## Nonuniqueness of  $H^{2/3}$  and  $H^2$  field-temperature transition lines in spin-glasse

## L. E. Wenger

Department of Physics, Wayne State University, Detroit, Michigan 48202

## J. A. Mydosh

Eamerlingh Onnes Laboratorium, Rjiksuniversiteit te Leiden, Postbus 9506, 2300-RA Leiden, The Netherlands (Received 23 December 1983)

Including the magnetic field dependence on the *superparamagnetic* relaxation time  $\tau$ , "transition" lines in the H-T plane are obtained for constant  $\tau$ . These lines follow the relation  $T_H - T_0 \propto H^{\nu}$  where  $\nu \sim \frac{2}{3}$  except for  $H \rightarrow 0$  which shows a crossover to  $\nu = 2$ . Thus a power law similar to that derived from meanfield models of spin-glasses is obtained, based strictly on a superpararnagnetic relaxation-time approach. This questions the conclusion that experimental observations of  $H$ -T lines are solely the result of a meanfield phase transition.

Much theoretical work has been devoted to the description of the transition from the paramagnetic to the frozen spin-glass state and to the nature of this frozen state. Originally, the frozen state was described either in terms of a broad spectrum of relaxation time<sup>1</sup> analogous to the Néel picture of superparamagnetism or within the concept of a phase transition based on the Edwards-Anderson (EA) model.<sup>2</sup> The former description has lost much of its appeal because the gradual freezing process predicts larger time (relaxation) effects than experimentally observed, and as a phenomenological model it is not an especially challenging theoretical approach. The latter model, however, resulted in a new type of transition which provided considerable theoretical stimuli. This model has been extended to infinite range by Sherrington and Kirkpatrick  $(SK)^3$  and has required several years of extensive calculations to obtain viable solutions.

de Almeida and Thouless<sup>4</sup> showed that in the presence of a magnetic field, the SK model for classical Ising spins exhibited an instability for purely random interactions which has been subsequently interpreted as a phase transition. Toulouse and Gabay<sup>5</sup> have extended this calculation for an m-component spin system, resulting in the so-called  $AT$  line of the following form:

$$
1 - T_{AT}(H)/T_{AT}(0) = [(m+1)(m+2)/8]^{1/3}h^{2/3} \t\t(1)
$$

where  $h = g\mu_B SH/k_B T_{AT}(0)$ ,  $T_{AT}$  is the transition temperature, and m is the spin dimensionality  $(m = 1$  for the Ising case and  $m = 3$  for the Heisenberg case). In the course of their calculation, Toulouse and Gabay found another transition line corresponding to the onset of a freezing in the transverse degrees of freedom of the spins. The equation of this transition line (the  $GT$  line) is given by

$$
1 - \frac{T_{GT}(H)}{T_{GT}(0)} = \frac{h^2}{4} \frac{m^2 + 4m + 2}{(m+2)^2} \tag{2}
$$

where h and m have identical meanings as for Eq.  $(1)$ . Obviously, in zero field  $T_{GT}(0) = T_{AT}(0)$  and the notion of transverse freezing for an Ising system is to be excluded. Consequently, the prediction of these two transition lines in the  $H$ -T plane has created a widespread experimental search for such behavior, even though the precise experimental definitions of  $T_{GT}$  and  $T_{AT}$  are uncertain.<sup>6</sup>

In several recent experimental papers, evidence has been presented supporting the existence of either the  $AT$  line  $(h^{2/3}$  dependence) or the GT line ( $h^2$  dependence); however, to date, no single experiment has been able to observe both field dependences. The first evidence for the  $h^{2/3}$ behavior was from dc magnetization results,  $7-10$  but the experimental value of the prefactor in Eq. (1) was approximately seven times larger than the theoretical prediction. A similar field dependence was observed in an insulating similar field dependence was observed in an insulation<br>spin-glass  $Eu_{0.4}Sr_{0.6}S$ ,<sup>11,12</sup> but with a strong dependence upon the time scale  $t_m$  of the measurement. Again the observed prefactor was 5-9 times larger. More recently, the onset of strong irreversibilities in torque measurements<sup>13</sup> on  $CuMn$  and  $AuFe$  have also shown a field-temperature dependence which follows the AT behavior of  $h^{2/3}$ . Previously, an  $h^2$  behavior has been suggested from the magnetic field dependences of the heat capacity in  $CuMn$ ,<sup>14</sup> with the coefficient of  $h^2$  being 2.5 smaller than the theoretical value. The apparent success of observing these field dependences has given much support to the validity of the SK model in explaining the spin-glass properties and the reality of a phase transition.

This may be surprising since several calculations<sup>15</sup> on spin-glass models with short-range interactions predict a lower critical dimension of 4, thus no phase transition in the real world of three dimensions. To provide a possible explanation for this apparent success, Kinzel and Binder<sup>16</sup> have shown by Monte Carlo simulations of an Ising-spin nearest-neighbor EA model that several experimental features could be determined without the existence of a phase transition. In particular, irreversible behavior on the time scale t sets in for a magnetic field following an  $AT$  line, although no static freezing temperature existed. Simultaneously, Young<sup>17</sup> investigated the temperature and field dependence of the spatial correlations and relaxation times by a similar Monte Carlo simulation of a two-dimensional EA model. His results also showed the following. (i) There is no phase transition in zero field but an average relaxation time  $\tau$  and correlation range  $\xi$  that increase smoothly with temperature. (ii) In a field the energy barrier height  $(E-T \ln \tau)$  and the correlation length tend to finite values as  $T \rightarrow 0$ . (iii) Lines of constant  $\tau$  in the H-T plane seemto vary by a similar power law as the  $AT$  line of Eq. (1). Thus the concept of relaxation times reappears in both simulations without the necessity of a phase transition.

In light of these computer simulations, we felt it would be instructive to return to the original superparamagnetic relaxation-time approach of independent thermal activation over energy barriers in order to establish whether "transition" lines similar to those of Eqs. (1) and (2) exist. The effect of a magnetic field on the superparamagnetic relaxation time  $\tau$  was calculated by Brown<sup>18</sup> in 1963 for a highenergy-barrier approximation as

$$
\tau^{-1} = C\alpha^{3/2} (1 - h^2) \{ (1 + h) \exp[-\alpha (1 + h)^2] + (1 - h) \exp[-\alpha (1 - h)^2] \}, \quad (3)
$$

where C is a numerical constant,  $\alpha = E/k_B T$ ,  $h = \mu H/2E$ , E is the energy barrier in zero field, and  $\mu$  is the superparamagnetic moment. Expanding Eq. (3) to order  $H^2$  and replacing the preexponential factor with a constant for simplicity, one obtains

$$
\tau = \tau_0 \exp(E/k_B T) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\mu H/k_B T)^2 + \cdots \right], \quad \mu H << E
$$
 (4)

For a constant  $\tau$  one can determine an  $H$ -T relationship

$$
\exp(E/T_0 - E/T_H) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\mu H / k_B T_H)^2,
$$

where  $T_0$  and  $T_H$  are characteristic "freezing" temperatures in zero-field and an applied field, respectively, for an observation time  $t_m \sim \tau$ . Since  $T_H \sim T_0$ , an expansion of the exponential results in

$$
1 - T_H / T_0 = \frac{\mu^2}{2E k_B T_0} H^2
$$

The preceding result was determined in the limit  $\mu H << E$  and we further wish to find the H-T relationship for constant  $\tau$  for higher fields.<sup>19</sup> Since a simple analytical expression was not derivable, a numerical calculation of Eq. (3) was performed. The results for a constant  $\tau$  are plotted as  $H^{2/3}$  vs T for Fig. 1, where E is a constant (=10 K) for all temperatures. Note the reasonable agreement with an  $H^{2/3}$  behavior over a wide range of magnetic fields and the crossover to an  $H^2$  behavior as  $H \rightarrow 0$ . If a temperature-



FIG. 1. Points in the  $H - T$  plane for constant  $\ln \tau$  and a given magnetic field according to Eq.  $(3)$  with a constant energy barrier E. The dashed lines show the lower-field extension of a straight-line fit to the  $H^{2/3}$  behavior.



FIG. 2. Points in the  $H$ -T plane for constant  $\ln \tau$  according to Eq. (3) with an energy barrier  $E/k_B = -2 + 9.5/T$  (see Ref. 17). The dashed lines show the extension of a straight-line fit to the  $H^{2/3}$ behavior.

dependent E is included such as of the form  $a + b/T$  sug-<br>gested by Young's simulations,<sup>17</sup> the results (see Fig. 2) still gested by Young's simulations,  $17$  the results (see Fig. 2) still show an  $H^{2/3}$  dependence until the lower fields where again an  $H^2$  dependence predominates. In fact, these latter results appear to be in very good qualitative agreement with Fig. 4 of Young's work.<sup>17</sup> From the slope of the  $H^{2/3}$  lines, a coefficient of the  $H^{2/3}$  term can be deduced and compared with that of the AT line. For  $2 < \ln \tau < 10$  in Fig. 1, a ratio of 0.31 to 0.14  $(\mu/g\mu_B)^{2/3}$  is determined. For Eu<sub>0.4</sub>Sr<sub>0.6</sub>S with strong ferromagnetic clustering,<sup>20</sup> the superparamagnetic moment  $\mu \sim g \mu_B S N$  so that  $\sim 20-40$  Eu<sup>3+</sup> spins would be correlated in a cluster. This value seems quite reasonable for the above Eu concentration.

Since most experimental observations show an  $H^{2/3}$  transition line, real spin-glasses tend to have a strong Ising-like character. The same  $H^{2/3}$  behavior observed in the experiments can be deduced (i) from an Ising (as well as Heisenberg) mean-field approach with a thermodynamic phase transition, (ii) from computer simulations with Ising spins which use the same EA interactions but allow for the dynamics or relaxation of the system (no phase transition), and *now* (iii) from a completely dynamical calculation based on the relaxation of superparamagnetic particles with uniaxial anisotropy which are also Ising-like. In addition, a number of dynamical experiments<sup>11, 12</sup> exhibit a low-field crossover exactly as that found from our superparamagnetic model calculation.

Thus we have demonstrated that the  $H$ -T dependence determined from the mean-field model of spin-glasses are by no means unique. Similar power laws can be determined from the magnetic-field-dependent superparamagnetic relaxation time for a constant  $\tau$  which can even result in better quantitative agreement with the experimental values, although some arbitrariness is realized in the determination of E and  $\tau$ . Obviously, a simple relaxation picture without interactions does not quantitatively nor qualitatively describe all of the spin-glass freezing properties, nor do we suggest it should. However, relaxation effects and their field dependences, as well as corresponding observation times, are important experimental properties of spin-glasses. Indeed these relaxation effects may be the physical basis for the existence of AT- and GT-type transition lines. Furthermore,

careful examination of the experimental data and of possible alternative models is necessitated before asserting that a measurement is more supportive of the mean-field model and a phase transition.

In conclusion, we have shown that an  $h^{2/3}$  crossing over to an  $h^2$  field-temperature line can be derived from a purely dynamical (superparamagnetic) treatment without any phase transition. Hence observing such behavior in spin-glasses cannot be used as proof for the validity of the mean-field theory and its corresponding spin-glass phase transition.

- 'J. L. Tholence and R. Tournier, J. Phys. (Paris) Colloq. 35, C4- 229 (1974).
- 2S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, J. Phys. F 5, 965 (1975).
- <sup>3</sup>D. Sherrington and S. Kirkpatrick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1792 (1975).
- 4J. R. L. de Almeida and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. A 11, 983 (1978).
- ${}^5G$ . Toulouse and M. Gabay, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett.  $42$ , L103 (1981); M. Gabay and G. Toulouse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 201 (1981); G. Toulouse, M. Gabay, T. C. Lubensky, and J. Vannimenus, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett. 43, L109 (1982).
- <sup>6</sup>D. Cragg, D. Sherrington, and M. Gabay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 158 (1982); M. Gabay, T. Garel, and C. de Dominicis, J. Phys. C 15, 7165 (1982). These papers suggest that the GT line represents a true phase transition where some form of transverse irreversibility sets in while the  $AT$  line is a crossover from weak to strong irreversibility.
- 7P. Monod and H. Bouchiat, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett. 43, L45 (1982).
- 8R. V. Chamberlin, M. Hardiman, L. A. Turkevich, and R. Orbach, Phys. Rev. B 25, 6720 (1982).
- Y. Yeshurun and H. Sompolinsky, Phys. Rev. B 26, 1487 (1982).
- <sup>10</sup>M. B. Salamon and J. L. Tholence, J. Appl. Phys. 53, 7684 (1982).

We wish to acknowledge the numerous discussions with several participants of the Heidelberg Colloquium on Spin-Glasses<sup>21</sup> and Dr. J. Souletie who remarked about the existence of the  $H^2$  dependence for a superparamagnet. One of us (L.E.W.) wishes to acknowledge the support of the Netherlands —America Fulbright Commission during his sabbatical year in Leiden. This research was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-79- 21298) and the Nederlandse Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM).

- <sup>11</sup>N. Bontemps, J. Rajchenbach, and R. Orbach, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett. 44, L47 (1983).
- 12J. A. Hamida, C. Paulsen, S. J. Williamson, and H. Maletta (unpublished).
- <sup>13</sup>I. A. Campbell, D. Arvantis, and A. Fert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 57 (1983).
- <sup>14</sup>W. E. Fogle, J. D. Boyer, R. A. Fisher, and N. E. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1815 (1983).
- <sup>15</sup>I. Morgenstern and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. B 22, 288 (1980); R. Fisch and A. B. Harris, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38, 785 (1977); A. J. Bray and M. A. Moore, J. Phys. C 12, 79 (1979).
- <sup>16</sup>W. Kinzel and K. Binder, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1509 (1983).
- $17A.$  P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 917 (1983).
- 18W. F. Brown, Jr., Phys. Rev. 130, 1677 (1963). The highenergy-barrier approximation is  $E(1 - \mu H/2E)^2 >> k_B T$ .
- <sup>19</sup>The high-energy-barrier approximation is valid to the limits:  $E/k_B T \ge 2$  and  $\mu H/2E \le 0.4$ . See A. Aharoni, Phys. Rev. 177, 793 (1969).
- 20J. F. Dillon, Jr., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 31-34, 1 (1983).
- $21$  Proceedings of the Heidelberg Colloqium on Spin-Glasses, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 192, edited by J. L. van Hemmen and I. Morgenstern (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1983).