the Si(100) surface atom. It is difficult to interpret the  $\sim 50$ - and 75-meV losses unambiguously. The  $\sim$  50-meV loss may tentatively be associated with the NH<sub>2</sub> bending vibration and the 75meV loss with the NH<sub>2</sub> and SiH bending vibrations.<sup>4,6</sup>

It is noted that the EELS spectrum for the Si(100)-NH<sub>3</sub> system is similar to that for the Si(111)-NH<sub>3</sub> system.<sup>6</sup> For the Si(111)-(7×7)NH<sub>3</sub> system, the losses are observed at 62, 75, 98, 135, 188, 258, and 422 meV, and it is also interpreted that NH<sub>3</sub> is partially dissociated to form the SiNH<sub>2</sub> and SiH species. Bozso and Avouris<sup>3</sup> proposed that NH<sub>3</sub> is dissociated into NH and H on Si(100)-(2×1), while on Si(111)-(7×7) it is dissociated into NH<sub>2</sub> and H. We could not obtain any evidence that the imino (NH) species existed at 300 K, because we could not detect that corresponding NH bending mode which was expected at 145–146 meV according to the infrared spectroscopy study of organosilazine [( $R_3$ Si)<sub>2</sub>NH].<sup>7</sup>

By heating the Si(100) surface (preexposed to  $NH_3$  at 300 K) to  $\sim 600$  K, the EELS spectrum is varied drastically [Fig. 1(b)]. The 195- and 414-meV losses (NH<sub>2</sub>) scissors and NH<sub>2</sub> symmetric- and antisymmetricstretching modes, respectively) are markedly decreased in intensity. These results suggest that the SiNH<sub>2</sub> species are mostly decomposed. The intensity (normalized by the elastic peak intensity) of the 258-meV loss (SiH stretching mode) is only slightly increased (<10%). This is interpreted to indicate that the H atoms produced by the decomposition are partly bonded to the Si(100) surface to form the SiH species, but the majority are desorbed as H<sub>2</sub>. The same conclusion has been reached by Bozso and Avouris.<sup>1,3</sup> The shift of the 258-meV peak to 261 meV [compare Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] is interpreted to indicate that the Si atoms (bonded to the H adatoms) are backbonded to the N atoms in the subsurface. Similar results are observed for the Si(111)-NH<sub>3</sub> system.<sup>6</sup> The 78-meV loss (which has been measured to be dipole active) is mainly attributed to the bending mode of the SiH species (tilted from the surface normal) on the Si substrate distorted by the penetration of N atoms into the subsurface. The 45- and 100-meV losses are attributed to the symmetric-breathing mode and the asymmetric in-plane bond-stretching mode of the near-planar  $Si_3N$  species, respectively, by comparison with the EELS studies for the Si(111)-N (Ref. 5) and Si(111)-NH<sub>3</sub> (Ref. 6) systems. The broad 100-meV peak may include the contribution from the Si—N stretching mode of the residual SiNH<sub>2</sub> species.

After heating at ~900 K, losses associated with the surface species containing H atoms are all extinguished [Fig. 1(c)]. This indicates that H atoms are removed from the surface by the desorption of H<sub>2</sub>. The 100-meV loss is attributed to the Si<sub>3</sub>N species. The ~60- and 120-meV losses are associated with the growth of the silicon nitride.<sup>9</sup> It is noted, after heat treatment at 600-900 K, that the  $(2 \times 1)$  LEED pattern is still observable with the background. The 195- and 258-meV losses [Fig. 1(c)] are attributed to the NH<sub>3</sub> adsorption on the surface from the residual NH<sub>3</sub> in the chamber.

### **IV. SUMMARY**

A vibrational EELS study has been performed concerning the adsorption of NH<sub>3</sub> on the Si(100)-(2×1) surface. The NH<sub>3</sub> molecules are adsorbed partially dissociatively to form the SiNH<sub>2</sub> and SiH species. The NH<sub>2</sub> and H are bonded covalently to the dangling bonds of the surface Si atoms. The structural model is shown in Fig. 2. In Fig. 2, as the H-N-H bond angle of an NH<sub>3</sub> molecule is 107°, it is assumed that the molecular plane of the  $NH_2$  species is inclined by  ${\sim}\,60^\circ$  from the  $\hat{S}i{-\!\!-\!N}$  bond axis. Considering the near  $sp^3$  hybridization of the surface Si atom, it is also assumed that the Si-N bond is directed  $\sim 20^{\circ}$  with respect to the surface normal. The structural model is compatible with the surface-normal dipole selection rule<sup>10</sup> which is applicable to all the observed losses except for the 414-meV loss. By heating to  $\sim 600$  K, the SiNH<sub>2</sub> species are mostly decomposed to form the Si<sub>3</sub>N species; H atoms produced by the decomposition are partly bonded to the Si surface to form the



FIG. 2. Schematic of the structural model for the Si(100)- $(2 \times 1)$  surface exposed to NH<sub>3</sub> at 300 K. The adsorbate-induced displacements of Si atoms are not included.

SiH species, but the majority are removed from the surface as  $H_2$ . By heating to ~900 K, the H adatoms are completely removed from the surface; the existence of the Si<sub>3</sub>N species and the formation of the silicon nitride are observed.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (Japan) and from the Nissan Science Foundation.

- <sup>1</sup>F. Bozso and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 1185 (1986).
- <sup>2</sup>E. K. Hlil, L. Kubler, J. L. Bischoff, and D. Bolmont, Phys. Rev. B 35, 5913 (1987).
- <sup>3</sup>F. Bozso and Ph. Avouris, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 3937 (1988).
- <sup>4</sup>H. Kobayashi, K. Edamoto, M. Onch., and M. Nishijima, J. Chem. Phys. 78, 7429 (1983).
- <sup>5</sup>K. Edamoto, S. Tanaka, M. Onchi, and M. Nishijima, Surf. Sci. 167, 285 (1986).
- <sup>6</sup>S. Tanaka, M. Onchi, and M. Nishijima, Surf. Sci. 191, L756

(1987).

- <sup>7</sup>A. Marchand, M. T. Forel, F. Metras, and J. Valade, J. Chim. Phys. **61**, 343 (1964).
- <sup>8</sup>J. B. Peri, J. Phys. Chem. 70, 2937 (1966).
- <sup>9</sup>Yu. N. Volgin and Yu. I. Ukhanov, Opt. Spektrosk. 38, 727 (1975) [Opt. Spectrosc. 38, 412 (1975)].
- <sup>10</sup>H. Ibach and D. L. Mills, *Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy* and Surface Vibrations (Academic, New York, 1982).