
Errata
Erratum: Light scattering in strongly scattering media: Multiple scattering and weak localization
[Phys. Rev. B 37, 3575 (1988)]

Martin B. van der Mark, Meint P. van Albada, and Ad Lagendijk

Several misprints occurred in our paper; corrections are noted below.
 Equation (10) should read

$$\Delta G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) + \frac{k_0^2 n^2(\mathbf{r}_1)}{n^2} G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \delta(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2).$$

In Eq. (17b), $H(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4)$ should read $H(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4)$.

On page 3578, between Eq. (21) and Eq. (22), "point scatterers" should read "isotropic point scatterers."

In Eqs. (23) and (24), f should read $|f|$.

Equation (37) should read

$$\langle I(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \equiv \langle \Psi(\mathbf{r}) \Psi^*(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle \Psi_{\text{inc}}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \langle \Psi_{\text{inc}}^*(\mathbf{r}) \rangle + \int \langle G(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_1) \rangle \langle G^*(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_3) \rangle \langle R(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2; \mathbf{r}_3, \mathbf{r}_4) \rangle \\ \times \langle \Psi_{\text{inc}}(\mathbf{r}_2) \rangle \langle \Psi_{\text{inc}}^*(\mathbf{r}_4) \rangle d\mathbf{r}_1 d\mathbf{r}_2 d\mathbf{r}_3 d\mathbf{r}_4.$$

In Eqs. (39b), (39c), and (40d) the minus sign should be replaced by a plus sign.

In Eq. (58b) the factor 2π should read $6\pi a^2 \kappa^3$.

In Eq. (58c) the power $-1/2$ in the exponent should read $+1/2$.

In Eq. (63b) the first plus sign should be a plus-minus sign.

Equation (65) should be renumbered (65a), and a new equation has to be inserted:

$$P_{\pm} = [Q_+ \exp(\pm cB) + Q_- \exp(-b/\mu_i)] / \sinh(cB). \quad (65b)$$

In Table II, the width $\Delta(\tau_d)$ is given in mrad.

None of these changes influences any of our results.

Erratum: Theory of charge-imbalance relaxation in anisotropic heavy-fermion superconductors
[Phys. Rev. B 37, 3790 (1988)]

Liam Coffey and Thomas R. Lemberger

The curves in Fig. 2 were mislabeled. The uppermost curve in Fig. 2 corresponds to $1/\tau\Delta(0) = 0.58$ and the lower curve to $1/\tau\Delta(0) = 0.02$.