
Errata

Erratum: Raman spectrum of gadolinium molybdate at 80°K
[Phys. Rev. B 13, 1344 (1976)]

B. N. Ganguly, Frank G. Ullman, R. D. Kirby, and J. R. Hardy

The paper contains a minor error. The symmetry classification for Figs. 3 and 4 should be exchanged so that the captions read

“FIG. 3. B_2 spectra, $x(z\bar{y})z$, 80°K,” and “FIG. 4. B_1 spectra, $x(zx)z$, 80°K.”

Similarly, the text at the top of the left-hand column on p. 1348 should read “. . . respectively, B_2 spectra in Fig. 3, B_1 spectra in Fig. 4,”

Erratum: Electron-nuclear double-resonance study of NaF:Fe⁺ in an octahedral site
[Phys. Rev. B 12, 4755 (1975)]

Nak Sam Chung and Robert Lee Miehler

There is a printing error in this paper: The hyperfine constant A_x of shell II in Table V should read: $A_x = -1.502$ instead of $A_x = -1.052$.

Erratum: Electric-field-induced optical second-harmonic generation in KTaO₃ and SrTiO₃
[Phys. Rev. B 13, 1161 (1976)]

Y. Fujii and T. Sakudo

(1) In the last sentence of the left-hand column on p. 1165, “Eq. (13)” should read “Eq. (A13),” and the last equation in the Appendix on p. 1166 should be numbered (A13).

(2) Reference 8 should read Y. Fujii and T. Sakudo, *J. Appl. Phys.* 41, 4118 (1970).

Erratum: Critical properties of two tensor models with application to the percolation problem
[Phys. Rev. B 13, 4159 (1976)]

R. G. Priest and T. C. Lubensky

The coefficient of $\ln(b)$ in Eq. (5.5) should be $+\frac{5}{12}$ not $-\frac{5}{12}$. This error led to incorrect second-order coefficients for some of the critical exponents. In Eq. (5.17) the coefficient of a_1^2 should be $-\frac{19}{18}$ not $-\frac{49}{18}$ and the coefficient of $a_1 a_2$ should be $\frac{4}{3}$ not $\frac{14}{3}$. The correct results for the percolation exponents are

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{21}\epsilon - \frac{206}{3^3 7^3} \epsilon^2,$$

$$\frac{1}{\nu} = 2 - \frac{5}{21}\epsilon - \frac{653}{7^3 3^3 2} \epsilon^2,$$

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{7}\epsilon + \frac{565}{7^3 3^2 2^2} \epsilon^2,$$

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{1}{7}\epsilon - \frac{61}{7^3 3^2 2^2} \epsilon^2.$$

The numerical results for $d=5$ are $\gamma=1.19$ and $\beta=0.852$. None of the other results or conclusions are affected. This error came to light in the course of mutually beneficial comparison with D. Amit's preliminary results from the Callan-Symanzik equation. There is now agreement between our work and that of Amit [*J. Phys. A* (to be published)].