VOLUME 19, NUMBER 12

High-frequency conductivity of charge-density-wave condensates at low temperatures

Hagen Kleinert and Kazumi Maki* Institut für Theoretische Physik, Freie Universität Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 33, Germany (Received 7 February 1979)

Making use of Zamolodchikov's S matrix for the sine-Gordon system in (1 + 1) dimensions, we calculate the frequency-dependent electric conductivity of the charge-density-wave (CDW) condensate at T = 0 K. It is assumed that the phase of the CDW wave function obeys a sine-Gordon equation. The conductivity has a square-root threshold structure at $\omega = 2m$ associated with soliton-antisoliton pair production, where m is the soliton energy. Furthermore below $|\omega| = 2m$, the conductivity has a series of resonance peaks due to the creation of the solitonantisoliton bound states at $\omega_n = 2m \sin[\pi(2n+1)/2\lambda]$, with n the integer and λ the dimensionless coupling constant ($\lambda >> 1$) of the system.

I. INTRODUCTION

The low-temperature conductivities of the quasione-dimensional charge-density-wave condensates like tetrathiafulvalene-tetracyanoquinodimethane (TTF-TCNQ), $K_2Pt(CN)_4Br_{0.3} \cdot 3H_2O$ (KCP), and NbSe₃ are of current interest. In the low-temperature region (say the temperature below 10 K), the quasiparticle density becomes negligible and it is believed that the electric conductivity should be dominated by ϕ solitons¹ [which are the kinks in the phase ϕ of the charge-density-wave (CDW) condensate].

According to Lee, Rice, and Anderson,^{2,3} the dynamics of the CDW condensate is described by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = N_0 \left[\phi_t^2 - c_0^2 \phi_x^2 - 2 \left(\frac{\omega_0}{N} \right)^2 (1 - \cos N \phi) \right] - \frac{e}{\pi} \epsilon , \quad (1)$$

where

$$N_0 = \frac{1}{4\pi\nu} \left[1 + \eta^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\omega_Q} \right)^2 \right],$$

$$c_0 = \nu \left[1 + \eta^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\omega_Q} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{\pi\nu} g^2, \qquad (2)$$

where v, Δ , and ω_Q are the Fermi velocity, the quasiparticle energy gap, and the phonon energy with $Q = 2p_F$, and η is the dimensionless electron-phonon coupling constant. Furthermore, ω_0 is the pinning frequency and N is an integer. In the following we assume that $s < c_0 < v$, where s is the phonon velocity.³ Finally, the last term in Eq. (1) describes the coupling of ϕ to the external electric field ϵ . As shown by Rice *et al.*, ¹ the nonlinear solution (ϕ soliton) of Eq. (1) carries the electric charge and gives rise to the low-temperature dc conductivity of activated form with E_{ϕ} the soliton energy as the activation energy. This may account for the observed dc conductivity of TTF-TCNQ (Ref. 4) and KCP,⁵ if the pinning frequency ω_0 is of the order of 10 K.

At even lower temperatures, where no thermally activated solitons are available, one of us $(K.M.)^6$ has shown that the conductivity is dominated by soliton-antisoliton pair production due to the electric field, which is strongly nonlinear in ϵ . However, the above calculation is limited to the frequency region $|\omega| << 2E_{\phi}$, where the pair production takes place via quantum-mechanical tunneling processes.

The object of the present paper is to study the electric conductivity of the model given in Eq. (1) in the whole frequency region (at T = 0 K); from zero frequency to slightly above the pair creation threshold $(\omega = 2E_{\phi})$. Recently Kaup and Newell⁷ have shown within the classical field theory that the above sine-Gordon system absorbs the electromagnetic wave with $\omega \leq 2E_{\phi}$ via dipole excitation of breathers (i.e., the soliton and antisoliton bound pairs). However, in the low-temperature region which we are interested in, the full quantum-mechanical treatment of the Lagrangian (1) is required. For example, in the quantum limit the breathers are allowed to have only discrete energies⁸ unlike the classical case.

About two years ago the S matrix as well as the electromagnetic vertex for soliton-antisoliton production of the sine-Gordon system was discovered by Za-molodchikov.^{9,10} His results allow us to construct the exact frequency-dependent conductivity of the sine-Gordon system at T=0 K.

For this purpose we shall first transform the

19

6238

©1979 The American Physical Society

Lagrangian density (1) into the standard form.¹¹

$$\mathbf{C}' = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - (\alpha / \beta^2) [1 - \cos(\beta \phi)] , \qquad (3)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} dx = \mathcal{L}' dx', \quad x' = x/c_0,$$

$$\phi' = \frac{1}{2} (N_0 c_0)^{1/2} \phi, \quad \alpha = \omega_0^2,$$

$$\beta = (8\pi)^{1/2} N \left[1 + \eta^{-1} \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\omega_Q} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/4}$$
(4)

$$= (8\pi)^{1/2} N (c_0/\nu)^{1/2}.$$

In Eq. (3) we have rewritten x' and ϕ' as x and ϕ . From Eq. (4) we have

$$0 < \beta^2 << 8\pi$$

which implies that the energy spectrum of the soliton-antisoliton bound states is given by⁸

$$E_n = 2m\sin(n\pi/2\lambda) , \qquad (6)$$

where $m = E \phi | (\equiv 8 \omega_0 \beta^{-2})$ is the soliton energy

 $\lambda = 8\pi\beta^{-2} - 1$

and *n* is an integer. In the present model $\lambda >> 1$ we expect a large number of the soliton-antisoliton

bound-state levels. We shall see later that the electric field couples only with those states with odd n. Therefore, at low temperatures these bound states contribute to a series of δ -function-like absorption spectrum in the electric conductivity.

II. FORMULATION

The electric conductivity is given by the imaginary part of the photon self-energy $\Pi(q^2)$ (the Kubo formula)

$$\sigma(\omega) = \frac{1}{\omega} \operatorname{Im} \Pi(q^2) \big|_{q^2 - \omega^2}, \qquad (7)$$

which is defined in terms of the polarization tensor in the 1 + 1 dimensions as

$$\Pi^{\mu\nu}(q) = ie^2 \int d^2x \ e^{iqx} \langle 0 | T[j^{\mu}(x)j^{\nu}(0)] | 0 \rangle$$

= $-(g^{\mu\nu} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu}q^{-2}) \Pi(q^2) ,$ (8)

where the current operator $j^{\mu}(x)$ is defined by

$$i^{\mu}(x) = \pi^{-1} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi(x) \tag{9}$$

and $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor. (Hereafter we adopt standard notations of the relativistic field theory in the 1+1 dimensions and set $c_0 \equiv 1$.) Inserting intermediate states, one has

Im
$$\Pi(q^2) = \frac{e^2}{2} \int \int dp_1 dp_2 \left(\frac{m^2}{E_1 E_2}\right) \sigma^2(p_1 + p_2 - q) \langle 0|j^{\mu}(0)|p_1 \bar{p}_2 \rangle \langle p_1 \bar{p}_2|j_{\mu}(0)|0 \rangle ,$$
 (10)

where

$$m = E_{\phi}$$
, $E_1 = (m^2 + \vec{p}_1^2)^{1/2}$,

etc. Here $|p_1\bar{p}_2\rangle$ denotes the state with a soliton (fermion) with relativistic two momentum p_1 and an antisoliton (antifermion) with p_2 . Making use of the equivalence between the sine-Gordon system (3) and the massive Thirring model,¹¹

$$L = i \,\overline{\psi} \,\gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \psi - \frac{1}{2} g \,\overline{\psi} \,\gamma^{\mu} \psi \,\overline{\psi} \,\gamma_{\mu} \psi \,, \qquad (11)$$

with

$$g = \pi (4\pi/\beta^2 - 1) ,$$

the current matrix elements may be parameterized in terms of two-dimensional spinors as

$$\langle 0 | j^{\mu}(0) | p_1 \bar{p}_2 \rangle \equiv u(p_1) \gamma^{\mu} v(p_2) G(q^2) , \qquad (12)$$

such that

Im
$$\Pi(q^2) = e^2 \frac{m^2}{2Ep} |G(q^2)|^2$$
, (13)

where $u(p_1)$ and $v(p_1)$ are two-component spinorwave functions for particle with momentum p_1 , and antiparticle with momentum p_2 , respectively, and

$$E = \frac{1}{2}s$$
, $p = \frac{1}{2}(s - 4m^2)^{1/2}$, $s = q^2$

are individual energy and momentum of the produced pair, the latter resulting in the characteristic square-root singularity at the threshold.

For the sine-Gordon Lagrangian (3) (or the massive Thirring model) the form factor $G(q^2)$ has been determined¹⁰ as

$$G(q^2) \equiv G(\theta) = \frac{\cosh[\frac{1}{2}(i\pi - \theta)]}{\cosh[\frac{1}{2}\lambda(i\pi - \theta)]} e^{iT(i\pi - \theta)} , \qquad (14)$$

with

$$T(z) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} \frac{\sin^2\left(\frac{zy}{2\pi}\right)\sinh\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)y\right]}{\sinh y \sinh\left[\frac{y}{2\lambda}\right]\cosh\frac{1}{2}y} .$$
 (15)

Here $\frac{1}{2}\theta$ is the rapidity of the particles in the center of mass frame

$$\sinh \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{p}{m}, \quad \cosh \frac{\theta}{2} = \frac{E}{m},$$

$$q^{2} = s = 2m (1 + \cosh \theta).$$
(16)

As we have noted already the parameter $\lambda(>0)$ is expressed in terms of β or g as

$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{2g}{\pi} = \frac{8\pi}{\beta^2} - 1 \ . \tag{17}$$

In particular, in the case of the charge-density-wave condensate, we have $\lambda >> 1$. From the $\cosh[\frac{1}{2}(i\pi + \theta)]$ denominator, the form factor $G(\theta)$

$$\theta_n = i \pi \left\{ 1 - \frac{n}{\lambda} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 3, 5, \dots, \left[\lambda \right] \quad , \tag{18}$$

corresponding to bound states with masses

$$M_n = 2m\sin(2n\pi/\lambda) . \tag{19}$$

Comparing with the mass spectrum of the bound states (6), one notes that the electromagnetic field couples to bound states with odd n, the state with c = -1, only, where c is the charge conjugation operator. These bound states give rise to a series of resonance poles in the complex electric conductivity.

The above form factor is derived from the S matrix for fermion (soliton) and antifermion (antisoliton) scattering in the c = -1 state^{9,10}

$$S_{f\bar{f}}^{(-)}(\theta) \equiv e^{2i\delta_{f\bar{f}}^{(-)}(\theta)} = -\frac{\cosh[\frac{1}{2}\lambda(i\pi+\theta)]}{\cosh[\frac{1}{2}\lambda(i\pi-\theta)]}S_{ff}(\theta) , \qquad (20)$$

where $S_{ff}(\theta)$ is the two-fermion scattering amplitude⁹

$$S_{ff}(\theta) = \prod_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma[\lambda(2l-\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(2l-2-\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[\lambda(2l-1+\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(2l-1+\hat{\theta})]}{\Gamma[\lambda(2l+\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(2l-2+\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[\lambda(2l-1-\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(2l-1-\hat{\theta})]},$$

with

$$\hat{\theta} = -i\theta/\pi \tag{21}$$

and follows uniquely by postulating (a) the absence of a left-hand cut in the s plane, i.e.,

$$G(i\pi + \theta) = G(i\pi - \theta), \quad \theta > 0 \quad , \tag{22}$$

(b) the discontinuity over the right-hand cut according to Watson's theorem

$$\frac{G(\theta)}{G(-\theta)} = e^{2i\delta_{ff}^{(-)}(\theta)}, \quad \theta > 0 \quad ,$$
(23)

and (c) the absence of any physical sheet singularity other than the bound-state poles displayed in the form factor of Eq. (14). For our purpose it is useful to rewrite the infinite tail of the product (21) as an integral representation

$$S_{ff}(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma[\lambda(2-\hat{\theta})] \Gamma(1-\lambda\hat{\theta}) \Gamma[\lambda(1+\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(1+\hat{\theta})]}{\Gamma[\lambda(2+\hat{\theta})] \Gamma(1+\lambda\hat{\theta}) \Gamma[\lambda(1-\hat{\theta})] \Gamma[1+\lambda(1-\hat{\theta})]} e^{J(\theta)} , \qquad (24)$$

where

$$J(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} \frac{e^{-2y} \sinh\left(\frac{\theta}{i\pi}y\right) \sinh\left[\frac{y}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\right]}{\sinh(y/2\lambda)\cosh\frac{1}{2}y}$$
(25)

Here use is made of the formula

$$\ln \frac{\Gamma(a-z)}{\Gamma(a+z)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} \left[-2\lambda z e^{-y/\lambda} + e^{y/\lambda(1/2-a)} \sinh(zy)/\sinh\left(\frac{y}{2\lambda}\right) \right].$$
 (26)

19

The integral (25) converges for

19

$$\operatorname{Im} \theta < \pi (2 + 1/\lambda) \tag{27}$$

due to the fact that we have explicitly kept the first l = 1 factor (20): its *t*-channel poles at $\theta_n^* = i \pi (n/\lambda)$ would destroy the convergence for Im $\theta \ge 1/\lambda$ if it were included into the integral representation.

III. LIMITING BEHAVIORS

It is now useful to discuss two regions separately.

A. Threshold region $q^2 \ge 4m^2$

Close to threshold the conductivity for the freefermion case is dominated by the p^{-1} divergence in Eq. (13). The form factor $G(q^2)$ introduces the following modification: If λ is not an odd integer, Eq. (14) shows

$$G(q^2) = -i \sinh(\frac{1}{2}\theta) \sec(\frac{1}{2}\pi\lambda) e^{T_{th}} .$$
 (28)

The $\sinh \frac{1}{2}\theta (\equiv p/m)$ factor destroys the threshold peak such that

Im $\Pi(q^2) = \frac{e^2 p}{2E} \left[\sec\left(\frac{\pi \lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2 e^{2T_{th}}$,

with

$$2T_{th} = 2T(i\pi) = -\int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{y} \left[\tanh^2 \frac{y}{2} \coth \frac{y}{2\lambda} - \tanh \frac{y}{2\lambda} \right].$$
 (29)

Equation (29) is numerically evaluated as a function of λ and shown in Fig. 1. The exponential $e^{2T}th$



FIG. 1. $T_{th}(\lambda)$, which appears in the threshold expression of the electric conductivity, as a function of λ .

goes through unity for $\lambda = 1$ (free-fermion case). It decreases as $e^{-1.7\lambda}$ for large $\lambda >> 1$, since strong attraction presents an obstacle to the production of free pairs. Correspondingly, for the repulsive case [i.e., $\lambda \epsilon (1,0)$], although this is very unlikely in our model, there is an enhancement by $e^{0.6} \approx 1.82$ at $\lambda = 0$. If one goes beyond $\lambda = 0$ (this is already the unphysical region in our model) there is a discontinuous jump to infinity indicating instability of the vacuum with respect to pair production. At the same point $\lambda = 0$, $\beta^2 = 8\pi$ has been shown before,¹¹ in the context of the sine-Gordon theory, to have a bottomless energy. The resulting phase transition was investigated by Luther,¹² who argued that there would be a rearrangement of the vacuum with the new $\lambda_{new} > 0$.

If λ happens to hit odd integers > 1, the original $(s - 4m^2)^{-1/2}$ threshold behavior becomes again visible, since

$$G(q^2) = (-1)^{\lambda - 1/2} \frac{2}{\lambda} e^{T_{th}} .$$
 (30)

The reason for this is the arrival of a bound-state pole $\lambda = n$ at the threshold point. Its approach $\lambda \rightarrow n$ is signaled by the $(\frac{1}{2}\sec\pi\lambda)^2$ factor in Eq. (29).

Summing up the conductivity near the threshold is given by

$$\sigma(\omega) = \sigma_0(\lambda) \frac{[\omega^2 - (2m)^2]^{1/2}}{\omega} , \qquad (31)$$

with

$$\sigma_0(\lambda) = \frac{e^2}{2} \left[\sec\left(\frac{\pi\lambda}{2}\right) \right]^2 e^{2T_{th}(\lambda)}$$
(32)

for $\lambda \neq n$.

B. Resonance peaks below the threshold

Let us consider now the resonance peaks in the conductivity caused by the bound-state poles. Inserting the bound states $|B_n(q)\rangle$ into Eq. (10), we find their contribution

Im
$$\Pi(q^2) = \frac{e^2}{2} \sum_{n:\text{odd}} g_n^2 \left\{ 4\pi \frac{m^2}{m_n^2} \right\} \delta(q^2 - m_n^2) , \quad (33)$$

with g_n being the dimensionless direct photon-"vector" meson (i.e., the c = -1 soliton-antisoliton bound-state) coupling defined by¹³

$$\langle 0|j^{\mu}|B_{n}(q)\rangle \equiv 2mg_{n}\epsilon^{\mu}(q) . \qquad (34)$$

There is only one polarization vector $\epsilon^{\mu}(q) = (\hat{q}^1, \hat{q}^0)$ for a massive vector meson in 1 + 1 dimensions. The coupling can be determined by making use of the factorization property of invariant amplitudes at poles. By the reduction formalism, $G(q)^2$ and $S_{f\bar{f}}$ must behave close to the pole $q^2 = m_n^2$ according to

$$(q^2 - m_n^2 + i\epsilon)^{-1} \langle 0 | j^{\mu} | B_n(q) \rangle \langle B_n(q) | j_{\mu} | p_1 \overline{p}_2 \rangle \qquad (35)$$

and ~

$$(q^{2} - m_{n}^{2} + i\epsilon)^{-1} i \left(\frac{m^{2}}{2Ep}\right) \langle p_{1}\overline{p}_{2} | j^{\mu} | B_{n}(q) \rangle \times \langle B_{n}(q) | j_{\mu} | p_{1}\overline{p}_{2} \rangle , \quad (36)$$

respectively. The kinematic factor $i(m^2/2Ep)$ in the second expression appear, since the invariant scattering amplitude A is defined in terms of S via

$$S = \hat{1} - i(2\pi)^2 \delta^2 (p_F - p_I) A , \qquad (37)$$

where p_F and p_I are two momenta of the final state and the initial state. For the c = -1 states with our normalization, $\hat{1}$ stands for the δ functions

$$\hat{1} \to \frac{1}{2} \left[(2\pi)^2 \frac{E_1 E_2}{m^2} \delta(p_1' - p_1) \times \delta(p_2' - p_2) + (p_1' \leftrightarrow p_2') \right].$$
(38)

But $(2\pi)^2 \delta^2 (p_F - p_I)$ can be rewritten as $m^2/2Ep$ times the above expression such that

$$S = \left[1 - i \left(\frac{m^2}{2Ep}\right) A\right] \hat{1} \quad . \tag{39}$$

Therefore one has

$$g_n^2 = \frac{1}{4m^2} \frac{(\text{Res } G)^2}{(im/2Ep)\text{Res } S} \bigg|_{q^2 - m_n^2} \,. \tag{40}$$

Now $G(q^2)$ and S have the same poles $(2/\lambda)(1/\theta - \theta_n)$ from

$$\left[\operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\left(i\,\pi-\theta\right)\right)\right]^{-1}$$

Therefore we can directly take the θ residues multiplied by

$$\frac{\partial q^2}{\partial \theta} = 4m^2 \cosh \frac{\theta}{2} \sinh \frac{\theta}{2} = 4Ep , \qquad (41)$$

such that

$$g_n^2 = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{|\cos(\pi n/2\lambda)|^2}{\sin\pi\lambda} \left(\frac{e^{2T(i\pi-\theta)}}{e^{2i\delta_{ff}(\theta)}}\right)_{\theta=\theta_n}$$
(42)

The above expression gives the intensity of individual resonance, associated with the creation of the soliton-antisoliton bound states.

Some limiting cases g_n^2 can be calculated analytically. Iv. For $\lambda >> n$, the integral (15) gives

$$2T(i\pi - \theta_n) \sim -\frac{n^2}{2\lambda}, \quad e^{2T} = \exp\left[-\frac{n^2}{2\lambda}\right].$$
 (43)

The $S_{ff}(\theta)$ matrix, on the other hand, yields

$$e^{2i\delta_{ff}(\theta_{n})} = -\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi\lambda} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+n)\,\Gamma(2\lambda-n)\,\Gamma(1+2\lambda-n)\,e^{J(\theta_{n})}}{\Gamma(\lambda-n)\,\Gamma(3\lambda-n)\,\Gamma(1+\lambda-n)\,\Gamma(n)\,\Gamma(1+n)} \to -\frac{\pi}{\sin\pi\lambda}\,\frac{\lambda^{2n}}{n!(n-1)!} \,. \tag{44}$$

Thus

$$g_n^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} n! (n-1)! \lambda^{-(2n+1)} e^{-n^2/2\lambda} \text{ for } \lambda >> n .$$
 (45)

Note that the factor $\sin \pi \lambda$ cancels, thereby guaranteeing positive definiteness of g_n^2 [which is equivalent to positive norms of the states $|B_n(q)\rangle$!]. Its origin lies in the occurence of *t*-channel poles at $\theta_n^* = i\pi n/\lambda$ in $e^{2i\theta_f f(\theta)}$, one of which coincides with the *s*-channel pole as well as sign flip.

When the last bound state lies very close to the threshold (i.e., $\lambda - n_{\text{max}} \ll 1$), one has another limiting behavior

$$e^{2i\delta_{ff}} \sim \frac{\pi}{\sin\pi\lambda} (\lambda - n)_{\max}, \quad e^{2T} \simeq e^{2T_{ih}}$$

and

$$g_n^2 \simeq \frac{\pi}{4\lambda^3} (\lambda - n_{\max}) e^{2T_{th}(\lambda)} .$$
 (46)

Equations (45) and (46) indicate that the coupling

constant g_n^2 initially decreases rapidly with increasing n, but begins to saturate near the threshold.

Finally the conductivity below the threshold $(|\omega| < 2E_{\phi})$ is given

$$\sigma(\omega) = 2\pi e^2 \frac{E_{\phi}^2}{\omega^3} \sum_{n:\text{odd}} g_n^2 \delta(\omega^2 - E_n^2) . \qquad (47)$$

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

Limiting ourselves to T = 0 K, we constructed the complex electric conductivity of the one-dimensional sine-Gordon system (1). Making use of Zamolodchikov's S matrix, we have shown that the electromagnetic wave can excite soliton-antisoliton bound states with the odd quantum number. The dipole coupling constants are explicitly determined. Furthermore, we have analyzed the threshold structure of the conductivity near $\omega \approx 2m$. It is hoped that these results will be tested in some of the quasi-linear CDW systems.

The present work is partially supported by NSF under Grant No. DMR76-21032. One of us (H.K.) was supported in part by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft under Grant No. K1256.

*Permanent Address: Dept. of Phys., Univ. of Southern Calif., Univ. Park, Los Angeles, Calif., 90007

- ¹M. J. Rice, A. R. Bishop, J. A. Krumhansl, and S. E. Trullinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>36</u>, 432 (1976).
- ²P. A. Lee, T. M. Rice, and P. W. Anderson, Solid State Commun. <u>14</u>, 703 (1974).
- ³S. A. Brazouskii and I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 44, 1233 (1976).
- ⁴Marshall J. Cohen, P. R. Newman, and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>37</u>, 1500 (1976); Marshall J. Cohen and A. J. Heeger, Phys. Rev. B <u>16</u>, 688 (1977).
- ⁵L. Pietronero, S. Strässler, and G. A. Toombs, Phys. Rev. B <u>12</u>, 5213 (1975); H. Zeller, Adv. Solid. Phys. <u>13</u>, 31 (1973).

- ⁶K. Maki, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>39</u>, 46 (1977); Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 1641 (1978).
- ⁷D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newell, Phys. Rev. B <u>18</u>, 5162 (1978).
- ⁸R. Dashen, B. Hasslacher, and A. Neveu, Phys. Rev. D <u>11</u>, 3424 (1975).
- ⁹A. B. Zamolodchikov and Al. B. Zamolodchikov, Dubna report E-210857 (1977) (unpublished); Moscow report ITEP-112 (1977) (unpublished).
- ¹⁰A. B. Zamolodchikov, Commun. Math. Phys. <u>55</u>, 183 (1977); see also P. H. Weisz, Phys. Lett. B <u>67</u>, 179 (1977).
- ¹¹S. Coleman, Phys. Rev. D <u>11</u>, 2088 (1975).
- ¹²A. Luther, Phys. Rev. B <u>14</u>, 2153 (1976).
- ¹³See, for example, S. Gasiorowicz, *Elementary Particle Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1966), Chap. 26.