Simple scaling behavior in the magnetic susceptibility of CeSn₃ under high pressure

S. A. Shaheen^{*} and J. S. Schilling[†]

Experimentalphysik IV, Universität Bochum, 4630 Bochum 1, West Germany

S. H. Liu

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830

O. D. McMasters

Ames Laboratory and Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Iowa State University,

Ames, Iowa 50011

(Received 18 October 1982)

The static magnetic susceptibility χ of single-crystalline CeSn₃ is measured as a function of temperature $3 \le T \le 300$ K and hydrostatic pressure 1 bar $\le P \le 15$ kbar. The temperature and pressure dependence of χ is shown to be a function of a single characteristic spinfluctuation energy $kT_{\rm sf}$, i.e., χT scales with $T/T_{\rm sf}$. From the magnitude of the increase of $T_{\rm sf}$ with pressure $(\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial P \simeq + 1.4\%/kbar$ or $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial \ln V \simeq -7.6)$ it can be indirectly inferred within a simple model that an increase in the 4f hybridization width Δ , rather than a decrease in the 4f binding energy $\epsilon_{\rm ex}$, is the dominant change in the magnetic state under pressure. These results imply that CeSn₃ would be best characterized as a trivalent Ce compound with a rather high spin-fluctuation temperature ($T_{\rm sf} \simeq 200$ K) rather than as a compound with fractional valence. $\chi(T)$ appears to depend only very weakly on the relative orientation of the magnetic field. The Curie tail in $\chi(T)$ below 20 K is independent of pressure and is, therefore, very likely an impurity effect rather than an intrinsic property of the compound. It is suggested that scaling itself can be used to derive changes in both the valence v and $T_{\rm sf}$ in a single experiment.

I. INTRODUCTION

It is useful to divide the magnetism of both localmoment and itinerant-electron metallic systems into four representative classes: (1) stable magnetism, as in Gd or Co; (2) unstable magnetism, as in γ -Ce or ZrZn₂; (3) strongly enhanced paramagnetism, as in TiBe₂ or Pd; (4) Pauli paramagnetism, as in Ta.¹ Whereas classes (1) and (4) are normally only very weakly influenced by a variation of the thermodynamic variables temperature T, and P, and magnetic field H, classes (3) and, in particular, (2) can react quite sensitively. High-pressure studies clearly offer the cleanest and most carefully controlled method to transport a given system from one class to another.¹

Systems belonging to classes (1) or (4) are far from a magnetic instability; their magnetism is thus relatively well understood. So-called intermediate- or mixed-valence systems are believed to contain on the average a nonintegral easily variable number of local "magnetic" electrons per ion, and consequently belong to classes (2) to (3); the problem of the magnetism of intermediate-valence systems is one of prodigious complexity, surpassing in difficulty even the single-impurity Kondo problem [class (1)] which resisted solution for many years.

One fact which aided considerably in making the many-body Kondo problem amendable to solution is that the Kondo state can be described in terms of a single characteristic energy $kT_{\rm sf}$ (here we assume the conceptual equivalence of the spin-fluctuation temperature T_{sf} and the Kondo temperature T_K whose magnitude is a measure of the degree of hybridization between local-moment and conduction-electron wave functions). The existence of a single characteristic energy implies that all Kondo anomalies are universal functions of $T/T_{\rm sf}$, i.e., for the electrical resistivity $R = R(T/T_{\rm sf})$ and for the magnetic susceptibility $(\chi T) = (\chi T)(T/T_{sf})^2$ Such scaling has also been shown to hold within Fermi-liquid theory in the temperature range $T_{sf} < T \ll T_f$, where T_f is the degeneracy temperature.³ The equivalence of the Kondo and Fermi-liquid approaches, at least in the ground state, is well established.² Experimentally, the existence of the above universal scaling laws has been verified by high-pressure studies on the dilute Kondo system CuFe (Ref. 4) and on the arche-

4325

typal Fermi liquid ³He.⁵

In the more complex intermediate-valence problem, the local magnetic state itself is unstable and a number of further characteristic energies besides $kT_{\rm sf}$ may be important: e.g., $\epsilon_{\rm ex}$ the binding or excitation energy of the magnetic electron, $\epsilon_{\rm el}$ the elastic energy associated with the large change in ionic volume with valence, $\epsilon_{\rm int}$ the interaction energy between the intermediate-valence ions. In spite of this potential complexity, we take the point of view that one should first establish experimentally the minimum number of characteristic energies necessary to satisfactorily describe the intermediatevalence phenomena found in a particular system.

In a significant work on the compound series $\operatorname{CeIn}_{3-x}\operatorname{Sn}_x$, Lawrence⁶ has shown that χT scales with $T/T_{\rm sf}$ to within 1% over a considerable range of the parameters $0.85 \le x \le 3$ and $73 \le T_{sf} \le 200$ K, i.e., these compounds, which are normally considered to be at or within the intermediate-valence regime, can be characterized by a single energy kT_{sf} . Lawrence and Béal-Monod,⁷ Klasse et al.,⁸ and Edelstein⁹ have listed a number of Ce and Yb compounds where simple scaling laws seem to exist. Allen and Martin¹⁰ have proposed that the binding energy of the Kondo singlet state is the driving force behind the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ transition and volume collapse in elemental Ce and related solids, which is tantamount to implying $T/T_{\rm sf}$ scaling. Clearly, further careful experimental tests for simple scaling behavior are needed. Such quantitative tests can best be realized in high-pressure experiments which can be carried out reversibly on a single sample and are thus free of the metallurgical problems arising in studies on compound series.

In this paper we present high-pressure magnetic susceptibility measurements on CeSn₃ which extend the work of Lawrence⁶ to still higher values of $T_{\rm sf}$. Previous high-pressure studies 11-13 gave widely differing results and were also unable to test for scaling behavior or accurately determine $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf} / \partial P$ and $\gamma_{\rm sf} \equiv -\partial \ln T_{\rm sf} / \partial \ln V$. We verify scaling with T/T_f and find T_{sf} to increase with pressure at the rate + 1.4%/kbar, giving $\gamma_{sf} = +$ 7.6. This relatively low value of γ_{sf} for CeSn₃ compared to other Ce systems allows us to conjecture that the predominant change in the magnetic state of trivalent Ce under external or lattice pressure is a broadening of the hybridization width Δ rather than a decrease of ϵ_{ex} ; a similar conclusion was reached by Croft et al.¹⁴ from their photoemission results on CeAl₂ alloys. We also find the low-temperature (T < 40 K)Curie tail in CeSn₃ to be essentially independent of pressure, which points to its origin as an impurity effect rather than as an intrinsic property of the homogeneous magnetic state of the compound.

II. EXPERIMENT

A single crystal of CeSn₃ (cubic Cu₃Au structure) was prepared at the Ames Laboratory using standard Bridgman techniques. The Ce employed contains less than 40 ppm of rare-earth impurities (principally 17 ppm La, 4 ppm Pr, and less than 7 ppm Gd), less than 1500 ppm of interstitial impurities (500 ppm O, 40 ppm N, 690 ppm H, 94 ppm C, and 200 ppm F), and less than 260 ppm of all other impurities (principally 100 ppm Pt, less than 70 ppm Ga, 33 ppm Fe, 15 ppm Ta, 8 ppm Si, and 9 ppm Cl). The Sn is 99,999% pure. Sample 1 was prepared by spark cutting a section of the crystal to a cylinder approximately 4-mm diameter and 4-mm height with the ends of the cylinder perpendicular to the [111] direction. Sample 2 was cut from a nearby section of the same master crystal as sample 1. Sample 2 was then broken into approximately 30 randomly oriented pieces allowing a comparison of the single-crystalline and "polycrystalline" susceptibilities.

To measure the static magnetic susceptibility at ambient pressure, the standard Faraday method is used. The sample is placed in a small 5-g crucible made of ultrapure Cu which is supported in the center of a large superconducting solenoid set at 5.6 T main field and 6.4 T/m gradient field. The coils of this magnet are specially wound so that H(dH/dz) is constant to better than 1% over a cylinder of 2-cm diameter and 5-cm height, thus obviating the necessity of maintaining a precisely constant position of the pressure clamp or crucible with respect to the magnet as the temperature is varied. In fact, moving the clamp up or down by ± 1 mm causes a change in magnetic force of only a few micrograms which can be neglected.

High pressures are generated within the 5-mmdiam bore of a 90-g pressure clamp of standard design made of pure binary Cu-Be with Al_2O_3 anvils. The hydrostatic pressure cell consists of a thin-walled Delrin¹⁵ tube with Cu-Be end stoppers filled with an *n*-pentan isoamyl pressure fluid. A tiny Pb superconducting manometer¹⁶ is also included in the cell. Upon cooling from 300 to 3 K, the pressure decreases by 2–3 kbar.

The present temperature and pressure ranges of the apparatus are 2.5-350 K and 0-20 kbar, respectively. The temperature of the sample is determined using a calibrated carbon-glass thermometer¹⁷ located only a few millimeters away from the pressure clamp. The sample tube is filled at 300 K with 1-Torr He exchange gas. Further details of the experimental apparatus are planned to be discussed in a future publication.¹⁸

III. RESULTS OF EXPERIMENT

A. Ambient pressure

Displayed in Fig. 1 is the static magnetic susceptibility at ambient pressure of a CeSn₃ single crystal (sample 1) with the 5.6-T magnetic field pointed along the [111] direction. The characteristic bellshaped curve with a susceptibility maximum at $T_{\rm max} \simeq 140$ K is clearly seen, in agreement with previous results^{6,11,12,19}; in our measurements on sample 1, however, the low-temperature (T < 40 K) Curie tail is relatively small. In an effort to determine if the size of the Curie tail is sensitive to the orientation, mechanical state, or surface-oxidation state of the sample, the single crystal was broken up into 5 and then 30 randomly oriented pieces, allowed to oxidize in air several hours, and then remeasured. Aside from a slight $\sim 1\%$ suppression at all temperatures, the magnetic susceptibility of the same



FIG. 1. Static magnetic susceptibility of $CeSn_3$ (present work) and $LaSn_3$ (Ref. 20) at ambient pressure vs temperature. For $CeSn_3$ the 5.6-T magnetic field is applied in the [111] direction. Single-crystalline $CeSn_3$ was also broken to give polycrystalline form. For better comparison polycrystalline data are scaled up by 1%. Dashed line for $LaSn_3$ has impurity Curie tail subtracted. Lines through data points drawn for clarity.

Also shown in Fig. 1 is the susceptibility of polycrystalline sample 2. It seems significant that the susceptibility of sample 2, which was removed from an adjacent section (same height) of the master crystal as sample 1, should have a sizably larger Curie tail. In Fig. 2 a Curie-Weiss impurity susceptibility has been subtracted from the raw data. The agreement between the temperature dependence of the impurity-corrected susceptibilities is excellent over the entire temperature range above 5 K. The slight fall off in χ - χ_{imp} below 4 K is spurious, arising from the inaccuracy inherent in the subraction of two large numbers. The Curie constants of the impurity susceptibility χ_{imp} of samples 1 and 2 are 2.6×10^{-3} emu/mole and 7.9×10^{-3} emu/mole, respectively, which would correspond to a trivalent Ce impurity concentration of 0.32% and 0.98% (Sereni's data¹² give 2.2% Ce³⁺). In this view, paramagnetic trivalent Ce is an impurity in its own CeSn₃ matrix where the vast majority of all Ce ions appear nonmagnetic at low temperature (χ is temperature independent). Local strain fields could stabilize Ce³⁺ impurities.⁶ The aforementioned mass spectrometric analysis, the variation in the apparent impurity concentration on samples from the same master crystal, the known sensitivity to the type of heat treatment carried out,⁶ and the fact that the reference compound LaSn₃ has a relatively small impurity concentration (see Fig. 1) all speak against non-Ce impurities as causing the Curie tail in CeSn₃. It remains, however, an open question whether the low-temperature upturn is an impurity effect or is actually intrinsic to CeSn₃. We will see shortly that the high-pressure results shed some light on this question.

B. High pressure

Application of hydrostatic pressure to singlecrystalline CeSn₃ (sample 1) causes a reversible decrease in the magnetic susceptibility at room temperature, as seen in Fig. 3. From these data we obtain the pressure dependence $\partial \ln \chi / \partial P = (-0.67 \pm 0.05)\%/kbar$ which gives $\partial \ln \chi / \partial \ln V = -K^{-1} \times (\partial \ln \chi / \partial P) = + 3.6 \pm 0.3$, where the compressibility $K = 1.84 \times 10^{-3}$ kbar⁻¹ is taken from Takke et al.²¹ We compare this pressure dependence to the values $(-0.47 \pm 0.05)\%/kbar$ from Zell et al.,¹³ $(-0.8 \pm 0.4)\%/kbar$ from Beille et al.,¹¹ and -5.8%/kbar from Sereni.¹² The last value is clearly incorrect, being an order of magnitude too large.

In Fig. 4 it is seen that the application of pressure causes a decrease in the $CeSn_3$ susceptibility, not



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility of two CeSn₃ crystals after subtraction of a Curie-Weiss susceptibility χ_{imp} . Lines drawn for clarity.



FIG. 3. Pressure dependence of magnetic susceptibility of single-crystalline CeSn₃ (sample 1) at room temperature. Numbers give order of measurement. Susceptibility decreases reversibly with pressure. Straight line gives a least-squares fit with $\partial \ln \chi / \partial P = (-0.67 \pm 0.05)\%$ /kbar.

P(kbar)

only at room temperature, but over the entire temperature range to 3 K. The pressure dependence $|\partial \ln \chi / \partial P|$ rises sharply with decreasing temperature, almost tripling in value to 100 K. The temperature of the susceptibility maximum increases with pressure at the rate $\partial T_{max} / \partial P \approx + 3.4$ K/kbar. Very similar results were found by Zell *et al.*¹³ on the Ce compounds CeNi₂Ge₂, CeRhPt, and Ce(Rh_{0.7}Pt_{0.3})₂. Sereni¹² also could resolve an increase in T_{max} with pressure for CeSn₃.

In contrast to the rather large changes with pressure observed in the intrinsic susceptibility of CeSn₃ for temperatures above 40 K, the low-temperature Curie tail appears insensitive to pressure. We bring out this point in Fig. 5(a) where the subtraction of a *single* Curie-Weiss susceptibility χ_{imp} is seen to result in a nearly temperature-independent intrinsic CeSn₃ susceptibility for temperatures below 40 K at all three pressures. These results give strong evidence that the physical origin of the Curie tail differs entirely from that of the remaining susceptibility. This is exactly what would be expected if the Curie tail were not intrinsic but originated from



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility of $CeSn_3$ at three pressures. Lines drawn for clarity. Pressures given are at room temperature; approximately 2.5 kbar is lost upon cooling.

stable paramagnetic impurities (about 0.3% Ce³⁺) within the CeSn₃ matrix.

Somewhat more accurate experiments on the polycrystalline sample 2 (see Figs. 1 and 2) give identical results under high pressure as the singlecrystalline sample 1, as seen in Fig. 5(b). For sample 2 the Curie tail can be described by a pressureindependent impurity susceptibility χ_{imp} which would correspond to about 1% of Ce³⁺.

IV. DISCUSSION

We now discuss the observed pressure and temperature dependence of the magnetic susceptibility of $CeSn_3$ and the implications of these results for the understanding of stable- and fluctuating-valence systems in general.²² In Ce systems the principal ef-





fect of applying pressure is to delocalize each Ce's single 4f electron leading to a reduction in the effective moment.¹ The observed decrease with pressure of the magnetic susceptibility in CeSn₃ and other Ce systems^{14,23} at all temperatures is simply an expression of this moment weakening. In Yb compounds, on the other hand, applying pressure will remove an electron from the filled 4f shell, thus enhancing the effective moment. Indeed, Zell *et al.*²⁴ have shown that in YbInAu the magnetic susceptibility *increases* with pressure at all temperatures below 300 K, the temperature T_{max} of the susceptibility maximum shifting to *lower* temperatures.

The next step is to attempt to account for the detailed temperature and pressure dependences of χ . In the following we assume that the susceptibility is a function of temperature T, a spin-fluctuation temperature T_{sf} , and the valence v, i.e., $\chi = \chi(T, T_{sf}, v)$. The valence v is directly related to the time-averaged number of electrons n in the 4f state, i.e., for Ce, v = 4 - n. Both T_{sf} and v are, in principle, dependent on temperature and pressure. To extract these dependences from experiment, an accurate microscopic theory of the mixed-valence state is required which is at present lacking.^{22,25} Various phenomenological models have been developed^{22,25,26}; however, it is an open question whether these models are sufficiently accurate to allow the extraction of reliable values for $T_{sf}(T,P)$ and v(T,P). In such a situation it would seem prudent to attack the full mixed-valence problem from the stable-valence side where one would hope to find the magnetic state dependent mainly on the value of $T_{\rm sf}$, with $\frac{\partial v}{\partial T} \simeq \frac{\partial v}{\partial P} \simeq 0$. If only one characteristic energy kT_{sf} is important, one could hope to find simple scaling laws in the physical quantities. Indeed, the fact that in a number of Ce and Yb systems^{7-9,22,24,27} the impurity-corrected value of $\chi(0)$ seems correlated with that of T_{max} [the smaller $\chi(0)$, the larger T_{max}] would hint at the existence of such scaling laws. Perhaps the clearest evidence for scaling was provided by Lawrence⁶ who showed that the susceptibility of $CeIn_{3-x}Sn_x$ could be described as a function of the single scaled variable $T/T_{\rm sf}$ for a wide range of x and T; he obtained $\chi T/C = f(T/T_{sf})$ where C is the Curie constant for Ce^{3+} .

Both absorption $edge^{28}$ and lattice-constant⁶ studies indicate that Ce in CeIn₃ (CeSn₃) is in (very near) the trivalent state. That Ce in CeSn₃ has a slightly higher valency than in CeIn₃ is supported by the absence of magnetic ordering and the higher value of T_{sf} in the former. Application of high pressure will certainly push CeSn₃ further towards the intermediate-valence regime¹ and it is of considerable importance to determine how long the simple scaling laws will hold. It is conceivable that mixedvalence phenomena will need to be divided up into two temperature regions: a low-temperature regime where it is energetically more favorable for the 4fsites to become strongly correlated and form band states and a high-temperature region where the individual 4f sites are uncorrelated and the singleimpurity model applies.²⁹ In any case, if pressure causes, in addition to changes in $T_{\rm sf}$, a sizable increase in the valence of CeSn₃, it will no longer be possible to fit the susceptibility to a simple scaling law with a single characteristic energy.

In Fig. 6 we plot $\chi T/C$ vs $\ln T$ for both singlecrystalline and polycrystalline samples. To obtain the 4f-electron contribution, the susceptibility of the reference compound LaSn₃ in Fig. 1 has been subtracted. For lack of a measurement, χ_{LaSn_3} is assumed pressure independent; considering the relatively small temperature dependence of χ_{LaSn_3} and the anticipated small decrease in χ_{LaSn_3} of 1-2%for 15 kbar,³⁰ this would seem to be a good assumption. C=0.807 emu K/mole is the Curie constant for Ce³⁺. $\chi T/C$ can thus be looked on as the square of the relative magnetic moment $[\mu(T)/\mu(\infty)]^2$, where $\mu(\infty)$ designates the high temperature or Ce³⁺ moment. When the susceptibility has reached the full paramagnetic Ce³⁺ value, then $C\chi/T=1$ and $\mu(T)=\mu(\infty)$.

In Fig. 6 we see that the effective moment $\mu(T)$ gradually rises from a negligible value in the nonmagnetic low-temperature state to a value within 20% of $\mu(\infty)$ by 300 K. If we use for discussion purposes the expression $\chi = C/(T + T_{\rm sf})$,²⁶ then $T = T_{\rm sf}$ implies $\chi T/C = \frac{1}{2}$, giving from Fig. 6 $T_{\rm sf} = 200$ K at atmospheric pressure, in agreement with Lawrence.⁶ To saturate $\mu(T)$ we thus need temperatures $T > T_{\rm sf} = 200$ K. The application of pressure is seen to shift the $\chi T/C$ curve bodily to higher temperatures without any detectable change in shape, as seen in Fig. 7. This implies that the universal behavior of $\chi T/C$ on $T/T_{\rm sf}$ pointed out by Lawrence⁶ for CeIn_{3-x}Sn_x also holds for CeSn₃, which has been pushed further toward the strongly mixed-valence regime by high pressure.

From Figs. 6 and 7 we thus see that 13.5-kbar pressure increases $T_{\rm sf}$ from 200 to 240 K, giving $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial P = + 1.4\%/\rm kbar$ for both singlecrystalline and polycrystalline samples. It is interesting to note that $T_{\rm sf}(13.5 \ \rm kbar)/T_{\rm sf}(0 \ \rm kbar)$ $= 1.2 \approx \chi(0 \ \rm K, 0 \ \rm kbar)/\chi(0 \ \rm K, 13.5 \ \rm kbar) = 1.27$, where $\chi_{\rm LaSn_3}(0 \ \rm K)$ has been corrected. We have, therefore, a rough verification of the dependence $\chi(0 \ \rm K) \sim 1/T_{\rm sf}$ or $T\chi(0 \ \rm K) \sim T/T_{\rm sf}$, which implies scaling behavior also at the lowest temperatures. The only approximate nature of this verification is satis-



FIG. 6. Relative moment squared vs $\ln T$ for (a) single-crystalline and (b) polycrystalline CeSn₃. $T_{\rm sf}$ increases with pressure.



FIG. 7. Relative moment squared vs $\ln(T/T_{sf})$ for single-crystalline CeSn₃. Within experimental uncertainty both data overlap at all temperatures, confirming the scaling law $\chi T/C = f(T/T_{sf})$.



FIG. 8. $T_{\rm sf}$ and $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial \rho$ vs ρ . Ordinate scale same for both quantities. From value of $T_{\rm sf}$, both ρ and $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial \rho$ can be estimated. Pressure increases both ρ and $T_{\rm sf}$ but decreases $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial \rho$.

factory considering the corrections in the raw data involved and the unknown pressure dependence of χ_{LaSn_3} .

From the above value of $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial P$ we obtain, using the known compressibility,²¹ $\gamma_{\rm sf} \equiv -\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}/\partial \ln V \approx +7.6$ for CeSn₃. It is of interest to compare $\gamma_{\rm sf}$ for this concentrated Ce system which is at or near mixed valency with values for very dilute single-impurity Kondo systems with Ce impurities⁴: For LaCe, $T_{\rm sf} \simeq 0.2$ K and $\gamma_{\rm sf} \approx +50$; for YCe, $T_{\rm sf} \simeq 40$ K and $\gamma_{\rm sf} \approx +30$. By comparing these three Ce systems, there appears to emerge the trend that $\gamma_{\rm sf}$ increases as $T_{\rm sf}$ decreases. A similar rough correlation may hold for 3*d*-impurity systems.⁴

As an aid in understanding this apparent correlation, we invoke a Kondo-type model and plot in Fig. 8 the Kondo or spin-fluctuation temperature given by³¹

$$T_{\rm sf} = T_{\rm f} \rho^{1/2} e^{-1/\rho} , \qquad (1)$$

and its derivate $\partial \ln T_{\rm sf} / \partial \rho$ as a function of

$$\rho = |JN(E_f)| = |V_m^2 N(E_f)/(2\epsilon_{\rm ex})| , \qquad (2)$$

where $N(E_f)$ is the density of states, and the negative exchange parameter J is related to ϵ_{ex} and the mixing matrix element V_m as given by Schrieffer³² for a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ system. We use here a free-electronlike value of the degeneracy temperature $T_f = 60\,000$ K and assume $\partial T_f / \partial \rho = 0$. From Eq. (1) or Fig. 8 it is immediately clear that the observed positive value of $\partial T_{sf} / \partial \rho$ must imply that ρ increases with pressure. From Eq. (2) we see that an increase in ρ can arise either from an increase in the hybridization width $\Delta \sim V_m^2 N(E_f)$ or from a decrease in ϵ_{ex} , or both. If we now assume that Δ *increases* with pressure and is a linear function of volume change, whereas ϵ_{ex} remains constant, it follows that $\partial \rho / \partial \ln V$ is a negative constant for all values of ρ . From the expression

$$\gamma_{\rm sf} = \frac{-\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}}{\partial \ln V} = \left(\frac{-\partial \rho}{\partial \ln V}\right) \left(\frac{\partial \ln T_{\rm sf}}{\partial \rho}\right)$$
$$= \left(\frac{-\partial \rho}{\partial \ln V}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\rho}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) ,$$
(3)

it then follows that γ_{sf} should be positive and *decrease* as ρ (and thus T_{sf}) increases, as found in experiment as discussed above for Ce systems. In fact, using the above values of T_{sf} , we can then estimate from Eqs. (1) and (3) that $\gamma_{sf}(LaCe)/\gamma_{sf}(CeSn_3) \simeq 5.1$ compared with the experimental value of 6.6. This agreement is surprisingly good (for YCe, experiment gives twice the estimated value). From Eqs. (1) and (3) we obtain $\partial \ln \rho / \partial \ln V \simeq -1.4$ for CeSn₃, compared to the value ~ -4 for LaCe (Ref. 4); this decrease in magnitude is to be expected for constant $\partial \rho / \partial \ln V$.

If, on the other hand, we assume that ϵ_{ex} decreases with pressure and is a linear function of volume change, whereas Δ remains constant, it then follows that $\partial \rho^{-1}/\partial \ln V$ is a positive constant for all values of ρ . Since $\partial \rho/\partial \ln V = -\rho^2(\partial \rho^{-1}/\partial \ln V)$, it would follow from Eq. (3) that $\gamma_{sf} \sim (\rho/2) + 1$ and the value of γ_{sf} would be expected to increase as pressure increases ρ (and thus T_{sf}), contrary to experiment.

Within the above Kondo-type model with the above assumptions, it can thus be inferred from the present results on $CeSn_3$ that the observed increase of the spin-fluctuation temperature with pressure originates from an increase in the hybridization width Δ , the excitation energy remaining essentially constant.

Studies of the photoemission spectrum,^{11,33} $L_{\rm III}$ absorption edge,²⁸ Compton³⁴ scattering, positron annihilation,³⁵ and muon-spin rotation,³⁶ when taken together, give convincing evidence that in numerous γ - and α -like Ce systems (usually considered to be barely integral and strongly mixed valence, respectively) the 4*f*-occupation number is essentially integral in both cases with a 4*f*-stabilization energy $\epsilon_{\rm ex}$ near 2 eV. In fact, Allen and Martin¹⁰ have gone one step further and proposed that Ce's γ - α transition with its large volume collapse is driven by the binding energy of a Kondo ground state. From lattice-constant⁶ and neutron

scattering measurements,⁷ CeSn₃ would appear to be no exception to the above trend. Further evidence for the stability of ϵ_{ex} and the Ce³⁺ state to environmental changes is provided by the present observation of simple scaling in T/T_{sf} and the indicated insensitivity of ϵ_{ex} to pressure.

The existence or nonexistence of such simple oneenergy scaling laws as demonstrated by Lawrence⁶ for $CeIn_{3-x}Sn_x$ and here for $CeSn_3$ under pressure are of importance for attaining an understanding of the intermediate-valence state. One should, after all, only incorporate into a given model the absolute *minimum* number of characteristic energies necessary to describe the given phenomena. Further experimental work is needed, in particular within the strongly mixed-valence regime, to test the generality of such scaling relations.

Whereas in CeSn₃ it appears that $T_{\rm sf}$, and not the valence v, is initially sensitive to pressure, it would be expected that at sufficiently high pressures both quantities should change significantly. From this point on, simple scaling would break down. We would like to propose, in fact, the use of the requirement of scaling in two energies to determine the actual variation of $T_{\rm sf}$ and v. For Ce systems such a two-parameter scaling law might take the form $\chi T/C = [4-v(P)]f(T/T_{\rm sf}(P))$. The effective moment would thus go to zero as Ce's valence approaches 4. This method would, if successful, allow the determination of two characteristic energies using only a single experimental technique. From the

accuracy of the scaling in Fig. 7, the proposed twoparameter scaling law allows the estimate that in CeSn₃ the 4*f*-electron number remains constant under a pressure change of 13.5 kbar to within about 2%, even though $T_{\rm sf}$ increases by 20% as the sample volume decreases by 2.5%. Lawrence's studies⁶ on CeIn_{3-x}Sn_x, when analyzed in this manner, give a similarly small valence change across the compound series where $T_{\rm sf}$ has tripled in value. We feel that our proposed method of estimating changes in $T_{\rm sf}$ and v is worthy of further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., B. N. Harmon, R. M. Martin, and C. Stassis for helpful discussions and for critically reading the manuscript. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. S.A.S. acknowledges support of the work in Bochum by a scholarship from the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD); J.S.S. and O.D.M. acknowledge support of the research performed at the Ames Laboratory which is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-82, Office of Basic Energy Sciences. S.H.L. acknowledges support by the Oak Ridge National Laboratory which is operated by Union Carbide Corp. for the U.S. Department of Energy.

- *Permanent address: Islamia University, Bahawalpur, Pakistan.
- [†]On sabbatical leave (until August 1983) at Ames Laboratory—United States Department of Energy and Department of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011.
- ¹J. S. Schilling, in *Physics of Solids Under High Pressure*, edited by J. S. Schilling and R. N. Shelton (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981), p. 345.
- ²H. R. Krishna-murthy, J. W. Wilkins, and K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. B <u>21</u>, 1044 (1980).
- ³S. M. Mishra and T. V. Ramakrishna, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 2308 (1978).
- ⁴J. S. Schilling, Adv. Phys. <u>28</u>, 657 (1979); J. S. Schilling and W. B. Holzapfel, Phys. Rev. B <u>8</u>, 1216 (1973).
- ⁵H. Ramm, P. Pedroni, J. R. Thompson, and H. Meyer, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>2</u>, 539 (1970).
- ⁶J. Lawrence, Phys. Rev. B 20, 3770 (1979).
- ⁷J. Lawrence and M. T. Béal-Monod, in Valence Fluctuations in Solids, edited by L. M. Falicov, W. Hanke, and

M. B. Maple (North-Holland, New York, 1981), p. 53.

- ⁸J. C. P. Klasse, W. C. M. Mattens, F. R. deBoer, and P. F. de Chatel, Physica <u>86-88B</u>, 234 (1977).
- ⁹A. Edelstein (see the quote in Ref. 7).
- ¹⁰J. W. Allen and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>49</u>, 1106 (1982).
- ¹¹J. Beille, D. Bloch, J. Voiron, and G. Parisot, Physica <u>86-88B</u>, 231 (1977).
- ¹²J. G. Sereni, J. Phys. F <u>10</u>, 2831 (1980).
- ¹³W. Zell, K. Keulerz, P. Weidner, B. Roden, and D. Wohlleben, in *Valence Instabilities*, edited by P. Wachter and H. Boppart (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982); W. Zell, Ph.D. thesis, University of Cologne, 1981 (unpublished).
- ¹⁴M. Croft, J. H. Weaver, D. J. Peterman, and A. Franciosi, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>46</u>, 1104 (1981).
- ¹⁵"Delrin" is the trademark of the E. I. DuPont, De Nemours and Co. for a strong thermoplastic engineering material distributed by Ridout and Co., Inc. Plastics, 5535 Ruffin Rd., San Diego, CA 92123.

- ¹⁷The calibrated carbon-glass thermometer used is Model No. CGR-1-1000 from Lake Shore Cryotronics, Inc., Westerville, Ohio.
- ¹⁸U. Hardebusch, W. Gerhardt, and J. S. Schilling (unpublished).
- ¹⁹T. Tsuchid and W. E. Wallace, J. Chem. Phys. <u>43</u>, 3811 (1965).
- ²⁰W. H. Dijkman, F. K. de Boer, and P. F. de Chatel, Physica <u>98B</u>, 271 (1980).
- ²¹R. Takke, W. Assmus, B. Lüthi, T. Goto, and K. Andres, in *Crystalline Electric Field and Structural Effects in f-Electron Systems*, edited by J. E. Crow, R. P. Guertin, and T. W. Mihalisin (Plenum, New York, 1980), p. 321.
- ²²For an excellent recent review of valence fluctuation phenomena see, J. M. Lawrence, P. S. Riseborough, and R. D. Parks, Rep. Prog. Phys. <u>44</u>, 1 (1981).
- ²³D. C. Koskenmaki and K. A. Gschneidner, Jr., in Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, edited by K. A. Gschneidner, Jr. and L. Eyring (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1978), p. 337.
- ²⁴W. Zell, R. Pott, B. Roden, and D. Wohlleben, Solid State Commun. <u>40</u>, 751 (1981).
- ²⁵C. M. Varma, Rev. Mod. Phys. <u>48</u>, 219 (1976).
- ²⁶A few examples of phenomenological models are discussed in the following: B. C. Sales and D. K. Wohlleben, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 1240 (1975); D. K. Wohlleben, in *Valence Fluctuations in Solids*, edited by L. M. Falicov, W. Hanke, and M. B. Maple (North-Holland, New York, 1981) p. 1; P. F. de Chatel, J. Aarts, and J.

C. P. Klaasse, Commun. Phys. 2, 151 (1977).

- ²⁷A. S. Edelstein, A. T. Aldred, and A. E. Dwight, J. Appl. Phys. <u>49</u>, 2096 (1978).
- ²⁸K. R. Bauchpiess, W. Boksch, E. Holland-Moritz, H. Launois, R. Pott, and D. Wohlleben, in *Valence Fluctuations in Solids*, edited by L. M. Falicov, W. Hanke, and M. B. Maple (North-Holland, New York, 1981), p. 417.
- ²⁹S. H. Liu, in *Physics of Solids under High Pressure*, edited by J. S. Schilling and R. N. Shelton (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981), p. 327.
- ³⁰We assume $K = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ kbar⁻¹ (see Ref. 12), $\partial \ln \chi / \partial \ln V = \partial \ln N(E_F) / \partial \ln V$, and for the density of states $N(E_F) \propto V^{5/3}$ [see D. G. Pettifor, J. Phys. F <u>7</u>, 613 (1977)].
- ³¹H. R. Krishna-murthy, K. G. Wilson, and J. W. Wilkins, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>35</u>, 1101 (1975).
- ³²J. R. Schrieffer, J. Appl. Phys. <u>38</u>, 1143 (1967).
- ³³D. Wieliczka, J. H. Weaver, D. W. Lynch, and C. G. Olson, Phys. Rev. B <u>26</u>, 7056 (1982); J. W. Allen and R. M. Martin, Proceedings of the International Conference on Magnetism, Kyoto, 1982.
- ³⁴U. Korstädt, R. Lässer, and B. Lengeler, Phys. Rev. B <u>21</u>, 1898 (1980).
- ³⁵D. R. Gustafson, J. D. McNutt, and L. O. Roelling, Phys. Rev. <u>183</u>, 435 (1969).
- ³⁶H. Wehr, K. Knorr, F. N. Gygax, A. Schlenck, and W. Studer, Phys. Rev. B <u>24</u>, 4041 (1981).
- ³⁷C. Stassis, C.-K. Loong, B. N. Harmon, S. H. Liu, and R. M. Moon, J. Appl. Phys. <u>50</u>, 7567 (1979).

¹⁶A. Eiling and J. S. Schilling, J. Phys. F <u>11</u>, 623 (1981).