### Specific heat of composite superconductors in the proximity-effect regime\*

A. J. Bevolo, E. D. Gibson, J. D. Verhoeven, and D. K. Finnemore

Ames Laboratory-ERDA and Bepartment of Physics, Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50010

(Received 3 November 1975)

The specific heat of a series of Pb-Cd laminar composites with layer spacings ranging from 1000 to 85000 A has been measured in order to study the superconducting proximity effect in bulk material. The jump in specific heat at the transition temperature is very sensitive to the spatial dependence of the superconducting order parameter and these measurements provide an estimate of this spatial distribution. Results show that the fourth moment of the order parameter is approximately 10% larger than the square of the second moment. The presence of the interleaved nonmagnetic normal-metal layers is not very detrimental to the superconductivity.

### I. INTRODUCTION

A normal metal in close proximity to a superconductor ean substantially alter the behavior of the superconductor near the boundary because the electrons flow from one metal to the other and are influenced by the potential in both regions.<sup>1,2</sup> This so-called proximity effect leads to a depression of the pair potential Gf the superconductor neax the boundax'y and it also induces superconductivity in the normal metal. The spatial extent of these effects is typically a few hundred to a few thousand angstroms, values which are comparable to the penetration depth  $\lambda$  and the coherence distance  $\xi$ . In the design of a high stabilized critical current, superconducting wire, the efficient use of materials dictates that one should keep the dimensions of the superconducting filaments and the stabilizing normal metal comparable to  $\lambda$ . Hence the proximity effect is a very important aspect of the design and fabrication of composite materials for superconducting cables.

Fox the single-sandwich thin-film geometry, many aspects of the proximity effect are faixly well understood. Werthamer<sup>3</sup> and de Gennes<sup>1,4</sup> have provided a broad theoretical framework for the proximity effect and several aspects of the theory have been experimentally verified. Hauser<sup>5,6</sup> has made an extensive study of the transition temperatures of superimposed films and finds good agreement with the modified Werthamer-de Gennes model.<sup>3,4</sup> Adkins and co-workers<sup>7,8</sup> have studied the tunneling density of states near the gap edge and Lindenfeld and co-workers<sup>9, 10</sup> have investigated the temperature dependence of the pair potential via thermal conductivity measurements. A general review of the subject is given by Deutscher and de Gennes.<sup>2</sup> Many qualitative aspects of theory agree with experiment but much remains to be done.

For bulk composites, there have been very few

studies of the proximity effect because it is difficult to prepare suitable samples. Shiffman and  $co$ -workers<sup>11, 12</sup> have measured the jump in specific heat,  $\Delta C$ , at the transition temperature  $T_c$  for the directionally cooled Pb-Sn system and report a depression qualitatively similar to the  $\Delta C$  predepression qualitatively similar to the  $\Delta C$  pre-<br>dicted by Fulde and Moormann.<sup>13</sup> These results however, were difficult to extend over a wide range because the Sn transition temperature was rather high and this interfers with the jump in specific heat at  $T_c$ .

We have recently made significant advances in the techniques for the fabrication of laminar composite materials by high-speed directional solidification and are now able to prepare Pb-Cd eutectics with a laminar repeat distance L as small 300  $\AA$ . We report here an extensive study of the jump in specific heat at  $T_c$  for these composites. The purpose of the experiment is to study the depression of  $T<sub>c</sub>$  and  $\Delta C$  with laminar spacing in order to gain insight into the spatial variation of the order parameter in the region of a superconductor-normal-metal boundary.

#### II. EXPERIMENTAL

Samples were prepared by a high-speed directional solidification technique. A molten sample of Pb-Cd encased in a stainless-steel (or glass) jacket is solidified by moving it at a carefully controlled rate through a steep temperature gradient which is provided by a furnace and appropriate jets of cold water. For Pb-Cd, the laminar repeat distance  $L$  is related to the solidification rate  $r$  by the relation  $L = 500 r^{-1/2}$ , where L is measured in angstroms and  $r$  is measured in cm/sec. A scanning-electron-microscope (SEM) picture for an  $L$  $=1000-\text{\AA}$  sample is shown in Fig. 1. SEM picutres taken shortly after solidification show an excellent laminar eharaeter with uniform plate thickness as shown in Fig.  $1(a)$ . After several days at room



FIG. 1. (a) Scanning-electron-microscope (SEM) pictures of the Pb-Cd laminar composites taken after 2 h at room temperature. The repeat distance is 1000  $\AA$ . The dark regions are Pb rich and the light regions are Cd. The magnification is  $7700 \times$ . (b) SEM picture after a sample has been allowed to coarsen at room temperature for 11 d. The magnification is 7000x.

temperature, however, the sample coarsens as shown in Fig. 1(b). This coarsening occurs for all samples with  $L$  less than 10000 Å, so these samples were kept at 78 K from the time of solidification until they were mounted in the cryostat for measurement. They were at room temperature for less than 30 min before measurement. All of the samples reported here had the eutectic composition, so they were at  $72$ -at. $%$  Cd. Based on roomtemperature density data, the volume fraction of Cd is approximately 19%. This means that a sample with  $L = 1000 \text{ Å}$  would have a normal-metal thickness,  $d_n$  of 190 Å and a superconductor thickness  $d<sub>s</sub>$  of 810 Å. Specific-heat measurements were taken by a standard pulse-heating method de-<br>scribed elsewhere.<sup>14</sup> scribed elsewhere.<sup>14</sup>

# III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Pb-Cd composites fabricated by directional solidification will retain small amounts of Cd in solution in the Pb-rich lamina. From solubility studies<sup>15</sup> one would expect the amount to be  $0.5\%$ -1.5% but this still might significantly affect the superconducting properties. To investigate the magnitude of the changes in specific heat caused by alloying, a Pb —6-at.%-Cd alloy sample was quenched from the melt and measured. Specificheat results from this alloy sample and for a sample of pure bulk Pb, shown on Fig. 2, indicate that the transition temperautre  $T_c$  is suppressed by 0.10 K, in agreement with the work of Nembach,<sup>16</sup> and the Debye temperature decreases with increasing Cd concentration as shown by the increase in specific heat. The qualitative features are similar to the Pb-In alloys reported by van der Hoeven and



FIG. 2. Comparison of the specific heat of pure bulk Pb with that of a quenched  $6-at$ .  $\%$ -Cd alloy. Neither sample has any laminar structure.

Keesom. '7 Indeed the pure-Pb data are in excellent agreement with the earlier work of Neighcellent agreement with the earlier work of Neig<br>bor *et al*.<sup>18</sup> The most important aspect of these alloy data for this work is that the jump in specific heat at  $T<sub>c</sub>$  is essentially unchanged by alloying. This means that the  $(0.5-1.5)\%$  Cd retained in solution in the Pb-rich regions of the laminar samples will not significantly affect the magnitude of the jump in specific heat,  $\Delta C$ .

Specific-heat results for the laminar samples are summarized on Fig. 3. For these data the ordinate has been shifted for clarity but there is no change in the abscissa. Values of the laminar repeat distance  $L$  are shown on Fig. 3 to identify the various samples. Corresponding values of the thickness of the Pb-rich region  $d_s$ , and the thickness of the Cd-rich region  $d_n$ , are given in Table I. <sup>A</sup> striking feature of Fig. <sup>3</sup> is the similarity of the specific-heat curves for a very wide range of L values. The magnitude of specific heat is nearly the same, the broadening of the transition remains constant at about 0.080 K, and the magnitude of the specific-heat jump is nearly constant. The most pronounced change is in the value of  $T_c$ .

To see the small changes in specific heat which arise from changes in laminar spacing, in more detail, it is helpful to plot the difference between the superconducting and normal-state specific heat as shown on Fig. 4 for three of the samples. On



FIG. 3. Specific heat of Pb-Cd laminar composities for a wide range of repeat distances. The ordinate has been shifted so that all the curves would not lie on top of one another.

this figure, the solid line represents the specific heat of bulk Pb normalized for the fact that only  $81%$  of the laminar samples is Pb. There is considerable scatter on this type of plot because  $\Delta C$ is a small fraction of the total specific heat. Nevertheless, the magnitude of the jump is known to about  $10\%$ . The dashed-line curves of Fig. 4 illustrate the predictions of the Fulde-Moormann



FIG. 4. Comparison of the measured jump in specific heat with the Fulde-Moormann prediction for a paramagnetic normal-metal region. For the Fulde-Moormann curves,  $d_s/\xi$  =13.3 for the  $t_c$  =0.99 curve.

theory<sup>13</sup> for composite alloys in which the normal metal contains paramagnetic impurities, so that the order parameter is forced to zero at the Pb-Cd boundary. This is the maximum possible suppression of  $\Delta C$  which would be expected and the measured values show a significantly smaller depression of  $\Delta C$ .

There are qualitative similarities between these Pb-Cd results and the Pb-Sn results reported by Shiffman and  $co$ -workers<sup>11, 12</sup> but the Pb-Cd system shows a much smaller depression in  $\Delta C$  than reported for Pb-Sn. For the case of Pb-Sn,  $\Delta C$ values are as small as  $50\%$  of that of pure Pb,  $\Delta C_0$ , whereas the Pb-Cd  $\Delta C$  values in a corresponding range are about 85% of  $\Delta C_0$ . A very clear example of the difference between the laminar sample and the alloy sample is illustrated by the  $L = 1000 - \text{\AA}$  data. After the specific-heat for the laminar sample was complete, the sample was allowed to coarsen at room temperature for 15 d and the specific heat was remeasured.  $\Delta C$  in-

$L(\AA)$	1000	3000	11000	26000	85000
$d_s$ (Å)	810	2430	8900	21000	69000
$d_n(\AA)$	190	570	2100	4900	16000
$l_s(\text{\AA})$	300	190	550	550	420
$\xi$ (Å)	280	230	370	370	340
$T_c$ (K)	6.70	6.85	7.02	7.12	7.13
$T_c/T_{c0}$	0.932	0.955	0.976	0.991	0.993
$\Delta C$ (mJ/mol K)	37	38	37	39	41
$\Delta C/\Delta C_0$	0.85	0.88	0.85	0.90	0.95
β	1.02	1.08	1.14	1.10	1.04

TABLE I. Sample characteristics.

creased from 37 to 42 mJ/mol K, about a  $14\%$  increase.

To make a more-detailed comparison with the To make a more-detailed comparison with the Fulde-Moormann theory,<sup>13</sup> it is necessary to evaluate the mean free path  $l_s$  and the coherence distance  $\xi_s$ . Values of  $l_s$  were determined as follows: The upper critical field of the sample,  $H_{c2}$ , was measured. Kappa was evaluated from  $\kappa = H_{c2}/$  $\sqrt{2} H_c$ . The resistivity  $\rho$  was determined from  $\kappa = \kappa_0 + (7.53 \times 10^3) \rho \gamma^{1/2}$ , where<sup>19</sup>  $\kappa_0 = 0.38$ ,  $\rho$  is measured in  $\Omega\,\mathrm{cm}$  and the specific-heat coefficient  $\gamma$ is measured in ergs/cm<sup>3</sup>.  $l<sub>s</sub>$  was then determined from the anomalous-skin-effect<sup>20</sup> result  $\rho l = 1.1$ from the anomalous-skin-effect<sup>20</sup> result  $\rho l = 1.1$ <br> $\times 10^{-11}$  Ω cm. Direct measurements of the resistivity lead to approximately the same result. The coherence distance was then calculated from the 'dirty-limit formula, $^2 \, \, \xi$  =  $(\frac{1}{3} \, \xi_0 \, l \, )^{1/2}$ . Values of these characteristic lengths are given in Table I.

From the values of the  $\xi$  and the  $d_s$  one can calculate the Fulde-Moormann depairing parameter  $\rho_c = \frac{1}{8}\pi^2(\xi^2/d_s^2)$  for substitution into the jump-inspecific-heat formula

$$
\Delta C/\Delta C_0 = (1/\beta)(1 - 0.472 \rho_c)(T_c/T_{cs}),
$$

where

$$
\beta = \frac{\langle \Delta^4(x) \rangle}{\langle \Delta^2(x) \rangle^2}
$$

and  $\Delta(x)$  is the spatial dependence of the order parameter.

Values of  $\beta$  are all about 1.1, as indicated in Table I. There is a systematic variation of  $\beta$  with thickness which indicates a peak near the  $L = 1.1$ - $\mu$ m sample as shown on Fig. 5. This would seem to indicate that the order parameter is very uni-



FIG. 5. Thickness dependence of the fourth moment of the order parameter as derived from the measured jump in specific heat.

form for samples with  $L < 2000 \text{ Å}$ . This is supported by tunneling measurements on the Cd side<br>of Pb-Cd tunnel junctions as well.<sup>21</sup> of Pb-Cd tunnel junctions as well.

# IV. SUMMARY

Laminar Pb-Cd composite superconductors of very high regularity can be produced by directional solidification. The jump is specific heat at  $T_c$  for these alloys does not show the dramatic depression of  $\Delta C$  which has been reported for the Pb-Sn system, but the measured  $\Delta C$  values are clearly smaller than predicted for bulk alloys. The fourth moment of the order parameter calculated from the data using the Fulde-Moormann ideas shows a maximum of about  $\beta = 1.15$  for laminar repeat distances of about  $10000 \text{ Å}$  and the moment decreases for both larger and smaller thicknesses.

- \*Work performed for the U. S. Energy Research and Development Administration under Contract No. W-7405-eng-82.
- $^{1}$ P. G. de Gennes, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 225 (1964).
- ${}^{2}G$ . Deutscher and P. G. de Gennes, in Superconductivity, edited by R. D. Parks (Dekker, New York, 1969).
- 3N. R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 132, 2440 (1963).
- ${}^{4}P$ . G. de Gennes and E. Guyon, Phys. Lett. 3, 168 (1963).
- 'J. J. Hauser, H. C. Theuerer, and N. R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 136, A637 (1964).
- $6J.$  J. Hauser and H. C. Theuerer, Phys. Lett. 14, 270 (1965).
- ${}^{7}$ J. J. Hauser, H. C. Theuerer, and N. R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 142, 118 (1966).
- <sup>8</sup>B. W. Kington and C. J. Adkins, Philos. Mag. 13, 971 (1966).
- <sup>9</sup>G. Deutscher, P. Lindenfeld, and R. D. McConnell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 21, 79 (1968).
- <sup>10</sup>G. Deutscher, P. Lindenfeld, and S. Wolf, Phys. Rev. Lett. 23, 1102 (1969).
- $<sup>11</sup>C$ . A. Shiffman, J. F. Cochran, and M. Garber, Rev.</sup> Mod. Phys. 36, 127 (1964).
- $^{12}$ J. Lechevet, J. E. Neighbor, and C. A. Shiffman, Phys. Rev. B 5, 861 (1972).
- $^{13}$ P. Fulde and W. Moormann, Phys. Kondens. Mater. 6, 403 (1967).
- <sup>14</sup>A. J. Bevolo, H. R. Shanks, and D. Eckels, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).
- $^{15}$ M. Hansen, Constitution of Binary Alloys, 2nd ed. (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958).
- $^{16}$ E. Nembach, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 29, 1205 (1968).
- $^{17}$ B. J. C. van der Hoeven, Jr. and P. H. Keesom, Phys. Rev. 137, A103 (1965).
- 18J. E. Neighbor, J. F. Cochran, and C. A. Shiffman, Phys. Rev. 155, 384 (1967).
- $^{19}$ M. Strongin, A. Paskin, and D. G. Sweitzer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 12, 442 (1964).
- <sup>20</sup>R. G. Chambers, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. 215, 481 (1952).
- $^{21}$ J. Toplicar (private communications).

 $(a)$ 



 $(b)$ 

FIG. 1. (a) Scanning-electron-microscope (SEM) pictures of the Pb-Cd laminar composites taken after 2 h at room temperature. The repeat distance is 1000 Å. The dark regions are Pb rich and the light regions are Cd. The magnification is 7700x. (b) SEM picture after a sample has been allowed to coarsen at room temperature for 11 d. The magnification is 7000x.