# Electron-lifetime effects on properties of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge, and Ti-V-Cr alloys

L. F. Mattheiss and L. R. Testardi Bell Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 16 April 1979)

A simple model is applied to calculate electron-lifetime effects on the density of states, Fermi velocity, and Drude plasma energy in  $Nb_3Sn$ ,  $Nb_3Ge$ , and Ti-V-Cr alloys. Formulas are provided for utilizing these results to estimate a number of superconducting and normal-state properties of these materials.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Electron-lifetime effects on several basic physical parameters of some A-15 and bcc materials have recently been calculated<sup>1</sup> using a simple model that combines theoretical energy-band properties for perfect crystals and observed electrical resistivities. In this paper we extend these previous calculations (for V<sub>3</sub>Si and Zr-Nb-Mo alloys) to several additional A-15 and bcc materials (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge, and Ti-V-Cr alloys), and provide a catalog of formulas for applying this model to determine several superconducting and normal-state properties of these materials for arbitrary values of the resistivitiy  $\rho$ .

### II. METHOD

In applying this scheme, we have used energy bands derived from a tight-binding fit to augmentedplane-wave (APW)<sup>2</sup> (Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and V) and selfconsistent pseudopotential<sup>3</sup> (Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge) results to calculate the density of states N(E), Fermi velocity  $v_F(E)$ , and Drude plasma energy  $\Omega_p(E)$  for the perfectly ordered materials. Electron-lifetime effects are introduced by averaging these quantities over an energy interval  $E_B = \hbar/\bar{\tau}$  about the Fermi level. The mean electron lifetime  $\bar{\tau}$  is estimated by combining the calculated plasma energy  $\langle \Omega_P^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  (lifetime averaged) and the observed electrical resistivity  $\rho$ , using

$$\rho^{-1} = \frac{\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle \bar{\tau}}{4\pi \hbar^2} . \tag{1}$$

To test the sensitivity of the results to the detailed shape of the broadening function, both Lorentzian and Fermi-Dirac (with  $T = T_B \equiv \hbar/k \bar{\tau}$ ) functions have been used.<sup>1</sup> These yield results which agree to within  $\leq 10\%$ , which is the estimated accuracy of this method. Finally, we expect these calculated lifetime effects to apply equally to both thermal and defect resistivities at room temperature and below since  $T_B >> T$  for the materials considered here.

## **III. RESULTS**

Figures 1, 2, and 3 show the lifetime-averaged values of the bare density of states, Fermi velocity, and Drude plasma energy for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and Nb<sub>2.93</sub>Sn, Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge, and a series of Ti-V-Cr bcc alloys. These results use the Fermi-Dirac form of broadening function. The large differences in the calculated  $\langle N \rangle$  and  $\langle v_F \rangle$  at low  $\rho$  for Nb<sub>3-x</sub>Sn in Fig. 1 reflect details in the tight-binding interpolation scheme band structure<sup>2</sup> which we regard as unreliable. Experimental evidence indicates a high value for N in the low- $\rho$  state. For  $\rho \geq 30 \ \mu \Omega$  cm, however, the lifetime-averaged values are similar.

By using the thermal resistivity  $\rho(T)$  for the ordinates of Figs. 1, 2, and 3 (and Figs. 1 and 2 of Ref. 1), one obtains, implicitly, the temperature dependence of  $\langle N \rangle$ ,  $\langle v_F \rangle$ , and  $\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  resulting from lifetime broadening. (For example, at 300 K  $\rho \sim 70-80$  $\mu \Omega$  cm for A-15 compounds.) These large variations, which are at least qualitatively in agreement with experiment for the A-15 compounds and Nb (we are unaware of data for V), result from fine structure in the calculated N(E) results on a scale of 50-100 meV. This is in contrast to the fine structure of several meV sometimes invoked in theories of the A-15's to explain these temperature dependences. The importance of the lifetime broadening, compared to the thermal broadening used in the model theories, is quickly seen by comparing  $T_B$  (see Figs. 1-3) with T.

We present below a short list of formulas by which a number of important physical parameters can be obtained in terms of the calculated quantities. To simplify their use, practical units have been employed and these are listed following the equations. The definitions with proper units are given in parentheses.

The mean free path is given by

$$l = v_F \tau = \frac{4.91 \times 10^4 v_F}{\Omega_F^2 \rho} . \tag{2}$$

The relaxation time is given by

$$\tau = l/v_F = \frac{4.91 \times 10^{-12}}{\Omega_p^2 \rho} \ . \tag{3}$$

<u>20</u>

2196



FIG. 1. Density of states  $\langle N \rangle$ , Fermi velocity  $\langle v_F \rangle$ , and Drude plasma energy  $\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  as a function of resistivity for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn and Nb<sub>2.93</sub>Sn.



FIG. 2. Density of states  $\langle N \rangle$ , Fermi velocity  $\langle v_F \rangle$ , and Drude plasma energy  $\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  as a function of resistivity for Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge.



FIG. 3. Density of states  $\langle N \rangle$ , Fermi velocity  $\langle v_F \rangle$ , and Drude plasma energy  $\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$  as a function of resistivity for Ti-V-Cr bcc alloys.

The broadening temperature is given by

$$T_B = \hbar/k\,\bar{\tau} = 1.554\,\Omega_p^2\rho \ . \tag{4}$$

The BCS coherence length<sup>4, 5</sup> at 0 K is given by

$$\xi_0^*(0) = \left(\frac{0.18\,\hbar v_F^*}{kT_c}\right) = \frac{1.38 \times 10^4 v_F}{(1+\lambda)\,T_c} \,. \tag{5}$$

The London penetration depth<sup>4, 5</sup> at 0 K is given by

$$\lambda_L^*(0) = \left(\frac{c\,\hbar}{\Omega_p}\right) = \frac{1.98 \times 10^3 (1+\lambda)^{1/2}}{\Omega_p} \,. \tag{6}$$

The Ginzburg-Landau  $\kappa$  near  $T_c$  is<sup>5</sup>

$$t^* = \frac{0.137(1+\lambda)^{3/2} T_c \eta_{H_{c2}}}{\Omega_{\mu} v_F \chi(Z)}$$
(7)

and the temperature dependence of the upper critical field near  $T_c$  is given by<sup>5</sup>

$$\frac{-dH_{c2}}{dT}\Big|_{T_c} = \frac{3.18(1+\lambda)^2 T_c \eta_{H_{c2}}}{\chi(Z) v_F^2} , \qquad (8)$$

where

$$Z = \frac{0.88\xi_0^*(0)}{l} = \frac{0.246\,\Omega_p^2\rho}{(1+\lambda)\,T_c} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\chi(Z) = \frac{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (2\nu+1)^{-2} (2\nu+1+Z)^{-1}}{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (2\nu+1)^{-3}} .$$
 (10)

One may approximate X by

$$\chi_a(Z) = \left(1 + \frac{Z}{1.173}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (11)$$

which is exact in the clean  $[\xi_0(0)/l \rightarrow 0]$  and dirty  $[\xi_0(0)/l \rightarrow \infty]$  limits and makes a maximum error of  $\sim 6\%$  ( $x_a$  too large) at Z between 2 and 3. In the dirty limit

$$\frac{-dH_{c2}}{dT}\Big|_{T_c}^{\text{dirty}} = \frac{3.49 \times 10^3 (1+\lambda) N \rho \eta_{H_{c2}}}{a_0^3} .$$
(12)

Finally,  $\Omega_p$ , N, and  $v_F$  are related by

$$\Omega_p^2 = \left(\frac{8\pi}{3} \hbar^2 e^2 v_F^2 N\right) = \frac{5.24 \times 10^3 v_F^2 N}{a_0^3} .$$
(13)

Units and definitions. N [states/(spin eV unit-cell)] ("bare"). (The calculated N's in Figs. 1–3 are per transition-metal atom.)  $v_F$  (10<sup>8</sup> cm/sec) ("bare"). l,  $\xi_0^*(0), \lambda_{L_s}^*$  and  $a_0$  in (Å).  $a_0^3$  is the volume of the unit cell (Å<sup>3</sup>).  $\rho_0$  ( $\mu \Omega$  cm);  $\Omega_p$  (eV);  $dH_{c2}/dT$ (oersteds/K).  $\eta_{H_{c2}}$  is the strong-coupling correction of Rainer and Bergman.<sup>6</sup> ( $\eta_{H_{c2}} = 1$  for weak coupling and ~1.25 for  $T_c/\langle\omega\rangle = 0.1$ ).  $\lambda$  is the electronphonon renormalization parameter. Equations (2)–(13) are evaluated with the lifetime-broadened parameters,  $\langle \Omega_p^2 \rangle^{1/2}$ ,  $\langle v_F \rangle$ , and  $\langle N \rangle$ , respectively.

Tables I and II summarize some calculated properties of these A-15 and bcc materials which are derived from Eqs. (2)–(13) for representative values of  $\rho$ .<sup>7</sup> For the A-15 materials the lower chosen values of  $\rho$  are typical of "as-grown" materials at low temperatures while the higher value (150  $\mu \Omega$  cm) is about twice the room-temperature resistivity and is characteristic of the "saturated defect state".<sup>8</sup> For Nb and V the lower and higher  $\rho$ 's correspond to residual resistivities of clean materials at low T, and to thermal resistivities (very roughly) at 300 K, respectively. Typical residual resistivities have been chosen for Nb<sub>0.5</sub>Zr<sub>0.5</sub> and Nb<sub>0.5</sub>Mo<sub>0.5</sub>.

The calculated quantities in Tables I and II include electron-phonon renormalization where applicable,

| ρ (μΩ cm)  | V <sub>3</sub> Si             |                                 | Nb <sub>3</sub> Sn                |                                | Nb <sub>3</sub> Ge                     |                                |
|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
|  | 3.75                          | 150                             | 10                                | 150                            | 40                                     | 150                            |
| $\tau^* (10^{-14} \text{ sec})$  | $11.2(1 + \lambda)$           | $0.30(1 + \lambda)$             | $4.35(1+\lambda)$                 | $0.30(1 + \lambda)$            | $1.0(1 + \lambda)$                     | $0.25(1 + \lambda)$            |
| 1 (Å)  | 160-190                       | 7.7                             | 90-120                            | 8.7                            | 21                                     | 8.8                            |
| $\xi_0^*(0)$ (Å)   | $\frac{115-140}{1+\lambda}$   | $\frac{1630}{1+\lambda}$        | $\frac{95-130}{1+\lambda}$        | $\frac{810}{1+\lambda}$        | $\frac{79}{1+\lambda}$                 | $\frac{864}{1+\lambda}$        |
| $\xi_d^*(0) \equiv (\xi_0^*/)^{1/2} (\text{\AA})$  | · · · ·                       | $\frac{112}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$ |                                   | $\frac{84}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$ | •••••<br>•••                           | $\frac{87}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$ |
| $\lambda_L^*(0)$ (Å)   | $584(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$      | $598(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$        | $585(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$          | $603(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$       | $565(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$               | $549(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$       |
| $\lambda_{d}(0) \equiv \lambda_{L}^{*}(0) \left(\frac{\xi_{0}^{*}}{l}\right)^{1/2} (\text{\AA})$   |                               | 8700                            | . <del></del> .                   | 5820                           | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | 5440                           |
| κ*/η <sub>Ηc2</sub>  | $(4-5)(1+\lambda)^{3/2}F^{a}$ | $57(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$         | $(4.3-5.9)(1+\lambda)^{3/2}F^{a}$ | $52(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$        | $7(1+\lambda)^{3/2}F^{a}$              | $46(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$        |
| $\eta_{H_{c2}}^{-1} \frac{-dH_{c2}}{dT} \bigg  r_c \left( \frac{\mathrm{kOe}}{\mathrm{K}} \right)$ | $(2-2.8)(1+\lambda)^2 F^a$    | $21(1 + \lambda)$               | $(0.8-1.4)(1+\lambda)^2 F^a$      | $14(1 + \lambda)$              | $1.7(1+\lambda)^2 F^a$                 | $13(1 + \lambda)$              |
| <i>T</i> <sub>c</sub> (K)  | 17                            | 2.2                             | 18                                | 3.0                            | 23                                     | 3.5                            |

TABLE I. Calculated properties of A-15 compounds.

|  | N                         | b                        | Nb <sub>0.5</sub> Zr <sub>0.5</sub> | Nb <sub>0.5</sub> Mo <sub>0.5</sub> |                           | v                               |
|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|
| ρ (μΩ cm)  | ≈0                        | 15                       | 40                                  | 15                                  | ≈0                        | 25                              |
| $\tau^*$ (10 <sup>-14</sup> sec)   |                           | $0.44(1 + \lambda)$      | $0.20(1 + \lambda)$                 | $0.55(1 + \lambda)$                 | ••••                      | $0.39(1 + \lambda)$             |
| I. (Å)   | ••••                      | 27.4                     | 10.8                                | 41.4                                |                           | 13.6                            |
| $\xi_0^*(0)$ (Å)   | $\frac{840}{1+\lambda}$   | $\frac{1300}{1+\lambda}$ | $\frac{828}{1+\lambda}$             | $\frac{1.5\times10^5}{1+\lambda}$   | $\frac{833}{1+\lambda}$   | $\frac{2420}{1+\lambda}$        |
| $\xi_d^*(0) \equiv (\xi_0^* l)^{1/2} (\mathring{A})$   | •••                       | $\frac{189}{1+\lambda}$  | $\frac{94.6}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$    | $\frac{2490}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$    | •••                       | $\frac{181}{(1+\lambda)^{1/2}}$ |
| $\lambda_L^*(0)$ (Å)   | $222(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$  | $230(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$ | $252(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$            | $255(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$            | $257(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$  | $279(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$        |
| $\lambda_d(0) = \lambda_L^* \left(\frac{\xi_0^*}{l}\right)^{1/2} (\text{\AA})$                     |                           | 1585                     | 2210                                | $1.54 \times 10^{4}$                |                           | 3720                            |
| κ*/η <sub>Hc2</sub>  | $0.25(1 + \lambda)^{3/2}$ | $7(1+\lambda)^{1/2}$     | $16.7(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$           | $5.2(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$            | $0.30(1 + \lambda)^{3/2}$ | $14.6(1 + \lambda)^{1/2}$       |
| $\eta_{H_{c2}}^{-1} \frac{-dH_{c2}}{dT} \bigg  r_c \left( \frac{\mathrm{kOe}}{\mathrm{K}} \right)$ | $0.09(1+\lambda)^2$       | $2.3(1 + \lambda)$       | 5.6(1 + λ)                          | $0.07(1 + \lambda)$                 | $0.17(1+\lambda)^2$       | 6,85(1 + $\lambda$ )            |
| <i>T</i> <sub>c</sub> (K)  | 9.2                       | ~6.6                     | 9.0                                 | 0.07                                | 5.3                       | ~2.0                            |

TABLE II. Calculated properties of bcc materials.

and the renormalization factor is explicitly shown. Strong-coupling corrections are given only for  $\kappa^*$  and  $(-dH_{c2}/dT)_{T_c}$ . For several A-15 materials, a range of values is given for the low- $\rho$  state. These reflect our estimate of the uncertainties in the calculated fine structure in N(E) and  $v_F(E)$  which originate from inaccuracies in the tight-binding fit to the APW results as well as the APW bands themselves.

In general, the results in Tables I and II are less reliable for the low- $\rho$  state. Independent APW bandstructure calculations<sup>9,10</sup> utilizing more precise interpolation techniques indicate that larger values of  $N(E_F)$  and smaller values of  $v_F$  are appropriate for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn. Similar discrepancies also occur in Nb<sub>3</sub>Ge where two independent calculations<sup>3,9</sup> yield values of  $N(E_F)$  which differ by a factor of 2.

For all materials high  $\rho$  is accompanied by a reduced  $\lambda$  and  $T_c$ . The values chosen for the latter (see Tables I and II) in calculating the superconducting properties are experimental, but they are also consistent with those calculated from lifetime-broadened properties.<sup>1</sup> All calculations can be extended to any value of  $\rho$ , of course, using Eqs. (2)–(13) above, and Figs. 1 to 3 of this paper and Figs. 1 and 2 of Ref. 1.

Note that typical as-grown A-15 compounds (see values in the low- $\rho$  columns of Table I) do not well satisfy the clean limit approximation  $l >> \xi_0$ . Therefore, in these materials the coherence length will be somewhat different from  $\xi_0$ , and the field penetration depth will be somewhat greater than  $\lambda_L$ , at absolute zero. The saturated defect state, however, is well described by the dirty limit approximation  $l \ll \xi_0$  so that  $\xi_d$  and  $\lambda_d$  are appropriate. However, due to the unusually large changes in  $v_F$ ,  $T_c$ , and  $\lambda$  with increasing  $\rho$  one obtains in A-15's the unusual result that  $\xi_d$ (high  $\rho$ ) is not much different than  $\xi_0$  (low  $\rho$ ) and should, in fact, be larger than  $\xi_0$  (low  $\rho$ ).

Accurate values for  $\lambda$  are not known in most cases. For V<sub>3</sub>Si estimates range from  $\lambda \sim 0.8-0.9$  (specific heat and magnetic susceptibility<sup>11</sup>) to  $\lambda \sim 1.29$  ( $\alpha^2 F$ measurements by far infrared transmission<sup>12</sup>) for low- $\rho$  material. From tunneling ( $\alpha^2 F$  measurements) the values  $\lambda \sim 1.67$  for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn,<sup>13</sup> and  $\lambda \sim 0.95$  for Nb,<sup>14</sup> have been reported for the low- $\rho$  materials. Significant reductions in  $\lambda$  occur in the high- $\rho$  states with  $\lambda$  in the A-15 saturated defect state probably being  $-\frac{1}{2}$  its value in the low- $\rho$  state.<sup>1,8,11</sup> The strong-coupling correction<sup>6</sup>  $\eta_{H_{c2}}$  probably lies between 1 and 1.35 for the materials considered here.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to W. E. Pickett for sending us a preliminary version of Ref. 3.

- <sup>1</sup>L. R. Testardi and L. F. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u>, 1612 (1978). We note that a factor of  $\hbar^2$  was omitted from Eq. (2) of this paper.
- <sup>2</sup>L. F. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. B <u>12</u>, 2161 (1975); L. F. Mattheiss, L. R. Testardi, and W. W. Yao, Phys. Rev. B 17, 4640 (1978). The present energy-band results for V
- are derived from unpublished APW calculations.
- <sup>3</sup>W. E. Pickett, K. M. Ho, and M. L. Cohen, Phys. Rev. B <u>19</u>, 1734 (1979).

<sup>4</sup>For  $t = T/T_c \sim 1$  the penetration depth

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_L(0)}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{(1-t)^{-1/2}}{\chi^{1/2}(Z)}$$

and the coherence length  $\xi = \lambda/\kappa$ . For  $t \to 0$  in the dirty limit  $\lambda \to \lambda_L (\xi_0/l)^{1/2}$  and  $\xi \to (\xi_0 l)^{1/2}$ .

- <sup>5</sup>See, for example, A. Fetter and P. C. Hohenberg, in *Superconductivity*, edited by R. D. Parks (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969), Vol. 2.
- <sup>6</sup>D. Rainer and G. Bergman, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>14</u>, 501 (1974). We have assumed that these strong-coupling corrections apply in the clean as well as the dirty limits.

<sup>7</sup>For V<sub>3</sub>Si,  $\rho_0 = 3.75 \ \mu \Omega$  cm corresponds to a resistance ratio (RR) of ~20 which is the approximate dividing line between nontransforming (RR less than 20) and transforming crystals. The equivalent lifetime broadening temperature for RR =20 is  $T_B \sim 50$  K which is roughly 2.5 times the structural transformation temperature  $T_m \sim 21$  K. Thus, as pointed out by S. J. Williamson, C.

- $T_m \approx 21$  K. Thus, as pointed out by S. J. Williamson, C. S. Ting, and H. K. Fung [Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>32</u>, 9 (1974)], the lifetime-broadening effects are very important for the structural transformation.
- <sup>8</sup>R. Viswanathan and R. Caton, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 15 (1978).
- <sup>9</sup>B. M. Klein, L. L. Boyer, D. A. Papconstantopoulos, and L. F. Mattheiss, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 6411 (1978).
- <sup>10</sup>A. T. van Kessel, H. W. Myron, and F. M. Mueller, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>41</u>, 181 (1978).
- <sup>11</sup>A. Guha, M. P. Sarachik, F. W. Smith, and L. R. Testardi, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 9 (1978).
- <sup>12</sup>S. McKnight, S. Perkowitz, D. Tanner, and L. R. Testardi Phys. Rev. (to be published).
- <sup>13</sup>L. Y. L. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29, 1082 (1972).
- <sup>14</sup>P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes, Phys. Rev. B <u>12</u>, 905 (1975).