

Critical adsorption: The renormalization-group approach

E. Brézin and S. Leibler

Service de Physique Theorique, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires, Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, Cédex, France

(Received 13 July 1982)

A wall having unequal interactions with the two components of a binary mixture is responsible for critical adsorption. We have analyzed with the renormalization-group formalism the scaling laws which govern this phenomenon. Furthermore, we have computed, within the ϵ -expansion formalism, the universal order-parameter profile for a range of possible values of the wall parameters (surface field and decoupling factor).

Recent experiments on binary mixtures¹ have revealed the existence of a new critical phenomenon occurring near the wall of the container. This phenomenon, *the critical adsorption*, is induced by the difference in attraction (or repulsion) of the two species by the wall. When the temperature approaches the bulk critical value from above ($T \geq T_c$) a nonzero concentration (order parameter m) profile appears in the system. It is the existence of this profile $m(z) = \langle \phi(z) \rangle$ which has been demonstrated experimentally. (The wall coincides with the $z = 0$ plane.)

The presence of the wall affects the behavior of the system in two different ways²:

(i) First, as we have said, the wall modifies the chemical potential for the two species. In magnetic language this is equivalent to introducing the field h_1 on the surface. This provokes a perturbation which can spread inside the system, if T is close to T_c .

(ii) The second effect is due to the semi-infinite geometry of the system. The absence of molecules of the mixture from one-half space (say, $z < 0$) changes the interaction on the surface. Using the magnetic analogy one can describe this change by introducing a new interaction term $c\phi^2$ on the surface,³ where c has a positive value. In general there is no reason for the parameter c to vanish when T approaches T_c ; thus the surface does *not* become critical simultaneously with the bulk. Because of this effect the surface tends to decouple from the rest of the system.

These two effects are, in fact, in competition: If $c > 0$ (the case called "*ordinary transition*"³) the perturbation due to h_1 cannot "propagate" when the temperature approaches T_c . There is no critical profile: $m(z) = 0$, for z bigger than a few molecular distances a_0 . Only if the field h_1 is big enough to overcome the decoupling effect can one observe critical adsorption. This is the situation in the above-mentioned binary-mixture experiments.

One can also imagine a different situation in other physical systems, in which c is small because of a supplementary enhancement of the interparticle in-

teractions on the surface. In this case (called the *special transition*³; $c \approx 0$) the critical adsorption can take place even for h_1 not so large.⁴

In this paper we use the renormalization-group approach to describe the critical adsorption. In 1978 Fisher and de Gennes proposed⁵ scaling laws for the order-parameter profile $m(z)$. We shall discuss the domain of validity of these laws for the ordinary transition and show how to modify them for the special transition. We shall derive a formula for the universal scaling profile to first order in $\epsilon = 4 - d$.

We shall study its behavior and, in particular, the crossover from the special to the ordinary transition. The profile has a power-law behavior at large z , which is identical to that which appears in the ordinary transition. However, near the special transition, the existence of additional length scales modifies this profile for smaller values of z .

MEAN-FIELD THEORY FOR THE ORDER-PARAMETER PROFILE

The Landau free energy consists of bulk terms

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{bulk}} = \int_0^\infty dz \int d^{d-1}x \left[\frac{1}{2} \nabla \phi^2 + \frac{1}{2} t \phi^2 + \frac{u_0}{4!} \phi^4 \right] \quad (1)$$

plus a surface free energy

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{surf}} = \int d^{d-1}x \left(\frac{1}{2} c_0 \phi^2 - h_1^0 \phi \right)_{z=0} \quad (2)$$

We assume here that the wall-sample interactions are short ranges. (Long-range interactions of van der Waals type will be discussed in Ref. 6.)

The minimization of this free energy leads to the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial z^2} + t \phi + \frac{u_0}{6} \phi^3 = 0 \quad (3)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\left(c_0 \phi - h_1^0 - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \right)_{z=0} = 0 \quad (4)$$

For z much larger than the bulk correlation length ξ , the order parameter falls off exponentially.

The interesting region is thus $z \leq \xi$, and therefore we will study directly the critical profile $t = (T - T_c)/T_c = 0$, $\xi = \infty$. The solution is then simply

$$\phi = \left(\frac{12}{u_0} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{z + z_0} \quad (5)$$

with

$$z_0 = \frac{c_0 + [c_0^2 + 4h_1^0 (u_0/12)^{1/2}]^{1/2}}{2h_1^0 (u_0/12)^{1/2}} \quad (6)$$

One can see in this simple solution the two regimes.

(i) *Ordinary transition.* The parameter c_0 , which has the dimension of an inverse length, is not small at T_c . It is given as the inverse of some characteristic interatomic length scale a_0 . Then since c_0 is large, z_0 is also large in general and it means that there is no penetration of the surface effects: The order-parameter profile is very small. The only way of getting a finite profile for large c_0 is to impose a strong surface field h_1^0 . Then z_0 itself will be of the order of a few interatomic distances a_0 and the profile is proportional to $1/z$ or all distances down to the immediate vicinity of the wall.

(ii) *Special transition.* If c_0 and h_1^0 both remain small in a_0^{-1} units, then z_0 is a large length scale (compared to a_0) and the profile falls off as $1/z$ only for $z \gg z_0$. However, it remains finite in the vicinity of the wall. We shall see that this last feature is modified when fluctuations are taken into account.

RENORMALIZATION-GROUP EQUATIONS

As shown by Wilson, the Landau free energy is a Hamiltonian which enters into the statistical sum of Boltzmann factors over all the order-parameter distributions. The scaling limit of this theory (zero lattice spacing or interatomic distance limit) has been described by Symanzik⁷ and Diehl and Dietrich⁸ and we are not going to repeat their analysis in detail. Let us simply recall that the free wall of semi-infinite geometry introduces a new short-distance singularity

$$m(z; t, c, h_1; g, \mu) \underset{z\mu \gg 1}{=} z^{-1/2(d-2+\eta)} m(1; tz^{1/\nu}, cz^{1+\eta_c}, h_1 z^{\Delta_1/\nu}, g^*, 1) \quad (10)$$

in which g^* is the infrared stable fixed point and

$$\eta_c = \left[\beta(g) \frac{d}{dg} \ln Z_c \right]_{g^*},$$

$$\frac{2\Delta_1}{\nu} = \left[d - \beta(g) \frac{d}{dg} \ln Z_\phi Z'_\phi \right]_{g^*}.$$

of the Green's function $G(x, x')$: It is now singular when x coincides with either x' or with the image of x' with respect to the wall (i.e., when x and x' are close to the wall). Consequently, correlation functions with arguments near the surface acquire a new multiplicative renormalization factor Z'_ϕ . Furthermore, new linear and logarithmic divergences are caused by the surface "temperature" term $c_0 \phi^2|_{z=0}$, which are cancelled, respectively, by $\phi \partial_z \phi|_{z=0}$ and $\phi^2|_{z=0}$ counterterms. We have used the minimal subtraction scheme and the first counterterm has vanishing coefficients. Then there is a simple multiplicative renormalization of c_0 .

Consequently in terms of the renormalized field Φ the Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \int_0^\infty dx \int d^{d-1}x \left[\frac{1}{2} Z_\phi (\nabla \Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} Z_{\phi^2} t \Phi^2 + \mu^{4-d} \frac{g}{4!} Z_1 \Phi^4 \right] \quad (7)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\left[-Z_\phi \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z} - \frac{h_1}{\sqrt{Z'_\phi}} + c Z_c \phi \right]_{z=0} = 0 \quad (8)$$

(μ is an arbitrary finite inverse length scale).

A differential renormalization-group equation follows by the standard procedure from these results. If we look simply at the order-parameter profile $m(z; t, c, h_1, g, \mu)$ the integration of the renormalization-group equation gives the flow equation

$$m(z; t, c, h_1; g, \mu) = \zeta(\lambda) m(z; t(\lambda), c(\lambda), h_1(\lambda); g(\lambda), \lambda \mu) \quad (9)$$

in which the parameter transformations under rescaling are given as usual by the equation $\lambda(dg(\lambda)/d\lambda) = \beta(g(\lambda))$,

$$\lambda \frac{dh_1}{d\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} h_1(\lambda) \left[\beta(g) \frac{\partial}{\partial g} \ln Z_\phi Z'_\phi \right]_{g(\lambda)}, \text{ etc., } \dots$$

We use in addition canonical dimensional analysis and choose the rescaling factor λ as $\lambda = (z\mu)^{-1}$; when z , the distance to the wall, is large compared to the microscopic length μ^{-1} , we obtain the relation

This establishes the scaling law

$$m(z; t, c, h_1) = z^{-\beta/\nu} F \left[\frac{z}{\xi}, z h_1^{\nu/\Delta_1}, c h_1^{-(\nu/\Delta_1)(1+\eta_c)} \right] \quad (11)$$

which generalizes the de Gennes-Fisher⁵ scaling law. The critical profile ($t=0$) satisfies the same relation with $z/\xi=0$. This scaling law shows that there are

two new length scales in the special transition problem, namely, l_{h_1} proportional to $h_1^{-\nu/\Delta_1}$ and l_c proportional to $c^{-1/(1+\eta_c)}$. For the ordinary transition l_{h_1} and l_c are of the order of molecular distances and $m(z) = m_0 z^{-\beta/\nu}$.⁹ This is the case of *strong adsorption* limit discussed in Refs. 9 and 6, which has been realized experimentally.¹ For the special transition the critical profile is a function with two length scales. If $z \gg l_{h_1}, l_c$, again $m(z)$ falls off as $z^{-\beta/\nu}$. Close to the wall for $z \ll l_{h_1}, l_c$ (but $z \gg \mu^{-1}$ the microscopic length scale), the scaling law (11) is not sufficient to make any conclusion since we have no indication *a priori* on the possible short-distance singularities of the function F . A short-distance expansion of the operator $\phi(x)$ for $x = (z, \bar{x}_1)$ next to the wall consists at leading order simply of the relation

$$\phi(z, \bar{x}_1) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} s(z) (\phi(0, \bar{x}_1))_R, \quad (12)$$

in which $s(z)$ is a c -number function and $(\phi(0, \bar{x}_1))_R$ is the surface operator with its genuine renormalization which makes its correlation functions finite. This leads as usual to a differential renormalization-group equation for $s(z)$ from which we obtain that

$$m(z) \underset{\substack{z \ll l_{h_1} \\ z \ll l_c}}{\sim} z^\theta \quad (13)$$

with

$$\theta = (d - \eta - 2\Delta_1/\nu). \quad (14)$$

At lowest order in ϵ , the exponent θ vanishes. We did verify that in mean-field theory the special transition critical profile $m(0)$ is finite. However, at first order in ϵ , $\theta = -\epsilon/6 + O(\epsilon^2)$ and the profile diverges close to the wall (of course it is cut off again at atomic distances).

In order to obtain the full crossover between the large and small z regimes, which is in fact the crossover between the normal and special transition profiles, we have performed an explicit calculation at T_c , to first order in ϵ . The calculation is extremely tedious and full of technical difficulties overcome by proper surface-operator renormalization. The details will be presented in a longer publication. We give here just the result for the critical profile

$$m(z; c, h_1) = z^{-\beta/\nu} F(\zeta = zh_1^{\nu/\Delta_1}, \gamma = ch_1^{-(\nu/\Delta_1)(1+\eta_c)}), \quad (15)$$

$$F(\zeta, \gamma) = F_0(\zeta, \gamma) + \epsilon F_1(\zeta, \gamma). \quad (16)$$

Mean-field theory gives

$$F_0(\zeta, \gamma) = \zeta/\zeta + \zeta_0(\gamma) \quad (17)$$

in which

$$2\zeta_0(\gamma) \equiv \gamma + (4 + \gamma^2)^{1/2}. \quad (18)$$

Our calculation leads to

$$F_1(\zeta, \gamma) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta + \zeta_0} \ln \zeta + \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0} \left[\left(\ln \frac{2}{\zeta_0} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left(-\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x^2}{3(2 + \gamma\zeta_0)} \right) \right] + I(\zeta, \gamma) \quad (19)$$

in which $I = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$I_1(\zeta, \gamma) = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0} \int_0^\infty dk \left[\alpha(k) \left(\frac{k(5\gamma\zeta_0 + 22) + 6(\gamma\zeta_0 + 3)}{4k^3(2 + \gamma\zeta_0)} x^3 - e^{-2k(\zeta/\zeta_0)} \frac{k^2x + 5kx^2 + 6x^3}{4k^3} \right) + \frac{x^2}{4(2 + \gamma\zeta_0)} \left(\alpha(k) \frac{2k + 11 + \zeta_0\gamma}{k} - \frac{2k + 1 - 3\gamma\zeta_0}{k + 1} \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

$$I_2(\zeta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{4(2 + \gamma\zeta_0)} x^2 [e^{-2} - (\gamma\zeta_0 + 11)\text{Ei}(-2) + 3(\gamma\zeta_0 + 1)(\mathfrak{e} + \ln 2) - 14\gamma\zeta_0 - 9] + \frac{3}{4} x \left(\ln \frac{x}{2} - \mathfrak{e} + 6 \right), \quad (21)$$

and \mathfrak{e} is Euler's constant. Here x stands for

$$x = (\zeta/\zeta_0 + 1)^{-1}$$

and

$$\alpha(k) \equiv e^{-2k}$$

$$+ \frac{k^3 - (\gamma\zeta_0 + 3)k^2 + 3(\gamma\zeta_0 + 2)k - 3(\gamma\zeta_0 + 2)}{k^3 + (\gamma\zeta_0 + 3)k^2 + 3(\gamma\zeta_0 + 2)k + 3(\gamma\zeta_0 + 2)}. \quad (22)$$

Remark: The integral over k is convergent for small

k since

$$\alpha(k) \underset{k \rightarrow 0}{\sim} k^5$$

and for large k because

$$\alpha(k) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} 1 - \frac{2}{k}(\gamma\zeta_0 + 3).$$

It is easy to verify that from this expression one recovers the expected large- z and small- z behavior. For large ζ

$$F_0(\zeta) \underset{\zeta \gg \zeta_0}{\approx} 1$$

and $F_1(\zeta)$ vanishes as $\log \zeta/\zeta_0$. Therefore $m(z)$ indeed falls off as $z^{-\beta/\nu}$ [see Eq. (15)]. For small ζ ,

$$F_0(\zeta, \gamma) \underset{\zeta \ll \zeta_0}{\approx} \zeta/\zeta_0$$

and

$$F_1(\zeta, \gamma) \underset{\zeta \ll \zeta_0}{\approx} -\frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0} \right) \ln \left(\frac{\zeta}{\zeta_0} \right).$$

Therefore we find, within the ϵ -expansion formalism, the expected behavior

$$m(z) \underset{z \text{ small}}{\sim} z^{-\epsilon/6}. \quad (23)$$

Hence $\theta = -\epsilon/6 + O(\epsilon^2)$, as predicted. A numerical study of the profile for various values of the scaling parameters ζ and γ is planned to be included in a detailed version of this work.

We have computed the critical order-parameter

profile as a function of the distance to the wall for all values of the surface field h_1 and of the surface decoupling factor c . From the present experimental standpoint the physical distance to the wall is always large compared to the lengths related to h_1 and c and one should observe a profile which varies simply as $z^{-\beta/\nu}$ up to the bulk correlation length ξ . In other physical systems with small effective c parameters (such as ferromagnets with enhanced surface exchange interactions) one should expect to observe a more complicated profile with a succession of length scales up to the correlation length.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Professor P-G. de Gennes, Professor T. Lubensky, Professor L. Peliti, Professor M. Wortis, and Professor J. Zinn-Justin for their interest in this work and for illuminating discussions.

¹C. Franck and S. E. Schnatterly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 763 (1982); D. Beysens and S. Leibler, J. Phys. (Paris) Lett. **43**, L133 (1982).

²K. Binder, in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, edited by C. Domb and J. Lebowitz (Academic, New York, in press). This work contains a general and thorough discussion of surface effects with an extensive list of the relevant references to the subject.

³T. C. Lubensky and M. H. Rubin, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 3885 (1975).

⁴We do not consider here the extraordinary transition which corresponds to negative values of c . In this case the surface becomes critical before the bulk.

⁵M. E. Fisher and P-G. de Gennes, C. R. Acad. Sci. **287**, 207 (1978).

⁶L. Peliti and S. Leibler (unpublished).

⁷K. Symanzik, Nucl. Phys. **B190** [FS3], 1 (1981).

⁸H. W. Diehl and S. Dietrich, Z. Phys. B **42**, 65 (1981); Phys. Rev. B **24**, 2878 (1981); S. Dietrich and H. W. Diehl, Z. Phys. B **43**, 315 (1981).

⁹S. Leibler and L. Peliti, J. Phys. C **30**, L403 (1982).

Contains calculations of the order parameter profile for $t > 0$, so crossover between the power-law ($z^{-\beta/\nu}$) and exponential ($e^{-z/\xi}$) behaviors is obtained. Therefore we shall restrict here our calculations to the critical case $t = 0$.