# Knight shift and spin-lattice relaxation for <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P in amorphous Ni-Cu-P alloys

# I. Bakonyi<sup>\*</sup>

Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 49, Hungary

H. E. Schone

College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia 23185

L. K. Varga, K. Tompa, and A. Lovas

Central Research Institute for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest, P.O. Box 49, Hungary (Received 8 April 1985)

Measurements have been made of the Knight shift and the spin-lattice relaxation time for <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P in amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  as a function of copper concentration. A negative contribution to the <sup>63</sup>Cu Knight shift is observed which is ascribed to polarization of conduction-electron spins by a partially filled *d* band.

#### INTRODUCTION

It has been found in both crystalline and amorphous alloys based on nickel, palladium, and platinum, that alloying gives a sharp reduction in the density of d states at the Fermi surface  $N_d(E_F)$ . Measurements on glassy alloys of nickel and palladium by such techniques as photoemission, susceptibility, and NMR (Refs. 1-5) have shown a value for  $N_d(E_F)$  which is greatly reduced relative to the pure metal. Such results are often interpreted in terms of filling of the transition-metal d band (the rigid-band model). Likewise, in nickel alloys, the loss of ferromagnetism on alloying is often assumed to correspond to filling of the unfilled d subband.

Recent results by Pease *et al.*<sup>6</sup> on nickel-based glasses (Ni-P and Ni-Pt-P) challenge this model. By a study of the x-ray absorption near edge spectra (XANES), these authors find that the number of empty *d* states in the alloy is not reduced relative to the pure metal. XANES studies of crystalline Ni-Cu (Ref. 7) and Ni-Al (Ref. 8) alloys likewise indicate that there is no decrease in the number of *d* holes even though  $N_d(E_F)$  is reduced.

In the present work, we study the NMR Knight shift, K, and the spin-lattice relaxation time,  $T_1$ , for <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P in amorphous Ni-Cu-P alloys. Our results argue strongly for a model in which the nickel d band is not filled; instead the exchange splitting has decreased to zero on addition of phosphorous, so that the alloy is paramagnetic. There is still an appreciable  $N_d(E_F)$  which leads to an appreciable susceptibility and a negative contribution to the <sup>63</sup>Cu Knight shift.

In presenting the results, we point out that the behavior of the NMR parameters in this case mimic closely the behavior of the same quantities in crystalline Pd-Cu, a system which has been discussed recently by Ebert *et al.*<sup>9</sup>

#### **EXPERIMENTS**

The amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys used in this work were prepared by melt quenching with x = 0, 0.06,

0.18, 0.66, 0.72, and 0.77 (alloys with intermediate concentrations  $0.2 \le x \le 0.6$  could not be obtained in the amorphous state). The thin ribbons so obtained were assembled as a flat sandwich with insulation between layers. NMR measurements were made on a Bruker pulsed spectrometer at T = 77 and 300 K at a field of 2.0 T.

<sup>31</sup>P has spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  and is 100% abundant so that the line is quite strong. <sup>63</sup>Cu gives a strong resonance in copper metal; however, the combination of a large quadrupole moment and the noncubic environment of amorphous metals causes problems. First-order broadening is complete in these alloys and the second-order broadening is quite large. <sup>63</sup>Cu NMR studies have been reported for amorphous  $(Pd_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  and  $(Pd_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}Si_{20}$  alloys<sup>10</sup> as well as for Cu-Zr metallic glasses.<sup>10-12</sup> In all cases, the line is found to be characteristic of a wide range of quadrupole splittings and asymmetry factors. Eifert et al.<sup>11</sup> found that the Knight shift obtained by using the line center (peak) was independent of field (whereas the choice of the center of gravity led to a large-field dependence). We follow the same practice as these authors. A previous <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>65</sup>Cu NMR study of the amorphous  $(Ni_{0.27}Cu_{0.73})_{82}P_{18}$  alloy has been reported by some of the present authors.<sup>13</sup> A detailed study of the field and concentration dependence of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra of the  $(Ni_{0.27}Cu_{0.73})_{82}P_{18}$  and  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  metallic glasses was also described previously.<sup>14</sup>

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We have measured the Knight shifts and the spinlattice relaxation times for <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P in melt-quenched  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  amorphous alloys  $(0 \le x \le 0.77)$  at 300 and 77 K, for a field of 2 T. The results are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

The <sup>63</sup>Cu Knight shift is negative for the lowest copper concentration and increases to a value of 0.15% for x equal to 0.77. At the same time,  $1/T_1T$  decreases from 1.8 (K s)<sup>-1</sup> to 0.15 (K s)<sup>-1</sup>. Apparently, a negative contribution to the Knight shift is being lost, and there is a re-



FIG. 1. Experimental data for <sup>63</sup>Cu NMR Knight shift, K, and spin-lattice relaxation rate,  $1/(T_1T)$ , for amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys (crosses). For comparison, literature data of <sup>63</sup>Cu NMR on crystalline  $Pd_{1-x}Cu_x$  alloys are also shown: for K, experimental data from Ref. 17 (——); for  $1/(T_1T)$ , experimental data from Ref. 18 ( $\Box$ ) and Ref. 19 ( $\odot$ ), and theoretical values from Ref. 9 ( $\blacksquare$ ). The dashed line is intended as a guide for the eye.

lated contribution to relaxation which is disappearing. The same results are obtained at both temperatures.

The behavior of K and  $T_1$  for <sup>31</sup>P is more ambiguous. The Knight shift decreases with increasing copper content very much as it decreases with increasing phosphorus content.<sup>5</sup> On the other hand, while  $1/T_1T$  decreases initially, the change is small and there is a suggestion of an increase at about x equal to 0.7. We see no temperature dependence of either K or  $T_1T$ .

In discussing these results it is useful to compare them to those for <sup>63</sup>Cu in Pd-Cu alloys. Pure palladium exhibits a temperature-dependent susceptibility arising from a large *d*-band density of states which (because of strong energy dependence) is dependent on temperature. This *d* band is found to polarize the core *s* electrons and thus to give rise to a temperature-dependent negative Knight shift for Pd.<sup>15</sup> Exactly the same behavior of  $\chi$  and *K* is observed for <sup>195</sup>Pt in pure platinum.<sup>16</sup> The <sup>63</sup>Cu resonance at low copper concentrations in Pd-Cu alloys is found to be shifted negatively because the *d* electrons polarize the copper conduction electrons.<sup>17,18</sup>

Ebert *et al.*<sup>9</sup> have studied theoretically the  $^{63}$ Cu spinlattice relaxation in the Pd-Cu system; they find a modest



FIG 2. Experimental data for <sup>31</sup>P NMR Knight shift, K, and spin-lattice relaxation rate,  $1/(T_1T)$ , for amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys. Lines are drawn as a guide for the eye.

contribution of the palladium d electrons to  $T_1^{-1}$ .  $1/T_1T$  is found to decrease with the addition of copper due to the decrease of  $N_d(E_F)$ . It should be pointed out that the value of  $1/T_1T$  at very low copper concentration is far smaller than would be expected if there were a common copper-palladium d band with a corresponding copper core polarization (a rigid band).

As seen in Fig. 1, the K and  $T_1$  values for  ${}^{63}$ Cu in the amorphous alloys follow the same behavior as in Pd-Cu.<sup>9,17,18</sup> We interpret the results by assuming that the binary amorphous Ni-P alloy has an appreciable *d*-band density of states at the Fermi level which accounts for the susceptibility of this alloy and, perhaps, contributes to K and  $T_1^{-1}$  for  ${}^{31}$ P. At low copper concentration the  ${}^{63}$ Cu NMR exhibits a negative Knight shift contribution due to polarization of copper conduction s electrons by the d band. As copper content increases the negative contribution fades away along with the d-band density of states. The decrease in  $N_d(E_F)$  should be, and is, reflected also in a decrease of  $1/T_1T$ .

Aliaga Guerra<sup>10</sup> has studied the <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P NMR Knight shift and spin-lattice relaxation rates in amorphous  $(Pd_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  and  $(Pd_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}Si_{20}$  alloys, and his values are very close to the corresponding data for the  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloy series. The concentration range was quite limited  $(0.05 \le x \le 0.15)$ ; however, he established that the <sup>63</sup>Cu Knight shift was well below the pure copper-metal value, indicating the presence of a negative contribution to the <sup>63</sup>Cu Knight shift.

We should mention the related results for crystalline Ag-Pd and Ag-Pt alloys. Ebert *et al.*<sup>20</sup> have studied the NMR parameters for the Ag-Pt system (they succeeded in covering the entire composition range by employing rapidly quenched samples). Both the <sup>109</sup>Ag and <sup>195</sup>Pt resonances exhibit negative K values at the high platinum end of the composition range. The authors assume that the dominant contribution to K for <sup>195</sup>Pt comes from core polarization; this term is negative, and it decreases in magnitude along with  $N_d(E_F)$  as the Ag content increases. The negative Knight shift for <sup>109</sup>Ag is ascribed to conduction-electron polarization rather than to Ag *d*-band polarization, because it does not give rise to a large relaxation contribution.

Very much the same results are obtained for the  $^{109}$ Ag resonance in Ag-Pd<sup>9,21</sup> alloys. That is, a negative Knight shift is seen for  $^{109}$ Ag in the dilute silver regime, but again there is no large contribution, as from a silver *d* polarization, to  $1/T_1T$ . In both Ag-Pd and Ag-Pt,  $T_1^{-1}$  for  $^{109}$ Ag is dominated by the *s*-contact term. Hines *et al.*<sup>5</sup> have studied *K* and  $T_1$  for  $^{195}$ Pt in amorphous Ni-Pt-P alloys. They find for  $^{195}$ Pt a very small value for *K* in contrast to the large negative value found for pure platinum, implying a reduced value of  $N_d(E_F)$ . Following the procedure used by Clogston *et al.*<sup>16</sup> in the case of platinum, they plotted  $\chi$  versus *K* in order to isolate the *d*-band contribution (using the mole fraction of Pt and T as implicit variables). The *d*-band contribution is much smaller in Ni-Pt-P than in platinum because  $N_d(E_F)$  is much smaller; however, the basic mechanism, polarization of Pt core electrons by the Pt *d* band, must be the same.

Our understanding of the local electronic structure of P

atoms in these metallic glasses is much less satisfactory and, up to now, the situation has been rather controversial. We observe here a variation of  $K(^{31}$  P) with the increase of the copper content which is very similar to the decrease with increasing phosphorus content.<sup>5</sup> Hines et al.<sup>5</sup> argue that the <sup>31</sup>P NMR parameters are controlled solely by s-electron contributions. This would mean that changes of K and  $1/(T_1T)$  should reflect variation in the s character only. On the other hand, Aliaga Guerra et al.<sup>22</sup> concluded that non-s contributions dominate over the s terms.

On the basis of the variation of K and  $1/(T_1/T)$  in amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys with copper concentration for <sup>63</sup>Cu and <sup>31</sup>P, we suggest that there is a finite d contribution in the case of the <sup>31</sup>P resonance as well. We argue that the behavior of the <sup>31</sup>P NMR parameters is related to those for <sup>63</sup>Cu, and that the <sup>31</sup>P Knight shift contains both s and d contributions. As in the case of <sup>63</sup>Cu, the d contribution results from the polarization of the Ni d bands, and it is mediated to the P sites by the conduction s electrons. The observed change of  $K(^{31}P)$  with increasing copper content tells us that the d contribution is positive for <sup>31</sup>P (there may, of course, also be a variation of the s contribution with composition).

The reduction in the *d* contribution shows a similar form in the composition dependence of the Korringa ratio  $k = K^2T_1T/S$  [here *S* is the free-electron value of  $K^2T_1T$ , and has the values  $S({}^{31}P) = 1.603 \times 10^{-6}$  K s and  $S({}^{63}Cu) = 3.740 \times 10^{-6}$  K s]. Figure 3 shows that  $k({}^{31}P)$ continuously decreases with *x* and approaches unity for *x* approaching 1, i.e., the hyperfine interaction of  ${}^{31}P$  nuclei originates mainly from free noninteracting *s* electrons in conduction bands at high copper content, whereas the high value of  $k({}^{31}P)$  at low *x* indicates the presence of an appreciable *d* term in Ni<sub>80</sub>P<sub>20</sub>. We note that  $1/(T_1T)$  for  ${}^{31}P$  shows an increase at high copper content rather than a monotonic decrease; we speculate that this upturn for x > 0.5 reflects similar variations of the *s* and *d* contributions as discussed for  ${}^{63}Cu$  NMR in the crystalline Pd<sub>1-x</sub>Cu<sub>x</sub> alloys.<sup>9</sup>

The behavior of  $k({}^{63}Cu)$  at low copper concentrations is controlled by the variation of K through zero; the low value of K is the result of the cancellation of a negative d term and a positive s term. At high copper content,  $k({}^{63}Cu)$  increases rapidly and, as  $x \rightarrow 1$ , approaches a value very different from that for pure copper (k = 1.9), showing the effect of the phosphorus atoms.

It is finally noted that a recent low-temperature specific-heat study<sup>23</sup> of the same  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys as used here also tends to give evidence for the existence of a considerable  $N_d(E_F)$  in the paramagnetic  $Ni_{80}P_{20}$  amorphous alloy. A magnetic susceptibility study of the amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys is planned to further improve our understanding of the electronic structure of these metallic glasses.

FIG 3. Korringa ratio  $k = K^2 T_1 T / S$  for <sup>31</sup>P (left-hand scale, crosses) and <sup>63</sup>Cu (right-hand scale, triangles) NMR for amorphous  $(Ni_{1-x}Cu_x)_{80}P_{20}$  alloys. The lines are included as a guide for the eye.

### CONCLUSIONS

The composition dependence of K and  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  for <sup>63</sup>Cu in amorphous Ni-Cu-P gives evidence that d holes are present in the base amorphous Ni-P alloy, and that they fill as copper is added. The d band must also play a role in the behavior of K and  $(T_1T)^{-1}$  for <sup>31</sup>P in both the binary and ternary alloys as the phosphorus or copper content is changed.

According to the model of Beeby,<sup>24</sup> nickel alloyed with simple metals will tend to show a decrease in exchange splitting and loss of ferromagnetism without complete filling of the *d* band. This model has received support for Ni-Cu alloys in band-structure calculations<sup>25</sup> and XANES studies.<sup>7</sup> The results of recent theoretical work,<sup>26</sup> of XANES (Ref. 6) and specific-heat experiments,<sup>27</sup> and the results of the present study support such a model in the case of amorphous nickel alloys as well. Amorphous Ni-P and the related ternaries may provide a unique opportunity for the study of nearly ferromagnetic systems.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors are indebted to P. Banki for his expert technical assistance in the NMR measurements. One of the authors (H.E.S.) would like to express his appreciation to his hosts at the Central Research Institute for Physics (CRIP), Budapest, for their hospitality during his visit, and to The International Research and Exchanges Board for supporting the research visit. Illuminating discussions with H. Ebert about his work on Pd-Cu alloys are gratefully acknowledged.



- \*On leave at the Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität München, Sophienstrasse 11, D-8000 München 2, Federal Republic of Germany.
- <sup>1.</sup>S. R. Nagel, G. B. Fisher, J. Tauc, and B. G. Bagley, Phys. Rev. B 13, 3284 (1976).
- <sup>2</sup>B. G. Bagley and F. J. DiSalvo, in *Amorphous Magnetism*, edited by H. O. Hooper and A. M. de Graaf (Plenum, New York, 1973), p. 143
- <sup>3</sup>.B. Golding, B. G. Bagley, and F. S. L. Hsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 68 (1972).
- <sup>4</sup>J. D. Riley, L. Ley, J. Azoulay, and K. Terakura, Phys. Rev. B 20, 776 (1979).
- <sup>5</sup>W. A. Hines, K. Glover, W. G. Clark, L. T. Kabacoff, C. U. Modzelewski, R. Hasegawa, and P. Duwez, Phys. Rev. B 21, 3771 (1981).
- <sup>6</sup>D. M. Pease, G. H. Hayes, M. Choi, J. I. Budnick, W. A. Hines, R. Hasegawa, and S. M. Heald, J. Non-Cryst. Solids **62**, 1359 (1984).
- <sup>7</sup>B. Cordts, D. M. Pease, and L. V. Azároff, Phys. Rev. B 22, 4692 (1980).
- <sup>8</sup>D. M. Pease, L. V. Azároff, C. K. Vaccaro, and W. A. Hines, Phys. Rev. B 19, 1576 (1979).
- <sup>9</sup>H. Ebert, H. Winter, and J. Voitländer, J. Phys. F 14, 2433 (1984).
- <sup>10</sup>D. Aliaga Guerra, thèse d'Etat Université Louis Pasteur, Strasbourg, 1980.
- <sup>11</sup>H. J. Eifert, B. Elschner, and K. H. J. Buschow, Phys. Rev. B **25**, 7441 (1982).
- <sup>12</sup>J. Abart, W. Socher, and J. Voitländer, Z. Naturforsch. 37A, 1030 (1982).

- <sup>13</sup>K. Tompa, I. Bakonyi, P. Banki, and L. Takacs, in Proceedings of the Conference on Metallic Glasses: Science and Technology (Budapest, 1980), edited by C. Hargitai, I. Bakonyi, and T. Kemeny (Central Research Institute for Physics, Budapest, 1981), Vol. 1, p. 341.
- <sup>14</sup>I. Bakonyi, L. Takacs, and K. Tompa, Phys. Status Solidi B 103, 489 (1981).
- <sup>15</sup>J. A. Seitchik, A. C. Gossard, and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. 136, A1119 (1964).
- <sup>16</sup>A. M. Clogston, V. Jaccarino, and Y. Yafet, Phys. Rev. 134, A650 (1964).
- <sup>17</sup>S. Kobayashi, K. Asayama, and J. Itoh, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 18 1735 (1963).
- <sup>18</sup>A. Narath and H. T. Weaver, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 32, C1-992 (1971).
- <sup>19</sup>A. G. Anderson and A. G. Redfield, Phys. Rev. 116, 583 (1959).
- <sup>20</sup>H. Ebert, J. Abart, and J. Voitländer, J. Phys. F 14, 749 (1984).
- <sup>21</sup>A. Narath, J. Appl. Phys. **39**, 553 (1968).
- <sup>22</sup>D. Aliaga Guerra, P. Panissod, and J. Durand, Solid State Commun. 28, 745 (1978).
- <sup>23</sup>U. Mizutani and I. Bakonyi (unpublished).
- <sup>24</sup>J. E. Beeby, Phys. Rev. 141, 781 (1966).
- <sup>25</sup>J. Inoue and M. Shimizu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 40, 1321 (1976).
- <sup>26</sup>S. N. Khanna, A. K. Ibrahim, S. W. McKnight, and A. Bansil, Solid State Commun. 55, 223 (1985).
- <sup>27</sup>R. Kuentzler, I. Bakonyi, and A. Lovas, Solid State Commun. 55, 567 (1985).