Equivalence of two exactly soluble models for tricritical points

St. Sarbach and T. Schneider

IBM Zurich Research Laboratory, 8803 Rüschlikon, Switzerland (Received 9 July 1975)

An exactly soluble n-component continuous spin model with tricritical points is shown to be equivalent, in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, to a one-component model with anharmonic long-range interactions.

In a recent paper¹ we studied an exactly soluble model for tricritical points. The Hamiltonian of the model reads

$$H_{N}^{SC}(\vec{\mathbf{X}}) = \frac{D^{2}}{8N^{3}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2}\right)^{4} + \frac{B}{4N} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{A}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i}^{2} - C \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} X_{i} X_{j}.$$
(1)

We were able to draw the complete phase diagram in the $B-\Delta-T$ space; here $\Delta = 2dC$ and d is the dimensionality of the lattice. In the $B-\Delta-T$ space we found a line of tricritical points.

At the same time, Emery² proposed and solved an *n*-component vector model which also exhibits tricritical behavior. This model is defined by

$$H_{N} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} n V(n^{-1} \vec{S}_{j}^{2}) - \sum_{i, j} J_{ij}(\vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{j}) - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \vec{S}_{j} \cdot \vec{h} ,$$
(2)

where \vec{S}_k is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n .

The aim of this note is to demonstrate that in the limit $N, n \rightarrow \infty$ the model defined by (2) has the same free energy as the model defined by

$$H_{N}^{SC}(\vec{\mathbf{X}}) = NV\left(N^{-1}\sum_{i=1}^{N}X_{i}^{2}\right) - \sum_{i,j}J_{ij}X_{i}X_{j} - h\sum_{i=1}^{N}X_{i}$$
(3)

for $N \rightarrow \infty$. [Clearly (1) is a special case of (3).] To establish the equivalence between (2) and (3)we calculate the free energy corresponding to (3). We suppose that

 $V(t) \ge -V_0 > -\infty$, (4)

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-2} V(t) > 0 , \qquad (5)$$

$$J_{ij} = J(|\bar{\mathbf{r}}_i - \bar{\mathbf{r}}_j|) \ge 0, \quad J(0) = 0, \tag{6}$$

and, following Kac and Thompson,³ write

$$Z_{N}^{SC} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} d^{N} X e^{-\beta H_{N}(\tilde{X})}$$
$$= \sqrt{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\zeta \, \zeta^{N-1} e^{-\beta N V(\zeta^{2})} Q_{N}^{SP}(\zeta^{2}) \,. \tag{7}$$

We made the transformation $X_i - \zeta X_i$ to obtain this last expression. $Q_N^{SP}(\zeta^2)$ is then the partition function, without the renormalization factor A_n defined in Ref. 3, of a spherical model with $\zeta^2 J_{ij}$ and ζh as parameters. The free energy

$$-\beta F^{\rm SP}(\zeta^2) = \lim_{N \to \infty} N^{-1} \ln Q_N^{\rm SP}(\zeta^2) \tag{8}$$

is well known^{3, 4} and using the Laplace method⁵ we can write

$$Z_{N_{N\to\infty}}^{\rm SC} \approx \exp\left(N \max_{0 \le \zeta^2 \le \infty} \left[-\beta V(\zeta^2) + \ln \zeta - \beta F^{\rm SP}(\zeta^2)\right]\right) \quad (9)$$

so that the free energy per spin of (3) is given by

$$-\beta\psi^{\mathrm{SC}}(\beta,h) = \max_{0 \le \zeta^2 \le \infty} \left[-\beta V(\zeta^2) + \ln\zeta - \beta F^{\mathrm{SP}}(\zeta^2) \right].$$
(10)

The maximum in (10) can be evaluated with the aid of the derivative with respect to ζ^2 ; we then find a set of self-consistency equations determining the free energy of (3):

$$-\beta \psi^{\rm SC}(\beta,h) = -\beta V(\xi^2) + \beta \xi^2 t - \frac{1}{2} f_d(t) + \frac{\beta h^2}{4[t - \hat{J}(0)]} + \frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi \beta^{-1}, \qquad (11)$$

$$t(\zeta^2) = \frac{\partial V(z)}{\partial z} \bigg|_{z = \zeta^2},$$
(12)

$$\beta \zeta^2 = \frac{1}{2} f_{d,t}(t) + \frac{\beta h^2}{4[t - \hat{J}(0)]^2}, \qquad (13)$$

where

$$f_d(t) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int_0^{2\pi} d^d \omega \ln[t - \hat{J}(\vec{\omega})], \qquad (14)$$

$$f_{d,t}(t) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f_d(t) , \qquad (15)$$

and

$$\hat{J}(\vec{\omega}) = \sum_{\vec{1}} J(\vec{1}) \cos \vec{\omega} \cdot \vec{1}.$$
(16)

13

464

This set of self-consistency equations is identical to that found by Emery² for the free energy of the model defined by (2) for $N, n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently both models are equivalent.

The model defined by (3) has another interesting property. Provided that V(z) is a convex function of z, for $z \ge 0$, and there is a phase transition, the critical temperature is given by

$$\beta_{C}^{SC} = \zeta_{0}^{-2} \frac{1}{2} f_{d, t} t(\zeta_{0}^{2}) , \qquad (17)$$

where ζ_0^2 is the solution of

$$t(\zeta_0^2) = \hat{J}(0) . \tag{18}$$

Now (17) is also the critical temperature of a spherical model with $\sum X_i^2 = N\xi_0^2$. Moreover, for h = 0 and $\beta \ge \beta_c$ it can be seen that the free energy of (3) and the free energy of this spherical model are identical:

$$\psi^{\rm SC}(\beta, h=0) = F^{\rm SP}\left(\beta, h=0; \sum X_i^2 = N \zeta_0^2\right).$$
(19)

For $\beta \ge \beta_c$ the behavior of the model (3) is then that of the spherical model provided that V(z) is a convex function on the positive real axis.

¹St. Sarbach and T. Schneider, Z. Phys. B <u>20</u>, 399 (1975).

²V. J. Emery, Phys. Rev. B 11, 3397 (1975).

- ³M. Kac and C. J. Thompson, Phys. Norv. <u>5</u>, 163 (1971).
- ⁴G. S. Joyce, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phe-

nomena, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (Academic, New York, 1972), Vol. 2, pp. 375-442.

⁵See, e.g., A. Erdelyi, Asymptotic Expansions (Dover, London, 1956), pp. 36-38.