# Dipolar and quadrupolar orderings in the  $\Gamma_3$ - $\Gamma_5$  magnetic system

## D. K. Ray

Laboratoire de Magnétisme, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 166X, 38042-Grenoble-Cedex, France

#### J. Sivardière

## Département de Recherche Fondamentale, Centre d'Etudes Nucléaires, 85X, 38041-Grenoble-Cedex, France (Received 30 November 1976)

The dipolar and quadrupolar orderings in the doublet-triplet  $(\Gamma_3-\Gamma_5)$  system is studied on the basis of a symmetry-adapted spin-Hamiltonian under molecular-field approximation. One obtains pure quadrupolar ordering or dipolar and quadrupolar orderings either at one particular temperture or at two diFerent temperatures depending on the ratio of the bilinear and biquadratic pair interactions. The separation between the ground  $\Gamma_3$  and the excited  $\Gamma_5$  levels plays an important role on the nature of the phase transitions. The cases of TmCd and TmZn are discussed.

# I. INTRODUCTION

So far much attention has been paid to the nature of the singlet-triplet transition in various rareearth (RE) compounds. $1-3$  Most of these compounds order ferromagnetically with a moment induced on the ground level by the internal field. Recently some interest is being shown on the doublet-triplet systems  $(\Gamma_{3}-\Gamma_{5})$  which are also widely found among systems  $(x_3 - x_5)$  which are also which found also<br>the RE compounts.<sup>4-7</sup> In these systems the ground level of the RE ions is a nonmagnetic doublet  $\Gamma$ <sub>3</sub> with an excited triplet  $\Gamma_5$  lying close to it. Similar to the singlet-triplet system the moment on the ground levels is an induced one. But, on the contrary, there is a nonvanishing quadrupole moment within the ground doublet  $\Gamma_3$  which leads to the possibility of dipolar and quadrupolar orderings in this system. Indeed, the cubic intermetallic compounds TmCd (Ref. 4) and TmZn (Ref. 5) which are examples of the  $\Gamma_3-\Gamma_5$  system exhibit interesting properties at low temperatures. TmCd undergoes a first-order structural transition from a cubic to a tetragonal phase at a temperature of 3.16 K without indicating any magnetic ordering down to 40 mK. TmZn, on the other hand, indicates ferromagnetic ordering and possibly crystallographic distortion at a temperature of 10 K.<sup>5</sup> Thus, TmCd lies at one extreme limit where only the quadrupolar ordering is observed, whereas TmZn shows both dipolar and quadrupolar orderings. Since many more RE compounds might eventually be found which lie in between the cases of TmCd and TmZn, a general study of the type of ordering and the phase diagram of the  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$  system would be worthwhile. In the present paper, we make such a study in the background of the experimental results on TmCd and TmZn. It should be mentioned that Wang<sup>6</sup> and more recently Egami and Brooks<sup>7</sup> have studied the  $\Gamma_{3}-\Gamma_{5}$  system theoretically without taking into account the quadrupolar coupling. But

the existence of this coupling would cause quadrupolar transitions and excitations in compounds such as TmCd, or modify the magnetic ordering and excitations in other RE compounds. There is now growing interest in the study of the quadrupolar exgrowing interest in the study of the quadrupolar excitations in solids.<sup>8-11</sup> RE compounds with a  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$ system are good examples of where these excitations might be observed. So the present study on the nature of ordering in this system would be useful in understanding the expected excitations in this system.

# II. THE SPIN-HAMILTONIAN AND THE MOLECULAR-FIELD SOLUTIONS FOR THE  $\Gamma_3$  -  $\Gamma_5$  SYSTEM

Taking into account the dipdlar and the quadrupolar interactions, the spin Hamiltonian in cubic symmetry is given by

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text{cubic}} = \sum_{i} V_{i}^{c} - \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{J}_{ij} (J_{i}^{x} J_{j}^{x} + J_{i}^{y} J_{j}^{y} + J_{i}^{e} J_{j}^{e})
$$

$$
- \sum_{i,j} K_{ij} (Q_{i} Q_{j} + \frac{1}{3} P_{i} P_{j})
$$

$$
- \sum_{i,j} L_{ij} (P_{i}^{xy} P_{j}^{xy} + P_{i}^{yz} P_{j}^{yz} + P_{i}^{zx} P_{j}^{zx}), \qquad (1)
$$

where

$$
V^{c} = B_{4}(O_{4}^{0} + 5O_{4}^{4}) + B_{6}(O_{6}^{0} - 21O_{6}^{4})
$$
  
\n
$$
Q = (J^{c})^{2} - \frac{1}{3}[J(J+1)],
$$
  
\n
$$
P = (J^{x})^{2} - (J^{y})^{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
P^{xy} = J^{x}J^{y} + J^{y}J^{x},
$$

In the case of  $\Gamma_3-\Gamma_5$  system we have  $\Gamma_3$  as the ground and  $\Gamma_5$  as the first excited level due to the effect of a cubic  $V_c$ . The form of the operators J, Q, and P within the  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$  levels can be deduced from the fact that

$$
18
$$

1401

1978 The American Physical Society

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left[\Gamma_3^2\right] &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3, \\
\left[\Gamma_5^2\right] &= \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_3 + \Gamma_5, \\
\Gamma_3 \Gamma_5 &= \Gamma_4 + \Gamma_5,\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{2}
$$

and consequently, Q and  $P/\sqrt{3}$  which transform as  $\Gamma_3$  and  $J^x$ ,  $J^y$ ,  $J^z$  as  $\Gamma_4$  can be represented by the following matrices:

$$
J^{z} = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha \\
0 & S & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -S & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix},\n\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
J^{z} = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -S & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\n\end{bmatrix},\n\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
\text{where } \Delta \text{ is the cubic crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
Q = \begin{bmatrix}\n2q_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -q_{5} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -q_{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -q_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q_{3}\n\end{bmatrix},\n\text{where } \Delta \text{ is thebmatrix crystal field}
$$
\n
$$
Z_{0}M = 2Se^{-8(\Delta + q_{5})} \sinh\beta SH
$$
\n
$$
Z_{0}Q = (q_{3} + 2q_{5})e^{-(8/2)(\Delta - q_{3}\sigma - 2q_{5}\sigma)}\sinh\beta SH
$$
\n
$$
= q_{3}e^{-\beta q_{3}\sigma} - 2q_{5}e^{-\beta(\Delta + q_{5})}\cosh\beta H + \frac{(q_{3} - 2q_{5})q_{3} - 2q_{5}e^{-\beta(\Delta + q_{5})}\cosh\beta H}{R} + \frac{(q_{3} - 2q_{5})q_{3} - 2q_{5}e^{-\beta(\Delta + q_{5})}\cosh\beta H}{R} + 2e^{-(8/2)(\Delta - q_{3}\sigma - 2q_{5}\sigma)}\sinh\frac{1}{2}\beta
$$
\n
$$
P = \begin{bmatrix}\n0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & p_{5} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & p_{5} & 0
$$

If the levels  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_5$  arise from a true spin  $J=2$  we have:  $S=1$ ,  $\alpha=2$ ,  $q_3=2$ ,  $p_3=2$ , and  $q_5=1$ . In the case of compounds such as TmCd and TmZn, the  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_5$  levels lie relatively close together and the values of the matrix elements of  $J$ ,  $P$ , and Q can be deduced from a knowledge of the crystalfield wave functions in these compounds.

In the presence of a Zeeman field and a uniaxial strian, the total Hamiltonian is given by

$$
3C = 3C_{\text{cubic}} - h \sum_{i} J_i^z - D \sum_{i} Q_i . \tag{4}
$$

We now study the ordering in this system on the basis of the molecular-field approximation. In the case of intermetallic compounds the Rudermann-Kittel-Kasuya- Yosida form for the dipolar interaction<sup>12</sup> and the Teitelbaum-Levy form<sup>13</sup> for the quadrupolar interaction is of long-range nature and consequently the molecular-field approximation is expected to work well for studying the ordering in these systems. The molecular field Hamiltonian is then given by

$$
\mathcal{K}_0 = V - HJ_z - \sigma Q \,. \tag{5}
$$

The five energy levels of  $\mathcal{K}_0$  are

$$
\lambda_1 = \Delta + q_5 \sigma + SH,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_2 = \Delta + q_5 \sigma - SH,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_3 = q_3 \sigma,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_4 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta - (q_3 + 2q_5) \sigma + R \right],
$$
  
\n
$$
\lambda_5 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta - (q_3 + 2q_5) \sigma - R \right],
$$
  
\n(6)

with  $R^2 = \Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2H^2 + (q_3 - 2q_5)^2\sigma^2 + 2(q_3 - 2q_5)\Delta\sigma$ where  $\Delta$  is the cubic crystal field splitting between  $\Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_5$ . From these eigenvalues we can calculate the partition function  $Z_0$  and the free energy  $\phi$ . The two-order parameters  $M = \langle J_i^g \rangle$  and  $Q = \langle Q_i \rangle$  are finally obtained as

$$
Z_0M = 2Se^{-\beta(\Delta+q_5\sigma)} \sinh\beta SH
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{4\alpha^2H}{R} e^{-(\beta/2)(\Delta-q_3\sigma-2q_5\sigma)} \sinh\frac{1}{2}\beta R,
$$
  

$$
Z_0Q = (q_3 + 2q_5)e^{-(\beta/2)(\Delta-q_3\sigma-2q_5\sigma)} \cosh\frac{1}{2}\beta R
$$
  
- 
$$
q_3e^{-\beta q_3\sigma} - 2q_5e^{-\beta(\Delta+q_5\sigma)} \cosh\beta SH
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{(q_3 - 2q_5)(q_3 - 2q_5)\sigma + \Delta}{R}
$$
  

$$
\times e^{-(\beta/2)(\Delta-q_3\sigma-2q_5\sigma)} \sinh\frac{1}{2}\beta R,
$$

with

 $Z_0 = 2e^{-\beta(\Delta + \alpha_5\sigma)}\cosh\beta SH + e^{-\beta\alpha_3\sigma}$ 

 $+2e^{-(\beta/2)(\Delta-q_3\sigma-2q_5\sigma)}\cosh^{\frac{1}{2}}\beta R$ ,

$$
\sigma = D + 2KQ,
$$

$$
H=h+2\beta M\ ,
$$

and the free energy  $\phi = -(1/\beta) \ln Z_0 + \beta M^2 + KQ^2$ .  $\mathcal J$  and  $K$  are the Fourier transform of  $\mathcal J_{ij}$  and  $K_{ij}$ at zero wave vector and  $\beta = 1/kT$ . We now consider a few particular cases of the general equation given by (7).

### Case 1. Pure quadrupolar transition

In this case  $h = \mathcal{J} = H = 0$  and this gives

$$
M=0,
$$
 (8)

$$
Z_0 Q = 2q_5 e^{-\beta \Delta} (e^{2\beta q_5 \sigma} - e^{-\beta q_5 \sigma}) + 2q_3 \sinh \beta q_3 \sigma,
$$

with

$$
Z_0 = e^{-\beta \Delta} (e^{2\beta q_5 \sigma} + 2e^{-\beta q_5 \sigma}) + 2 \cosh \beta q_3 \sigma ,
$$
  

$$
\phi = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_0 + KQ^2 .
$$

Performing a Landau development of the free energy  $\phi$  we get

$$
\phi = \phi_0 + A(T)Q^2 + B(T)Q^3 + \cdots \t{9}
$$

 ${\bf 18}$ 

(7)



FIG. 1. Quadrupolar transition in a spin-2  $\Gamma_3$ - $\Gamma_5$ system: thermal variation of the order parameter  $Q$  for different values of  $\Delta/K$ .

where

$$
A(T) = K - 4\beta K^2 \frac{q_3^2 + 3q_5^2 e^{-\beta \Delta}}{2 + 3e^{-\beta \Delta}}
$$

$$
B(T) = -8\beta^2 q_5^3 \frac{e^{-\beta \Delta}}{2 \cdot 3e^{-\beta \Delta}}.
$$

The transition in  $Q$  is then of the first order except for the two cases, viz.,  $q_5 = 0$  and  $\Delta = \alpha$ . In the latter case, where  $\Gamma_5$  is situated at a highenergy value, we consider only the  $\Gamma_3$  doublet. The order parameter in the absence of uniaxial distortion is given by

$$
Q = q_3 \tanh 2\beta q_3 K Q \tag{10}
$$

The pure quadrupolar transition is then of the second order which occurs at a temperature given by

$$
kT_{\mathbf{Q}} = 2q_3^2 K \,. \tag{11}
$$

In fact, the ground state is continuously degenerate since the  $E_{\zeta}$  quadrupolar Hamiltonian is equivalent to a spin- $\frac{1}{2} XY$  model (see Ref. 8). The transition changes to first-order for the two cases: (i) a quadrupolar  $\Gamma_5$  level is at a finite separation from the ground  $\Gamma_3$  level and (ii) the anharmonic cou-



FIG. 2. Dipolar transition in a spin-2  $\Gamma_3-\Gamma_5$  system: thermal variation of the order parameter M for different values of  $\Delta/\mathcal{G}$  and  $K = 0$ .

pling between the  $\Gamma_3$  levels and the doublet modes <sup>Q</sup> and P is present. In either case the ground state is nondegenerate.

These results are shown in Fig. 1, where we have plotted Q as a function of  $k/\sqrt{K}$ . It is shown that for  $\Delta/K = 9$ , the transition is practically of the second order.

Case 2. Pure dipolar interaction, i.e.,  $D = K = \sigma = 0$ 

In this case, Eg. (7) gives:

$$
Z_0 M = 2Se^{-\beta \Delta} \sinh\beta SH + \frac{4\alpha^2 H}{\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2} e^{-\beta \Delta/2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \sinh(\beta/2) (\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2),
$$
  
\n
$$
Z_0 Q = (q_3 + 2q_5) e^{-\beta \Delta/2} \cosh(\beta/2) (\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
-q_3 - 2q_5 \cosh\beta SH + \frac{(q_3 - 2q_5)\Delta}{\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times e^{-\beta \Delta/2} \sinh(\beta/2) (\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2),
$$
 (12)

w ith

$$
Z_0 = 2e^{-\beta \Delta} \cosh \beta S H + 1 + 2e^{-\beta \Delta/2} \cosh(\beta/2) (\Delta^2 + 4\alpha^2 H^2)
$$

$$
\phi = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z_0 + \beta M^2
$$

In Fig. 2, we plot the thermal variation of  $M$  for various values of  $\Delta$  following Eq. (12) and using the values of  $q_3$ ,  $q_5$ , S and  $\alpha$  for the case J= 2. The transition is of the second order for  $\Delta \simeq 0$  and becomes first order for  $\Delta \simeq 7.65$  ( $T_c' = 2.15K$ ). For  $\Delta$  larger than  $\Delta_0 \simeq 20$ , the magnetic transition disappears. The interesting point to be noted is that the quadrupole moment exists within the  $\Gamma$ .  $-\Gamma_5$  system even if the biquadratic interaction is absent. This arises entirely from the dipolar interaction.

### Case 3. Both dipolar and quadrupolar interactions are present

In this case both  $J$  and  $K$  are nonzero. Solutions are obtained directly from the Eq. (7) for



FIG. 3. Dipolar and quadrupolar ordering in a spin-2  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$  system:  $K=1$ ;  $\Delta = 5$ ; (a)  $\theta = 0.8$ ; (b)  $\theta = 1.5$ .



FIG. 4. DipoIar and quadrupolar ordering in a spin-2  $\Gamma_{3}-\Gamma_{5}$  system:  $K=1$ ;  $\Delta=5$ ;  $\frac{g}{K}$  variable. The transition in  $Q$  is of first order, the transition in  $M$  of first or second order. C' is a tricritical point.

particular values of  $J$  and  $K$ . If  $J$  and  $K$  are of the same order of magnitude, we get either a first-order transition in  $M$  and  $Q$  at the same temperature or a quadrupolar transition followed by a second- or first-order dipolar transition. The effect of varying the bilinear exchange  $\delta$  on the nature of the ordering is shown in Fig. 3 for the case  $J=2$  where the termal variations of M and Q are plotted for  $\Delta = 5K$ ,  $K=1K$  with  $\delta=0.8$ and 1.5K respectively. The corresponding phase diagram (with  $\Delta = 5K, K = 1K$ ) is shown in Fig. 4. The quadrupolar transition is always of the first order, whereas the dipolar transition might be of the second or the first order depending on the value of  $\frac{3}{K}$ . C' is a tricritical point. The case corresponding to  $\Delta = 0$  has earlier been treated<br>by Chen and Levy.<sup>14</sup> by Chen and Levy.<sup>14</sup>

### III. DISCUSSION

We have discussed the general nature of phase transitions to be expected for the  $\Gamma_3-\Gamma_5$  system for different values of  $J$ ,  $K$  and  $\Delta$ . We shall now discuss the particular cases of TmCd and TmZn.

#### A. TmCd

Since no magnetic ordering has been found down to 40 mK we can take this to be a case of pure quadrupolar transition. The ground  $J=6$  multiplet of Tm<sup>3+</sup> ion splits into  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $\Gamma_2$ ,  $\Gamma_3$ ,  $\Gamma_4$ , and two  $\Gamma_5$ levels. The excited  $\Gamma_5$  level is situated at 20 K above the ground  $\Gamma_3$  level.<sup>4</sup> The next excited one  $(\Gamma_z)$  is about 80 K above the ground  $\Gamma_s$  level and can consequently be neglected. These crystalfield levels give  $x \approx -1$  on the basis of the Lea,



FIG. 5. Quadrupolar transition in TmCd: thermal variation of Q for  $K=0.011$  and various values of  $\Delta$  in °K.  $\Delta_c \approx 3.45$ , C is a critical point.

Leask, and Wolf diagram<sup>15</sup> and we get:  $q_3 = 12.0$ and  $q_5 = 10.7$ . So taking  $T_{\Omega} = 3.16$  K,  $q_3 = 10.7$  we can fix the value of  $K$  and this is 0.011 K. The variation of  $Q$  as function of  $T$  in this case is shown in Fig. 5. In this figure, we have also plotted the thermal variation of  $Q$  for other values of  $\Delta$  but taking always  $K = 0.011$  K. We find that there is a critical point C corresponding to a critical value of  $\Delta_c = 3.453K$ . For this critical point two symmetrical equally stable solutions of  $Q$  exist as we lower the temperature. Existence of such regions in the phase diagram is expected to introduce interesting consequences on the quadrupolar excitations in this system. The phase transition for the case of TmCd is found to be nearly of the first order. The observed first-order transition might be due to the anharmonic Jahn-Teller interactions.

#### B. TmZn

On the basis of the crystal-field levels given by Morin *et al.*<sup>5</sup> for TmZn (with  $x \approx -0.80$ ) we get  $\alpha =$  $-5.08$ , S =  $-1.18$ ,  $q_3 = 12.0$ , and  $q_5 = 10.995$ . TmZn orders ferromagnetically at  $T_c \approx 10$  K. There is indication of a tetragonal distortion occuring at the same temperature. Also, the biquadratic pair interaction has been estimated to be important from the thermal variation of the elastic constants. ' Hence we can take TmZn as belonging to the Case 3 of Sec. II.

In Fig. 6 we give the thermal variation of  $M$  in this case as calculated from Eq. (7) for two different values of K. The first point to be noted in this case is that the inclusion of a small biquadratic interaction changes the transition to first order. So it would be interesting to measure the specific heat and to make conclusion about the or-



FIG. 6. Dipolar and quadrupolar ordering in TmCd. For  $\mathfrak{G} = 0.617$  and  $K = 0$ , the transition is of second order at  $T=10$  K. For  $\beta=0.52$  and  $K=0.025$ , it is of first order at the same temperature.

der of transition in this compound. Secondly we get a spontaneous moment of about  $4\mu_B$  at  $T=0$ . Experimentally, it is rather difficult to extrapolate the value of the magnetization in the limit of zero applied field in this system (Morin and Pierre, private communication}. Also if we decrease  $\beta$  from the value 0.617 K to 0.42 K the transitions (dipolar and quadrupolar} occur at the same temperature but the transition temperatures are different below the value of  $\beta = 0.42$  K.

There may be other interesting examples of the  $\Gamma_{3}$  –  $\Gamma_{5}$  system. But it would be interesting to study the system  $TmCd_xZn_{1-x}$ . We expect to get large range of values of  $\frac{\beta}{K}$  by doping TmCd with Zn and consequently the nature of transitions would also vary drastically. Theoretical analysis of such a mixed system would also be of current interest. Also, fluctuations in the  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$  system might be important. The possible effects of the coupling between different excitation modes in this system should be studied both theoretically and experimentally.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied here the nature of the possible phase transitions in the  $\Gamma_3 - \Gamma_5$  system under molecular field approximation. It would be interesting to perform systematic measurements of magnetization, specific heat, lattice distortion, elastic constants etc. in RE compounds where the  $\Gamma_{3}$  $-\Gamma_{\rm s}$  model is applicable to verify how far the molecular-field approximation is valid. Also Mössbauer experiments on compounds such as TmCd or TmZn would give information about the thermal variation of Q. Specific-heat measurements at very low temperature and also NMR measurements of the hyperfine field and the nuclear-spin lattice relaxation time would give valuable information on the elementary excitations in this system. Neutron experiments on this system for studying the dispersion relations of the excitations would be worthwhile. Polarized neutron experiment to generate  $\Delta M = 2$  excitations, i.e., quadrupolar waves in this system at low temperature would be of current interest.<sup>16</sup> interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr. P. Morin, Dr. J. Pierre, and Dr. B. Barbara for discussions about their experimental results.

- <sup>1</sup>M. E. Lines, Phys. Rev. B 11, 1134 (1975).
- $^{2}$ W. J. L. Buyers, AIP Conf. Proc. 24, 27 (1975).
- 3M. A. Klenin and John A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3024 (1976).
- <sup>4</sup>B. Luthi, M. E. Mullen, K. Andres, E. Bucher, and J. P. Maita, Phys. Rev. B 8, <sup>2639</sup> (1973).
- ${}^{5}P$ . Morin, A. Waintal, and B. Luthi, Phys. Rev. B 14, 2972 (1976).
- ${}^{6}$ Yung-li Wang, Solid State Commun. 10, 533 (1972).
- $T$ . Egami and M. S. S. Brooks, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Crystal Field Effects in Metals and Alloys, Zurich, 1976 (unpublished).
- ${}^{8}$ J. Sivardière, J. Magn. Mag. Mater. 1, 23 (1975); 183 (1976).
- $^{9}$ Mustansir Barma, Phys. Rev. B 10, 4650 (1974).
- $^{10}$ I. P. Fittipaldi and Raza A. Tahir-Kheli, Phys. Rev. B 12, 1839 (1975).
- <sup>11</sup>S. T. Chiu-Tsao, P. M. Levy, and C. Poulson, Phys. Rev. B 12, 1819 (1975).
- For a general review of the Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida theory, see A. J. Freeman in Magnetic Properties of Rare Earth Metals, edited by R. J. Elliott (Plenum, London, 1972), Chap. 6.
- <sup>13</sup>Henry H. Teitelbaum, and P. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3058 (1976).
- $^{14}$ H. H. Chen and P. M. Levy, Phys. Rev. B  $7$ , 4267 (1963).
- $^{15}$ K. R. Lea, J. M. Leask, and W. P. Wolf, J. Phys. Chem. Solids, 23, 1381 (1962).
- $^{16}$ P. M. Levy and G. T. Trammell (unpublished).