# Intraband absorption in a low-density Hubbard chain\*

S. K. Lyo and T. Holstein

Department of Physics, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024

(Received 16 August 1976)

We have investigated the intraband absorption in a single-band Hubbard model at a low concentration c (c is unity for a filled band) of electrons with a particular emphasis on one-dimensional nearest-neighbor electron transfer. Using a two-particle approximation, we find, for the latter in the frequency range  $c \lt \hbar \omega$  (the bandwidth is unity) that the absorption is independent of frequency and vanishes as  $U^{-2}$  for a large on-site Coulomb repulsion U. The absorption occurs when an electron is accelerated by another electron in the presence of an oscillating field (i.e., inverse bremsstrahlung). The transport relaxation rate is given by  $\tau^{-1} \propto c\omega^2 U^{-2}$ . In the limit  $U = \infty$  the present result supports the spinless fermion model, according to which the intraband absorption vanishes for  $\omega \neq 0$ . In the presence of second-nearest-neighbor electron transfer (t<sub>2</sub>) such that  $c \le |t_2|$ , the  $\omega^{-2}$ -frequency dependence of the absorption obtains as in two or three dimension

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, one-dimensional phenomena have received a considerable amount of theoretical and experimental attention because of their many interesting properties.<sup>1</sup> In one-dimensional molecula crystals, Coulomb correlation between electrons is particularly important, and the subsequent many-body effect complicates the problem. In this connection the Hubbard Hamiltonian' has been studied extensively.

The dc transport properties of one-dimensional systems have been studied by various authors. Brinkman and Rice' and Beni, Holstein, and Pincus4 found that the mobility of a hole or an extra electron in an otherwise half-filled nearest-neighbor hopping Hubbard chain is infinite when the intrasite Coulomb repulsion  $(U)$  is infinite; for an arbitrary density, barring a half-filled band, the electrons seem to behave as spinless fermions, giving rise to an absorption rate proportional to a delta function  $\delta(\omega)$ , where  $\omega$  is an external frequency. However, there has been no rigorous proof of the latter assertion. Recently, Lyo<sup>5</sup> found for a low-density nearest-neighbor hopping Hubbard chain that the dc conductivity based on a twoparticle approximation is infinite. He also found that the conventional dc Boltzmann equation based on a two-particle  $T$ -matrix approximation is insufficient in a nearest-neighbor hopping Hubbard chain and that many-particle scattering is important.

In this paper, we study the effect of strong Coulomb correlation between electrons on intraband absorption with a particular emphasis in a onedimensional lattice, using a Hubbard model. The absorption occurs when an electron is accelerated by another electron of opposite spin in the presence of an oscillating field (i.e., inverse bremsstrahlung). A particularly interesting question is the frequency dependence of the absorption in the limit  $U = \infty$  in a low-density one-dimensional system with nearest-neighbor electron transfer. The approach employed in the present paper improves the result obtained in Ref. 5 for this specific case. We find that the absorption is independent of the 'frequency and vanishes as  $U^{\texttt{-}2}$  for a large on-site Coulomb repulsion  $U$  within a two-particle approximation. In the limit  $U = \infty$ , the present result supports the spinless fermion model. By introducing a sufficiently large second-nearest-'neighbor electron transfer, one obtains the  $\omega^{-2}$ frequency dependence of the absorption as in two or three dimensions.

In Sec. II, we develop a theory of intraband absorption in a Hubbard band for a sufficiently large frequency (i.e.,  $\omega \tau \gg 1$ ), and at a low electron density, using a two-particle approximation. In Sec. III, we study how the absorption rate depends on dimensionality, frequency, and U. The effect of second-neighbor electron transfer to a nearest-neighbor hopping Hubbard chain is also investigated. In Sec. IV, a brief discussion is given.

#### II. FORMULATION

At a low electron concentration, it is sufficient to use a two-particle approximation. We investigate the mutual interaction of a pair of electrons of opposite spins in the presence of an oscillating field, which is described by a vector potential

$$
\vec{A} = (\vec{E}_0 c_0 / i\omega) (e^{-i\omega t} - e^{i\omega t}),
$$
\n(2.1)

where  $2\vec{E}_0$  and  $c_0$  are amplitude of the electric field and speed of light, respectively. The interaction of the pair with the external field is given by

14 5137 Copyright © 1976 by The American Physical Society

$$
HF(t) = (e/mc0)\overline{A} \cdot (\overline{p}_1 + \overline{p}_2),
$$
 (2.2)

where  $e = |e|$ , m, and  $\vec{p}$ , are, respectively, charge, mass, and momentum operator of an electron. One studies the scattering of the pair from an initial state  $|\phi_s\rangle = |\vec{k}_1 \vec{k}_2\rangle$ , into a final state  $|\phi_f\rangle$  $=$   $|\vec{k}_3 \vec{k}_4\rangle$  after going through intermediate states  $|\phi_n\rangle = |\vec{k}_5 \vec{k}_6\rangle$ . Here  $\vec{k}_i$  is the crystal momentum. The normalized orbital wave functions  $|\phi_r\rangle$  are symmetrized. The matrix elements of the electron-field, and electron-electron interaction are given, respectively, by

$$
\langle f | HF(t) | n \rangle = [ (e\vec{\mathbf{E}}_0/i\omega) \cdot (\vec{\mathbf{v}}_5 + \vec{\mathbf{v}}_6) \delta_{f,n} ]
$$
  
 
$$
\times (e^{-i\omega t} - e^{i\omega t})
$$
 (2.3)

and

$$
V_{fn} = (1/N)U\Delta_{f,n},\tag{2.4}
$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_i$  [=(1/ $\hbar$ )( $\delta \epsilon_{\vec{k}}$ / $\delta \vec{k}_i$ ), $\epsilon_{\vec{k}}$  is a Bloch energy] is a Bloch velocity, and  $\delta_{f, n}$  and N are the Kronecke delta and the number of sites, respectively. The quantity  $\Delta_{f,n}$  is unity, when  $\bar{k}_3 + \bar{k}_4 = \bar{k}_5 + \bar{k}_6 + \bar{g}$  ( $\bar{g}$  is a reciprocal-lattice vector), and zero otherwise.

The Schrödinger equation is given by

$$
i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} c_f = \sum_n V_{fn} c_n e^{i\omega_{fn}t} + \sum_n \sum_{\pm} (\pm) H_{fn}^F c_n e^{i(\omega_{fn} \mp \omega)t},
$$
 (2.5)

where  $H_{fn}^F$  is the quantity inside the square brackets of (2.3),  $\omega_{fn} = (\epsilon_f - \epsilon_n)/\hbar$ , and  $\epsilon_f = \epsilon_{\kappa_3}^* + \epsilon_{\kappa_4}^*$ , etc. The initial condition is given by  $c_r(-\infty) \stackrel{\circ}{=} \delta_{r,s}$ . The Schrödinger equation  $(2.5)$  is solved by introducing an ansatz'

$$
c_{f}(t) = \frac{T_{fs}e^{i\omega_{fs}t+\alpha t}}{-\epsilon_{fs}+i\hbar\alpha} + \delta_{f,s}
$$
  
+
$$
\sum_{\pm} (\pm) \frac{T_{fs}^{(t)}e^{i(\omega_{fs}t\omega)t+\alpha t}}{-\epsilon_{fs}+i\hbar\omega+i\hbar\alpha},
$$
 (2.6)

where  $\epsilon_{fs} = \hbar \omega_{fs}$  and  $\alpha$  is a positive infinitesimal. Inserting  $(2.6)$  in  $(2.5)$  and dropping the quadratic terms in the external field, one finds

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\text{erting (2.6) in (2.5) and dropping the quadratic} \\
\text{ms in the external field, one finds} \\
T_{fs} &= \sum_{n} \frac{V_{fn} T_{ns}}{-\epsilon_{ns} + i\hbar \alpha} + V_{fs} \tag{2.7}\n\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tau_{fs}^{(\pm)} = \sum_{n} \frac{V_{fn} \tau_{ns}^{(\pm)}}{-\epsilon_{ns} \pm \hbar \omega + i\hbar \alpha} + \sum_{n} \frac{H_{fn}^{F} T_{ns}}{-\epsilon_{ns} + i\hbar \alpha} + H_{fs}^{F}.
$$
\n(2.8)

One solves (2.7) and (2.8), using (2.3) and (2.4), and obtains

$$
T_{fs} = T_s \Delta_{f,s};
$$
  
\n
$$
T_r \equiv \frac{U}{N} \left( 1 - \frac{U}{N} \sum_{n} \frac{\Delta_{n,s}}{\epsilon_r - \epsilon_n + i\hbar\alpha} \right)^{-1},
$$
\n(2.9)

and  $(H_n^F \equiv H_{nn}^F)$ ,

$$
\tau_{fs} = \frac{\Delta_{f,s}}{\hbar \omega} \left[ T_f H_s^F - T_s H_f^F + T_f T_s \sum_n \Delta_{n,s} H_n^F \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_n + i\hbar \alpha} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_f - \epsilon_n + i\hbar \alpha} \right) \right] + H_{fs}^F. \tag{2.10}
$$

In (2.10), use is made of the energy conservation  $\epsilon_f = \epsilon_s \pm \hbar \omega$  for  $\tau_{fs} = \tau_s^{(*)}$ . Here the upper (lower) sign denotes a photon-absorption (emission) process.

The first term in the square brackets of (2.10) describes a two-stage process, whereby the pair absorbs or emits a photon at a state  $\phi_s$  and is, then, scattered into a final state  $\phi_f$ . The second term represents a two-stage process, whereby the pair is scattered from an initial state  $\phi_s$  into a final state  $\phi_f$ , and then absorbs or emits a photon. Finally the third term corresponds to a threestage process, whereby the pair is scattered from an initial state  $\phi_s$  into an intermediate state  $\phi_n$ , absorbs or emits a photon, and is then scattered into a final state  $\phi_f$ .

Finally the net power absorption per volume is given by

$$
W = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\Omega} \left\{ 1 - e^{-\beta \hbar \omega} \right\} \frac{2\pi}{\hbar}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \sum_{fs} f_1 f_2 f_3^{(+)} f_4^{(+)} |\tau_{fs}|^2 \delta(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_s - \hbar \omega), \quad (2.11)
$$

where  $\Omega$ ,  $f_i$  [ $\equiv f(\epsilon_{\vec{k}_i})$ ] are, respectively, volume of the sample, the Fermi function,  $f_i^{(+)} = 1 - f_i$ , and  $\beta$  $=(k_B T)^{-1}$ . Here  $k_B$ , T are Boltzmann's constant and temperature. The first and second terms in the curly brackets of (2.11) stand for absorption and emission processes, respectively. Equation  $(2.11)$  is valid in the limit  $\omega \tau \gg 1$ . In this limit, one can rewrite (2.11) in a Drude form

$$
W = 2\sigma_R(\omega)E_0^2 = (2ne^2/m^* \omega^2 \tau)E_0^2
$$
 (2.12)

where  $\sigma_{\mathbf{r}}(\omega)$ , n, and  $\tau$  are, respectively, real part of the conductivity, density of electrons, and transport relaxation time. Assuming that the field

is in the x direction, the effective mass  $m^*$  is given by<sup>5</sup>  $1/m^* = -(1/cN)\sum_k f'_k(v_k^x)^2$ , where c is the electron concentration  $(c$  is unity for a filled band) and prime means a derivative with respect to the argument. As will be seen in the next section, (2.12) coincides with the result obtained by  $Lyo$ ,<sup>5</sup> using the Boltzmann equation in three dimensions in the limit  $\beta \hbar \omega \ll 1$ . In a simple cubic lattice, or a one-dimensional lattice,  $m^*$  is given at a hightemperature limit,  $\beta B \ll 1$  (B is the bandwidth), by

$$
1/m^* = \frac{1}{2}\beta(2ta/\hbar)^2
$$
 (2.13)

where  $a, t$  are lattice constant and nearest-neighbor electron transfer, respectively. In the remainder of the paper we calculate the relaxation rate  $\tau^{-1}$  defined by (2.11) and (2.12) for severa situations.

## III. INTRABAND ABSORPTION

### A. Three-dimensional lattice

In two and three dimensions, the last term in the square brackets of (2.10) is negligibly small in the limit  $\hbar \omega \ll B$ . One then obtains, approximating  $T_f$  $\simeq T_s,$ 

$$
\tau_{fs} = (T_s \Delta_{f,s} / \hbar \omega) (H_s^F - H_f^F), \quad f \neq s. \tag{3.1}
$$

This result combined with (2.11) and (2.12) is equivalent to that obtained earlier by Lyo' using the Boltzmann equation. For a large Coulomb repulsion (i.e.,  $U \gg B$ ), one finds at high temperatures ( $\beta B \ll 1$ ), and in a simple cubic crystal<sup>5</sup>

$$
\tau_{3D}^{-1} \approx 3.1tc/\hbar. \tag{3.2}
$$

As is expected, the relaxation rate is proportional

to the bandwidth and the number of electrons of opposite spin.

### B. One-dimensional lattice

In the following we compute the relaxation rate in a high-temperature limit ( $\beta \ll 1$ ). One writes

$$
\epsilon_k = -\cos k - \epsilon \cos 2k, \qquad (3.3)
$$

where  $a=1$ ,  $2t=1$ , and  $\epsilon$  is the second nearestneighbor electron transfer. It is convenient to rewrite  $(2.10)$  as

$$
\tau_{fs}(g) = (\Delta_{fs}/\hbar\omega)T_s T_f
$$
  
 
$$
\times [(N/U)(H_s^F - H_f^F) + X_{fs}(g)], \quad f \neq s \quad (3.4)
$$

where

$$
X_{fs}(g) = \sum_{n} \Delta_{n,s} \left( \frac{H_n^F - H_s^F}{\epsilon_s - \epsilon_n + i\hbar\alpha} - \frac{H_n^F - H_f^F}{\epsilon_f - \epsilon_n + i\hbar\alpha} \right).
$$

(3.5)

The dependence on the reciprocal lattice vector  $g$ is shown explicitly in (3.4). In order to perform the various  $k$  integrations, one transforms

$$
k_1 + k_2 = 2\theta_0, \quad k_1 - k_2 = 2\phi_0, k_3 + k_4 = 2\theta + g, \quad k_3 - k_4 = 2\phi.
$$
 (3.6)

One then has, for example,  
\n
$$
\int \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk_1 dk_2 (\cdot \cdot \cdot) = \int \int_{A_0} 2 d\theta_0 d\phi_0 (\cdot \cdot \cdot), \text{ etc.},
$$
\n(3.7)

where  $A_0$  is the region inside the rhomb with vertices at  $(\theta_0, \phi_0) = (\pm \pi, 0)$ , and  $(0, \pm \pi)$  in  $\theta_0 - \phi_0$  plane.

The absorption rate is then given from (2.11) by

$$
W = \frac{\beta(\hbar\omega)^2}{2\Omega} \frac{2\pi c^2}{\hbar} N^3 \int \int_{A_0} \frac{2d\theta_0 d\phi_0}{(2\pi)^2} \int \int_{A_0} \frac{2d\theta d\phi}{2\pi} \sum_{g} \left\{ \left| \tau_{fs}(g) \right|^2 \delta\left(\Delta\varepsilon(g)\right) \right\} \delta(2\theta_0 - 2\theta - g),\tag{3.8}
$$

where A corresponds to  $A_0$  in  $\theta - \phi$  plane,  $f_1 = f_2$ where A corresponds to  $A_0$  in  $\theta - \phi$  plane,  $f_1 = f_2$ <br>=  $c, f_3^{(+)} = f_4^{(+)} = 1$  in the present nondegenerate limit, and and  $\Delta \epsilon(g) \equiv \epsilon_f - \epsilon_s - \hbar \omega$ 

$$
\Delta \epsilon(g) \equiv \epsilon_f - \epsilon_s - \hbar \omega
$$
  
\n
$$
= 4 \sin \frac{1}{2} (\phi_0 + \phi + \frac{1}{2} g)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \sin \frac{1}{2} (\phi_0 - \phi - \frac{1}{2} g)
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \{ \cos \theta_0 + 2\epsilon \cos \theta_0 [\cos \phi_0 + \cos (\phi + \frac{1}{2} g)] \} - \hbar \omega.
$$
  
\n(3.9)

The integrand on the right-hand side of (3.8) with respect to the  $\theta_0$  integration is an even function of  $\theta_0$ . Therefore, assuming  $\theta_0 \ge 0$  and setting the quantity in the curly brackets of (3.8) as  $F(\theta, \phi)$ , one obtains

$$
\int \int_A d\theta d\phi \sum_g F(\theta, \phi) \delta(2\theta_0 - 2\theta - g)
$$

$$
= \int_0^{\pi - \theta_0} d\phi F(\theta_0, \phi) + \int_0^{\theta_0} d\phi F(\theta_0 - \pi, \phi). \quad (3.10)
$$

The first term in (3.10) arises from a normal process  $g=0$ , and the second term from an umklapp process  $g = 2\pi$ . One now transforms  $\phi \rightarrow \pi - \phi$  for the second term in (3.10). It turns out that  $F(\theta, \phi)$ depends on  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  always as a function of  $\epsilon_3 + \epsilon_4$ 

 $=$  - 2(cos $\theta$  cos $\phi$  +  $\epsilon$  cos2 $\theta$  cos2 $\phi$ ) via energy param-

eters and of  $v_s + v_4 = (2/\hbar)(\sin\theta \cos\phi + 2\epsilon \sin 2\theta \cos 2\theta)$ via velocity parameters. Hence  $F(\theta_0 - \pi, \pi - \phi)$  $=F(\theta_0, \phi)$ , yielding where

$$
\int \int_A d\theta d\phi \sum_{\xi} F(\theta, \phi) \delta(2\theta_0 - 2\phi - g) = \int_0^{\tau} F(\theta_0, \phi) d\phi.
$$
\n(3.11)

The remaining integrations in  $\theta_0$ ,  $\phi_0$ , and  $\phi$  in (3.8) can be rearranged, noting that the integrand is invariant (i) under  $\theta_0 \rightarrow -\theta_0$ , and (ii) under  $\theta_0 \rightarrow \pi - \theta_0$ ,  $\phi_0 - \pi - \phi_0$ , and  $\phi - \pi - \phi$ :

OLSTEIN  
\n
$$
W = \frac{4\beta(\hbar\omega)^{2}c^{2}}{\Omega} \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} N^{3} \int \int \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta_{0}d\phi_{0}d\phi}{(2\pi)^{3}} F(\theta_{0}, \phi),
$$
\n(3.12a)

ere  
\n
$$
F(\theta_0, \phi) = |\tau_{fs}(g)|^2 \delta(\Delta \epsilon(g))|_{g=0, \text{ i.e., } \theta = \theta_0}.
$$
 (3.12b)

To compute the  $T$  matrix, one defines

$$
L_r = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} \frac{\Delta_{rn}}{\epsilon_r - \epsilon_n + i0}; \quad r = s, f. \tag{3.13}
$$

Using the method described in  $(3.6)$ – $(3.11)$ , one finds

$$
L_r = -\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon_r + 2\cos\theta_r \cos\phi' + 2\epsilon \cos 2\theta_0 \cos 2\phi' + i0}; \quad r = s, f,
$$
\n(3.14)

where  $\theta_s \equiv \theta_0$ ,  $\theta_f \equiv \theta$ ,  $\epsilon_r = -2 \cos \theta_r \cos \phi_r - 2\epsilon \cos 2\theta_0 \cos 2\phi_r$ ,  $\phi_s \equiv \phi_0$ , and  $\phi_f \equiv \phi$ . One also obtain

$$
X_{fs}(g) = \frac{eE_0}{i\hbar\omega}(\sin\theta_0 - 2\cos\theta_0 \tan 2\theta_0)
$$
  

$$
\times \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\phi'}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\cos\theta_0 - 2\epsilon \cos 2\theta_0 (\cos\phi' - \cos\phi_0) - i\delta \sin(\cos\phi' + \cos\phi_0)} - \frac{1}{\cos\theta_0 - 2\epsilon \cos\frac{1}{2}g \cos 2\theta_0 (\cos\phi' - \cos\phi) - i\delta \cos\frac{1}{2}g \sin(\cos\phi' + \cos\phi)} \right].
$$
 (3.15)

Here  $\delta$  is a positive infinitesimal.

#### /. Nearest-neighbor hopping Hubbard chain

Setting  $\epsilon = 0$  and noting that  $\cos \theta_0 \neq 0$  from (3.9), one finds from (3.15),

$$
X_{fs}(g) = 0.\tag{3.16}
$$

One also finds from (3.14),

$$
L_r^{-1} = -2i \left| \cos \theta_0 \sin \phi_r \right|.
$$
 (3.17)

Using  $(3.16)$  in  $(3.4)$ , one obtains

$$
\tau_{fs}(g) = i \frac{\Delta_{fs}}{\hbar \omega} \frac{T_s T_f N}{U} e E_0 \tan \theta_0 \tag{3.18}
$$

where

$$
T_r = (1/N)L_r^{-1}/[1 + (L_r U)^{-1}].
$$
 (3.19)

In (3.18), one power of  $\hbar\omega$  has been canceled from the denominator unlike in three dimensions. This arises from the fact that  $v_1 + v_2 - v_3 - v_4 \propto \omega$  in one dimension due to the energy conservation. This leads to  $\omega^2$  dependence of the relaxation rate unlike in three dimensions. The absorption rate can be calculated in a straightforward way from {3.12) in the region  $\hbar \omega \ll 4 \ll k_B T \ll U$ . The relaxation rate is given by

$$
\tau_{1D}^{-1} = (0.090tc/\hbar)(\hbar\omega/U)^2.
$$
 (3.20)

Again, the relaxation rate is proportional to the bandwidth and number of scatterers. It is also frequency dependent and vanishes as  $(\hbar \omega / U)^2$  for large U. The relaxation arises purely from normal processes. The umklapp processes give rise to higher-order contributions in  $\hbar\omega$ . This is due to the fact that a U process requires that  $\cos \frac{1}{2}\theta_0 \sim \frac{1}{4}\hbar\omega$ , namely,  $k_1 + k_2 \approx \pm \pi$ , and the T matrix becomes small (i.e., of order  $\hbar\omega$ ) in view of (3.17) and (3.19).

We now discuss the validity of (3.20). So far we have restricted ourselves to two-particle scattering. To include the many-body effect, one has to consider a self-energy as well as a scattering correction. As a consequence of the self-energy correction, the second term in the square bracket of  $(3.4)$  becomes of order c or smaller. The energy is defined within the accuracy of  $c$ , so that one requires  $\hbar\omega\gg c$ . It is to be remembered that the present approach is valid in the limit  $\omega \tau \gg 1$ . This condition is satisfied in (3.20) even for an arbitrarily low frequency. This means that there is no intraband absorption for  $\hbar \omega > c$  in the limit U  $=\infty$  in agreement with the spinless fermion model.

#### 2. Effect of the second-nearest-neighbor hopping

For simplicity we assume  $U = \infty$ , so that the first term of  $(3.4)$  vanishes

$$
\tau_{fs}(g) = (\Delta_{fs}/\hbar\omega)T_s T_f X_{fs}(g). \tag{3.21}
$$

One distinguishes two situations. For  $|\epsilon| \ll \hbar \omega$ , one expands  $X_{fs}(g)$  in (3.15) to the lowest order in  $\epsilon$ , obtaining

$$
X_{fs}(0) = \frac{4eE_0}{i\hbar\omega} (\sin\theta_0 - 2\cos\theta_0 \tan 2\theta_0)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \frac{\epsilon \cos 2\theta_0}{\cos^2\theta_0} (\sin\frac{\phi_0 + \phi}{2} \sin\frac{\phi_0 - \phi}{2})
$$
 (3.22)

and

$$
T_r = N^{-1} L_r^{-1} = -2iN^{-1} \left| \cos \theta_0 \sin \phi_r \right|.
$$
 (3.23)

ln (3.22) we have used the energy conservation  $\Delta \epsilon(0) = 0$ . Inserting (3.21)-(3.23) in (3.12), one obtains in the limit  $\hbar\omega \ll 1$ ,

$$
\tau_{1D}^{-1} = 0.62ct\epsilon^2/\hbar, \quad |\epsilon| \ll \hbar\omega. \tag{3.24}
$$

For  $1 \gg \epsilon \gg \hbar \omega$ , one drops  $\hbar \omega$  in (3.9)

$$
\Delta \epsilon(g) = \left( 4 \sin \frac{\phi_0 + \phi}{2} \sin \frac{\phi_0 - \phi}{2} \right)
$$

$$
\times \left[ \cos \theta_0 + 2\epsilon \cos 2\theta_0 (\cos \phi_0 + \cos \phi) \right] = 0.
$$

(3.25)

In (3.25), the zeroes at  $\phi = \pm \phi_0$  do not contribute to the absorption, because  $X_{fs}(0)$  vanishes at these points. Therefore the energy conservation requires that  $\begin{bmatrix} \end{bmatrix} = 0$  in (3.25). Using the energy conservation (3.25), one obtains from (3.15),

$$
X_{fs}(0) = \frac{eE_0}{i\hbar\omega} \frac{1}{2|\epsilon|} \frac{|\sin\phi_0| + |\sin\phi|}{|\sin\phi_0 \sin\phi|}
$$
  
 
$$
\times i \operatorname{sgn}(\cos\phi_0 - \cos\phi). \tag{3.26}
$$

\*Supported in part by NSF Grant No. DMR 75-19544.

- <sup>1</sup>For review articles and references, see  $Low$ -Dimensional Cooperative Phenomena, edited by H. J. Keller (Plenum, New York, 1975).
- <sup>2</sup>J. Hubbard, Proc. R. Soc. A 276, 238 (1964); 281, 401 (1964).
- $3W.$  F. Brinkman and T. M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 2, 1324

One also finds from (3.14), using the energy conservation

$$
L_r = \frac{i}{4} \frac{|\sin\phi_0| + |\sin\phi|}{|\epsilon(\cos\phi_0 - \cos\phi)\sin\phi_0\sin\phi|}
$$
  
for  $g = 0, r = s, f$ . (3.27)

Using (3.21), (3.26), (3.27), and  $T_r = N^{-1}L_r^{-1}$ , one finds from (3.12), in the limit  $\hbar \omega \ll 1$ ,

$$
\tau_{1D}^{-1} = 0.47ct\epsilon^2/\hbar, \quad 1 \gg |\epsilon| \gg \hbar \omega. \tag{3.28}
$$

One notes that the relaxation rates given in (3.24) and (3.26) are independent of the frequency as in a three-dimensional lattice. The many-body effect can be neglected in the limit  $\epsilon \gg c$ .

### IV. CONCLUSION

We have studied the intraband absorption in a single-band Hubbard model at a low concentration of electrons. In a one-dimensional lattice with nearest-neighbor electron transfer, we find in the frequency range  $c \ll \hbar \omega$  that the absorption is independent of frequency and vanishes as  $U^{-2}$ . The transport relaxation rate is given in (3.20). In the limit  $U = \infty$ , the present result supports the spinless fermion model. In the presence of second  $nearest-neighbor\ electron\ transfer\ (\epsilon)$  such that  $c \ll |\epsilon|$ , the  $\omega^{-2}$  frequency dependence of the absorption obtains as in two or three dimensions; the relaxation rate is given in (3.24) and (3.26).

(1970).

- ${}^{4}G$ . Beni, T. Holstein, and P. Pincus, Phys. Rev. B  $\underline{8}$ , 312 (1973).
- $5S. K. Lyo, Phys. Rev. B 14, 2335 (1976).$
- $6$ See, for example, E. Merzbacher, Quantum Mechanics (Wiley, New York, 1970).