PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 32, NUMBER 4

RAPID COMMUNICATIONS

15 AUGUST 1985

Rapid Communications

The Rapid Communications section is intended for the accelerated publication of important new results. Manuscripts submitted to this section are given priority in handling in the editorial office and in production. A Rapid Communication may be no longer than 3½ printed pages and must be accompanied by an abstract. Page proofs are sent to authors, but, because of the rapid publication schedule, publication is not delayed for receipt of corrections unless requested by the author.

Upper bound to the polaron ground state in a magnetic field using the Feynman path-integral method

David M. Larsen

Francis Bitter National Magnet Laboratory, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (Received 13 June 1985)

It is shown that for sufficiently strong magnetic fields the Feynman path-integral method can give a ground-state energy lying below the exact ground-state energy of the two-dimensional polaron.

In an important paper,¹ henceforth denoted I, Peeters and Devreese employed the Feynman path-integral method to devise an algorithm for practical numerical calculations of the ground state (and free energy) of a polaron in magnetic fields of arbitrary strength. By minimizing the ground-state energy with respect to parameters characterizing their trial action, they found, remarkably, discontinuities in the ground-state polaron wave function which occurred at sufficiently large values of the dimensionless magnetic field λ^2 and of the Fröhlich coupling constant α , both in two² and three dimensions.¹ Peeters and Devreese recognized that a potential logical difficulty arises in justifying their minimization procedure for a polaron in a magnetic field since they were unable to prove that their ground-state energy (GSE) is an upper bound to the exact GSE. If, in fact, some of the minima of energy found in I were to lie below the exact energy, then it would seem difficult to attach any particular physical significance to such minima.

In this Rapid Communication we show that for twodimensional polarons and for sufficiently strong magnetic fields, the GSE of I lies below the exact GSE and, in the weak-coupling limit, we give an upper bound to the magnetic field strength at which the GSE of I drops below the exact GSE.

The Hamiltonian H for a polaron moving in the x-y plane with a uniform magnetic field in the z direction, can be written

$$H_{0} = \left(p_{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}y\right)^{2} + \left(p_{\mathbf{y}} + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4}x\right)^{2} ,$$

$$H = H_{0} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger}b_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \nu_{\mathbf{k}} (e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}}b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\rho}}b_{\mathbf{k}}) ,$$
(1)

where $\nu_k = (4\pi \alpha / \Omega)^{1/2}/k$, Ω is the volume of the crystal in which the LO phonons are confined, b_k^{\dagger} is the creation operator for an LO phonon of wave vector **k**, $\lambda^2 = \omega_c / \omega_{LO}$, $\omega_c = eB/mc$ is the electron cyclotron frequency when $\alpha = 0$, ω_{LO} is the LO-phonon frequency, and ρ is the electron displacement in the plane, $\rho = (x, y)$. All lengths are in units of the polaron radius, $(\hbar/2m\omega_{LO})^{1/2}$ and energies are in units of $\hbar \omega_{LO}$. In general, the GSE of H, E_G , has the form $E_G = E_G(\alpha, \lambda^2)$, but, for the very high fields defined by

$$\lambda^2 \gg 1 \text{ and } \lambda^2 \gg \alpha$$
 , (2)

 E_G becomes a function of the single dimensionless parameter³ $\alpha \lambda$.

We begin by showing that the GSE as calculated in I never can be greater than the second-order perturbation-theory energy,⁴ E_{UBF} , given by

$$E_{\text{UBF}} = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{\pi\alpha}{2\lambda}\Gamma(1/\lambda^2)/\Gamma(1/\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2})$$
$$\xrightarrow{\lambda^2 \to \infty} \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}\alpha\lambda \quad . \tag{3}$$

We then present various cases in which the exact GSE is greater than E_{UBF} .

To demonstrate that E_{UBF} is an upper bound to the GSE of I we specialize the results of I to two dimensions by omitting all contributions to the energy from motion parallel to z, and then showing that E_{UBF} is the GSE, which results in I when the variational parameters v_{\perp} and w_{\perp} are set equal to zero. Our starting point is Eq. (60) of I, from which we omit terms proportional to s_0 , w_{\parallel} , and c_{\parallel} ; those terms arise from motion along z. The resulting equation is

$$E_{as} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u=1}^{3} s_{u} - w_{\perp} + \frac{v_{\perp}^{2} - w_{\perp}^{2}}{4v_{\perp}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \frac{\partial s_{j}}{\partial v_{\perp}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} du \ e^{-u} \sum_{k} v_{k} e^{-k_{z}^{2}D(u)} e^{-k_{\perp}^{2}D_{H}(u)} .$$
(4)

In writing Eq. (4) we have replaced the integral of Eq. (60) in I by the more general expression from which it originates. If we now omit the factor $e^{-k_z^2 D(w)}$ and take the limit as both v_{\perp} and w_{\perp} vanish, we obtain, using Eqs. (58), (33), (49b), and (36) of I,

$$E_{\rm as} \rightarrow \frac{\lambda^2}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}} \alpha \int_0^\infty \frac{du \ e^{-u}}{\left((1/2\lambda^2)(1 - e^{-\lambda^2 u})\right)^{1/2}} = E_{\rm UBF} \ .$$

©1985 The American Physical Society

2658

Next, consider the limit of the exact energy as $\lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha \lambda \rightarrow \infty$, and the inequalities of (2) hold. Then only the lowest-lying (n=0) Landau levels contribute to leading order in λ^2 , and the strong-coupling (SC) condition $\alpha \lambda \rightarrow \infty$ leads to a product wave function for the ground state of H. The n=0 eigenstates of H_0 have the form, omitting normalization,

$$\psi_M = (x - iy)^M e^{-\lambda^2 \rho^2/8} , \qquad (5)$$

where the z angular momentum quantum number M is 0 or a positive integer. For $M = 0 \psi_M$ is most strongly localized, and the trial function $\psi_0 \Phi_L(0)$, where L denotes the lattice, produces a lower GSE than that of any other trial function $\psi_M \Phi_L(M)$ by an energy of order $\alpha \lambda$. The required GSE is easily found by taking the expectation value

$$\int \psi_0 H \psi_0 \Big/ \int \psi_0^2 = \lambda^2 / 2 + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} b_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \nu_k e^{-k_{\perp}^2 / \lambda^2} (b_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + b_{\mathbf{k}}) ,$$

where $\mathbf{k}_{\perp} = (k_x, k_y, 0)$, and diagonalizing the lattice Hamiltonian in the usual way, leading to the GSE E_{SC} , given by

$$E_{\rm SC} - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2 = -\sum_{\bf k} \nu_k^2 e^{-2k_\perp^2/\lambda^2} = -\alpha \int_0^\infty dk \ e^{-2k_\perp^2/\lambda^2} = -\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{2}}\alpha\lambda \quad . \tag{6}$$

[One can show that the leading correction to $E_{\rm SC}$ is of order $(\alpha\lambda)^0$. A variational estimate of this term gives -1.06, although the exact result is probably closer to -1.2.]

Comparison of E_{SC} with $\lim_{\lambda^2 \to \infty} E_{UBF}$ from (3) indicates that E_{SC} lies above E_{UBF} .

In the opposite limit, $\lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$, $\alpha \lambda \rightarrow 0$, the electronphonon interaction can be treated as a perturbation using initial unperturbed states of the form

 $\psi_M|0\rangle$,

where $|0\rangle$ is the LO-phonon vacuum state. Carrying out the perturbation theory to fourth order gives, independent of M,

$$E_G \to E_{\text{UBF}} + \frac{(\alpha \lambda)^2}{2} [\pi/4 - K(0.5)/\sqrt{8}]$$

$$\approx E_{\text{UBF}} + 0.064\,941\,9(\alpha \lambda)^2 \quad , \tag{7}$$

where K(z) is the complete elliptic function of the first kind, defined by

$$K(z) = \int_0^{\pi/2} d\theta (1 - z \sin^2 \theta)^{-1/2} .$$

Variational calculations which interpolate between small and large $\alpha\lambda$ strongly indicate (but, admittedly, do not prove) that for all $\alpha\lambda$

$$\lim_{\lambda^2 \to \infty} E_G > E_{\rm UBF}$$

At what value of λ^2 does the exact GSE rise above E_{UBF} for given α ? We have examined this question in the weakcoupling limit ($\alpha \ll 1$, $\alpha\lambda \ll 1$). By a novel method to be described elsewhere, we have succeeded in evaluating nu-



FIG. 1. The fourth-order Rayleigh-Schrödinger perturbation correction to the unperturbed energy of a two-dimensional polaron vs the dimensionless magnetic field strength λ^2 . The fourth-order correction is plotted in units of $\alpha^2 \hbar \omega_{\rm LO}$. The horizontal dashed line represents $E_{\rm UBF}$ [Eq. (3)] or, equivalently, the second-order perturbation correction.

merically the fourth-order perturbation correction to the ground-state energy of the two-dimensional polaron for arbitrary magnetic field strength. The results are shown in Fig. 1, where the fourth-order correction goes positive and hence the exact energy exceeds E_{UBF} at $\lambda^2 \sim 1.9$. Our calculation confirms the recently reported⁵ correction of $-0.064\alpha^2$ at $\lambda^2=0$ and approaches $0.064\,941\,9(\alpha\lambda)^2$ as $\lambda^2 \rightarrow \infty$, in accord with Eq. (7). Since E_{UBF} exceeds the ground-state energy given by the algorithm of I, the actual value of λ^2 at which the GSE of I lies below the true energy is less than 1.9. We would expect that for stronger coupling the GSE of I crosses below the true energy at higher fields, but the methods employed here do not allow us to make reliable estimates for larger α values.

Details of the variational and perturbation calculations alluded to in this Rapid Communication will be discussed elsewhere.

⁴D. M. Larsen, Phys. Rev. B 30, 4807 (1984).

¹F. M. Peeters and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B 25, 7281 (1982).
²Wu Xiaoguang, F. M. Peeters, and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).

³D. M. Larsen, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 30, 514 (1985).

⁵Wu Xiaoguang, F. M. Peeters, and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B **31**, 3420 (1985).