Mass spectroscopy of SbCl₅-intercalated graphite

W. R. Datars, H. Zaleski,* and P. K. Ummat

Department of Physics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M1

(Received 26 January 1988)

A mass analysis is presented of ions from stage-1 and stage-2 SbCl₅-intercalated graphite ionized by electron bombardment at an energy of 70 eV. The results show that SbCl₃ is in both compounds and that Sb₂Cl₆, in the form of a SbCl₃-SbCl₃ dimer, is present in the stage-1 intercalated compound. There is appreciable SbCl₅ or SbCl₆⁻ only in the stage-1 compound. SbCl₃ and SbCl₄ are present in stage-2 SbCl₅ graphite.

INTRODUCTION

The intercalants in graphite after intercalation of SbCl₅ are probably not only SbCl₅ molecules. Mössbauer experiments show that the ratio Sb⁵⁺:Sb³⁺ is 1.9, which means that Sb is in more than one charge state.^{1,2} It has been proposed that the intercalant in the graphite consists of SbCl₆⁻ and SbCl₃.^{3,4} However, it has been shown recently that a reaction that forms these products cannot be more than 80% complete.⁵ The purpose of this work is to determine the intercalants in graphite by means of mass spectroscopy. Both stage-1 and stage-2 SbCl₅ graphite intercalation compounds (GIC'S) are investigated.

Mass spectroscopy has been used previously to investigate several other intercalation compounds. Mass spectra of the binary pentafluoride MF_5 compound consist of ions MF_n^+ with $n < 5.^6$ Similarly, the mass spectra of the gases evolved from the intercalants XeF₆, XeF₄, and XeOF₄ show none of their predecessors' spectra.⁷ AsF_n⁺, NbF_n⁺, and TaF_n⁺ ions with $n \le 4$ from intercalated compounds have also been reported recently.⁸

Both antimony and chlorine have two stable isotopes. The natural abundances of 121 Sb and 123 Sb are 57.25 and 42.75 wt. %, respectively, and those of 35 Cl and 37 Cl are 75.4 and 26.6 wt. %, respectively. This results in a characteristic isotopic cluster abundance pattern for each of the ions that are formed. The pattern is used to identify the ions detected by the spectrometer.

EXPERIMENT

A double-focusing mass spectrometer (model VC 707-F) with a resolution, $m/\Delta m$, of 1000 at the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectroscopy was used for the mass analyses. The samples were heated in the source chamber of the spectrometer from 20 to 350 °C. Vapor from the sample was ionized with bombardment by an electron beam with a typical energy of 70 eV. This produced positive ions that were fragments of intercalated molecules. Mass spectra were taken during the heating and were recorded in a computer for future analysis. The spectra were independent of ionization energy between 35 and 70 eV.

The samples were prepared by the reaction of pieces

 $(3 \times 3 \times 0.5 \text{ mm}^3)$ of highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) with liquid SbCl₅. The stage-1 compounds were formed with the HOPG pieces in SbCl₅ at a temperature of 220 °C for 7 d. The stage-2 samples were made by immersing HOPG in SbCl₅ at 100 °C for 3-4 d. X-ray (00*l*) diffraction with sharp diffraction peaks showed that each sample was in a single stage.

EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The mass spectrum from the stage-1 compound is shown in Fig. 1. The mass number of the most abundant mass in each cluster is indicated. The doublets at mass numbers 70 and 121 belong to Cl_2^+ and Sb^+ , respectively. The clusters between mass numbers of 150 and 300 are from $SbCl^+$, $SbCl_2^+$, $SbCl_3^+$, and $SbCl_4^+$. There is no evidence of $SbCl_5^+$ and $SbCl_6^+$ in Fig. 1. The cluster in the region of mass number 420 is identified with $Sb_2Cl_5^+$. $SbCl_2^+$ is the most abundant from all stage-1 samples. The amount of $SbCl_4^+$ that is detected relative to the other ions varies greatly from sample to sample. It is large in Fig. 1, while for some other stage-1 samples it is only several percent of that of $SbCl_2^+$.

The mass region of the stage-1 compound between mass number 300 and 500 is amplified by a factor of 100 in Fig. 2 to show the most abundant isotope of the $Sb_2Cl_5^+$ cluster with a relative intensity of 100. $Sb_2Cl_4^+$, $Sb_2Cl_3^+$, and $Sb_2Cl_2^+$ mass clusters are also evident in Fig. 2.



FIG. 1. Mass analysis of stage-1 SbCl₅ graphite showing relative abundance vs mass number (M/Z) of ions.



FIG. 2. The mass region M/Z = 300-500 of stage-1 SbCl₅ graphite.

Figure 3 shows the mass spectrum for a stage-2 compound. The mass clusters starting from the lowest mass are identified with Sb⁺, SbCl⁺, SbCl₂⁺, SbCl₃⁺, and SbCl₄⁺. The detected amount of SbCl₄⁺ is very small and there is no evidence of SbCl₅⁺. Sb₂Cl₅⁺ was not detected in mass scans up to 500.

DISCUSSION

It is assumed that the detected ions are dissociated from molecules arising from the intercalated compound and that a parent of the ions is not formed after release from the graphite. However, a parent from the intercalated sample is not detected because it is not ionized. This is consistent with previous mass-spectroscopy experiments of intercalated compounds. Thus, the heaviest positive ion from SbCl₅ is SbCl₄⁺. This ion is also expected to be the heaviest ion from SbCl₆⁻ because two Cl⁻ atoms must be removed to form a positive ion. Thus, the experiment cannot distinguish SbCl₅ and SbCl₆⁻ in graphite.

The possible parents of SbCl_4^+ detected in the region of mass 262 are SbCl_5 and SbCl_6^- . They also result in SbCl^+ , SbCl_2^+ , and SbCl_3^+ . The large amount of SbCl_4^+ in Fig. 1 indicates that one or both of SbCl_5 and $\text{SbCl}_6^$ are in stage 1. Some could be unreacted SbCl_5 because the detected amount of SbCl_4^+ is sample dependent. Then, since there is only a small amount of SbCl_4^+ in the stage-2 spectra, there is less unreacted SbCl_5 in the stage-2 compounds. This is reasonable because the charge transfer is completed in stage-2 compounds with 0.50



FIG. 3. Mass analysis of stage-2 SbCl₅ graphite showing relative abundance vs mass number (M/Z) of ions.

units of elementary charge per intercalant molecule.⁵ In contrast, the charge transfer in the stage-1 compounds is

contrast, the charge transfer in the stage-1 compounds is 0.37 per intercalant molecule and is probably not complete.⁹ Ions in the mass spectra could also come from $SbCl_6^-$ in the compounds. However, the concentration of $SbCl_6^-$ cannot be determined because the ions can also come from other molecules.

There is a large amount of SbCl_2^+ relative to other ions from both stage 1 and stage 2. The probable parent of this excess of ions is SbCl_3 in the compounds. Also, the relative abundance of SbCl_3^+ ions is much greater than that of SbCl_4^+ ions from stage-2 compounds. This could arise from the intercalant SbCl_4 . Thus, the data are consistent with the view that the intercalant in SbCl_5 GIC's result from a disproportionation reaction which forms SbCl_6^- and SbCl_3 .

The ions Sb_2Cl_2^+ to Sb_2Cl_5^+ come from Sb_2Cl_6 in the stage-1 GIC. This molecule is expected to be formed by a dipole-dipole pairing mechanism of SbCl_3 molecules.³ The molecular dimer is considered to form the $\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39}$ superlattice that is observed at temperatures less than 230 K. At higher temperatures, they are positionally disordered in stage-2 samples with only indications of $\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39}$ superlattice ordering up to room temperature. However the $\sqrt{39} \times \sqrt{39}$ ordering is stable up to at least room temperature in stage-1 SbCl₅ GIC.³ Figure 2 taken with a sample temperature of 70 °C shows that the Sb₂Cl₆ dimer is stable above room temperature in the stage-1 GIC and is direct evidence of it.

SbCl₅ intercalated graphite is turning out to be a rather complicated compound. Both the 5+ and 3+ states of Sb are detected in Mössbauer experiments.^{1,2} Ion and electron microprobes show segregation of the intercalant.⁴ Hwang et al. then suggest that there are islands of SbCl₃ or SbCl₄⁻ in a background of SbCl₅ or SbCl₆⁻ which are products of unreacted SbCl₅ on the surface. X-ray-diffraction measurements³ are consistent with the presence of two segregated molecular species, SbCl₃ and $SbCl_6^-$ and an ordered lattice on $SbCl_3$ dimers. Direct evidence of the molecules that are in the intercalated compound is given by our mass-spectroscopy results. The detected SbCl₂ is attributed to the islands observed by ion and electron probes. The unreacted SbCl₅ on the surface appears to have different concentrations in stage-1 and stage-2 compounds. It is especially significant that the SbCl₃ dimer that has been predicted³ is observed directly in this experiment.

CONCLUSIONS

The mass spectra of stage-1 and stage-2 SbCl₅ GIC ionized by electron bombardment has isotopic clusters of SbCl_x with $x \le 4$. This gives direct evidence of SbCl₃ and SbCl₅ or SbCl₆⁻ in stage-1 SbCl₅ graphite. In addition, from the stage-1 compound there are ions Sb₂Cl₂⁺, Sb₂Cl₃⁺, Sb₂Cl₄⁺, and Sb₂Cl₅⁺. This indicates that there is Sb₂Cl₆ probably in the form of a SbCl₃-SbCl₃ dimer at temperatures above room temperature in the stage-1 GIC. SbCl₄ and SbCl₃ are present in stage-2 SbCl₅⁻ graphite. These results give direct confirmation of the constituents of these rather complicated intercalation compounds.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The mass analysis was carried out at the McMaster Regional Centre for Mass Spectrometry. We wish to

- *Present address: Department of Physics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2J1.
- ¹J. M. Friedt, R. Poinsot, and L. Soderholm, Solid State Commun. **49**, 223 (1984).
- ²P. Bloochand, W. J. Bresser, D. McDaniel, K. Sisson, V. Yeh, and P. C. Ekland, Solid State Commun. 40, 1049 (1981).
- ³H. Homma and R. Clarke, Phys. Rev. B 31, 5865 (1985).
- ⁴D. M. Hwang, R. Levi-Setti, G. Crow, Y. L. Wang, N. W. Parker, R. Mittlemen, Y. W. Qian, and S. A. Solin, Synth. Met. 12, 73 (9185).

- thank Dr. Richard W. Smith and Mr. F. A. Ramelan for the analysis. The HOPG graphite provided by Dr. A. W. Moore is gratefully acknowledged. The research was supported financially by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.
- ⁵H. Zaleski, P. K. Ummat, and W. R. Datars, Phys. Rev. B 35, 2958 (1987).
- ⁶H. Selig, W. A. Sunder, M. J. Vasile, F. A. Stevie, and P. K. Gallagher, J. Flour. Chem. **12**, 397 (1978).
- ⁷H. Selig, M. J. Vasile, F. A. Stevie, and W. A Sunder, J. Flour. Chem. **10**, 299 (1977).
- ⁸P. K. Ummat, H. Zaleski, and W. R. Datars, J. Mater. Res. 2, 317 (1987).
- ⁹H. Zaleski, P. K. Ummat, and W. R. Datars, J. Phys. C 17, 3167 (1984).