Distinct $\ln T$ -dependent resistance of Ce_{1.2}Mo₆S₈ under high pressure

M. K. Wu, V. Diatschenko, P. H. Hor, S. Z. Huang,^{*} T. H. Lin, R. L. Meng,[†] D. L. Zhang,[†] and C. W. Chu Department of Physics and Energy Laboratory, University of Houston, Houston, Texas 77004

(Received 12 June 1981; revised manuscript received 20 November 1981)

We have measured the resistivity and ac magnetic susceptibility of $Ce_{1,2}Mo_6S_8$ up to ~ 120 kbar down to 1.2 K. The antiferromagnetic state is first enhanced and then suppressed by pressure. Meanwhile the resistance becomes to increase logarithmically with decreasing temperature over a large temperature range. The results are discussed in terms of existing models.

Since the 4f level of the Ce atoms is close to the Fermi level, the interaction between the otherwise completely localized 4f electrons and the conduction electrons is strong. As a result, the Ce atoms can be found in a magnetic, a Kondo, or a nonmagnetic state, depending on the Ce-Ce interaction strength, which is variable by compressing or alloying. The study of the competitions between different magnetic interactions, especially in concentrated magnetic systems,¹⁻⁵ has been of great current interest. Unfortunately, the complexity of the phase diagrams and the large phonon contribution to the resistance of the compounds previously investigated make the interpretation difficult. $Ce_{1,2}Mo_6S_8$ (Ce-Mo-S) crystallize in a ternary Chevrel phase⁶ in which the Mo clusters form a rhombohedral lattice with open channels to accommodate the Ce atoms in an orderly fashion. In contrast to expectations, Ce-Mo-S is not superconducting. Instead, it has been demonstrated⁷ that Ce-Mo-S orders antiferromagnetically at ${\sim}2$ K and can be treated as a Kondo system. We therefore decided to examine the Ce-Mo-S Chevrel compound under high pressures. As pressure increases, the antiferromagnetic state of the compound has been observed to be first enhanced and then suppressed, and the resistance to become a logarithmically increasing function of decreasing temperature over a wide temperature range. The results will be discussed and compared with predictions of existing models.

We have measured the ac electrical resistance Rand the ac magnetic susceptibility χ on eight Ce-Mo-S samples under hydrostatic pressure up to 18 kbar and quasihydrostatic pressure up to 120 kbar between 1.2 and 300 K. The compacted samples were prepared by the sintering technique.⁶ The x-ray diffraction pattern showed only a single Chevrel phase with lattice parameters a = 9.12 Å and c = 11.47 Å in excellent agreement with previously published results.⁶ The resolution of our x-ray analyses set a limit of <5% for any second phases, should they exist. Within the resolution of $\pm 10\%$ for the energy dispersion analysis of x-ray, the compositions detected agreed with the nominal values of our samples. The standard four-lead technique was employed for the R measurements and an inductance bridge method for the x. Both were operated at 23.5 Hz. The hydrostatic environment up to ~ 18 kbar was provided by a modified high-pressure clamp⁸ with the 1:1 *n*-pentane isoamyl alcohol fluid mixture as the pressure medium. The pressure was determined by a superconducting Pb manometer at low temperature. Compacted sintered samples of dimensions $\sim 3 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³ were used for hydrostatic pressure measurements. The quasihydrostatic pressures up to \sim 120 kbar were generated by using the Bridgman anvil technique⁸ with solid steatite as the pressure medium. The applied load, at room temperature, to the anvil set was calibrated against a superconducting Pb manometer in a different run to avoid interference with the R of the samples. Because of the difference in packings from run to run, the quoted quasihydrostatic pressure in this study can be underestimated as much as 15% at 120 kbar. The samples used for these quasihydrostatic measurements were the pulverized sintered compacts of Ce-Mo-S. The sample under pressure was in a disk form with an estimated thickness of 0.01 mm. The resistivity of the samples at 4 K under 120 kbar is estimated to be in the m Ω cm range.

The temperature dependence of R at ambient pressure previously observed⁷ was reproduced in our Ce-Mo-S samples. As shown in Fig. 1, with decreasing temperature, R first decreases monotonically then rises below ~ 15 K, but with a sharp drop at ~ 2.5 K. The R minimum at 15 K and the sharp drop at ~ 2.5 K have been attributed⁷ to the Kondo (K) resonance scattering and an antiferromagnetic (AF) ordering, respectively. Under hydrostatic pressures, as shown in the same figure, the room-temperature R decreases rapidly and the low-temperature R rise grows, with only a slight change in the minimum R. At the same time, the temperature T_m for the R minimum is shifted upward, whereas the temperature T_p for the R peak is enhanced initially, but suppressed above ~ 10 kbar. The low-temperature χ was simultaneously monitored as a function of temperature T at different *P*'s. As displayed in the insert of Fig. 1, the small χ rise, signaling the onset of the AF ordering, increases

<u>25</u>



FIG. 1. The T dependence of R and χ of Ce-Mo-S under hydrostatic pressures.

in magnitude and shifts toward higher P up to ~ 13 kbar. The reverse is true above ~ 13 kbar. The above observations are completely reversible on the removal of P. Figure 2 shows the R results normalized to their 300-K values as a function of T under quasihydrostatic pressures. The similar T dependence of curve 1 at 1 bar (obtained with the hydrostatic pressure clamp) and curve 2 at ~ 1.5 kbar (the minimum P required to establish good electrical contacts of the leads to the sample between the Bridgman anvils) indicates that Ce-Mo-S is not sensitive to the different P conditions in the two high-pressure techniques employed for the present study. As shown in Fig. 2, T_m continues to increase and the low-temperature R rise evolves into a distinct $\ln T$ dependent R over an ever-increasing T range as P increases. Meanwhile, the slope of the $R - \ln T$ plot increases. For example, at ~ 120 kbar, R increases linearly with decreasing $\ln T$ from 200 down to 4 K, resulting in an \sim 18-fold increase of *R*. Curve 4 in Fig. 2, taken after curve 9 on the partial removal of P, demonstrates the reversibility of the P effect on Rby use of the Bridgman anvils. Unfortunately, the exact P is difficult to determine during the Preduction cycle with our experimental arrangement. The R, at 300 K under P, reveals only a smooth monotonic decrease and a small minimum at ~ 65 kbar when the R minimum in Fig. 1 is shifted to above 300 K.

As mentioned earlier, the R drop and the χ rise in Ce-Mo-S represent a transition to an AF state,⁷ con-



FIG. 2. The T dependence of R under quasihydrostatic pressures except curve 1 which was for a compacted sintered sample determined at atmospheric pressure. Curve 4 was obtained during a P-reduction run.

sistent with the specific-heat and dc magnetic susceptibility measurements at 1 bar. T_p and T_0 defined in Fig. 1 are therefore a direct measure of the Néel temperature T_N of Ce-Mo-S. As shown in Fig. 3, both T_p and T_0 are initially enhanced and then suppressed by P, forming the phase boundary between a paramagnetic and an antiferromagnetic state. In other words, the AF interaction is a nonmonotonically varying function of P with a peak at ~10 kbar.

It is known that K scattering due to noninteracting magnetic impurity gives⁹ rise to a resistance $\propto JN \ln T$, with J being the negative exchange interaction parameter and N the density of states at the Fermi level. The combined effect of this Kondo and the phonon scattering results in an R minimum. The Rminimum has therefore been attributed to the Kscattering and T_m taken as a qualitative measure of the Kondo temperature T_K . Since T_K is always lower than T_m , the dashed curve in Fig. 3 represents schematically the T_K -P relation. Both T_K and T_m are increasing functions of P up to ~ 120 kbar. Since T_K is $\propto \exp(1/JN)$, a positive $\partial |JN|/\partial P$ is inferred. The same conclusion can also be drawn from the everincreasing slope of the $R - \ln T$ plot with P in Fig. 2. This is because $\partial R / \partial \ln T$ is $\propto JN$ due to K scattering.⁹ The positive P effect on |JN| can be understood by considering the J which¹⁰ is $\propto |V_{kf}|^2/|E_f - E_F|$, with V_{kf} representing the mixing between the conduction and the 4f electrons, E_f the 4f level and E_F the Fer-



FIG. 3. The P dependence of T_m , T_p , and T_0 . Symbols •, Δ , and \times represented runs under hydrostatic pressures and the rest under quasihydrostatic pressures. The dashed curve was drawn schematically to represent the T_K -P relation.

mi level. A positive $\partial |JN|/\partial P$ is then realized either through the increasing mixing between the conduction and 4f electrons due to 4f-band broadening, or through the upward lift of E_f toward E_F by P. Since T_N is $\alpha J^2 N$ for an Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida antiferromagnet like Ce-Mo-S, such a positive $\partial |JN|/\partial P$ up to ~120 kbar would also imply a positive $\partial T_N/\partial P$ or $\partial T_0/\partial P$ up to ~120 kbar, in disagreement with our observation. This suggests the possible existence of a competition between various magnetic interactions.

To examine the competition between different magnetic interactions, let us consider the model proposed by Doniach¹¹ for a concentrated magnetic system called Kondo lattice. According to this model, a transition from an AF to a K state will result when J exceeds a critical value. Calculations made on a one-dimensional analog with one localized spin per cell at 0 K support the proposition, although mathematical difficulty prevents similar calculations from being performed on a three-dimensional system. A qualitative argument for the proposition was also made¹¹ by comparing the binding energies of the two states. Since they are $\propto \exp(1/JN)$ and $\propto J^2N$ for the K and AF states, respectively, when |JN| is small, the AF state dominates, while large, the Kstate prevails. This is in agreement with the phase diagram shown in Fig. 3. The suppression of the AF state by P may therefore be attributed to the interference between the AF and K states, instead to the 4fband broadening. Such a band broadening and the ensuing delocalization of the 4 f moment would have prohibited us from observing the continuous increase in the K scattering after the complete suppression of AF state. Preliminary results on pseudoternary compounds of Ce-La-Mo-S, where J is varied, seem to be consistent with such a model. It should be noted that a similar competition but between a spin-glass and a K state has been previously proposed¹² and observed.13

In the above discussion, the $\ln T$ dependence of R has been associated with the K scattering. The unusually rapid suppression of the superconducting transition temperature of the Chevrel ternary La-Mo-S by Ce-Mo-S strongly suggest⁷ that Ce-Mo-S can be treated as a Kondo system. The attribution of the low-temperature R rise at 1 bar to K scattering is hence all the more natural. Since the $\ln T$ dependence of R at high P is a continuous outgrowth of such a low-temperature R rise, its association with the K scattering appears reasonable. It should be pointed out that the R considered here is the total resistance of Ce-Mo-S without the subtraction of the background contribution, as ordinarily done for a Ksystem. This may be justifiable in view of the large suppression of R by P above T_m . However, the large T range over which the $\ln T$ dependence is valid, the large R increase with decreasing T, and the lack of indication of an R saturation at low temperature are in strong contrast to expectations^{9,14} of a K system. In addition, the model on Kondo lattice is yet to be proved theoretically for a three-dimensional system. Therefore, the possibility of an undetermined type of magnetic excitations responsible for the $\ln T$ dependence cannot be ruled out at the present time. The large resistivity of Ce-Mo-S, approximately a few $m\Omega$ cm, at 4 K and 120 kbar is large for magnetic scattering in a metal. Effects on R, the possible creation of a gap¹⁵ as |J| increases, and the localization of defect¹⁶ in Ce-Mo-S under P should also be examined. To investigate some of these possibilities, transport measurements under high pressure in the presence of strong magnetic fields are under way on the Ce-Mo-S and alloyed compounds.

The work at Houston is supported in part by NSF under Grant No. DMR 79-08486 and the Energy Laboratory of the University of Houston. Discussion with P. H. Schmidt, L. DeLong, R. Guertin, W. Y. Lai, B. Maple, and R. Shelton are greatly appreciated.

- *Present address: IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, Calif.
- [†]On leave from the Physics Institute, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
- ¹For a review, see Valence Instabilities and Related Narrow Band Phenomena, edited by R. D. Park (Plenum, New York, 1977); and J. Phys. (Paris) 40, C5 (1979).
- ²A. Eiling and J. S. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>46</u>, 364 (1981), and references therein.
- ³K. A. Gschneider, Jr., P. Burgardt, S. Legvold, J. O. Moorman, T. A. Vyrostek, and C. Stassio, J. Phys. F <u>6</u>, L49 (1976); T. G. Ramesh and V. Shubha, *ibid*. <u>10</u>, 1821 (1980).
- ⁴M. Nicolas-Francillon, A. Percheron, J. A. Achard, O. Gorochov, B. Cornut, D. Jerome, and B. Coqblin, Solid State Commun. 11, 1525 (1975).
- ⁵A. S. Edelstein, C. J. Tranchita, O. D. McMasters, and K. A. Gschneider, Jr., Solid State Commun. <u>15</u>, 81 (1976).
 ⁶For a review, see Ø. Fischer, Appl. Phys. <u>16</u>, 1 (1978).
- ⁷M. B. Maple, L. E. DeLong, W. A. Fertig, D. C. Johnston,

- R. W. McCallum, and R. N. Shelton, in *Valence Instabilities and Related Narrow Band Phenomena*, edited by R. D. Park (Plenum, New York, 1977), p. 17; R. W. McCallum, Ph.D. thesis (University of California, San Diego, 1977) (unpublished).
- ⁸C. W. Chu, A. P. Rusakov, S. Huang, S. Early, T. H. Geballe, and C. Y. Huang, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 2116 (1978).
- ⁹J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. <u>32</u>, 37 (1964); Solid State Phys. <u>23</u>, 183 (1969).
- ¹⁰J. R. Schrieffer and P. A. Wolff, Phys. Rev. <u>149</u>, 491 (1966).
- ¹¹S. Doniach, Physica (Utrecht) <u>91B</u>, 231 (1977); R. Jullien, P. Pfeuty, J. N. Fields, and S. Doniach, J. Phys. (Paris) <u>40</u>, C5-293 (1979).
- 12 U. Larsen, J. Appl. Phys. <u>49</u>, 1610 (1978).
- 13 J. S. Schilling, Adv. Phys. <u>28</u>, 657 (1979).
- ¹⁴D. R. Hamman, Phys. Rev. <u>158</u>, 570 (1967).
- ¹⁵R. Jullien and P. Pfeuty, J. Phys. F <u>11</u>, 353 (1981).
- ¹⁶P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 124, 41 (1961).