Crossover to mean-field behavior at marginal dimensionality

R. Frowein and J. Kötzler

Institut fur Festk'orperphysik, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, D-6100 Darmstadt, Federal Republic of Germany

B. Schaub and H. G. Schuster

Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Frankfurt, D-6000 Frankfurt/Main, Federal Republic of Germany (Received 4 August 1980; revised manuscript received 5 March 1981)

The effective critical exponent $\gamma_{\text{eff}} = d \ln \chi^{-1}/d \ln t$, where X is the magnetic susceptibility and $t = (T - T_c)/T_c$ is the reduced temperature, has been measured for the first time in the broad region $0.001 \le t \le 25$ in the uniaxial dipolar Ising ferromagnets LiTbF₄ and Dy(C₂H₅SO₄)₃ 9H₂O. For LiTbF₄ a distinct maximum is found in the *t* dependence of γ_{eff} which remains to be explained theoretically in a satisfactory way.

Near the Curie temperature, uniaxial ferromagnets like GdCl₃,¹ LiTbF₄,^{2,3} Dy(C₂H₅SO₄)₃ \cdot 9H₂O₁⁴ and TbF₃ (Ref. 5) are observed to obey mean-field laws enhanced by logarithmic corrections which are characteristic for a system near margina $16-8$ dimensionality. We measured for the first time the effective critical exponent of the (internal) magnetic zero-field susceptibility:

$$
\gamma_{\text{eff}} = \frac{d \ln \chi^{-1}(t)}{d(\ln t)} \quad , \tag{1}
$$

for LiTbF₄ and Dy($C_2H_5SO_4$)₃ (DyES) in the large region of reduced temperature, $0.001 \le t$
= $(T - T_c)/T_c \le 40$. Moreover, γ_{eff} turns out to pass through a maximum which remains to be explained in a satisfactory way by theory.

We employed precise low-field magnetization measurements described elsewhere in some detail. $3,4$ High-resolution SQUID detection of magnetic flux changes caused by very small temperature increments $(60-100 \mu K$ near T_c) allow for the small error bars in the differential quantity γ_{eff} , shown in Figs. 1 and 2.⁹ Each plotted value of γ_{eff} represents the mean of ten measuring points for $\chi(T)$ inbetween two adjacent values of t. The Curie temperatures were determined previously by adjusting the field and temperature dependence of the magnetization on both sides of T_c for LiTbF₄ (Ref. 4) and DyES (Ref. 3) to the Landau expansion modified by the logarithmic corrections. For LiTbF4, the high-temperature data include small correction, for the occupation of the first excited crystalline field level at $\Delta/k_B = 176$ K (Ref. 3) by the replacement

$$
T \rightarrow T \left[1 + \frac{29}{18} \exp\left(-\Delta/k_B T\right) \right]
$$

The most accurate result for the susceptibiltiy of an uniaxial dipolar ferromagnet has been given by

Brézin and Zinn-Justin^{7,8}:

$$
\chi^{-1}(t) \propto T \ t |\ln t|^{-1/3} (1 + c \ln |\ln t|/|\ln t|) \quad , \tag{2}
$$

where $c = (41 + 108 \ln \frac{4}{3})/243$ and we have explicitly noted the factor T^{-1} which comes from the fluctua tion dissipation theorem. However, formula (2) cannot describe our experiments because it does not lead
to the correct mean-field limit $x^{-1}(t >> 1)$ to the correct mean-field limit $x^{-1}(t >> 1) = (t)$ (const). It seems also very hard to improve Eq. (2) by a straightforward computation of higher-order terms because one obtains $\ln t$ ⁻¹ corrections with nonuniversal coefficients.⁸

We have found a way to extrapolate (2) to higher temperatures by observing that in the Ginzburg-Landau Hamiltonian of Brézin and Zinn-Justin,⁸ ac- μ and μ and μ and μ and μ and μ actual temperature cording to Wilson and Kogut, δ the actual temperature variable is $(T - T_c)/T$ and not $(T - T_c)/T_c$. There-

FIG. 1. Effective critical exponent of the zero-field susceptibility of LiTbF₄ for $0.001 \le t \le (T - T_c)/T_c \le 20$ $[T_c = 2.87075(3) \text{ K (Ref. 3)}]$ compared with a calculation from Eq. (5) using $t_0=0.3$ (full line).

25 4905

FIG. 2. Effective exponent of the magnetic susceptibility of DyES for $0.004 \le t \le 30$ [$T_c = 0.11817(3)$ K (Ref. 4)] compared to extrapolations from the dipolar critical region and from thc mean-field region, where one-dimensional short-range order is expected to be important. Full linc represents calculation using Eq. (5).

fore we have at least to replace $t \rightarrow t/(1+t)$ in Eq. (2). With this replacement $\chi^{-1}(t)$ shows the correct mean-field limit and we obtain

$$
\gamma_{\text{eff}} = 1 + \frac{1}{3} (1 + t)^{-1}
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[1 + c \left| 1 + \left| \ln \left| \frac{t}{t_0 (1 + t)} \right| \right| \right] \right]
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[1 + \left| \ln \left| \frac{t}{t_0 (1 + t)} \right| \right| + c \ln \left[1 + \left| \ln \left| \frac{t}{t_0 (1 + t)} \right| \right| \right] \right]^{-1}, \tag{3}
$$

where we have introduced as in Ref. 10 the temperature scale t_0 .

Figure 1 shows that (5) describes the experiment on LiTbF₄ quite well if one uses $t_0 \approx 0.3$ as the only fitting parameter. This t_0 value agrees with Ahlers's $et al.²$ result from specific-heat measurements in LiTbF₄.

Despite this success of our method we still feel that the mean-field limit of Eq. (2) should also be obtainable without our replacement $t \rightarrow t/(1 + t)$. It remains a challenge for theorists to include the relevant perturbations in the starting Hamiltonian and to perform a proper summation of the perturbation series for $\chi(t)$.

In contrast to LiTbF4 for DyES the maximum of γ_{eff} around $t = 1$ is much more enhanced as illustrated by Fig. 2. Our theoretical result, Eq. (2), cannot account for the observed value of $\gamma_{\text{eff}}=1.35$ since the upper limit attainable by Eq. (2) is given by $\gamma_{\text{eff}}(t = 1) = 1.25$. Therefore, an additional mechanism must be operative in DyES. it is suggestive to relate this effect to some one-dimensional shortrange order originating from nearest-neighbor (NN) interactions between Dy^{3+} along the hexagonal c axis, $E_{NN}/k_B = 0.104$ K, which ecceeds the next-nearestneighbor coupling by almost one order of magnitude. While in a pure one-dimensional system γ_{eff} tends to infinity as $T \rightarrow T_c = 0$, the interchain couplings cause a crossover to a finite γ_{eff} and a finite transition temperature, which at the present status of the theory cannot be calculated. Figure 2 shows that to some extent the high-temperature tail of γ_{eff} can be described by $\chi^{-1} \sim Te^{-2E_{NN}/k_B T} - \theta$, where the NN couplings are considered exactly and all other interactions in the mean-field approximation.¹¹

In conclusion we have measured the crossover from critical to mean-field behavior for $LiTbF₄$ and DyES in the rather broad temperature regime $10^{-3} \le t \le 25$. It seems worth noting that the ultimate mean-field regime is only obtained for $t \ge 40$. Observing that the relevant parameter entering the renormalization-group equations is $(T - T_c)/T$ and not the approximation $(T-T_c)/T_c$, the crossover of $\gamma_{\text{eff}}(t)$ in LiTbF₄ has been described by an extrapolation of known renormalization-group results, A more satisfactory theoretical explanation is still lacking.

- ¹J. Kötzler and W. Scheithe, Solid State Commun. 12, 643 (1973);J. Kotzler and G. Eiselt, Phys. Lett. A 58, 69 (1976).
- 2G. Ahlers, A. Kornblit, and H. J. Guggenheim, Phys. Rev. Lett. 34, 1227 (1975); J. Als-Nielsen, ibid. 37, 1161 (1976); J. A. Griffin, J. D. Litster, and A. Linz, *ibid.* 38, 251 (1977); P. Beauvillain, C. Chappert, and I, Laursen, J, Phys. C 13, 1481 (1980).
- ³R. Frowein, J. Kötzler, and W. Assums, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 739 (1979); R. Frowein and J. Kötzler (unpublished).
- 4R. Frowein and J. Kotzler, Z. Phys. B 25, 279 (1976).
- ⁵J. Brinkmann, R. Courths, and H. J. Guggenheim, Phys. Rcv. Lett. 40, 1286 (1978).
- ⁶K. G. Wilson and J. Kogut, Phys. Rep. C 12, 75 (1974).
- 7A. I. Larkin and D. E. Khmelnitzkii, Zh. Eksp, Teor. Fiz. 56, 2087 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 29, 1123 (1969)]; A. Aharony, Phys. Rev. B 8, 3363 (1973}.
- E, Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. 8 13, 251 (1976).
- 9See AIP document no. PAPS PRBMD-25-4905-4 (give brief description of material). Order by PAPS

4906

number and journal reference from American Institute of Physics, Physics Auxiliary Publication Service, 335 East 45th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. The price is \$1.50 for each microfiche (98 pages); or \$5 for photocopies of up to 30 pages with \$0.15 for each additional page over 30 pages. Airmail additional. Make checks payable to the American Institute of Physics. This material also appears in Current Physics Microform, the monthly microfilm

edition of the complete set of journals published by AIP, on the frames immediately following this journal article. ¹⁰A. Aharony and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35, 1308 (1975).

11A. H. Cooke, D. T. Edmonds, C. B. P. Finn, and W. P. Wolf, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A 252, 246 (1959); 306, 313 (1968).