## Sum rule for the polarizability of small particles\*

R. Fuchs and S. H. Liu

Ames Laboratory-Energy Research and Development Administration and Department of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011 {Received 7 June 1976)

A sum rule is derived which is useful for verifying the accuracy of calculated optical properties of arbitrarily shaped particles or powders.

The optical absorption of a particle or collection of particles composed of an isotropic material with a complex dielectric susceptibility  $\chi(\omega)$ , and having a size much smaller than the wavelength of light, is determined by a susceptibility tensor  $\langle \chi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \rangle$ , which relates the Cartesian components of the induced dipole moment and the applied field. One can write<sup>1,2</sup>

$$
\langle \chi_{\alpha\beta}(\omega) \rangle = \sum_{m} \frac{C_{\alpha\beta}(m)}{\chi^{-1}(\omega) + 4\pi n_m} \ . \tag{1}
$$

The depolarization factors  $n_m$  in the denominators of Eq. (1) determine the frequencies  $\omega_m$  of the optical-absorption peaks, whereas the constants  $C_{\alpha\beta}(m)$  in the numerators determine the strengths of the absorption peaks.

It has been shown previously<sup>1</sup> that the  $C_{\alpha\beta}(m)$ satisfy the sum rule

$$
\sum_{m} C_{\alpha\beta}(m) = \delta_{\alpha\beta} . \tag{2}
$$

The purpose of this communication is to derive a second sum rule,

$$
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} \sum_{m} n_{m} C_{\alpha \alpha}(m) = 1.
$$
 (3)

Since the result of a calculation of the polarizability of any particle or group of particles is contained entirely in the values of the quantities  $C_{\alpha\beta}(m)$  and  $n_m$ , these sum rules are a useful test of the accuracy of the calculations in the sense that numerical inaccuracy or an incorrect treatment of interaction between particles should lead to a violation of the sum rules. The new sum rule (3) corresponds roughly to the statement that the centroid of the optical absorption of an arbitrary particle or group of particles, averaged over all possible orientations, is the same as that of a sphere. (A sphere has  $C_{xx} = C_{yy} = C_{gg} = 1$  and the depolarization factors are all  $n = \frac{1}{3}$ .)

From Eqs.  $(15)-(17)$  of Ref. 1, we have

$$
\sum_{m} n_{m} C_{\alpha\beta}(m)
$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{4\pi \nu} \sum_{mij} (x_{i})_{\alpha} (n_{j})_{\beta} U_{im} \lambda_{m} U_{mj}^{-1} \Delta S_{j}.$  (4)

The  $\lambda_m$  can be eliminated using U<sup>-1</sup>RU =  $\Lambda$ . If we set  $\alpha = \beta$ , sum over  $\alpha$ , and use Eq. (5) of Ref. 1 for  $R_{ij}$ , Eq. (4) becomes

$$
\sum_{\alpha m} n_{m} C_{\alpha \alpha} (m)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{4 \pi \nu} \sum_{ij} \left( \vec{r}_{i} \cdot \hat{n}_{j} (\vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j}) \cdot \hat{n}_{i} \right) \cdot \vec{r}_{i} - \vec{r}_{j} \mid^{-3}
$$
\n
$$
\times \Delta S_{i} \Delta S_{j}. \tag{5}
$$

The discrete sums in Eq. (5) can be converted to surface integrals:

$$
\sum_{\alpha m} n_m C_{\alpha \alpha} (m) = \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{4 \pi \nu} I , \qquad (6)
$$

where

$$
I = \int \int \left( \vec{r} \cdot \hat{n}' \right) \left( -\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r \frac{1}{\left| \vec{r} - \vec{r}' \right|} \right) dS \, dS' \,. \tag{7}
$$

First, suppose that we have a single particle of arbitrary shape. Since the points  $i = j$  are excluded in Eq.  $(5)$ , the double surface integral  $(7)$  is a principal-value integral which can be written

$$
I = \lim_{\frac{1}{2}} (I_1 + I_2), \tag{8}
$$

where

$$
I_1 = \int_{S-\epsilon} dS \int_S dS' \left( \vec{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{n}' \right) \left( -\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r \frac{1}{\left| \vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}' \right|} \right), \tag{9}
$$

$$
I_2 = \int_{\mathcal{S}} dS \int_{\mathcal{S}-\epsilon} dS' \left( \vec{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \hat{n}' \right) \left( -\hat{n} \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r \frac{1}{\left| \vec{\mathbf{r}} - \vec{\mathbf{r}}' \right|} \right). \tag{10}
$$

In  $I_1$ ,  $\vec{r}'$  runs over the true surface S and  $\vec{r}$  runs over a surface  $S - \epsilon$  lying an infinitesimal distance inside S, whereas the reverse is true for  $I_2$ . Converting the surface integral  $\int_{S-\epsilon} dS$  to a volume integral  $\int_{v-\epsilon} d^3v$  and using the identity

$$
\nabla^2 \frac{1}{\left|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'\right|} = -4\pi\delta\left(\vec{r}-\vec{r}'\right),\,
$$

we find

$$
I_1 = \int_{\nu - \epsilon} d^3 r \int_S dS' \left( \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r' \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + 4 \pi (\vec{r} \cdot \hat{n}') \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right).
$$
 (11)

SS21

 $\frac{14}{1}$ 

Since  $\bar{r}'$  is on S and  $\bar{r}$  is inside  $S - \epsilon$ , the  $\delta$  function does not contribute. Therefore

$$
I_1 = \int_{v-\epsilon} d^3 r \int_v d^3 r' \nabla_r^2 \frac{1}{|\vec{r}-\vec{r}'|} = -4 \pi v \ . \qquad (12)
$$

Similarly,

$$
I_2 = \int_v d^3r \int_{S-\epsilon} dS' \left( \hat{n}' \cdot \vec{\nabla}_r, \frac{1}{|\vec{r} - \vec{r}'|} + 4\pi(\vec{r} \cdot \hat{n}') \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \right).
$$
 (13)

Now  $\bar{r}$  and  $\bar{r}'$  are both inside S, so the  $\delta$  function contributes:

$$
I_2 = -4\pi v + 4\pi \int_{S-\epsilon} dS'(\vec{r}' \cdot \hat{n}')
$$
  
= -4\pi v + 4\pi \int\_{v-\epsilon} d^3r'(\vec{\nabla}' \cdot \vec{r}') = 8\pi v . (14)

Therefore

~Vfork performed for the U. S. ERDA under Contract No. W-7405-eng-82.

 $I = 2\pi v$ ,

and Eq. (6) becomes

$$
\sum_{\alpha m} n_{m} C_{\alpha \alpha}(m) = 1,
$$

which is the desired sum rule.

If the system consists of N particles with surfaces  $S_{\mu}$  and volumes  $v_{\mu}$ , where  $\mu = 1, 2, ..., N$ , Eq. (7) can be written

$$
I = \sum_{\mu\mu'} \int_{S_{\mu}} \int_{S_{\mu'}} f(\vec{r}, \vec{r}') dS dS'
$$

Here  $f(\vec{r}, \vec{r}')$  denotes the integrand of Eq. (7). It can easily be shown that the contribution from terms  $\mu \neq \mu'$  is zero, but if  $\mu = \mu'$ , the result is  $2\pi v_\mu$ . One gets

$$
I=2\pi\sum_{\mu}v_{\mu}=2\pi v,
$$

so the sum rule (3) is still valid.

- ${}^{1}R$ . Fuchs, Phys. Rev. B 11, 1732 (1975).
- ${}^{2}R$ . Fuchs, Phys. Lett. A  $\overline{48}$ , 353 (1974).