

EPR of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> in single-crystal ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel

J. J. Krebs, G. H. Stauss, and J. B. Milstein  
 Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C. 20375  
 (Received 1 May 1979)

The EPR spectra of Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> in the single crystal ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> have been measured. The room-temperature spin-Hamiltonian parameters of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, which are related to the magnetocrystalline anisotropy in the spinel ferrites, are  $g_{\parallel} = 2.005(2)$ ,  $g_{\perp} = 2.008(3)$ ,  $D = -0.2442(2) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ,  $a = +0.0444(8) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and  $a - F = 0.0353(4) \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . For Mn<sup>2+</sup> the parameters are  $g = 2.0011(6)$  and  $A = -76.2(2) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The details of the Mn<sup>2+</sup> spectra indicate a small degree of A-B disorder in this normal spinel. The Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> are located on B and A sites, respectively.

The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in spinel crystals are relevant to the "single-ion" mechanism<sup>1</sup> of cubic anisotropy in the isomorphous ferrites. We have made an EPR examination of well-formed crystals of ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and have identified the spectra of three ions: Cr<sup>3+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, and Mn<sup>2+</sup>. The first conforms with the properties reported by Kahan and Macfarlane.<sup>2</sup> The other two have not been reported and are the subject of this communication.

ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is a normal cubic spinel of space group  $O_h^7$  and lattice parameter<sup>3</sup>  $a_0 = 8.33 \text{ \AA}$ , with Zn in tetrahedral ( $T_d$ ) A sites and Ga in trigonally distorted octahedral ( $D_{3d}$ ) B sites. The crystals, which have a faint yellow color, were grown using the method described by Van der Straten *et al.*<sup>4</sup> No attempt was made to deliberately dope the crystals and the reagents used were nominally 99.99% pure. The EPR signal intensities gave estimates of 100 and 3 ppm for the Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> concentrations, respectively.

Spectra were obtained at room temperature and 4.5 K with a Varian E-9 X-band spectrometer with a Helitran refrigeration insert. Data were taken at magnetic field orientations throughout the (110) plane of the sample. Field values for resonance for  $\vec{H}$  parallel to [001], [110], and [111] were calibrated with an NMR magnetometer and used for computer optimization of the spin-Hamiltonian parameters.

a. Fe<sup>3+</sup>. The Fe<sup>3+</sup> spectra can be fit by a spin Hamiltonian suitable to the trigonally distorted B sites. Suppressing constant terms, for  $S = \frac{5}{2}$  this takes the form<sup>5</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & g_{\parallel} \mu_B H_z S_z + g_{\perp} \mu_B (H_x S_x + H_y S_y) \\ & + DS_z^2 + \frac{1}{180} F(35S_z^4 - \frac{475}{2} S_z^2) \\ & + \frac{1}{6} a (S_{\xi}^4 + S_{\eta}^4 + S_{\zeta}^4) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi, \eta, \zeta$  refer to cube edge directions and in the set  $x, y, z$  the  $z$  direction is along the trigonal axis which is one of the  $\langle 111 \rangle$  directions. The spin-Hamiltonian parameters of Fe<sup>3+</sup>, derived from 20

well-defined lines observed in the three orientations, are shown in Table I. The rms error of 16 G is much less than a typical linewidth. The sign of  $D$  was confirmed by population differences at the two temperatures. Only a slight improvement in fit is achieved using our tabulated  $g$  values instead of average isotropic values.

In the "single-ion" explanation<sup>1</sup> of the cubic anisotropy constant  $K_1$  of the spinel ferrites,  $D, a,$  and  $F$  all play a role. The dominant contribution arises from the  $a$  term. Because of averaging over equivalent sites,  $K_1$  is less sensitive<sup>6</sup> to  $F$ , while  $D$  affects  $K_1$  only via the small second-order Wolf term  $D^2/H_m$  in which  $H_m$  is the molecular field. In Table II we summarize the room-temperature values of  $a, F,$  and  $D$  for Fe<sup>3+</sup> in single-crystal spinel samples. It should be noted that the lattice constant of ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is more nearly equal to those of the ferrites than are the lattice constants of the ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,<sup>7</sup> MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,<sup>8</sup> and Li<sub>0.5</sub>Al<sub>2.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> hosts<sup>9</sup> studied earlier by EPR. Since the Fe<sup>3+</sup> is located on an A site in ordered Li<sub>0.5</sub>Al<sub>2.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> rather than on a B site as in the other spinels, direct comparison is not possible but it is given for completeness.

b. Mn<sup>2+</sup>. For Mn<sup>2+</sup> in A sites one expects resonance patterns similar to those found by Soulié

TABLE I. Spin-Hamiltonian parameters of Fe<sup>3+</sup> in ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

	Room Temp.	4.5 K
$g_{\parallel}$	2.005(2)	2.006(2)
$g_{\perp}$	2.008(3)	2.008(4)
$D$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	-0.2442(2)	-0.2476(2)
$a - F$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	+0.0353(4)	+0.0372(5)
$a$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	+0.0444(8)	+0.0465(9)

TABLE II. Room-temperature zero-field splitting parameters for Fe<sup>3+</sup> in spinel single crystals.

Host	$a$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$F$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$D$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$a_0$ (Å)	Ref.
ZnGa <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.0444	0.0091	-0.2442	8.33	this work
ZnAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.0575	0.0104	-0.3402	8.086	7
MgAl <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	0.0475	0.0017	-0.2467	8.089	8
Li <sub>0.5</sub> Al <sub>2.5</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	≈0.01 <sup>a</sup>	~±0.0067 <sup>a</sup>	±0.104 <sup>a</sup>	7.92	9

<sup>a</sup>Fe<sup>3+</sup> is on an  $A$  site in ordered Li<sub>0.5</sub>Al<sub>2.5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> rather than a  $B$  site. The  $a$  value is preliminary.

*et al.*<sup>10</sup> for Mn<sup>2+</sup> in ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, in which the members of the characteristic hyperfine-split sextet of Mn<sup>2+</sup> have an orientation-dependent splitting and variable widths due to combined effects of a small cubic field term and second-order hyperfine terms. Instead we observe a situation more like that found<sup>11,12</sup> for Mn<sup>2+</sup> in MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, in which the components are all of constant width (18 G here) and show additional broadening or structure only near  $\vec{H} \parallel [001]$ . It appears that there is sufficient lattice disorder to introduce ill-defined lower-symmetry crystal fields which broaden and eliminate from observability all but the  $M = \frac{1}{2} \leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{2}$  transitions. The collapse of trigonal field contributions at the [001] orientation apparently allows some additional transitions to become distinguishable. We observe no "forbidden" doublets between sextet lines. From this we conclude that the

magnitude of the lower-symmetry terms is less than 0.01 cm<sup>-1</sup>. If crystal-field contributions are assumed to average away, evaluation of the parameters in the simple Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = g\mu_B\vec{H} \cdot \vec{S} + A\vec{I} \cdot \vec{S}$  leads to the room-temperature [4.5 K] values  $g = 2.0011(6)$  [2.0012(6)] and  $A = -76.2(2) \times 10^{-4}$  [-76.9(2) × 10<sup>-4</sup>] cm<sup>-1</sup>, assumed negative. The  $g$  and  $A$  values are quite compatible with those for Mn<sup>2+</sup> in ZnAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and MgAl<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.<sup>11</sup>

From the identified spectra, which essentially exhaust the features observed, we conclude that Fe<sup>3+</sup> is substitutional for Ga in ZnGa<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> replaces Zn. The difficulties with the Mn<sup>2+</sup> spectra, which also are reflected in moderately broad asymmetric line shapes for Fe<sup>3+</sup>, indicate that the ordering of Zn and Ga on the  $A$  and  $B$  sites, respectively, is imperfect.

- <sup>1</sup>G. T. Rado and V. J. Folen, *Bull. Am. Phys. Soc.* **1**, 132 (1956); K. Yoshida and M. Tachiki, *Prog. Theor. Phys. (Kyoto)* **17**, 331 (1957); W. Wolf, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1152 (1957); V. J. Folen and G. T. Rado, *J. Appl. Phys.* **29**, 438 (1958).  
<sup>2</sup>H. M. Kahan and R. M. Macfarlane, *J. Chem. Phys.* **54**, 5197 (1971).  
<sup>3</sup>F. Buschendorf, *Z. Phys. Chem. (Frankfurt am Main) B* **14**, 297 (1931); T. Moeller and G. L. King, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **75**, 6060 (1953).  
<sup>4</sup>P. J. M. Van der Straten, R. Metselaar, and H. D. Jonker, *J. Crystal Growth* **43**, 270 (1978).  
<sup>5</sup>A. Abragam and B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic*

- Resonance of Transition Ions* (Oxford University, New York, 1970), p. 437.  
<sup>6</sup>V. J. Folen, *J. Appl. Phys.* **31**, 1665 (1960).  
<sup>7</sup>P. Gerber and F. Waldner, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **44**, 401 (1971).  
<sup>8</sup>E. Brun, H. Loeliger, and F. Waldner, *C. R. Colloque Ampere* **10**, 167 (1961).  
<sup>9</sup>V. J. Folen, in *Paramagnetic Resonance, Vol. 1*, edited by W. Low (Academic, New York, 1963), p. 68.  
<sup>10</sup>E. Soulié, M. Drifford, and P. Rigny, *Solid State Commun.* **12**, 345 (1973).  
<sup>11</sup>F. Waldner, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **35**, 756 (1962).  
<sup>12</sup>J. S. Shaffer, H. A. Farach, and C. P. Poole, Jr., *Phys. Rev. B* **13**, 1869 (1976).