Mass spectroscopy of SbCl_s-intercalated graphite

W. R. Datars, H. Zaleski,^{*} and P. K. Umma

Department of Physics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1

(Received 26 January 1988)

A mass analysis is presented of ions from stage-1 and stage-2 SbCl₃-intercalated graphite ionized by electron bombardment at an energy of 70 eV. The results show that SbCl₃ is in both compounds and that Sb_2Cl_6 , in the form of a $SbCl_3$ -SbCl₃ dimer, is present in the stage-1 intercalated compound. There is appreciable SbCl₅ or SbCl₆⁻ only in the stage-1 compound. SbCl₃ and SbCl₄ are present in stage-2 SbCl₅ graphite.

INTRODUCTION

The intercalants in graphite after intercalation of SbCl₅ are probably not only SbCl₅ molecules. Mössbauer experiments show that the ratio Sb^{5+} : Sb^{3+} is 1.9, which mean that Sb is in more than one charge state.^{1,2} It has been proposed that the intercalant in the graphite consists of $SbCl₆$ ⁻ and $SbCl₃$ ^{3,4} However, it has been shown recently that a reaction that forms these products cannot be more than 80% complete.⁵ The purpose of this work is to determine the intercalants in graphite by means of mass spectroscopy. Both stage-1 and stage-2 SbCl, graphite intercalation compounds (GIC'S) are investigated.

Mass spectroscopy has been used previously to investigate several other intercalation compounds. Mass spectra of the binary pentafluoride $MF₅$ compound consist of ions MF_n^+ with $n < 5.6$ Similarly, the mass spectra of the gases evolved from the intercalants XeF_6 , XeF_4 , and XeOF4 show none of their predecessors' spectra. AsF_{n}^{+} , Nb F_{n}^{+} , and TaF_{n}^{+} ions with $n \leq 4$ from intercalated compounds have also been reported recently.⁸

Both antimony and chlorine have two stable isotopes. The natural abundances of ^{121}Sb and ^{123}Sb are 57.25 and 42.75 wt. %, respectively, and those of $³⁵Cl$ and $³⁷Cl$ are</sup></sup> 75.4 and 26.6 wt. %, respectively. This results in a characteristic isotopic cluster abundance pattern for each of the ions that are formed. The pattern is used to identify the ions detected by the spectrometer.

EXPERIMENT

A double-focusing mass spectrometer (model VC 707- F) with a resolution, $m/\Delta m$, of 1000 at the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectroscopy was used for the mass analyses. The samples were heated in the source chamber of the spectrometer from 20 to 350 C. Vapor from the sample was ionized with bombardment by an electron beam with a typical energy of 70 eV. This produced positive ions that were fragments of intercalated molecules. Mass spectra were taken during the heating and were recorded in a computer for future analysis. The spectra were independent of ionization energy between 35 and 70 eV.

The samples were prepared by the reaction of pieces

 $(3\times3\times0.5$ mm³) of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) with liquid SbCl₅. The stage-1 compounds were formed with the HOPG pieces in $SbCl₅$ at a temperature of 220'C for 7 d. The stage-2 samples were made by immersing HOPG in SbCl₅ at 100 °C for $3-4$ d. X-ray (00l) diffraction with sharp diffraction peaks showed that each sample was in a single stage.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The mass spectrum from the stage-1 compound is shown in Fig. 1. The mass number of the most abundant mass in each cluster is indicated. The doublets at mass numbers 70 and 121 belong to Cl_2^+ and Sb⁺, respectively. The clusters between mass numbers of 150 and 300 are from SbCl⁺, SbCl₂⁺, SbCl₃⁺, and SbCl₄⁺. There is no evidence of $SbCl₅⁺$ and $SbCl₆⁺$ in Fig. 1. The cluster in the region of mass number 420 is identified with $Sb_2Cl_5^+$. $SbCl₂$ ⁺ is the most abundant from all stage-1 samples. The amount of $SbCl₄⁺$ that is detected relative to the other ions varies greatly from sample to sample. It is large in Fig. 1, while for some other stage-1 samples it is only several percent of that of $SbCl₂⁺$.

The mass region of the stage-1 compound between mass number 300 and 500 is amplified by a factor of 100 in Fig. 2 to show the most abundant isotope of the $Sb_2Cl_5^+$ cluster with a relative intensity of 100. $Sb_2Cl_4^+$, $Sb_2Cl_3^+$, and $Sb_2Cl_2^+$ mass clusters are also evident in Fig. 2.

FIG. 1. Mass analysis of stage-1 SbCl₅ graphite showing relative abundance vs mass number (M/Z) of ions.

FIG. 2. The mass region $M/Z = 300-500$ of stage-1 SbCl₅ graphite.

Figure 3 shows the mass spectrum for a stage-2 compound. The mass clusters starting from the lowest mass are identified with Sb^+ , $SbCl^+$, $SbCl_2^+$, $SbCl_3^+$, and $SbCl₄⁺$. The detected amount of $SbCl₄⁺$ is very small and there is no evidence of $SbCl₅⁺$. $Sb₂Cl₅⁺$ was not detected in mass scans up to 500.

DISCUSSION

It is assumed that the detected ions are dissociated from molecules arising from the intercalated compound and that a parent of the ions is not formed after release from the graphite. However, a parent from the intercalated sample is not detected because it is not ionized. This is consistent with previous mass-spectroscopy experiments of intercalated compounds. Thus, the heaviest positive ion from SbCl₅ is SbCl₄⁺. This ion is also expected to be the heaviest ion from $SbCl₆$ because two Cl⁻ atoms must be removed to form a positive ion. Thus, the experiment cannot distinguish $SbCl₅$ and $SbCl₆$ in graphite.

The possible parents of $SbCl₄⁺$ detected in the region of mass 262 are SbCl₅ and SbCl₆⁻. They also result in SbCl⁺, SbCl₂⁺, and SbCl₃⁺. The large amount of SbCl₄⁺ in Fig. 1 indicates that one or both of SbCl₅ and SbCl₆ are in stage 1. Some could be unreacted $SbCl₅$ because the detected amount of $SbCl₄⁺$ is sample dependent. Then, since there is only a small amount of $SbCl₄⁺$ in the stage-2 spectra, there is less unreacted $SbCl₅$ in the stage-2 compounds. This is reasonable because the charge transfer is completed in stage-2 compounds with 0.50

FIG. 3. Mass analysis of stage-2 SbCl, graphite showing relative abundance vs mass number (M/Z) of ions.

units of elementary charge per intercalant molecule.⁵ In

contrast, the charge transfer in the stage-1 compounds is 0.37 per intercalant molecule and is probably not complete.⁹ Ions in the mass spectra could also come from $SbCl₆$ in the compounds. However, the concentration of $SbCl₆$ cannot be determined because the ions can also come from other molecules.

There is a large amount of $SbCl₂⁺$ relative to other ions from both stage ¹ and stage 2. The probable parent of this excess of ions is $SbCl₃$ in the compounds. Also, the relative abundance of $SbCl_3^+$ ions is much greater than that of $SbCl₄⁺$ ions from stage-2 compounds. This could arise from the intercalant SbCl₄. Thus, the data are consistent with the view that the intercalant in $SbCl₅ GIC's$ result from a disproportionation reaction which forms $SbCl₆$ and $SbCl₃$.

The ions $Sb_2Cl_2^+$ to $Sb_2Cl_5^+$ come from Sb_2Cl_6 in the stage-1 GIC. This molecule is expected to be formed by a dipole-dipole pairing mechanism of $SbCl₃$ molecules.³ The molecular dimer is considered to form the $\sqrt{39}\times\sqrt{39}$ superlattice that is observed at temperatures less than 230 K. At higher temperatures, they are positionally disordered in stage-2 samples with only indications of $\sqrt{39}\times\sqrt{39}$ superlattice ordering up to room temperature. However the $\sqrt{39}\times\sqrt{39}$ ordering is stable up to at least room temperature in stage-1 SbCl₅ GIC.³ Figure 2 taken with a sample temperature of 70'C shows that the Sb_2Cl_6 dimer is stable above room temperature in the stage-1 GIC and is direct evidence of it.

 $SbCl₅$ intercalated graphite is turning out to be a rather complicated compound. Both the $5+$ and $3+$ states of Sb are detected in Mössbauer experiments.^{1,2} Ion and electron microprobes show segregation of the intercalant.⁴ Hwang et al. then suggest that there are islands of SbCl₃ or SbCl₄⁻ in a background of SbCl₅ or SbCl₆⁻ which are products of unreacted $SbCl₅$ on the surface. X -ray-diffraction measurements³ are consistent with the presence of two segregated molecular species, $SbCl₃$ and $SbCl₆$ and an ordered lattice on SbCl₃ dimers. Direct evidence of the molecules that are in the intercalated compound is given by our mass-spectroscopy results. The detected $SbCl₃$ is attributed to the islands observed by ion and electron probes. The unreacted $SbCl₅$ on the surface appears to have different concentrations in stage-¹ and stage-2 compounds. It is especially significant that the SbCl₃ dimer that has been predicted³ is observed directly in this experiment.

CONCLUSIONS

The mass spectra of stage-1 and stage-2 $SbCl₅ GIC$ ionized by electron bombardment has isotopic clusters of $SbCl_x$ with $x \leq 4$. This gives direct evidence of SbCl₃ and $SbCl₅$ or $SbCl₆$ in stage-1 $SbCl₅$ graphite. In addition, from the stage-1 compound there are ions $Sb_2Cl_2^+$, $Sb_2Cl_1^+$, $Sb_2Cl_4^+$, and $Sb_2Cl_5^+$. This indicates that there is Sb_2Cl_6 probably in the form of a $SbCl_3-SbCl_3$ dimer at temperatures above room temperature in the stage-1 GIC. SbCl₄ and SbCl₃ are present in stage-2 SbCl₅⁻ graphite. These results give direct confirmation of the

constituents of these rather complicated intercalation compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The mass analysis was carried out at the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectrometry. We wish to

- 'Present address: Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2J1.
- ¹J. M. Friedt, R. Poinsot, and L. Soderholm, Solid State Commun. 49, 223 (1984).
- P. Bloochand, W. J. Bresser, D. McDaniel, K. Sisson, V. Yeh, and P. C. Ekland, Solid State Commun. 40, 1049 (1981).
- 3H. Homma and R. Clarke, Phys. Rev. 8 31, 5865 (1985).
- 4D. M. Hwang, R. Levi-Setti, G. Crow, Y. L. Wang, N. W. Parker, R. Mittlemen, Y. W. Qian, and S. A. Solin, Synth. Met. 12, 73 (9185).
- thank Dr. Richard W. Smith and Mr. F. A. Ramelan for the analysis. The HOPG graphite provided by Dr. A. W. Moore is gratefully acknowledged. The research was supported financially by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.
- 5H. Zaleski, P. K. Ummat, and W. R. Datars, Phys. Rev. B 35, 2958 (1987).
- ⁶H. Selig, W. A. Sunder, M. J. Vasile, F. A. Stevie, and P. K. Gallagher, J. Flour. Chem. 12, 397 (1978).
- ⁷H. Selig, M. J. Vasile, F. A. Stevie, and W. A Sunder, J. Flour. Chem. 10, 299 (1977).
- 8P. K. Ummat, H. Zaleski, and W. R. Datars, J. Mater. Res. 2, 317 (1987).
- ⁹H. Zaleski, P. K. Ummat, and W. R. Datars, J. Phys. C 17, 3167 (1984).