⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer study of $A \operatorname{Ti} R \operatorname{O}_5$ compounds ($A = \operatorname{Cr}$, Mn, Fe, and $R = \operatorname{Pr}$, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd)

P. J. Schurer and A. H. Morrish

Department of Physics, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3T 2N2 (Received 22 February 1977)

The results of an 5^{7} Fe Mössbauer study of $A \operatorname{Ti} RO_5$ compounds at 4.2 and 295 K are interpreted on the basis of covalency contributions to the isomer shift and magnetic hyperfine fields. Above 4.2 K relaxation effects are observed in the Mössbauer spectra.

I. INTRODUCTION

The compounds $A \operatorname{Ti} RO_5$ ($A = \operatorname{Cr}$, Mn, Fe and $R = \operatorname{Pr}$, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd) belong to the orthorhombic space group *Pbam* and are antiferromagnets at low temperatures. The A^{3+} and Ti^{4+} ions occupy the 4f and 4h sites in this space group which have octahedral and square-pyramidal oxygen environments, respectively. A neutron-diffraction study of the Nd compounds showed that the Cr^{3+} ions have a strong preference for the octahedral site, whereas the Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ ions are almost equally distributed over the 4f and 4h sites. The Nd³⁺ ions occupy the 4g positions. No experimental information on the distribution of Mn³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ in the Mn compounds is available.¹

Previously, the results of Mössbauer-effect (ME) measurements at 4.2 K on compounds with R = Nd have been reported,² and were in fair agreement with the neutron-diffraction measurements. It is of interest to attempt a more systematic study of the compounds. A change in the rare-earth ion at the 4g site may cause a systematic variation in some of the properties of the 3d transition ions on the 4f and 4h sites. Consequently, important information on the correlation between these properties can be obtained.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The compounds $FeTiRO_5$ (with iron 20% enriched in ⁵⁷Fe), $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$, and $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$ (both 70% enriched) have been

prepared in a way similar to that described by Buisson.¹ A stoichiometric mixture of powders was pressed into a pellet and fired at 1225 °C in a platinum crucible for 48 h in air. If necessary, the sample was reground and the procedure repeated. X-ray diffraction and ME measurements showed that the total amount of impurity phases containing iron, was less than ~1% for all samples with the possible exception of $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$.

X-ray diffractograms of the compounds have been obtained using Cu ($K\alpha$) radiation. The crystallattice parameters have been determined from a least-squares fit of the d_{hkl} values. The results (Table I) are in good agreement with those reported before.¹ By using the atomic position parameters, the $A^{3+}-O^{2-}$ distances for the octahedral and the square pyramidal sites in FeTiNdO₅ and CrTiNdO₅ have been calculated (Table II). The octahedrons in both compounds are distorted by a compression along one of the axes. The compression is 7% for FeTiNdO₅ and 11% for CrTiNdO₅.

The ME measurements have been performed with an Elscint spectrometer which produces linewidths of 0.28 mm/sec for the outer lines of a natural iron calibration absorber 10 μ m thick. The measurements below room temperature were performed in a variable temperature cryostat (Oxford Instruments) which has a temperature stability of 0.1 K. The amount of ⁵⁷Fe in the absorbers was ~0.4 mg/cm² for the FeTi RO_5 compounds and ~0.2 mg/cm² for the other two series.

The shape of the ME spectra at room temperature depends mainly on the kind of A^{3+} ion and,

	$Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$			$Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$			FeTiRO5		
	a	Ь	с	а	Ь	С	а	Ь	с
Pr	7.61	8.69	5.81	7.60	8.73	5.84	7.60	8.74	5.85
Nd	7.58	8.68	5.81	7.56	8.71	5.83	7.57	8.72	5.84
Sm	7.51	8.62	5.79	7.49	8.65	5.81	7.50	8.68	5.83
Eu	7.47	8.60	5.78	7.46	8.62	5.81	7.47	8.66	5.83
Gd	7.45	8.59	5.79	7.46	8.58	5.80	7.44	8.65	5.83

TABLE I. Lattice parameters of $A \operatorname{Ti} RO_5$ (Å).

16 951

and the second se			
Site	Bond	$CrTiNdO_5$	${\tt FeTiNdO_5}$
Square-	A ³⁺ -O(1)	1.80	1.84
Pyramid	$A^{3+}-O_{(2,3)}$	1.89	1.92
(4 <i>h</i>)	A ³⁺ -O _(4,5)	1.92	1.97
	Average	1.88	1.92
Octahedron	$A^{3+}-O_{(1+2)}$	1.93	1.96
(4f)	$A^{3+}-O_{(3,4)}$	2.05	2.18
-	A ³⁺ -O(5,6)	2.11	2.21
	Average	2.03	2.11

TABLE II A^{3+} cation-oxygen distance (Å).

for the same A^{3+} ion, is not affected much by the choice of R^{3+} ion. For this reason the three series FeTiRO₅, Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO₅, and Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO₅ will be discussed separately. Relaxation phenomena, observed for a range of temperatures well below T_N , are discussed at the end.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. FeTi RO_5 compounds (R = Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd)

In Fig. 1, the ME spectra of two members in this series are shown for room temperature and T

= 4.2 K. The spectra at room temperature consist of two broadened absorption peaks, slightly different in depth. A computer fit with one quadrupole split pattern gives an isomer shift of δ = 0.3 mm/sec relative to metallic iron. This value is in good agreement with that expected for Fe^{3+} ions. The linewidth is $\Gamma \approx 0.61 \text{ mm/sec}$. The sixline spectra at 4.2 K are also asymmetric with linewidths $\Gamma \approx 1.3$ mm/sec. The broad linewidths, together with the asymmetry in the depth of the absorption lines indicate that Fe³⁺ ions are located at both the 4f and 4h sites in these compounds. As a result the spectra consist of two components because the quadrupole splitting ϵ , the isomer shift δ , and the magnetic hyperfine field $H_{\rm hf}$, at ⁵⁷Fe nuclei are different for Fe^{3+} ions at 4f and 4h sites. In order to identify the two components in the ME spectra it is useful to discuss these three quantities.

The quadrupole splitting results from the interaction between the nuclear-quadrupole moment Qand the electric field gradient (EFG) at the ⁵⁷Fe nucleus, viz.,

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}e^2 q Q (1 + \frac{1}{3}\eta^2)^{1/2} ,$$

where

$$\eta = (V_{xx} - V_{yy})/V_z$$



and

16

$$eq = V_{zz} = (1 - \gamma_{\infty}) V_{gz}^{\text{latt}} + (1 - \Re) V_{zz}^{\text{ion}}$$

Here V_{zz}^{latt} is the contribution to the EFG from the surrounding ions, whereas V_{zz}^{ion} is that from electrons of the ion itself. The effects of shielding and antishielding of the nucleus by the core electrons is represented by \Re and γ_{∞} , respectively.

For Fe³⁺ ions, V_{zz}^{ion} is determined by the amount of overlap distortion of the closed-shell Fe³⁺ orbitals by ligand orbitals and by the amount of charge transfer from ligand to empty Fe³⁺ orbitals. However, it is estimated that the V_{zz}^{ion} contribution to V_{zz} is only of the order of 20%.³ For this reason, since we are only interested in the difference between $V_{zz}(4h)$ and $V_{zz}(4f)$, we have assumed $V_{zz} = (1 - \gamma_{\infty})V_{zz}^{\text{latt}}$.

From the point-ion model,

$$V_{zz}^{\text{latt}} = \sum_{i} \frac{3\cos^{2}\theta_{i} - 1}{r_{i}^{3}} e_{i};$$

 V_{zz}^{latt} is calculated by summing the contributions from charges e_i of ions at positions (r_i, θ_i) inside a sphere of 60 Å. Values of $\gamma_{\infty} = -7.97$ determined by Gupta and Sen,⁴ and Q=0.2 barns have been used. The results depend strongly on the distribution of A^{3+} and Ti^{4+} ions over the 4f and 4hsites.

Neutron-diffraction measurements¹ show that the Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ ions are almost equally distributed over the 4f and 4h sites. Therefore, in order to get a realistic distribution of charges for the calculation of V_{zz}^{latt} , 3+ and 4+ charges were generated at random at 4f and 4h sites inside the sphere at 60 Å. This radius was considered to be sufficiently large since the results for a 50-Å sphere differed by only 5%. Mean values $\bar{\epsilon}(4h)$ and $\bar{\epsilon}(4f)$, and standard deviations were determined by calculating the EFG ten times for each site. The results are $\bar{\epsilon}(4h) = 0.78 \pm 0.08$ mm/sec and $\bar{\epsilon}(4f) = 1.43 \pm 0.19$ mm/sec. From the standard-deviation values, the distribution is expected to produce ~20% line broadening.

Not only ϵ but also δ and H_{hf} are expected to be different for Fe³⁺ at 4f and 4h sites. It is well known that the differences in δ and H_{hf} measured for Fe³⁺ in various oxygen surroundings (octahedral, tetrahedral, etc.) can be understood by overlap-distortion and charge-transfer effects,^{3,5-9} that is

$$\delta = \delta_{free} + \delta_{cov} + \delta_{red}$$

and

$$H_{\rm hf} = H_{\rm free} + H_{\rm cov} + H_{\rm red} + H_{\rm st hf}$$

The origin of δ_{cov} and H_{cov} lies in the overlap of doubly occupied Fe³⁺ *ns* orbitals with oxygen *p*

orbitals and in the transfer of p electrons into empty 4s orbitals. In a similar way, the source of δ_{red} is in the overlap and transfer effects involving the 3d orbitals of the Fe³⁺ ion. Finally, the supertransferred hyperfine field H_{sthf} is produced by the transfer of p electrons into empty 3d orbitals of neighboring magnetic ions in the superexchange bond.

In the notation of Sawatzky *et al.*, $^{3,7-9}$ the various contributions can be calculated from the following relationships:

where

$$\begin{split} N^{\dagger \dagger 2} &= (1 + S_{zz} - p \sum_{n} S_{ns}^{\dagger \dagger 2} + p a_{4s}^{\prime 2} + 2 p a_{4s}^{\prime} S_{4s}^{\prime}) \\ a^{\dagger \dagger} &= - \sum_{n} S_{ns}^{\dagger \dagger} \phi_{ns}^{\dagger \dagger}(0) + a_{4s}^{\prime} \phi_{4s}^{\dagger \dagger}(0) \,. \end{split}$$

Here p = 5 or 6 for a 4h or 4f site, respectively, and m is the total number of nearest-neighbor Fe³⁺ ions. The values of the single-orbital overlap integrals $S'_{ns} = \langle p | \phi_{ns} \rangle$ and $S_{\sigma} = \langle p^{\dagger} | d^{\dagger}_{3z^2 - r^2} \rangle$ have been reported by Sawatzky et al.8 for various $Fe^{3+}-O^{2-}$ distances. A value of $S_{zz} = 0.23678$ for the ligand-ligand integral was employed.9 For octahedral sites transfer integral values of $a'_{4s}(p_z \rightarrow \phi_4) = 0.125$ and $B_{\sigma}(p_z \rightarrow d_{3z^2-r^2}) = 0.320$ have been found experimentally for a $Fe^{3+}-O^{2-}$ distance of 2.011 Å.⁹ If $B_{\sigma} \propto S_{\sigma}$ and $a'_{4s} \propto S'_{4s}$ then for an average Fe³⁺-O^{2⁻} distance of 2.11 Å (Table II) values of $B_{\alpha}(4f) = 0.286$ and $a'_{4s}(4f) = 0.121$ are reasonable to use in the calculation. By the same arguments $a'_{4s}(4h) = 0.181$ and $B_{0}(4h) = 0.466$ have been used. The results are shown in Table III.

From the calculations for ϵ , δ , and $H_{\rm hf}$ the conclusion is drawn that ME spectra should be fitted with two absorption patterns, the one with the largest values of ϵ , δ , and $H_{\rm hf}$ corresponding to

TABLE III. Covalency contributions to the isomer shift δ (mm/sec) and the magnetic hyperfine field $H_{\rm hf}(0)$ (kOe) for FeTiNdO₅.

Site	δ _{cov}	δ_{red}	H _{cov}	H _{red}	$\overline{H}_{\mathrm{sthf}}$	$H_{\rm hf}(0)$
4f	-0.606	0.096	73	43	-22	-536
4 <i>h</i>	-0.875	0.169	111	79	-8	-448

	R	Г	δ(4h)	$\epsilon(4h)$	$\delta(4f)$	$\epsilon(4f)$	Fe ³⁺ at 4 <i>h</i>
FeTi R O5	Pr	0.43	0.34(1)	0.47(1)	0.33(1)	0.95(1)	55(5)
•	Nd	0.39	0.34	0.51	0.33	0.92	60
	\mathbf{Sm}	0.45	0.32	0.49	0.31	1.00	55
	Eu	0.45	0.32	0.49	0.31	1.03	50
	Gd	0.46	0.33	0.50	0.31	1.05	50
ave	erage	0.44	0.33	0.49	0.32	0.99	55
Mn _{0.95} Fe _{0.05} Ti <i>R</i> O ₅	Pr	0.36	0.31	0.89	0.33	0.54	50
	Nd	0.38	0.30	0.91	0.33	0.55	55
	\mathbf{Sm}	0.35	0.30	0.94	0.33	0.59	55
	Eu	0.36	0.30	0.95	0.33	0.62	50
	Gd	0.34	0.31	0.96	0.33	0.63	45
av	erage	0.36	0.30	0.93	0.33	0.59	50
Cr _{0.95} Fe _{0.05} TiRO ₅	Pr	0.46	0.31	0.66	0.35	0.20	75
	Nd	0.47	0.31	0.68	0.37	0.24	75
	Sm	0.47	0.30	0.65	0.37	0.20	80
	Eu	0.49	0.30	0.68	0.36	0.23	80
	Gd	0.49	0.30	0.64	0.37	0.21	80
av	erage	0.48	0.30	0.66	0.36	0.21	80

TABLE IV. Experimental values of the linewidth Γ (mm/sec); the isomer shift, relative to metallic iron, δ (mm/sec); the quadrupole splitting ϵ (mm/sec); and the Fe³⁺ distribution over 4f and 4h sites (%), in ATiRO₅ compounds from least-squares fits of Mössbauer spectra measured at room temperature. The figures in parentheses are the probable errors.

 Fe^{3+} ions at 4f sites, and the other to Fe^{3+} ions at 4h sites.

The spectra at room temperature have been fitted with two quadrupole-split absorption patterns using Lorentzian line shapes. The large overlap made it necessary to constrain the linewidths of the two patterns to be equal. The results are shown in Table IV. The values of ϵ and δ and the distribution of Fe³⁺ ions are essentially the same for all five compounds. However, a slight increase in ϵ and possibly a slight decrease in δ is observed going from the Pr to the Gd compound in this series. As shown in Fig. 2, the values of ϵ are independent of temperature.

In Table V the results of computer fits to the spectra at 4.2 K using two six-line absorption patterns are presented. Here no constraint between the linewidths of the two patterns was imposed. Probably this is the reason why the intensities of the 4f and 4h patterns are slightly different from those of the spectra at room temperature. However, both measurements show that the Fe^{3+} and therefore also the Ti⁴⁺ ions are approximately equally distributed over the 4f and 4h sites. The decrease in $\delta(4f)$ and $\delta(4h)$ going from Pr to Gd is more pronounced in the experimental results obtained at 4.2 K. Furthermore the values of $H_{\rm M}$ change significantly with a rare-earth ion. The Néel temperatures T_N determined by the onset of hyperfine splitting, also depend on the rare-earth ion. The hyperfine fields for FeTiRO₅ determined

at $T = 0.08T_N$ are also listed in Table V in order to provide a more meaningful comparison between the different compounds in the series.

The values of δ_{cov} , δ_{red} , H_{cov} , H_{red} , H_{sthf} , and the Néel temperature T_N , depend on the Fe-O distances. T_N and H_{sthf} depend furthermore on the superexchange bond angle θ .⁷ It should be possible therefore to relate changes in δ , H_{hf} , T_N , and also ϵ with changes in the Fe-O distances and in the angles θ for this series of compounds. The lattice parameters a, b, c of the orthorhombic unit cell decrease by approximately 2%, 1%, and 0.05%, respectively, in going from Pr to Gd. This result appears to indicate that the Fe-O distance decreases. On the other hand, the atomic position parameters and, as a result, the superexchange bond angles may also have changed. The position parameters have only been measured for the $FeTiNdO_5$ compound. Therefore, the behavior of the Fe-O distances and angles θ is not known going from Pr to Gd in this series.

The decrease in δ and the increase in ϵ are consistent with a decreasing Fe-O distance alone. Then, a decrease in H_{hf} but an increase of T_N are also expected. However, H_{hf} and T_N first increase between Pr and Sm, and then decrease slightly between Sm and Gd. Since both H_{sthf} and T_N are linearly proportional to $\langle \cos^2 \theta \rangle_{av}$,⁹ these results can possibly be explained by a change in the super-change bond angle.

The experimental difference between $H_{\rm hf}(4f)$ and



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the quadrupole splittings of the 4f and 4h absorption patterns measured for FeTiSmO₅, Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO₅, and Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05} TiNdO₅.

 $H_{\rm hf}(4h)$ and also between $\delta(4f)$ and $\delta(4h)$ are smaller than expected from the calculation (Table III). The reason probably lies in inadequate assumptions made in the calculation. For instance, the calculation does not include the effects of overlapping orbitals of neighboring A^{3+} ions at the 4fsites which are only separated by about 2.9 Å from each other.

B. $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$

For this series of compounds the special position parameters for the atomic positions are not known and furthermore no experimental information about the distribution of Mn^{3+} and Ti^{4+} ions over the 4f and 4h sites is available. By comparing the crystal-field stabilization energies for Mn³⁺ at octahedral and square-pyramidal sites, Buisson¹ argued that the Mn³⁺ ions are expected to prefer the 4h site. With the assumption that all the Ti^{4+} ions occupy the 4f sites and all the A^{3+} ions occupy the 4h sites, the quadrupole splittings can be calculated using the special position parameters of either the CrTiNdO₅ or the FeTiNdO₅ compounds. However, the results are guite different. Hence, without the knowledge of the atomic position parameters, the EFG calculations probably cannot be used for the analysis of the ME spectra at room temperature.

As for the FeTi RO₅ compounds, the asymmetrical shapes of the spectra, illustrated in Fig. 3, indicate that Fe^{3+} ions are present on both the 4fand 4h sites, and the spectra have therefore been fitted with two absorption patterns. The results are shown in Tables IV and V. The pattern with the largest value of δ and H_{hf} have been identified with the 4f site. The quadrupole splitting is independent of the temperature (Fig. 2). The uncertainty in the parameters obtained for the spectra at 4.2 K is large because the overlap of the two patterns is large.

The behavior of ϵ , δ , and $H_{\rm hf}$ in going from Pr to Gd in this series of compounds is similar to that found for the FeTiRO₅ compounds and therefore probably has the same origin. The values of T_{N} are not significantly different for the five compounds.

For Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ ions the crystal-field stabiliz-

TABLE V. Experimental values of the isomer shift δ (mm/sec) relative to metallic Fe, the magnetic hyperfine field H_{ht} (kOe), the Néel temperature T_N (K), and the Fe³⁺ distribution over 4f and 4h sites (%) in A Ti RO_5 compounds from least-squares fits of Mössbauer spectra at 4.2 K. Also listed are the hyperfine fields (kOe) for FeTiROs for the temperature $T = 0.08 T_N$ (K). The figures in parentheses are the probable errors.

	T = 4.2 K							$T = 0.08 T_N$	
	R	T _N	Fe ³⁺ at 4 <i>h</i>	δ(4 h)	$\delta(4f)$	$H_{\rm hf}(4h)$	$H_{\mathbf{hf}}(4f)$	$H_{\rm hf}(4h)$	$H_{\rm hf}(4f)$
FeTiRO ₅	Pr	38.3(3)	40(5)	0.40(1)	0.43(1)	413(2)	452(2)	417(2)	455(2)
	Nd	33.5	50	0.40	0.42	432	465	436	469
	\mathbf{Sm}	52.8	55	0.39	0.43	442	476	442	476
	Eu	52.0	60	0.39	0.40	430	465	430	465
	Gd	49.5	60	0.37	0.38	427	460	427	460
Mn _{0.95} Fe _{0.05} TiRO ₅	\mathbf{Pr}	19(1)	35(5)	0.42(2)	0.43(2)	404(4)	449(4)		
	Nd	16	35	0.45	0.43	379	435		
	\mathbf{Sm}	19	45	0.41	0.41	415	456		
	Eu	17	45	0.40	0.40	419	461		
	Gd	18	40	0.36	0.38	414	458		



FIG. 3. Mössbauer spectra of $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$ at 293 and 4.5 K.

ation energy is zero for both the 4f and 4h sites. Therefore, the Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ ions have no preference for either site and, as observed, this leads to approximately equal intensities for the two 4hand 4f absorption patterns in the FeTi RO_5 spectra. On the other hand, the stabilization energies of the Mn^{3+} ions are -9.14Dq for the 4h and -6Dqfor the 4f sites.¹⁰ Here Dq is the octahedralsplitting parameter. Consequently, the Mn³⁺ ions are expected to prefer the 4h site. The 4f absorption pattern is then expected to have the largest intensity. In reality, however, the ME spectra show that the Mn³⁺ ions have only a slight preference for the 4h site at 4.2 K and none at all at room temperature. Apparently the difference in stabilization energy between the 4f and 4h sites is smaller than expected; the cause could be one or both of the following effects.

In a compression along the z axis the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ energy level is lowered. Hence, the stabilization energy of an Mn^{3+} ion in the octahedron will become more negative and the difference in stabilization between Mn^{3+} at 4f and 4h sites will be decreases. In addition this difference will decrease even more if covalent transfer increases the number of electrons in the 3d orbitals of Mn^{3+} .

C. Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO₅

Neutron-diffraction measurements have shown that for the CrTiNdO₅ compound the most probable distribution of Cr³⁺ ions over the two available lattice sites is 95% at 4f and 5% at 4h sites.¹ We assume that this distribution is not altered much when Fe^{3+} replaces 5% of the Cr³⁺ ions and that the Fe^{3+} and Ti⁴⁺ ions do not have a preference for either site. Then, about 90% of the Fe^{3+} ions will occupy the 4h site and the other 10% the 4f site. For simplicity, the EFG at ⁵⁷Fe nuclei for the two sites has been calculated assuming that all the A^{3+} ions are at 4f sites and all the Ti⁴⁺ ions are at 4h sites. Then values of $\epsilon(4h) = 0.51$ mm/sec and $\epsilon(4f) = 0.25$ mm/sec are obtained. As a consequence the spectra at room temperature have been fitted with two absorption patterns; the one with the largest ϵ has been associated with the 4h site (Table IV). A room-temperature spectrum together with a computer fit is shown in Fig. 4. In this series of compounds the values of δ and ϵ do not change significantly, going from Pr to Gd. Furthermore, ϵ is independent of temperature (Fig. 2).

From the neutron-diffraction measurements it was found that in CrTiNdO₅ the spins at both the Cr and Nd ions become ordered below 13 K. The transition temperature $T_N = 17$ K found from ME measurements for Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO₅ is close to this value. The values of T_N using the ME technique for the other compounds are quite different. For the Sm compound $T_N = 11$ K and the spectra at 4.2 K shows a hyperfine-split spectrum with very broad lines.

The spectrum at 4.2 K for the Eu sample is a broadened quadrupole-split spectrum. The broadening disappears at T = 5.6 K. The spectra of both the Pr and Gd sample at 4.2 K possess quadrupole splitting with no broadening; they start to broaden on reducing the temperature to about 3 K.

The ME spectrum of $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$ at 4.2 K has clearly two components as shown in Fig. 4. The largest (95%) can be associated with 4h sites and the smallest either with 4f sites or with an impurity phase. If the first possibility is true the values obtained from a fit of the spectrum are



FIG. 4. Mössbauer spectra of $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$ at 293 and 4.5 K.

 $H_{\rm hf}(4f) = 466(1) \text{ kOe}, \ H_{\rm hf}(4h) = 381(3) \text{ kOe}, \ \delta(4f)$ = 0.40(1) mm/sec, and $\delta(4h) = 0.41(1)$ mm/sec. None of the other compounds show the presence of a small intensity hyperfine-split component. Furthermore, this component is not present above the Néel temperature of $T_N = 17$ K. The possible impurity compounds are therefore considerably limited. For instance the compounds $FeNdO_3$, CrNdO₃, Fe₂O₃, and Cr₂O₃ which, according to the phase diagram, are most likely to be present as impurities, 1 have much higher T_{N} values. Nevertheless, the presence of a 5% impurity phase cannot be completely excluded, since the absorption lines of the large component are about four times wider than those of the small component. For the large component the linewidths of corresponding pairs were allowed to vary independently. The outer lines are much broader than the inner ones. Either a distribution of hyperfine fields or relaxation effects could be the source of this observation.

D. Relaxation effects

At temperatures above 4.2 K, the ME spectra show relaxation features at values of $T/T_N \ll 1$, as illustrated for FeTiSmO₅ in Fig. 5. The outer lines broaden considerably with increasing temperature and the intensity of the inner lines increase at the expense of the outer lines. The hyperfine fields at the two sites have temperature dependences that are not significantly different. For this reason, their average $\overline{H}_{\rm hf}(T)/H_{\rm hf}(0)$, has been reproduced in Fig. 6. A comparison with a Brillouin curve calculated for $S = \frac{5}{2}$ shows that an appreciable difference exists. For spectra taken at temperatures between $0.7 \leq T/T_N \leq 1$ the coex-

istence of a paramagnetic component and a broadened Zeeman-split component is observed.

Relaxation effects in ME spectra have been discussed by various authors.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Most experimental work has been performed on paramagnetic materials and superparamagnetic small particles. The observation of relaxation in magnetically ordered compounds has been limited. The effect has been found mainly in materials where one or more magnetic sublattices have been diluted by diamagnetic ions, for instance the Zn and Sn substituted ferrites.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ As a result of the substitution, the magnetic sublattice becomes incomplete. A similar situation occurs for the FeTi RO_5 compounds. Here paramagnetic Fe³⁺ and diamagnetic Ti⁴⁺ ions are equally distributed over 4f and 4h sites in a random manner. An Fe^{3+} ion at a 4f site has four 4h and two 4f nearest neighbors, whereas a Fe^{3+} at a 4h site has four 4f and one 4h neighbors. Not all neighbors participate in an antiferromagnetic superexchange bond however.¹ Since the distribution of cations is random, the exchange interaction felt by the Fe^{3+} ions varies, and on the average, is not very strong.

It has been suggested by Van der Woude and Dekker¹² that relaxation effects in compounds with incomplete magnetic sublattices may occur at relatively low values of T/T_N . At temperatures not much above 0 K the shape of the spectrum is determined by the spin-wave frequency ω_s , which is much higher than the Larmor frequency ω_L . As a result the Zeeman splitting of the ME spectrum is determined by $\langle S_z \rangle$, and consequently $H_{\rm hf}$ should show the same temperature dependence as the sublattice magnetization. At higher temperatures the density of spin-waves increases and eventually the collective behavior of the spin system disap-

16



FIG. 5. Mössbauer spectra of FeTiSmO₅ as a function of temperature. The Néel temperature for this compound is 52.8 K.

pears. In materials with incomplete magnetic lattices this behavior can occur at rather low values of T/T_N . The spin-wave model can then be replaced by a Weiss molecular-field model, which considers a single spin in the average field produced by its neighbors. Then the shape of the spectrum is determined by the ionic spin-flip frequency ω_i instead of the spin-wave frequency ω_s . For $\omega_i \approx \omega_L$ relaxation effects occur and the hyperfine splitting is no longer proportional to $\langle S_{\mathbf{z}} \rangle$ (see Fig. 6). Since spin-spin relaxation determines the relaxation process in these ordered compounds and the spins are inhomogeneously distributed, various relaxation times for Fe³⁺ ionic spins can be expected in these compounds. The increase of the intensities of the superparamagnetic component between $T/T_N = 0.7$ and 1 can also be understood with a cluster model, as proposed recently by Basile and Poix.¹⁸

Another consequence of the relaxation effects is that the values of T_N as measured by the ME technique and neutron diffraction or static magnetization measurements are not necessarily the same. Large differences have been reported for some of the ferrites,^{17,18} and therefore also can be expected for the FeTi RO_5 system.

From susceptibility measurements on singlecrystal FeTiNdO₅ a transition temperature $T_{\nu} \approx 18.6$ K has been determined.¹⁹ With the ME technique a much higher value, $T_N = 33.5$ K, has been found. Now a ME spectrum will show a (broadened) Zeeman-split component as long as there are Fe³⁺ ions with relaxation times comparable to the Larmor precession times even if $\langle S_z \rangle$ has no preferred direction. This mechanism, if acting, would yield a Néel temperature higher than that obtained by magnetization measurements. Another possibility is that the Fe³⁺ and Ti⁴⁺ cation distributions over the 4f and 4h sites were different because the thermal treatments employed to make the singlecrystal and polycrystalline materials were not the same. A different cation distribution would probably also lead to different Néel temperatures.

For $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$ at 4.2 K $(T/T_N = 0.23)$ relaxation effects start to become observable. Indeed, for the unsubstituted MnTi RO_5 compounds, no magnetic order was detected in neutron-diffraction measurements at 1.5 K.¹ Although the presence of Fe³⁺ ions in $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$ could lead to a magnetic hyperfine-field splitting up to 16 K, it seems more likely that slow relaxation is responsible for this large difference in T_N .

For the $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.95}TiRO_5$ series, relaxation effects leading to an elevation in the Néel temperature as determined by the Mössbauer effect seem less important. However, relaxation may be partially responsible for the difference between $T_N = 17$ K for $Cr_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiNdO_5$, determined by



FIG. 6. Experimental temperature dependence of the average reduced hyperfine field compared to the Brillouin curve calculated for $S = \frac{5}{2}$.

the Mössbauer effect, and $T_N = 13$ K for CrTiNdO₅, determined by neutron diffraction.

IV. SUMMARY

The series of $A \operatorname{Ti} RO_5$ compounds ($A = \operatorname{Cr}$, Mn, Fe and $R = \operatorname{Pr}$, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd) have been investigated using the Mössbauer-effect technique. The results show that the distribution of A^{3+} and Ti^{4+} over square-pyramidal and octahedral sites is not significantly influenced by the rare-earth ion. For the FeTi RO_5 series, the values of ϵ , δ , $H_{\rm hf}$, and T_N change slightly going from Pr to Gd. Similar but less significant changes have been observed

- ¹G. Buisson, J. Phys. Chem. Solids <u>31</u>, 1171 (1971).
- ²P. J. Schurer and A. H. Morrish, Physica (Utr.) <u>86-88B</u>, 925 (1977).
- ³G. A. Sawatzky and F. van der Woude, J. Phys. (Paris) Suppl. 35-C6, 47 (1974).
- ⁴R. P. Gupta and S. K. Sen, Phys. Rev. A 8, 1169 (1973).
- ⁵J. Owen and D. R. Taylor, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>10</u>, 1164 (1966).
- ⁶N. L. Huang, R. Orbach, and E. Simanek, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>17</u>, 134 (1966).
- ⁷F. van der Woude and G. A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B <u>4</u>, 3159 (1971).
- ⁸F. van der Woude and G. A. Sawatzky, Proceedings of the Conference on Mössbauer Spectrometry (Phys. Soc. DDR, Dresden, 1971), p. 238; G. A. Sawatzky, C. Boekema, and F. van der Woude, *ibid.*, p. 335.
- ⁹C. Boekema, F. van der Woude, and G. A. Sawatzky, Int. J. Magn. 3, 341 (1971).

for the $Mn_{0.95}Fe_{0.05}TiRO_5$ series. These results are related to the decrease in the dimensions of the unit cell.

At temperatures above 4.2 K, the spectra show relaxation features at values of $T/T_N \ll 1$. A random distribution of the magnetic A^{3+} and the diamagnetic Ti⁴⁺ cations, which leads to incomplete magnetic sublattices, is suggested as the origin of the relaxation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was financially supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

- ¹⁰F. Basolo and R. G. Pearson, Mechanisms of Inorganic Reaction (Wiley, New York, 1958), p. 55.
- ¹¹A. M. Afanas'ev and Yu Kagan, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. <u>45</u>, 1660 (1963) [Sov. Phys.-JETP <u>18</u>, 1139 (1964)].
- ¹²F. van der Woude and A. J. Dekker, Phys. Status Solidi 9, 775 (1965).
- ¹³H. Wegener, Z. Phys. <u>186</u>, 498 (1965).
- ¹⁴M. Blume and Z. A. Tjon, Phys. Rev. <u>165</u>, 165 (1968).
- ¹⁵P. Raj and S. K. Kulshreshta, Phys. Status Solidi A <u>4</u>, 501 (1971).
- ¹⁶S. C. Bhargava and P. K. Iyengar, Phys. Status Solidi B <u>53</u>, 359 (1972).
- ¹⁷C. M. Srivastava, S. N. Shringi, and R. G. Srivastava, Phys. Rev. B <u>14</u>, 2041 (1976).
- ¹⁸F. Basile and P. Poix, Phys. Status Solidi A <u>35</u>, 153 (1976).
- ¹⁹I. Yaeger (private communication).