# Electronic and vibronic states of the acceptor-bound-exciton complex $(A^0, X)$ in CdS

R. Baumert,\* I. Broser, J. Gutowski, and A. Hoffmann Institut für Festkörperphysik der Technischen Universität Berlin, 112 Strasse des 17 Juni, D-1000 Berlin 12, Germany (Received 29 November 1982)

High-density excitation spectroscopy of the acceptor-bound-exciton emission  $I_1$  in CdS results in series of new resonance states, situated on the high-energy side of the  $I_1$ . For polarized laser light  $\vec{E} \perp c$  the series  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  appears, and is superimposed by another series of resonance maxima  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  at highest excitation intensities. For  $\vec{E} \mid |c|$ , excitation of the  $I_1$ via a couple of doublets in the spectral region of the known absorption lines  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$  is observed for the first time. They are interpreted as electronic ground-state levels of the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex with one of its holes originating from the *B* valence band. The splitting off of the ground state by electron-hole and hole-hole exchange interactions is discussed in a simple fine-structure model of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex. The series  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  is thus attributed to ground- and excited-electronic-state levels of  $(A^0, X_A)$ . Molecular analogous rotation or vibration modes of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex are discussed as origins of the series  $V_1$  to  $V_7$ .

# I. INTRODUCTION

In CdS emission lines due to the radiative recombination of excitons bound to impurities or defects are known as  $I_1$  lines if the excitons are bound to neutral acceptors  $[(A^0, X) \text{ complex}], I_2$  lines if the excitons are bound to neutral donors  $(\tilde{D}^0, X)$ , and  $I_3$ lines for excitons bound at ionized donors  $(D^+, X)$ .<sup>1-3</sup> Recently a number of investigations had been performed to clarify the formation mechanisms of bound-exciton complexes in semiconductors.<sup>4-7</sup> Beside the capture of free excitons by impurities or defects the direct formation of boundexciton complexes in their electronic ground or excited states is also of interest.<sup>5,6</sup> In CdS excitation spectroscopy using high-pressure lamps<sup>5</sup> and tunable dye lasers<sup>6</sup> as light sources improved the possibilities to identify excited states of the  $(D^0, X)$  complex. Its ground-state recombination corresponds to the emission line  $I_2$ . In contrast to the case of the  $(D^0, X)$ complex no excited states of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex in CdS had been reported for a long time. After detecting a number of new emission lines called  $I_1^1$ to  $I_1^{\circ}$  lying on the high-energy side of the  $I_1$  in some selected crystals, we reported corresponding Raman resonances in a preceding paper.<sup>8</sup> Performing excitation spectroscopy of the  $(A^0, X)$  ground state (emission line  $I_1$ ) we recently found resonances<sup>9</sup> which correspond in energy to the  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  emission lines. In this paper we report additional excita-tion resonances  $I_1^3$  to  $I_1^5$ , together with further new resonances  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  which are only excited at highest excitation intensities. Their temperature and intensity dependences differ substantially from that of  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$ . All these resonances occur only by irradiation with light of polarization  $\vec{E} \perp c$ . On the other hand, when irradiating with laser light of polarization  $\vec{E} \mid \mid c$  new doublet structures appear in the excitation spectra of the  $I_1$  at the energy positions of the known absorption lines  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$ .<sup>1</sup> The experimental results are described in Sec. III. In Sec. IV we interpret our excitation resonances as electronic and vibronic excited states of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex.

# **II. EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES**

The samples used for the measurements were high-quality platelets of undoped CdS with a thickness of 30 to 450  $\mu$ m. For the excitation spectra we employed a tunable dye laser (model FL-1000, Lambda Physik) pumped by a N<sub>2</sub> laser (Model 100-AS, Lambda Physik). A peak power of about 20 kW within 2.5 ns pulse duration and a spectral halfwidth of about 0.15 meV of the laser emission line were reached. By exciting the samples with polarization  $\dot{\mathbf{E}}||c|$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{E}}|c|$ , respectively, the luminescence was observed in forward and backward direction. The optical spectra were recorded with a double-grating spectrometer (Spex 0.75 m) followed by a photomultiplier or an optical multichannel analyzer (OSA 500, B&M), alternatively. The OSA system is set up for emission-spectra measurements at fixed excitation wavelength of the laser. We used the OSA system to observe the crystal's luminescence within a spectral region of 5 nm. It enabled us to identify and exclude misleading indirect excitation of the  $I_1$ . For example the radiative recombination of possibly excited biexcitons in CdS results in

27

6263

© 1983 The American Physical Society

photons of an energy identical to an excitation maximum of the  $I_1$  transition which yield an indirect enhancement of this transition. The excitation spectra given in this paper were recorded by a photomultiplier as detector while tuning the dye-laser's wavelength.

#### **III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

In a first series of measurements with laser light of polarization  $E_{1c}$  the excitation spectra of the  $I_1$ emission line were detected in forward and backward configuration. The  $\vec{E}$  vector of the  $I_1$  emission line was completely polarized perpendicular to the c axis in agreement with the given optical transition probability in CdS.<sup>1</sup> Figure 1 shows excitation spectra in the backward arrangement for three typical excitation intensities. The resonance  $I_1 + TA$  dominates only at low intensities [spectrum 1(a)]. This simultaneous creation of an  $(A^0, X)$  complex and a TA phonon had been analyzed in our previous paper.<sup>9</sup> The very weak resonances  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  of spectrum 1(a) increase rapidly with growing excitation intensities as indicated in spectrum 1(b). In our preceding measurements<sup>9</sup> at lower excitation intensities only  $I_1^1$ and  $I_1^2$  could be detected. In spectrum 1(b) new resonance maxima  $V_1$  to  $V_6$  appear, which are partially or totally superimposed to  $I_1 + TA$  and the  $I'_1$ states. Further increase of the excitation intensity yields spectrum 1(c) which is dominated by the resonances  $V_i$ . Table I lists the energies of  $I_1^i$  resonance states, Table III those of the  $V_i$  states. In contrast to  $I_1$  + TA, the intensity of the resonance lines  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  increase superlinearly in excitation.  $V_1$  to  $V_6$ show even a faster increase and are saturated at



FIG. 1. Excitation spectra of the  $I_1$  emission line by exciting with light of polarization  $\vec{E} \perp \vec{c}$  and of intensities (a) 30 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>, (b) 55 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>, and (c) 75 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>. The He bath temperature is 1.3 K.

much higher intensities compared to  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$ . The excitability of the  $I_1$  via the resonances  $V_1$  to  $V_6$  disappears at temperatures higher than 12 K, see Fig. 4. This is equal to the behavior of the  $I_1$  exciting via the resonance  $I_1 + TA$ .<sup>9</sup> Therefore, at higher temperatures it is possible to observe the pure excitation via  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  without superposition by the other resonances. A set of spectra for different temperatures is shown in Fig. 2. At 30 K these excitation processes disappear, too. This is to be expected because the  $I_1$  emission itself does not remain at temperatures higher than 30 K as a result of the ion-ization of the neutral impurity.<sup>10</sup>

Some crystals show a particularly strong excitabil-

TABLE I.  $I_1$  electronic excitation resonances using  $\vec{E} \perp \vec{c}$  polarized incident laser light in comparison to the corresponding emission lines and LO Raman resonances reported in Ref. 8. The second column lists the absolute energy values of the excitation resonances, the further columns show the relative distances  $\Delta E$  of the excitation resonances, emission lines, and LO Raman resonances, to the  $I_1$  or  $I_1$ -LO emission lines, respectively.

Line	E (eV) $L_1$ excitation	$\Delta E$ (meV) L excitation	$\Delta E$ (meV) Emission <sup>a</sup>	$\Delta E \text{ (meV)}$ LO/2LO scattering <sup>a</sup>	
	2.5356			20,220 seatoring	
$\dot{I_1} + TA$	2.5367	1.1			
$I_1^1$	2.5381	2.5	2.5	2.6	
$I_1^2$	2.5393	3.7	3.8	3.5	
$I_{1}^{3}$	2.5402	4.6	4.7	4.7	
$I_1^4$	2.5412	5.6	6.1	6.5	
$I_{1}^{5}$	2.5422	6.6	7.0	7.2	
$I_{1}^{6}$			8.3		

<sup>a</sup>Reference 8.



FIG. 2. Excitation spectra of the  $I_1$ ,  $\vec{E} \perp \vec{c}$ , at different temperatures between 12.3 and 29.5 K. The resonances  $I_1 + TA$  and  $V_i$  noticeable at 1.3 K in Fig. 1 are not observable at temperatures above 12 K.

ity via the resonances  $V_i$  probably submerging the resonances  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$ . Figure 3 shows the excitation spectra of such a crystal at different excitation intensities. These structures only occur at higher excitation intensities, in contrast to the resonance  $I_1 + TA$ . No further resonance lines are observable higher in energy than the resonance labeled  $V_7$ . Fig-



FIG. 3. Excitation spectra of the  $I_1$ ,  $\vec{E} \perp \vec{c}$ , of a sample that shows strong vibronic resonances  $V_i$  at 1.3 K. The different excitation intensities amount to (a) 105 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>, (b) 325 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>, and (c) 390 kW cm<sup>-2</sup>.



FIG. 4. Temperature dependent  $I_1$  intensity exciting via the vibronic state  $V_1$  (upper part), and via the electronic bound-exciton states  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^4$  (lower part), given representatively for the states  $V_i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,7$ , and  $I_1^i$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,5$ , respectively.

ure 4 shows the temperature dependences of  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  in comparison to the resonances  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  measured at other samples.

With a polarization  $\dot{\mathbf{E}}||c$  of the incident laser light we detected a line quartet of resonances in the region of the known absorption lines  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$  of the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex.<sup>1</sup> Our excitation spectrum is shown in Fig. 5. In addition, the known resonance states of the free  $1S\Gamma_6(A_F)$  triplet exciton and the  $\Gamma_{5L}(A_L)$  longitudinal exciton<sup>9</sup> occur. The quartet



FIG. 5.  $I_1$  excitation spectrum exciting with light polarized  $\vec{\mathbf{E}}$ || $\vec{\mathbf{c}}$  at 1.3 K.

consists of two very sharp lines called  $I_{1B}^1$  and  $I_{1B}^2$ (0.2-meV half-width) at distances 12.3 and 12.9 meV from the  $I_1$ , and of two broader resonance maxima called  $I_{1B'}^1$  and  $I_{1B'}^2$  (0.5-meV half-width) at 13.8 and 14.4 meV on the high-energy side of the  $I_1$ , i.e., two doublet structures, one pair of sharp lines and the other a pair of broad lines. The energies are listed in Table II. The energy spacing in each of the doublets is 0.6 meV indicating the similar nature of the two pairs. Malm and Haering<sup>5</sup> reported steady-state excitation of the  $I_1$  via  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$ , but could not observe the doublet structure in their low-intensity excitation measurements. However, we point out that our crystals show the two intermediate resonances  $I_{1B}^2$  and  $I_{1B'}^1$  with various strengths and resolutions. Spectrum Fig. 5 shows a well-resolved line quartet, but there exist also crystals yielding spectra in which some of these lines occur very weak. In E||c, no excitation maximum is to be seen in the spectral region between  $I_1$  and  $I_2$ , not even at the highest laser intensities, see Fig. 5.

#### **IV. DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows three selected spectra which illustrate the conditions for the appearance of the different excitation processes. Firstly, one has to separate the resonance  $I_1 + TA$ , observable already at low excitation intensities, from the resonances  $I_1^1$ to  $I_1^5$  which become stronger at higher intensities. Secondly, the resonances  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  become increasingly dominant in the spectrum when the excitation intensity further increases. The intensity and temperature dependence is different regarding the excitation maxima  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  on the one hand,  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  on the other hand, and  $I_1 + TA$ . This demands the discussion of diverse processes being responsible for their appearance.

### A. Electronic states

The similarity of line shape and narrowness of the  $I_1^{\prime}$  resonance transitions to the  $I_1$  line itself encourages us to discuss excited electronic states of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex as adjoined levels. In the following we develop a theoretical explanation of these states making use of recent theoretical and experimental results.<sup>7,11-13</sup> The theoretical treatments include the hole-hole and electron-hole exchange interaction in the calculation of the energy values for the electronic ground state of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex in a crystal field. These interactions split off the electronic ground state, and the coupling rules of the electron's spins and angular momenta are considered to be valid by analogy to the diatomic molecule. The application of the theoretical considerations in the  $(A^0, X)$  complex in CdS with the point symmetry group  $C_{6v}^+$  leads to the following results: We consider that in the electronic ground state of the  $(A^0, X)$ all complex particles remain in their lowest atomic

TABLE II.  $I_1$  excitation resonances using  $\vec{E} || \vec{c}$  polarized incident laser light in comparison to emission and absorption lines given in Refs. 1 and 4. The left part of the table shows the  $I_1$  excitation resonances with their absolute values E and their relative distances  $\Delta E$  to the  $I_1$  line. The right part of the table gives the corresponding emission or absorption lines with polarization and relative distances  $\Delta E$  to the  $I_1$  line.

Excitation resonance	$E$ (eV) $\Delta E$ (meV)		Emission and/or absorption line	E (eV) [ $\lambda$ (Å)]	Polarization	$\Delta E \text{ (meV)}$
I <sub>2</sub>	2.5455	9.9	I <sub>2</sub>	2.5467 <sup>a</sup> (486.715 nm)	Ĕıč	11.1
$I_{1B}^1$	2.5479	12.3	I <sub>3</sub>	2.5479 <sup>b</sup> (486.61 nm)		
$I_{1B}^2$	2.5485	12.9	$I_{1B}$	2.5485 <sup>a</sup> (486.37 nm)	Ĕ   <b>č</b>	12.9
			$I_{1B}$	2.5488 <sup>a</sup> (486.32 nm)	Ĕıč	13.2
$I_{1B'}^1$	2.5494	13.8	$I_3$	2.5495 <sup>a</sup> (486.17 nm)	Ĕıđ	13.9
$I_{1B'}^2$	2.5500	14.4	<i>I</i> <sub>1<i>B'</i></sub>	2.5500 <sup>a</sup> (486.08 nm)	ĔIJĊ	14.4
$A_F$	2.5522	16.6				
$A_L$	2.5542	18.6				

<sup>a</sup>Reference 1.

<sup>b</sup>Reference 4.

energy levels. In  $C_{6v}^+$ , the z components  $M_J^z = \pm 3, \pm 2, \pm 1, 0$  of the momentum values J=3,2,1,0 of the coupled holes (each with  $j_h=\frac{3}{2}$ ) are to be replaced by the crystal-symmetry quantum numbers  $\mu$  which are related to the sixfold crystallographic c axis (p=6).  $M_J^z$  and  $\mu$  are related by  $M_J^z = \mu + zp, z = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$  The corresponding product representation of the two holes with momentum  $\frac{3}{2}$  and the two possible components  $\frac{1}{2}$ and  $\frac{3}{2}$  in the direction of the *c* axis is  $(\Gamma_7 + \Gamma_9) \times (\Gamma_7 + \Gamma_9)$ . The product includes three possible complexes: (1)  $(A^0, X_A)$  has both holes from the upper A valence band with symmetry  $\Gamma_9$  and known transition line  $I_1$ ; (2) In  $(A^0, X_B)$  one hole stems from the A, the other from the second Bvalence band  $(\Gamma_7)$ , corresponding to the known transition lines  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$ ; (3) Lines dues to  $(A_B^0, X_B)$ with two holes of the B valence band have never been observed. The possible momenta combinations in these complexes show that the  $(A^0, X_A)$  can have a resulting z component of hole spins  $\mu = 3$  and 0, the  $(A^0, X_B) \mu = \pm 1$  and  $\pm 2$ , and  $(A^0_B, X_B) \mu = 0$  and  $\pm 1$ . Although the hole momentum combination  $\mu = 3$ (spin parallelism) in the  $(A^0, X_A)$  complex is Pauli forbidden assuming two like holes, the validity of the Pauli prohibition is questionable in the  $(A^0, X_A)$ case because it requires the total equality of the wave functions of the two like particles, while in the  $(A^0, X_A)$  one hole belongs to the acceptor and the other to the exciton. Therefore we treat the complex including the  $\mu = 3$  combination. The product of the coupled hole momentum representations in  $C_{6v}^+$  and the electron representation  $\overline{\Gamma}_7$  attached to its spin  $\frac{1}{2}$ gives

$$(\Gamma_7 + \Gamma_9) \times (\Gamma_7 + \Gamma_9) \times \Gamma_7 = 7\Gamma_7 + 4\Gamma_8 + 5\Gamma_9$$
(1)

This set of states represents a comprehensive term scheme for the  $(A^0, X)$  complex which encompasses the term scheme of this complex developed by Thomas and Hopfield.<sup>1</sup> The attachment of these levels, involving the values of angular momenta, to the three possible  $(A^0, X)$  complexes requires the additional consideration of the representation products of the electrons and holes of the specific complex structures. The product representation corresponding to the  $(A^0, X_A)$  complex with two A holes is

$$(\Gamma_9 \times \Gamma_9) \times \Gamma_7 = 2\Gamma_7 + 2\Gamma_8$$
, (2)

while the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex is represented by

$$(\Gamma_9 \times \Gamma_7) \times \Gamma_7 = \Gamma_7 + \Gamma_8 + 2\Gamma_9 . \tag{3}$$

Including the representation  $\Gamma_9$  of the  $A^0$  we obtain the term schemes Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) for the  $(A^0, X_A)$ 

and  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex, respectively. The term schemes give also the intermediate results obtained by combining only the two holes. The energy sequence and separation of the terms are not available by group theoretical treatment. Together with the dipole selection rules and the Hund's rules, known from molecular physics, the observed polarization of the resonance maxima lead to a plausible term sequence. In the  $(A^0, X_A)$  term scheme [Fig. 6(a)] the sequence of the two  $\Gamma_7$  levels which originate from the  $\mu = 0$  component of the hole momenta sum, and the two  $\Gamma_8$  levels due to  $\mu = 3$ , is opposite to the case of molecules. This seems to be a consequence of central cell corrections in the crystal.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, the two  $\Gamma_7$  states only differ in the orientation of the identical total-momentum component  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}$ .

The dipole selection rules in CdS (Ref. 1) show that transitions from the crystal ground state into the  $(A^0, X_A)$  levels  $(\Gamma_7, \Gamma_7, \Gamma_8, \Gamma_8)$  are dipole allowed exclusively in the polarization  $\vec{E} \perp c$ . Transitions into the two  $\Gamma_9$  levels of the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex are possible with polarization  $\vec{E} \mid |c|$ . Transitions into the  $\Gamma_7$ and  $\Gamma_8$  levels are allowed only in  $\vec{E} \perp c$ . Concerning the lowest excited electronic states of the complex in which one of the complex particles is in a higher electronic level theoretical treatments are more complicated because of the additional interactions of

#### INTERACTIONS:

HOLE - HOLE ELECTRON - HOLE







FIG. 6. Term scheme of the  $(A^0, X)$  and  $A^0$  electronic ground-state levels taking into account the hole-hole and electron-hole interactions and the crystal-field symmetry  $C_{6v}^+$  of CdS. The upper scheme gives the splitting of the  $(A^0, X_A)$  complex with both holes stemming from the A valence band. The lower scheme shows the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex with one hole stemming from the B valence band.

spins and angular momenta. A manifold splitting is expected to occur but no profound theoretical treatment is known up to now. Taking, for example, an excited level with 1=1 angular momentum of the electron and therefore of the whole complex the envelope wave function should be P-like. Hence in CdS, the dipole transitions between the P-like acceptor and this excited  $(A^0, X)$  complex are parity forbidden because a dipole transition with its odd parity dipole operator between two states with p-like, and therefore odd wave functions, violates parity conservation. The parity selection rule, of course, might not be very strong in an uniaxial crystal like CdS where parity is an applicable but not a very good quantum number.<sup>7</sup> Taking into account the occurrence of the transitions  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^6$  as emission lines in some selected, probably disturbed crystals, and the respective Raman resonances in undisturbed crystals,<sup>8</sup> the following interpretation of the excitation resonances  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  can be given:

(1)  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  are attached to transitions into the upper two  $\Gamma_8$  levels of the  $(A^0, X_A)$  electronic ground state, while the upper  $\Gamma_7$  level might be situated unresolvably near to the lower  $\Gamma_7$  state which is the  $I_1$ transition level. The subsequent relaxation from the  $\Gamma_8$  levels into the lowest  $\Gamma_7$  ground-state level is followed by the bound exciton recombination emitting an  $I_1$  photon. The Pauli prohibition of the upper  $\Gamma_8$ levels of the complex ground state is questionable, as has been argued before. Dissimilarities of the hole wave functions might enable the  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  transitions when high intensities are used for the excitation measurements and the Raman spectra, or when the crystal symmetry is heavily disturbed, e.g., in those samples which show the  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  emission lines. The theoretical calculations of the hole-hole and electron-hole exchange interactions in an  $(A^0, X)$ complex<sup>11,13</sup> indicate that the measurable distances of 2.5 and 3.7 meV of the  $I_1^1$  and the  $I_1^2$  from the  $I_1$ , respectively, are relatively large but possible exchange splitting energies of such a complex. This is supported by Zeeman measurements of the  $I_1^2$  emission line.<sup>14</sup> In this measurements the  $I_1^2$  showed a twofold splitting for H||c and  $H_{\perp}c$  and a fourfold splitting at any other angle between H and c. The analysis of these results yielded g-factor values  $g_h = 2.50$  of the hole belonging to the transition's final state  $A^0$  and  $g_e = 1.77$  of the initial state  $(A^0, X)$ with an S-like wave function. The g value of the initial state is equal to that resulting from the  $I_1$  splitting itself<sup>1</sup> representing the unpaired electron in the  $(A^0, X)$  complex. The S-like wave function establishes the affiliated level as an electronic groundstate level of the  $(A^0, X_A)$  complex. In terms of the given interpretation it is understandable that the resonances  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  are not seen below a lower limit of excitation intensity, in contrast to the  $I_1 \pm TA$  maximum (see Fig. 1). The polarization  $\vec{E} \perp c$  of the  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  emission lines<sup>8</sup> and of the excitation resonances reported here is in agreement with the theory, and the identical temperature and intensity dependences of the  $I_1$  emission excited via  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^2$ suggest that they are due to similar processes. The saturation of  $I_1$  shows that the levels which are assigned to the resonances underly the same limiting factor like the  $I_1$  emission line itself, i.e., the limited number of impurities. The disappearance of the  $I_1$ emission at 30 K excited resonantly via  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$ coincides with the temperature behavior of the  $I_1$ emission under band-to-band excitation. The superlinear increase in the region of higher excitation intensities is due to the fact that the  $(A^0, X)$  decay is an induced emission process.<sup>2</sup>

(2) The transitions  $I_1^3$  to  $I_1^5$  are attached to excited electronic states of the  $(A^0, X_A)$  for the following reasons: The Zeeman splittings of the emission lines  $I_1^3$  and  $I_1^4$  indicate a *P*-like nature of the associated  $(A^0, X)$  wave functions.<sup>14</sup> Both lines  $I_1^3$  and  $I_1^4$  show a threefold splitting for  $\vec{H} \perp c$ , a twofold one for  $\vec{H} \parallel c$ , and a sixfold splitting for arbitrary other angles. The determined g values for the  $I_1^3$  transition are  $g_e = 1.74$  for the excited  $(A^0, X)$  state due to the unpaired electron, and  $g_h = 2.62$  for the hole in the final state  $A^0$ . The  $I_1^4$  transition yields the values  $g_e = 1.72$  and  $g_h = 2.64$ . For the excited  $(A^0, X)$  levels with a P-like wave function<sup>14</sup> the dipole transitions to the  $A^0$  level are in the first order parity forbidden. This prohibition is lifted by LO phonon participation or by symmetry disturbance or by high excitation intensities leading to an appreciable appearance of quadrupole transitions with equal parity. Temperature and intensity dependences completely agree with those of the excitation resonances  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$ . This supports the identification as excited electronic states of the same  $(A^0, X)$  complex.

The resonances  $I_1^2$  and  $I_1^3$  coincide fairly with  $I_1$ emission lines obtained after doping CdS crystals with phosphorus.<sup>3,15</sup> An explanation of these two resonances in terms of an exciton bound to a *P* acceptor, however, seems to be very unlikely. No  $I_1$ emission lines due to *P* are observable in our crystals. Therefore, it is not understandable that  $(P^0, X)$ complexes should strongly enhance the  $I_1$  emission at 2.5356 eV due to excitons bound to a Li or Na acceptor which is observed in our undoped crystals.

The excitation spectra with polarization  $\vec{E}||c$  of the incident light can be interpreted in terms of the same model. The exchange splitting of the  $(A^0, X_B)$ complex leads to a couple of levels lying lower in energy with the two hole spins parallel [ $\Gamma_9$  and  $\Gamma_8$ , see

Fig. 6(b)] and to a couple of levels with antiparallel hole spins and representations  $\Gamma_7$  and  $\Gamma_9$ . Thomas and Hopfield<sup>1</sup> had shown that the  $I_{1B'}$  absorption line due to the  $(A^0, X_B)$  complex with antiparallel hole spins is lifetime broadened because of the very fast relaxation of the B hole into an A hole. In the case of the  $I_{1B}$  transition the relaxation process is not directly possible because of the Pauli-forbidden spin parallelism in the  $(A^0, X_A)$  relaxation final state. Therefore we regard the two broader resonances  $I_{1B'}^1$ and  $I_{1B'}^2$  as transitions into the two  $(A^0, X_B)$  levels  $\Gamma_7$  and  $\Gamma_9$  with antiparallel hole spins and the two sharp resonances  $I_{1B}^1$  and  $I_{1B}^2$  as those into the lower levels  $\Gamma_9$  and  $\Gamma_8$  with parallel hole spins followed by the relaxation into the  $(A^0, X_A)$  ground state and the exciton's recombination. The narrow resonance  $I_{1B}^{1}$ and the broader resonance  $I_{1B'}^2$ , both relatively strong, correspond to the known absorption lines  $I_{1B}$ and  $I_{1B'}$  with polarization  $\vec{E}||c$ . The other two weaker resonances  $I_{1B}^2$  and  $I_{1B'}^1$  are due to transitions into the levels  $\Gamma_7$  and  $\Gamma_8$ , which are in first order allowed only in  $\vec{E} \perp c$ . Nevertheless, they occur in the excitation spectra  $\dot{\mathbf{E}}||c$  because of the high excitation intensity which allows quadrupole transitions. Thomas and Hopfield<sup>1</sup> reported an absorption line " $I_{1B}$ " in the polarization  $E \perp c$  shifted 0.3 meV to higher energies compared to the line  $I_{1B}$  in  $\vec{E}||c$ . In spite of a smaller splitting energy, the trend of their observation is consistent with our results. Investigations of this spectral region in  $E \perp c$  excitation spectroscopy had no satisfactory results because of the strong absorption of the band edge of the crystals.

# B. Vibronic-rotational states

The totally different experimental results concerning the temperature and intensity behavior of the resonances  $V_i$  occurring at higher excitation intensities make other explanations indispensable. Because of the decreasing distances of the resonances  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  interference phenomena can be excluded. Moreover, some crystals of different thickness show exactly the same energetic distances of these lines.

Multiphonon participation is excluded because theory of multiphonon TA processes<sup>16</sup> supplies no distinct maxima in a phonon wing. Therefore we investigate possible rotational and vibrational modes of the four-particle complex  $(A^0, X)$  by analogy to a diatomic molecule. A direct numerical analogy of the bound-exciton complex to the diatomic molecule cannot be expected since the mass ratios in the  $(A^0, X)$  system are more complicated. Further theoretical and experimental results<sup>17</sup> indicate that a mechanical model treatment of the  $(A^0, X)$  as an electron moving around an acceptor-hole-hole complex approaches best to the real situation. Therefore a vibration or rotation of the two holes against each other is conceivable. Two ways are practicable to describe a vibration: Firstly, one can use the semiempirical formula of an anharmonic oscillation including fitting parameters determined by the experimental data. The formula is given by<sup>18</sup>

$$E(v) = E_0 + \hbar \omega_e (v + \frac{1}{2}) - X_e \hbar \omega_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^2 + Y_e \hbar \omega_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^3 - Z_e \hbar \omega_e (v + \frac{1}{2})^4 \pm \cdots, \qquad (4)$$

with v as vibrational quantum number,  $\omega_e$  as vibration fundamental frequency,  $E_0$  as pure electronic energy value, and  $X_e, Y_e, Z_e$  as fitting parameters. Table III gives the calculated values using  $\hbar\omega_e = 1.551 \times 10^{-3}$  eV,  $E_0 = 2.53473$  eV,  $X_e$ = 0.1198,  $Y_e = 0.01465$ ,  $Z_e = 0.000701$ . Secondly, especially for molecular systems with rather dif-

TABLE III.  $I_1$  vibronic excitation resonances using  $\vec{E} \perp \vec{c}$  polarized incident laser light in comparison with the calculated values. The first two columns give the absolute experimental values of the resonances.  $\Delta E$  marks the distances of the resonances to the  $I_1$  emission line,  $\delta E$  the mutual distances  $V_{i+1} - V_i$ . This is valid for the columns showing the theoretical values, too.

Excitation resonance	E (eV)		$\Delta E$ (meV)		$\delta E \ (meV)$		$\delta E \text{ (meV)}$ Semiemp. theory	$\delta E (meV)$ Kratzer	$\delta E \text{ (meV)}$ Kratzer
	Sample 1	Samples 2/3	Sample 1	Sample 2/3	Sample 1	Sample 2/3	vibronic states	vibronic states	rotational states
$V_0(I_1)$	2.5356	2.5355							
$V_1$	2.5370	2.5366	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.1	1.25	1.17	1.14
$V_2$	2.5383	2.5377	2.7	2.2	1.3	1.1	1.05	0.24	0.26
$V_3$	2.5394	2.5386	3.8	3.1	1.2	0.9	0.94		
$V_4$	2.5406	2.5395	5.0	4.0	1.2	0.9	0.88		
$V_5$	2.5418	2.5403	6.2	4.8	1.2	0.8	0.85		
$V_6$	2.5428	2.5410	7.2	5.5	1.0	0.7	0.84		
$V_7$		(2.5419)		6.4		(0.9)	0.71		

(5)

ferent masses, there exist approximate model potentials including rotational states. One of them which was applied to a similar problem in InP (Ref. 19) is the Kratzer potential.<sup>20</sup> Theory predicts that in moleculelike systems with mass ratios as in the  $(A^0, X)$  complex the energy values of rotational modes (quantum number J) and vibrational modes

$$E(v,J) = E_{\infty} - \frac{(2ma^2/\hbar^2)D^2}{\{(v+\frac{1}{2}) + [(J+\frac{1}{2})^2 + (2ma^2/\hbar^2)D]^{1/2}\}^2},$$

with *m* as the reduced effective mass of the oscillating particles, *a* as the particle distance, *D* as the binding energy of the bound exciton, and rotational quantum number *J*.  $E_{\infty}$  gives the energy of the complex for  $v \rightarrow \infty$  and/or  $J \rightarrow \infty$ . The energy of the  $I_1$  line therefore is  $E(I_1) = E(0,0)$ . Both models were applied to the resonance structures of the  $I_1$ line. Table III shows the theoretical values compared with the experimental data using  $m=0.35m_0$ ,  $(m_h \text{ from Ref. 1})$ , D=16.4 meV (using the free *A* exciton energy E=2.5520 eV from Ref. 21), a=9 Å(Ref. 22), and  $m_0$  as the free-electron mass.

The semiempirical formula gives a good agreement with the experimental values. However, its disadvantage is the application of fitting parameters, which are not well physically established. Possible rotational levels are not included. On the other hand, the Kratzer potential immediately furnishes a result for the distances between the first two energy states, which agrees well with the experimental value. Moreover, Table III shows the similarity of the energy distances using the Kratzer formula for growing vibrational quantum numbers on the one hand and growing rotational quantum numbers on the other hand. Therefore the experimental energy values due to the  $(A^0, X)$  complex in principle do not help to decide whether vibrations or rotations are the origin of our resonances. However, for higher states the agreement is not good because the theoretical energy values converge too fast. This might be a consequence of the fact that the Kratzer formula does not take into account the increasing distance between exciton and impurity with growing vibrational or rotational quantum number which one can expect in such a weakly bound system.<sup>18</sup> The temperature-dependent measurements renew the discussion why the excitability of the  $I_1$  via the phonon and vibration processes disappears at about 12 to 15 K. These temperatures are equivalent to energies of about 1.0 to 1.3 meV. These values are equal to the TA phonon and vibrational or rotational level energies. Therefore, at these temperatures the rapid dissipation of the TA phonon energy does not remain. This prevents the strong  $I_1$  emission at higher tem(v) must be of similar order of magnitude,<sup>1</sup> in contrast to molecules of equal parts like  $H_2$ . The Kratzer potential which complies with this condition in consequence cannot be distributed in one part giving the vibrational energies and another part due to the rotational energies. An advantage of this model potential is that no fitting parameters are necessary. The formula is given by<sup>20</sup>

peratures when exciting via the vibrational or rotational levels of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex. As no resonances are observable with quantum numbers greater than 7, one must assume that the  $(A^0, X)$ complex is destroyed as a result of such high vibrational or rotational energies, or the Urbach tail absorption<sup>23</sup> takes away too much excitation intensity.

#### V. SUMMARY

In performing high-intensity excitation spectroscopy of the  $I_1$  transition of an exciton bound to a neutral acceptor in CdS, we found series of new resonances in both polarizations. In  $E \perp c$  one group of excitation maxima called  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  agrees in energy with recently reported emission and LO Raman lines.<sup>8</sup> Beside a resonance  $I_1 + TA$ , also reported in a previous paper,<sup>9</sup> a series of new resonances  $V_1$  to  $V_7$  appears and increasingly dominates the spectra as the excitation intensity grows. Exciting with light  $\dot{\mathbf{E}} || c$  we observe two new doublet structures at the energies of the known absorption lines  $I_{1B}$  and  $I_{1B'}$ . The temperature behavior of the  $I_1$  and its disappearance at 30 K by exciting via the resonance states  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  is in agreement with the results known from emission and absorption experiments. Assuming ground and excited electronic levels of the  $(A^0, X)$  complex split off by hole-hole and electronhole interactions, we developed a theoretical model of the  $(A^0, X)$  states. We ascribe  $I_1^1$  and  $I_1^2$  to two  $\Gamma_8$ electronic ground-state levels of the  $(A^0, X_A)$ ,  $I_1^3$  to  $I_1^5$  to excited electronic states. Both groups of transitions are first-order forbidden but made possible by the high intensities used in our measurements inducing higher-order transitions. The resonances  $V_1$ to  $V_7$  build a regulated orderly series with decreasing energy distances. Taking into account their intensity dependence being different from  $I_1^1$  to  $I_1^5$  and their temperature behavior being similar to the  $I_1 + TA$  excitation process with TA phonon buildup we assumed vibration or rotation modes of the complex. The calculation of energy values using molecular analogous models gives a satisfactory agreement with our experimental data.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank Dr. R. Broser, Professor K. W. Böer, and the Kristall und Materiallabor der Universität Karlsruhe for supplying the crystals, and W. Hein for some preparing measurements.

- \*Present address: Battelle-Institut, D-6000 Frankfurt 90, Germany.
- <sup>1</sup>D. G. Thomas and J. J. Hopfield, Phys. Rev. <u>128</u>, 2135 (1962).
- <sup>2</sup>D. G. Thomas, R. Dingle, and J. D. Cuthbert, International Conference on Semiconductor Compounds, New York 1967, edited by D. G. Thomas (Benjamin, New York, 1967).
- <sup>3</sup>C. H. Henry, K. Nassau, and J. W. Shiever, Phys. Rev. B <u>4</u>, 2453 (1971).
- <sup>4</sup>C. H. Henry and K. Nassau, Phys. Rev. B <u>2</u>, 977 (1970).
- <sup>5</sup>H. Malm and R. R. Haering, Can. J. Phys. <u>49</u>, 2432 (1971).
- <sup>6</sup>J. Puls and J. Voigt, Phys. Status Solidi B <u>94</u>, 199 (1979), J. Puls, I. Rückmann, and J. Voigt, Phys. Status Solidi B <u>96</u>, 641 (1979); J. Puls, H. Redlin, and J. Voigt, Phys. Status Solidi B 107, K71 (1981).
- <sup>7</sup>A. E. Mayer and E. C. Lightowlers, J. Phys. C <u>12</u>, L945 (1979); J. Phys. C <u>13</u>, L747 (1980); J. Lumin. <u>24/25</u>, 389 (1981).
- <sup>8</sup>R. Baumert, I. Broser, J. Gutowski, and A. Hoffmann, Phys. Status Solidi B (in press).
- <sup>9</sup>R. Baumert and J. Gutowski, Phys. Status Solidi B <u>107</u>, 707 (1981).
- <sup>10</sup>E. F. Gross, B. S. Razbirin, and S. A. Permogorov, Dok. Akad. Nauk SSSR <u>147</u>, 338 (1962) [Sov. Phys.— Dokl. <u>7</u>, 1011 (1964)].
- <sup>11</sup>D. S. Pan, Solid State Commun. <u>37</u>, 375 (1981).

- <sup>12</sup>D. C. Herbert, J. Phys. C <u>10</u>, 3327 (1977).
- <sup>13</sup>B. Stébé and G. Munschy, Solid State Commun. <u>40</u>, 663 (1981).
- <sup>14</sup>P. Loose, Diploma work, Technische Universität, Berlin, 1974.
- <sup>15</sup>Y. Shiraki, T. Shimada, and F. Komatsubara, J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>38</u>, 937 (1977).
- <sup>16</sup>T. Y. Khal'dre and L. A. Rebane, Fiz. Tverd Tela <u>13</u>, 756 (1971) [Sov. Phys.—Solid State <u>13</u>, 624 (1971)]. K. K. Rebane, *Impurity Spectra of Solids* (Plenum, New York, 1970).
- <sup>17</sup>H. Atzmüller, F. Fröschl, and U. Schröder, Phys. Rev. B <u>19</u>, 3118 (1979).
- <sup>18</sup>K. H. Hellwege, *Einführung in die Physik der Molekeln* (Springer, Berlin, 1967), p. 25; U. Gradmann, H. Wolter, *Grundlagen der Atomphysik* (Akadem. Verlagsanstalt, Frankfurt a.M., 1971), p. 194.
- <sup>19</sup>W. Rühle and W. Klingenstein, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 7011 (1978).
- <sup>20</sup>S. Flügge, Practical Quantum Mechanics I (Springer, Berlin, 1971), p. 178.
- <sup>21</sup>I. Broser, R. Broser, E. Beckmann and E. Birkicht, Solid State Commun. <u>39</u>, 1209 (1981).
- <sup>22</sup>W. Ungier, M. Suffczynski, and J. Adamowski, Phys. Rev. B <u>24</u>, 2109 (1981).
- <sup>23</sup>F. Urbach, Phys. Rev. <u>92</u>, 1325 (1953); H. Sumi and Y. Toyozawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. <u>31</u>, 342 (1971).