# Atomic ordering and $T_c$ of narrow-band superconducting alloys

J. Appel

I. Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universitaet Hamburg, 2 Hamburg, Germany (Received 16 June 1975)

We present a theoretical discussion for the transition temperature  $T_c$  of superconducting alloys taking into account both the effects of composition and of atomic order.  $T_c$  is found from the ensemble-averaged vertex equation for a Cooper pair written in the atomic representation. The application to new experimental data on the effect of long-range order on  $T_c$ of Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, V<sub>3</sub>Au, and V<sub>3</sub>Ga yields good agreement between theory and experiment.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The effect of composition and of atomic ordering on  $T_c$  of the binary alloys  $A_x B_{1-x}$  is of considerable experimental interest. In particular, high- $T_c A$ -15 substances have been investigated by producing different degrees of atomic disorder by highenergy neutron irradiation,<sup>1,2</sup> oxygen-ion bombardment of thin films,<sup>3</sup> and quenching of alloys from high temperatures followed by subsequent annealing.<sup>4-6</sup> In the new experiments on A-15 substances it is found that large changes in  $T_c$  accompany the changes of long-range order as measured by the Braggs-Williams parameter S. Furthermore, there are alloys between two transition metals where a small difference in the atomic sizes of the constituents leads to short range order as indicated by solid-solution hardening.<sup>7</sup> For these alloys, too,  $T_c$  will depend not merely on the composition, but also on Bethe's order parameter  $\sigma$  that measures the extent of local order between nearest neighbor atoms.8

We wish to present a theory of  $T_c$  for superconducting alloys that takes both the effects of composition and of atomic order into account. We presume alloys with narrow energy bands, where the electrons responsible for superconductivity have atomic character in the sense that they revolve several times around an atom before the itinerant band motion carries them to a neighbor atom. To determine  $T_c$ , we start from the integral equation for the vertex part of a Cooper pair  $\Gamma$  written in the Wannier representation.<sup>9</sup> The temperature  $T_c$  is defined by the ensemble-averaged vertex equation, the kernel of which contains the pair Green's function F and the electron-electron interaction I owing to the short-range Coulomb repulsion and the frequency-dependent exchange of lattice excitations. For the kernel, we presume the contact model, which means physically that we consider those interactions in which the two electrons of a pair are initially at one and the same site and finally again at one site. Using the contact model, we calculate  $T_c$  as a function

of composition and ordering. In the alternative coherent-potential-approximation treatment of superconducting alloys, random disorder is an essential presumption.<sup>10-12</sup>

### **II. ELECTRON-PHONON MODEL**

For a particular alloy, we presume the following model: The electrons occupy a partly filled band whose eigenstates  $\kappa$  are given by

$$\psi_{\kappa}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) = N^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\alpha}} a_{\kappa}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\alpha}) w_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\alpha}}(\mathbf{\tilde{r}}) \quad (\kappa = 1, \dots, N),$$
(1)

where  $\mathbf{\bar{n}}_{\alpha}$  labels the lattice sites occupied by  $\alpha$ atoms ( $\alpha = A, B$ ),  $w_{\mathbf{\bar{n}}_{\alpha}}$  denotes the Wannier function at  $\mathbf{\bar{n}}$ , and N is the number of atoms. The coefficients  $a_{\kappa}$  connect the  $\kappa$  and  $\mathbf{\bar{n}}$  representations, by a unitary transformation. In the Wannier representation, the Green's function at T = 0 has the form

$$G_{0}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\beta}, \vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = N^{-1} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{a_{\kappa}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\beta})a_{\kappa}^{*}(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\alpha})}{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} - \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\kappa} + i\delta_{\kappa}}, \qquad (2)$$

where  $\epsilon_{\kappa}$  is the band energy with respect to the Fermi surface and  $\delta_{\kappa} = \pm \delta$  for  $\epsilon_{\kappa} \ge 0$ . In terms of  $G_0$  the density of states per atom is given by

$$N(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = -(\pi N)^{-1} \operatorname{sgn} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\vec{n}_{\alpha}} \operatorname{Im} G_0(\vec{n}_{\alpha}, \vec{n}_{\alpha}; \boldsymbol{\epsilon})$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} N_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}). \tag{3}$$

The model for the normal modes is analogous to that for the electrons.<sup>13</sup> The modes *l* have the eigenfrequencies  $\omega_{I}$  (l = 1, ..., 3N) and the displacement eigenvectors  $u_{\tilde{m}_{\alpha}i;I}$  (i = 1, 2, 3, corresponding to the three Cartesian coordinates). The lattice Green's function  $L_0$  describes the propagation of a lattice distortion between  $\tilde{m}_{\alpha}$  and  $\tilde{m}_{\beta}$  at T = 0; this function is given by

$$L_{0ij}(\vec{\mathbf{m}}_{\beta}, \vec{\mathbf{m}}_{\alpha}; \omega) = (M_{\alpha}M_{\beta})^{-1/2} \sum_{l} \frac{u_{\vec{\mathbf{m}}_{\beta}i;l} u_{\vec{\mathbf{m}}_{\alpha}j;l}}{\omega^{2} - \omega_{l}^{2}},$$
(4)

32

13

3203



FIG. 1. Graphical representation of the vertex equation for an alloy in the contact model.

where  $M_{\alpha}$  is the mass of an  $\alpha$  atom. Using Eq. (4), we write the density of states as

$$g(\omega) = (6N\pi i)^{-1} \sum_{i} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\vec{m}_{\alpha}} M_{\alpha}$$
$$\times [L_{0ii}(\omega^{2} + i\delta) - L_{0ii}(\omega^{2} - i\delta)]$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha} g_{\alpha}(\omega).$$
(5)

We find the partial densities of states  $N_{\alpha}(\epsilon)$  and  $g_{\alpha}(\omega)$  by substituting the corresponding Green's functions into Eqs. (3) and (5).<sup>14</sup> In the framework of this electron-phonon model, we formulate the vertex equation for a Cooper pair.

#### **III. ENSEMBLE-AVERAGED VERTEX EQUATION**

The vertex part  $\Gamma$  satisfies at  $T_c$  a homogeneous integral equation of the form  $\Gamma = -\Gamma GGI$ , where G is the thermal Green's function (cf. Eq. 2) and I is the irreducible interaction. In the contact model, I depends on two sites only, since both electrons of a pair are taken to be at one site. The interaction can scatter the pair to a new site. In this model, the explicit form of the vertex equation is given by (cf. Fig. 1)

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\prime}; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu}) &= -\beta_{c}^{-1} \sum_{\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}} \sum_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime\prime}}^{\prime\prime\prime}} \mathbf{\Gamma}(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}^{\prime}, \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime\prime}}^{\prime\prime}; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu^{\prime}}) \\ &\times F(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime\prime}}^{\prime\prime}, \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime\prime}}^{\prime\prime\prime}; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu^{\prime}}) I(\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\delta}^{\prime\prime}}^{\prime\prime\prime}, \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}^{\prime\prime\prime}; \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu^{\prime}} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\nu}), \end{split}$$
(6)

where  $\omega_{\nu} = i\pi(2\nu+1)/\beta$  and  $\beta = 1/k_BT$ . Eq (6) represents a system of  $N^2$  equations. For large N, the value of  $T_c$  given by Eq. (6) should apply not only to just this particular alloy but to an ensemble of alloys of which this one is a representative. All of the alloys in the ensemble have the same value of the order parameter, S or  $\sigma$ . We wish to determine  $T_c$  from the proper ensemble average of Eq. (6).

To this end, we first introduce some quantities to describe the alloy statistics. The probability distribution for r atoms,  $p(\vec{n}_1, \ldots, \vec{n}_r)$ , is the probability that the sites  $\vec{n}_1, \ldots, \vec{n}_r$  are occupied by the constituents  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ . For convenience, we write below the pair distribution  $p(\vec{n}_1 \vec{n}_2)$  as  $p(\vec{n}_\alpha \vec{n}_\beta')$ ,  $= p(\vec{n}_\alpha - \vec{n}_\beta')$ , etc. The conditional probability distribution  $p(\vec{n}_1, \ldots, \vec{n}_r | \vec{n}_{r+1}, \ldots, \vec{n}_N)$  gives the probability for the constituents at the sites  $\vec{n}_{r+1}, \ldots, \vec{n}_N$ if the constituents at  $\vec{n}_1, \ldots, \vec{n}_r$  are fixed. This probability is defined by the following factorization:

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_1,\ldots,\vec{\mathbf{n}}_N) = p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_1,\ldots,\vec{\mathbf{n}}_r)p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_1,\ldots,\vec{\mathbf{n}}_r | \vec{\mathbf{n}}_{r+1},\ldots,\vec{\mathbf{n}}_N).$$
(7)

In terms of the conditional probability, we define the restricted averages of any quantity which depends on the alloy configuration [cf. Ref. 15, Eq. (2.15)].

We write the restricted ensemble average of Eq. (6), taking  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  atoms at the lattice sites  $\vec{n}$  and  $\vec{n}'$ , respectively, and averaging over all of the possible alloy configurations at the other N-2 sites. We get

and  $(\mathbf{n} = \mathbf{n'})$ 

Here the Kronecker  $\delta_{\vec{n}'\vec{n}''} \equiv \delta_{\vec{n}'_{\vec{n}}\vec{n}'_{\vec{n}}}$ ; the subscripts  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = A, B$ .

Equation (8) is exact within the contact model. To proceed, we employ an approximation, namely, the chain factorization of the probability distributions containing three and four different sites into a chain of pair distributions. For three sites we have, for example,

$$p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\alpha}\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\beta}'\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\gamma}'') = \frac{p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\alpha} - \vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\beta}')}{p_{\alpha}} \frac{p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\beta}' - \vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\gamma}'')}{p_{\beta}} \frac{p(\vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\gamma}'' - \vec{\mathbf{n}}_{\alpha})}{p_{\gamma}}, \quad (9)$$

where  $p_{\alpha} = p(\vec{n}_{\alpha})$ . This approximation is used in the

3204

tight-binding theory of the electronic structure of liquid and amorphous metals.<sup>16</sup> In a corresponding manner, the restricted ensemble average of  $\langle \Gamma FI \rangle$  is factorized into a product of three averages,

$$\langle \mathbf{\Gamma} F I \rangle_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\beta}' \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\gamma}'' \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{0}'' \mathbf{n}_{\alpha}} = \langle \mathbf{\Gamma} \rangle_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\beta}' - \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\gamma}''} \langle F \rangle_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\gamma}' - \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{0}''} \langle I \rangle_{\mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{0}'' - \mathbf{\tilde{n}}_{\alpha}} .$$
 (10)

This type of chain approximation is reasonable if, e.g., the quantity  $\Gamma(\vec{n}'_{\beta}\vec{n}''_{\gamma})$  does not fluctuate much about its restricted average  $\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\vec{n}'_{\beta}-n''_{\gamma}}$ .<sup>17</sup>

We now substitute Eqs. (9) and (10) in Eq. (8). By summing the new equation over  $\vec{n}', \vec{n}$  we eventually get

$$\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\beta \alpha} = -\sum_{\gamma, \delta} \langle \Gamma \rangle_{\beta \gamma} \frac{\langle F \rangle_{\gamma \delta}}{p_{\gamma} p_{\delta}} \langle I \rangle_{\delta \alpha}, \qquad (11)$$

where

$$\langle I \rangle_{\delta \alpha} = N^{-1} \sum_{\vec{n}', \vec{n}} \left[ p(\vec{n}_{\delta}' - \vec{n}_{\alpha}) \langle I \rangle_{\vec{n}_{\delta}' - \vec{n}_{\alpha}} + \delta_{\vec{n}', \vec{n}} p_{\alpha} \langle I \rangle_{\alpha} \right].$$
(12)

The quantities  $\langle \Gamma \rangle_{\beta\gamma}$  and  $\langle F \rangle_{\gamma5}$  are defined correspondingly. The subscript  $\beta$  enters Eq. (11) as a common label and, therefore, it may be dropped. Hence Eq. (11) represents a system of two coupled integral equations.

To arrive at the simple form of Eq. (11) we have exployed one additional approximation, besides the chain factorization. This approximation concerns those paths  $\bar{n}' - \bar{n}'' - \bar$ 

Next, we calculate the quantities  $\langle F \rangle_{\gamma \delta}$  and  $\langle I \rangle_{\delta \alpha}$ , Eq. (11). The irreducible electron-electron interaction is given by

$$\langle I \rangle_{\delta \alpha} = \langle I^c \rangle_{\delta \alpha} + \langle I^{\rm ph}(\omega_{\mu}) \rangle_{\delta \alpha}, \qquad (13)$$

where  $\omega_{\mu} = 2\pi i \mu / \beta$ . The short-range Coulomb part is

$$\langle I^{c} \rangle_{\delta \alpha} = \delta_{\delta \alpha} p_{\alpha} \langle U \rangle_{\alpha}, \qquad (14)$$

where  $\langle U \rangle_{\alpha}$  is the intra-atomic Coulomb integral for the  $\alpha$  atoms. The interatomic Coulomb interactions are assumed to be small compared to  $\langle U \rangle_{\alpha}$ and they are therefore ignored. The phonon part in Eq. (13) is given by

$$\langle I^{ph}(\omega_{\mu}) \rangle_{\delta\alpha} = N^{-1} \sum_{\vec{n}\,\langle,\vec{n}\,\rangle} \sum_{\vec{m}} \sum_{\gamma} \langle L(\omega_{\mu}) \rangle_{\gamma} \langle J^{2} \rangle_{\vec{n}_{\delta}\vec{m}_{\gamma}\vec{n}_{\alpha}} \times [p(\vec{n}_{\delta}\,\vec{m}_{\gamma}\,\vec{n}_{\alpha}) + \delta_{\vec{m}\vec{n}}p(\vec{n}_{\delta}\vec{n}_{\alpha}) + \delta_{\vec{m}\vec{n}}p(\vec{n}_{\delta}\,\vec{n}_{\alpha}) + \delta_{\vec{n}'\vec{n}}p(\vec{m}_{\gamma}\,\vec{n}_{\alpha}) + \delta_{\vec{m}\vec{n}}p_{\alpha}],$$
(15)

where

$$J^{2} \equiv \sum_{i} |\langle \vec{n}_{\delta} | \nabla_{i} V_{\vec{n}_{\gamma}} | \vec{n}_{\alpha} \rangle|^{2}$$
(16)

is the squared matrix element of the ion-potential gradient at  $\vec{n}$  between Wannier functions centered at  $\vec{n}'$  and  $\vec{n}$ . Presuming cubic symmetry, we get the restricted average of L for  $\gamma$  atoms as

$$\langle L(\omega_{\mu})\rangle_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{i} \langle L_{ii}(\omega_{\mu})\rangle_{\gamma} = M_{\gamma}^{-1} \int_{0}^{\omega_{0}} \frac{g_{\gamma}(\omega)}{\omega_{\mu}^{2} - \omega^{2}} d\omega,$$
(17)

where  $g_{\gamma}(\omega)$  is defined by Eq. (5).<sup>19</sup> For sufficiently localized Wannier functions, the threecenter matrix elements in Eq. (15) can be neglected and the two-center matrix elements between nearest neighbors can be considered to be dominant. Equation (15) then becomes

$$\langle I^{ph}(\omega_{\mu}) \rangle_{\delta\alpha} = \sum_{\gamma} \langle L(\omega_{\mu}) \rangle_{\gamma} z [\langle J^{2}_{\delta\delta\alpha} p_{\delta\alpha}(\delta_{\delta\gamma} + \delta_{\alpha\gamma}) + \langle K^{2} \rangle_{\alpha\gamma\alpha} p_{\gamma\alpha} \delta_{\delta\alpha}],$$
 (18)

$$\langle J^2 \rangle_{\gamma\gamma\alpha} \equiv \langle J^2 \rangle_{\vec{\mathfrak{m}}\gamma\vec{\mathfrak{m}}\gamma\vec{\mathfrak{n}}\alpha}, \quad \langle K^2 \rangle_{\alpha\gamma\alpha} \equiv \langle J^2 \rangle_{\vec{\mathfrak{n}}\alpha\vec{\mathfrak{m}}\gamma\vec{\mathfrak{n}}\alpha},$$

 $p_{\gamma\alpha} = p(\vec{m}_{\gamma} - \vec{n}_{\alpha})$ , and  $\vec{m} = \vec{n} + \vec{d}_{j}$ , where  $\vec{d}_{j}$  is a vector between nearest-neighbor sites, j = 1, 2, ..., z.

The quantity  $\langle F \rangle_{\gamma \delta}$  has the form of Eq. (12). To calculate this quantity, we take the ensemble average of the pair Green's function  $\langle F \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \delta} \langle F \rangle_{\gamma \delta}$  to be equal to the arithmetic mean value of F for a given macroscopic alloy. The two averages will be identical if we restrict ourselves to homogeneous alloys without clusters of either constituent. We get

$$\langle F(\omega_{\nu}) \rangle_{\delta\gamma} = N^{-1} \sum_{\vec{n}_{0}, \vec{n}_{\gamma}} F(\vec{n}_{0}', \vec{n}_{\gamma}; \omega_{\nu})$$

$$= \int_{\epsilon_{\min}}^{\epsilon_{\max}} \frac{N_{\delta}(\epsilon) N_{\gamma}(\epsilon)}{N(\epsilon)} \frac{d\epsilon}{|\omega_{\nu} - \Sigma(\omega_{\nu}, \epsilon)|^{2} - \epsilon^{2}},$$
(19)

where  $\epsilon_{\min}$  and  $\epsilon_{\max}$  are the band extrema with respect to the Fermi surface and  $\Sigma$  is the electron-phonon self-energy,

$$\Sigma(\omega_{\nu}, \epsilon) = \begin{cases} -\lambda \omega_{\nu}, & \text{if } |\omega_{\nu}| \le \omega_{0} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$
(20)

 $\lambda$  is the coupling parameter given below, Eq. (21). The partial density of states  $N_{\gamma}(\epsilon)$  depends on both the composition and the atomic order.

3205

## IV. COMPARISON BETWEEN THEORY AND EXPERIMENT

The transition temperature  $T_c$  can now be obtained from Eq. (11). By parametrizing the frequency dependence of  $I^{ph}(\omega_{\mu})$  in the manner of BCS, we get

$$k_B T_c = 1.13 \omega_0 \exp[-(1+\lambda)/(\lambda-\mu^*)],$$

where

$$\mu^* = \mu / [1 + \mu \ln(\epsilon_b / \omega_0)],$$
  
$$\lambda = -\sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{N_\alpha(0) N_\beta(0)}{N(0)} \frac{\langle I^{\rm ph}(|\omega_\nu| = 0) \rangle_{\alpha\beta}}{p_\alpha p_\beta}, \qquad (21)$$

$$\mu = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \frac{N_{\alpha}(0)N_{\beta}(0)}{N(0)} \frac{\langle I^{c} \rangle_{\alpha\beta}}{\dot{p}_{\alpha}\dot{p}_{\beta}}.$$
 (22)

The energy  $\epsilon_b$  is an effective "half-width" of the band defined by

$$\ln \epsilon_b = \frac{1}{2} (\ln |\epsilon_{\max}| + \ln |\epsilon_{\min}|).$$

The parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  depend, first of all, on the composition  $x = p_a$ . For random disorder, x is the single-alloy parameter. For the more interesting cases with atomic order,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  depend in addition on an order parameter. There are two different types of alloy order. The long-range order S measures the order of A and B atoms upon A and B sites over the entire lattice. The shortrange order  $\sigma$  is not connected with A and B sites but with the local configuration of nearest-neighbor atoms. For both of these types of order, expressions for the partial-structure factor  $p_{\alpha\beta}$  in terms of S and  $\sigma$ , respectively, can be found in the literature.<sup>20</sup> The parameters  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  depend on the  $p_{\alpha\beta}$  via the interaction  $\langle I \rangle_{\alpha\beta}$ . Furthermore,  $N_{\alpha}(0)$  and

$$\langle \omega^2 \rangle_{\alpha}^{-1} = \int_0^{\omega_0} \frac{g_{\alpha}(\omega) d\omega}{\omega^2}$$

depend on the atomic order. These parameters may be considered as "experimental quantities," since a quantitative theory for their dependence on S or  $\sigma$  is not yet available. In fact, for a number of A-15 substances, the electronic specific heat and the Debye temperature  $\Theta$  have been measured as functions of S.<sup>21,22</sup>

Using these data, we calculate  $T_c(S)$  for Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, V<sub>3</sub>Si, V<sub>3</sub>Au, and V<sub>3</sub>Ga, and compare the results with experiment. We presume the linear-chain model.<sup>23</sup> The long-range order S is determined by  $r_A$ , that is, the probability of a chain site being occupied by an A atom;  $S = 4r_A - 3$  for stoichiometric  $A_3B$ . The parameter  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda \equiv \lambda_{AA} = \lambda_0 \left(\frac{S+3}{4}\right)^2 \frac{N_A(0,S)}{N_A(0,1)} \frac{\langle \omega^2(1) \rangle_A}{\langle \omega^2(S) \rangle_A},$$
 (23)

TABLE I. Parameter values used to calculate  $T_{c}(S)$ .

Substance	$\lambda_0$	$\mu_0^*$	Θ <sub>0</sub> (°K)	<i>Т</i> <sub>с0</sub> (°К)	Reference
$Nb_3Sn$	1.12	0.12	290	18	4
	1.55	0.18	240	18	26
V <sub>3</sub> Au	0.71	0.18	340	4.6	5,6
$V_3Si$	1.10	0.18	409	18.4	27, 28
$V_3$ Ga	1.18	0.18	302	15.6	27,29

where  $\lambda_0 = \lambda(S=1)$ . The parameter  $\mu = \mu_{AA} \gg 1$  by virtue of the large values of the densities of states at the Fermi surface and of the Coulomb interaction  $\langle U \rangle_A$ ; hence we take  $\mu^* = 1/\ln(\epsilon_b/\omega_0)$  and neglect the S dependence of  $\ln(\epsilon_b/\omega_0)$ ;  $\mu^* = \mu_0^*$ .

In calculating  $T_c$  vs S, we use McMillan's formula, which has been applied with remarkable success to strong-coupling superconductors.<sup>24</sup> The parameter values used for  $\lambda_0$ ,  $\mu_0^*$ , and  $\Theta_0$  are summarized in Table I. To get  $\lambda(S)$ , we determine  $N_A(0, S)/N_A(0, 1)$  from the corresponding experimental ratio between the low-temperature specific heats, taking into account the electronphonon renormalization.<sup>21,22,25</sup> The phonon parameters  $\Theta$  and  $\langle \omega^2 \rangle_A$  are assumed to be independent



FIG. 2. Reduced transition temperature  $T_c / T_{c0}$  vs the long-range-order parameter S;  $T_{c0} = T_c (S = 1)$ .



FIG. 3. Transition temperature  $T_c$  vs S;  $\bigcirc$  denotes extrapolated experimental values of Ref. 6.

of S. This assumption is justified for  $V_3Au$  where the observed change of the phonon specific heat is negligible for  $0.8 \le S \le 1$  (Ref. 5); for the other three substances, experimental results are not available.

A comparison between the experimental and theoretical results for  $T_c$  vs S is shown in Figs. (2) and (3). The large decrease of  $T_c$  with decreasing long-range order S is due equally to the density of states  $N_A(0, S)$  and the partial structure factor  $p_{AA} = \frac{1}{16} p_A^2 (S+3)^2$ . For Nb<sub>3</sub>Sn, the quantitative agreement for  $\lambda_0 = 1.12$  with the new experimental results of Besslein et al.<sup>3</sup> must be taken with certain reservations. The Braggs-Williams parameter S is determined from the ion fluence and not by x-ray-diffraction methods. Furthermore, the lattice constant has not been measured as a function of S. It is certain, however, that the samples are not contaminated by oxygen. The oxygen ions whose initial energy is 24 MeV leave the target with the high energy of 8 MeV. Sweedler, Schweitzer, and Webb,<sup>1,2</sup> in their neutronirradiation experiments, also determine S from the fluence. Because of the exponential dependence of S on the fluences of ions or neutrons, the difference between the experimental results of Refs. 1 and 3 could stem from the scaling of S. For  $V_3$ Si, S=0.96 was recently obtained by Staudemann<sup>28</sup> with x-ray diffraction. For  $V_3Au$ , the S values corresponding to the experimental values of  $T_c$  given in Ref. 5 (cf. Fig. 3) have also been determined by x-ray diffraction.<sup>6</sup> Finally, for  $V_3$ Ga the two values of S = 0.97 and 0.94, corresponding to  $T_c = 14.9$  and  $13.8^{\circ}$ K, have been obtained by neutron diffraction with an uncertainty of less than 1%.

In this paper, the theoretical result for  $T_c$  is used to discuss the effect of long-range order S on high- $T_c A$ -15 substances. The effect of shortrange order  $\sigma$  on  $T_c$  of transition-metal alloys with different atomic sizes of the constituents will be discussed in a future paper.

- <sup>1</sup>A. R. Sweedler, D. G. Schweitzer, and G. W. Webb, Phys. Rev. Lett. 33, 168 (1974).
- <sup>2</sup>A. R. Sweedler, D. G. Schweitzer, and G. W. Webb, IEEE Trans. Magn. 11, 163 (1975).
- <sup>3</sup>B. Besslein, G. Ischenko, S. Klaumünzer, P. Müller, H. Neumüller, K. Schmelz, and H. Adrian, Phys. Lett. (to be published).
- <sup>4</sup>R. D. Blaugher, R. E. Hein, J. E. Cox, and R. M. Waterstrat, J. Low Temp. Phys. <u>1</u>, 539 (1969).
- <sup>5</sup>A. Junod, P. Bellon, R. Flükiger, F. Heiniger, and J. Müller, Phys. Kondens. Mat. <u>15</u>, 133 (1972).
- <sup>6</sup>R. Flükiger, Ch. Susz, F. Heiniger, and J. Muller, J. Less Common Metals <u>40</u>, 103 (1975).
- <sup>7</sup>R. E. Fredmore, R. J. Arsenault, and C. J. Sparks, in *Mechanical Behavior of Materials*, (Society of Materials Science, Japan, 1972), Vol. I, p. 19.
- <sup>8</sup>H. Bethe, Proc. R. Soc. A <u>150</u>, 552 (1935).
- <sup>9</sup>J. Appel and W. Kohn, Phys. Rev. B <u>4</u>, 2162 (1971).
- <sup>10</sup>A. Weinkauf and J. Zittarz, Solid State Commun. <u>14</u>, 365 (1974).

- <sup>11</sup>G. Kerker and K. Bennemann, Solid State Commun. 15, 29 (1974).
- <sup>12</sup>H. Lustfeld, Z. Phys. <u>271</u>, 229 (1974).
- <sup>13</sup>R. J. Bell, Rep. Prog. Phys. 35, 1315 (1972).

 $^{14}\mathrm{The}\ \mathrm{result}\ \mathrm{for}\ \mathrm{the}\ \mathrm{partial}\ \mathrm{densities}\ \mathrm{of}\ \mathrm{states}\ \mathrm{is}\ \mathrm{given}\ \mathrm{by}$ 

$$N_{\alpha}(\epsilon) = \lim_{\Delta \epsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta \epsilon} \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{\substack{(\epsilon < \epsilon_{\kappa} < \epsilon + \Delta \epsilon)}} \sum_{\tilde{n}_{\alpha}} |a_{\kappa}(\tilde{n}_{d})|^{2},$$
$$g_{a}(\omega) = \lim_{\Delta \omega \to 0} \frac{1}{\Delta \omega} \frac{1}{3N} \sum_{\substack{(\omega < u_{l}^{l} < \omega + \Delta \omega)}} \sum_{\tilde{m}_{\alpha}} \sum_{i} u_{\tilde{m}_{\alpha}i;i}^{2}.$$

- <sup>15</sup>L. Schwartz and H. Ehrenreich, Ann. Phys. <u>64</u>, 100 (1971).
- <sup>16</sup>Y. Ishida and F. Yonezawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. <u>49</u>, 731 (1973). The chain approximation is reasonable for homogeneous alloys without clusters of either constituent.

- ${}^{17}\mathrm{C.}$  Caroli, P. G. de Gennes, and J. Matricon, J. Phys. Radium 23, 707 (1962).
- <sup>18</sup>An example for a non-self-avoiding path with three different sites is given by  $\vec{n}' - \vec{n}'' - \vec{n}'''$ . The substitution of the chain approximation in Eq. (8a) yields the pair-distribution product  $p(\mathbf{n}' - \mathbf{n}'')p(\mathbf{n}'' - \mathbf{n}''')$  together with the term  $\langle \Gamma \rangle_{n}^{*}, _{n''}, _{n'''}, \langle F \rangle_{n'''-n'''}^{*} \langle I \rangle_{n'''-n''}^{*}$ . In Eq. (11), this term is accompanied by the triple pro-duct  $p(\mathbf{\vec{n}'} - \mathbf{\vec{n}''})p(\mathbf{\vec{n}''} - \mathbf{\vec{n}''})p(\mathbf{\vec{n}'''} - \mathbf{\vec{n}''})$ . <sup>19</sup>In Eq. (17),  $L_{ii}(\omega_{\mu}) \equiv L_{ii}(\mathbf{\vec{m}}_{\gamma}, \mathbf{\vec{m}}_{\gamma}; \omega_{\mu})$ ; cf. Ref. 9, Eq.
- (3.15).
- <sup>20</sup>T. Muto and Y. Tagaki, in Solid State Physics, edited by F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1955), Vol. 1, p. 193.
- <sup>21</sup>P. Spitzli, thesis (Geneva, 1970) (unpublished).

- <sup>22</sup>A. Junod, thesis (Geneva, 1974) (unpublished).
- <sup>23</sup>M. Weger, Rev. Mod. Phys. 36, 175 (1964).
- <sup>24</sup>W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. <u>167</u>, 331 (1968).
- <sup>25</sup>F. Heiniger, R. Flükiger, A. Junod, J. Müller, P. Spitzli, and J. L. Staudemann, in Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, Kyoto, Japan, 1970 (unpublished), p. 331.
- <sup>26</sup>L. Y. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>29</u>, 1082 (1972).
- <sup>27</sup>A. Junod, J. L. Staudemann, J. Müller, and P. Spitzli,
- J. Low Temp. Phys. 5, 25, 1971.
- <sup>28</sup>J. L. Staudemann, report (unpublished).
- <sup>29</sup>R. Flükiger, J. L. Staudemann, A. Treyvaud, and
- P. Fischer, report (unpublished).