Anisotropy of the magnetic-field-induced phase pocket in the non-Kramers doublet system PrIr₂Zn₂₀

Shunichiro Kittaka,^{1,2} Takahiro Onimaru[®],³ Keisuke T. Matsumoto[®],⁴ and Toshiro Sakakibara²

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Chuo University, Bunkyo, Tokyo 112-8551, Japan

²Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

³Department of Quantum Matter, Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, Higashi-Hiroshima, Hiroshima 739-8530, Japan

⁴Graduate School of Science and Engineering, Ehime University, Matsuyama, Ehime 790-8577, Japan

(Received 27 September 2023; revised 24 January 2024; accepted 19 July 2024; published 9 August 2024)

We provide thermodynamic evidence for the presence of a magnetic-field-induced small phase pocket near the antiferroquadrupole phase boundary in the non-Kramers Γ_3 doublet system $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$. In particular, we measured the specific heat as functions of temperature *T*, magnetic field *B*, and field angle ϕ_B , and found a second specific-heat anomaly in a relatively wide field-angle range near $B \parallel [001]$, although fine tuning of the field strength is required. We also investigated the rotational magnetocaloric effect and evaluated an entropy change in this phase pocket. The present findings demonstrate that multipole degrees of freedom give rise to a magnetic-field-induced exotic order in $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$, suggesting the possibility of switching between the order parameters or emergence of a multiple-*q* order of quadrupoles.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.110.L081106

Unveiling novel quantum phases driven by higher-order multipole moments is one of the central issues in condensed matter physics [1]. Among various systems, Pr-based compounds having a non-Kramers doublet Γ_3 ground state in a cubic crystalline electric field (CEF) have attracted much interest because magnetic dipole moments are absent; only electric quadrupole O_{20} , O_{22} , and octupole T_{xyz} moments are active. For instance, the Γ_3 system PrPb₃ exhibits an antiferroquadrupole (AFQ) order at $T_Q = 0.4$ K [2], and shows a magnetic-field-induced phase transition, likely from the O_{20} to O_{22} phase, under a magnetic field *B* along the [110] axis [3–5]. Thus, the non-Kramers Γ_3 systems provide great opportunities to study multipole physics.

Recently, numerous experimental efforts have been made on the PrT_2X_{20} system (T: transition metals; X: Al, Zn, and Cd) which has a cubic $CeCr_2Al_{20}$ -type structure. So far, it has been well established that the CEF ground state of some $\Pr T_2 X_{20}$ compounds is a nonmagnetic Γ_3 doublet, and a wide variety of multipole phenomena have been found in this system. For instance, PrTi₂Al₂₀ exhibits a ferroquadrupole (FQ) order [6] at $T_{FQ} = 2$ K and shows a magnetic-field-induced first-order phase transition driven by change in the FQ order parameter due to the competition between the anisotropic quadrupole interaction and Zeeman effect [7,8]. In addition, PrV₂Al₂₀ exhibits an AFQ order below 0.6 K [6], possibly accompanied by a double transition related to quadrupole and octupole orderings [9]; it has a high-field phase associated with a rearrangement of quadrupole moments under $B \parallel [100]$ [10,11]. Moreover, $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$ [12] and $PrRh_2Zn_{20}$ [13] show an AFQ order at $T_{\rm Q} = 0.11$ and 0.06 K, respectively. Since these Pr-based materials exhibit superconductivity within the ordered phase [9,13-16], multipole fluctuations are expected to play a key role in mediating Cooper pairs.

In this Letter, we focus on the non-Kramers doublet system PrIr₂Zn₂₀. Low-temperature properties of this material are mainly dominated by the nonmagnetic Γ_3 doublet because the entropy release estimated from specific-heat measurements reaches $R \ln 2 [\sim 5.76 \text{ J/(mol K)}]$ at 2 K in zero field. Indeed, the energy gap between the ground state of a Γ_3 doublet and the first-excited state of a Γ_4 triplet is estimated to be 30 K [12]. However, at $T_Q = 0.11$ K, the entropy release is only 20% of R ln 2. Since the non-Fermi-liquid (NFL) nature has been observed above T_0 , the rest of the entropy is likely released by the formation of a quadrupole Kondo lattice due to the hybridization between quadrupoles and conduction electrons [17]. The AFQ phase is destroyed by a magnetic field of 5 T along the [001] axis, whereas it survives at higher magnetic fields along the [111] and [110] axes [18]. The propagation vector was revealed to be $\mathbf{k} = (1/2, 1/2, 1/2)$ from neutron diffraction measurements in magnetic fields of $B \parallel [110] [19]$, indicating that the O_{22} -type order parameter is dominant. Although a possible second transition was observed in the field range 1.5 T $\leq B \leq 3$ T for $B \parallel [001]$ in the earlier specific-heat study [12], it was not detected in the recent one [17]. Therefore, it was interpreted to be due to an extrinsic effect [17]. However, in the present Letter, we have performed field-angle-resolved measurements of the specific heat and revealed that the double transition is intrinsic and strongly depends on the field strength and its orientation.

High-quality single crystals of $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$ were grown by the melt-growth method [16]. A single-crystalline sample with its mass of 1.24 mg was used in this study. The specific heat *C* was measured by the standard quasiadiabatic heatpulse method using a homemade calorimeter in a dilution refrigerator (Oxford, Kelvinox AST Minisorb). The sample was attached on the stage of our calorimeter so that the [110]



FIG. 1. (a) Temperature dependence of the 4*f* contribution to the specific heat of $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$, C_{4f}/T , in various magnetic fields applied along the [001] direction. Each set of the data is shifted vertically by 100 J/(mol K²) for clarity. (b), (c) Field dependence of C_{4f}/T at 0.08 and 0.09 K along the (b) [001] and (c) [110] axes.

axis is roughly along the vertical direction. The magnetic field B was applied by using a vector magnet which generates up to 7 T (3 T) along a horizontal (vertical z) direction. The orientation of the magnetic field can be controlled in three dimensions by rotating the refrigerator around the z axis using a stepping motor. In this study, we precisely applied the magnetic field along the $(1\overline{1}0)$ plane, including the [001], [112], [111], and [110] axes. From the field-angle dependence of its specific heat, it was found that the $[1\overline{1}0]$ axis of the present sample is tilted away from the z direction by roughly 10° due to the nonrectangular sample shape. Therefore, we tuned the horizontal and vertical magnetic fields at each field angle so that the magnetic field orients to the target direction with a high accuracy of better than 0.1° . The relative change in the entropy with rotating the magnetic field was investigated using the rotational magnetocaloric technique [20].

Figure 1(a) shows the temperature dependence of the 4*f* contribution to the specific-heat data, $(C - C_N)/T$, hereafter referred to as C_{4f}/T , measured under a magnetic field applied along the cubic [001] axis. Here, the nuclear contribution to the specific heat, C_N , is calculated by using the Hamiltonian of a nuclear spin of a Pr nucleus (I = 5/2) [see details in the Supplemental Material (SM) of Ref. [8]]. For simplicity, in this calculation, the site-averaged magnitude of the Pr magnetic moment is assumed to be $m_{Pr}(B) = 0.202B\mu_B/Pr$ below 6 T [17]; the field-angle dependence of $m_{Pr}(B)$ is neglected although the actual magnetization is weakly anisotropic [12]. In zero field, the present sample exhibits a sharp specific-heat peak at $T_Q = 0.125$ K. This peak is much sharper and T_Q is slightly higher compared with the previous reports [12,17] [see SM (I) [21]].



FIG. 2. Contour plots of C_{4f}/T in the *B*-*T* plane for magnetic-field orientations along the (a) [001], (b) [112], (c) [111], and (d) [110] axes. Crosses (squares) represent the peak or shoulder anomalies observed in $C_{4f}(T)/T$ [$C_{4f}(B)$].

With increasing the magnetic field along the [001] axis, T_Q decreases monotonically up to 2 T, consistent with the previous report [17]. In the magnetic-field range between 2 and 3 T, T_Q remains approximately 0.11 K, independent of the magnetic-field strength. However, the specific-heat peak at T_Q is suppressed with increasing *B*, and it is smeared out around 3 T. Instead, a second specific-heat anomaly develops at a slightly higher temperature of $T_Q^h \sim 0.12$ K above 2 T. These two specific-heat anomalies clearly coexist in the field range 2.2 T $\leq B \leq 2.7$ T, as shown in Fig. 1(a). This second peak at T_Q^h becomes most remarkable at 3.1 T and becomes broader at higher *B*.

In the earlier specific-heat study [12], a similar doublepeak structure was observed in the field range $1 \text{ T} \leq B \leq 3 \text{ T}$ using a sample whose specific heat shows a relatively broad transition at T_Q of 0.11 K in zero field. However, it was not detected in a more recent study [17], which reports the temperature dependence of the specific heat at 1 T intervals, using a different sample with a slightly higher T_Q [see SM (I) [21]]. These facts imply that the double-peak structure depends on the sample quality and that fine tuning of the magnetic field is essential to observe it.

Figure 1(b) shows the field dependence of C_{4f}/T for $B \parallel$ [001] at 0.08 and 0.09 K. Above 2 T, the low-temperature $C_{4f}(B)$ increases remarkably with *B* up to 4 T. A clear peak at $B \sim 4$ T indicates the development of an exotic Fermi-liquid (FL) state, as reported previously [17]. A kink anomaly at 3 T might be related to the disappearance of the specific-heat peak at T_Q ; a corresponding anomaly was likely to have been observed in the elastic moduli C_{11} [18], the resistivity [22], and its coefficient *A* [17]. No prominent anomaly was found in $C_{4f}(B)$ for $B \parallel$ [110], as shown in Fig. 1(c).

The *B*-*T* phase diagram for $B \parallel [001]$, along with a contour plot of $C_{4f}(B, T)/T$, is shown in Fig. 2(a). Here, the specific-heat anomalies found in the temperature scan are represented by crosses. The presence of a magnetic-field-induced



FIG. 3. (a) Field-angle dependence of C_{4f}/T at 0.12 K under a magnetic field rotated within the $(1\bar{1}0)$ plane. Numbers labeling the curves represent the rotating magnetic field in tesla. Each set of the data is shifted vertically by 150 J/(mol K²) for clarity. (b) Contour plot of C_{4f}/T at 0.12 K in the $B-\phi_B$ plane. Circles in (b) show the location of the peak anomalies represented by triangles in (a).

phase pocket, hereafter referred to as "the A phase," is suggested by the double-peak structure in the C(T) data. This A phase occurs at higher temperatures than $T_Q(B)$. It is unclear whether or not $T_Q(B)$ and $T_Q^h(B)$ lines merge because either specific-heat anomaly is smeared out near possible merging points.

Temperature dependences of C_{4f}/T in different field orientations for $B \parallel [112]$, [111], and [110] have also been investigated [see SM (II) [21]]. Figures 2(b)–2(d) display contour plots of C_{4f}/T in the *B*-*T* plane for $B \parallel [112]$, [111], and [110], respectively. Whereas the magnetic-field-induced double transition can be seen for $B \parallel [112]$ and [111], it is absent in $B \parallel [110]$ at least below 6 T.

To clarify the field-orientation dependence of the A phase, we measured C_{4f} at several magnetic fields rotated within the (110) plane. The results of $C_{4f}(\phi_B)$ at 0.12 K are shown in Fig. 3(a), where ϕ_B is the field angle measured from the [001] axis within the (110) plane. At 3 and 3.5 T, two peaks can be clearly seen in $C_{4f}(\phi_B)$. Although the peak intensity is significantly suppressed above 4 T, the doublepeak feature can be confirmed even at 5 T. These peaks are represented by circles in a contour plot of $C_{4f}(B, \phi_B)/T$ at 0.12 K [Fig. 3(b)]. The A phase exists in a relatively wide ϕ_B range and shifts toward higher magnetic fields with increasing ϕ_B from 0°. This symmetric field-angle dependence eliminates the possibility that the second transition is caused by a domain with a tilted crystalline axis in the sample.

In order to obtain the entropy information, the temperature dependence of the entropy relative to the value at 0.15 K was estimated as

$$\Delta S_{4f}(T) = S_{4f}(T) - S_{4f}(0.15 \text{ K}) = -\int_{T}^{0.15 \text{ K}} \frac{C_{4f}(T)}{T} dT$$
(1)



FIG. 4. The relative change in the entropy measured from the value at 0.15 K, $\Delta S_{4f}(T)$, at 0.15, 0.14, 0.13, 0.12, 0.11, 0.10, and 0.09 K (from top to bottom), plotted as a function of *B* along the (a) [001], (b) [112], (c) [111], and (d) [110] axes.

for each magnetic field [see SM (II) [21]]. Then, the data points of ΔS_{4f} at several selected temperatures were extracted and plotted as a function of B, $\Delta S_{4f}(B)$, in Figs. 4(a)–4(d) for each field orientation. From $\Delta S_{4f}(B) = S_{4f}(T^*, B) - S_{4f}(0.15 \text{ K}, B)$ at a selected temperature T^* , we can detect the field variation of $S_{4f}(T^*, B)$ itself when $S_{4f}(0.15 \text{ K}, B)$ is independent of B. In the previous study [17], it was revealed that, for $B \parallel [001]$, $S_{4f}(0.15 \text{ K}, B)$ is nearly unchanged up to 3 T, but it is suppressed above 5 T due to the increase in the characteristic temperature T_0 , which is related to the formation of the quadrupole Kondo lattice. Because T_0 estimated from resistivity measurements is nearly constant up to 4 T for $B \parallel$ [001] [17], one might assume that the present base entropy $S_{4f}(0.15 \text{ K}, B)$ is independent of B up to 4 T for $B \parallel$ [001].

At 0.11–0.12 K, an apparent $\Delta S_{4f}(B)$ plateau was observed in the field range 2 T $\leq B \leq 3$ T, bounded by the two arrows in Fig. 4(a); $\Delta S_{4f}(B)$ for $B \parallel [001]$ at 0.11 K exhibits rapid enhancements at the boundaries. In addition, the magneticfield-induced transition becomes sharpest at 3.1 T for $B \parallel$ [001] [Fig. 1(a)], and the specific-heat anomalies disappear where the phase transition terminates. Further investigations, such as high-resolution magnetostriction [23,24] and magnetization measurements with fine tuning of temperature, are required to clarify whether the phase transition to the A phase is of first order.

In the previous reports [12,17], the entropy release below T_Q was estimated to be 2 J/(mol K) in zero field. As presented in Figs. 4(a)–4(d), $|\Delta S_{4f}(B)|$ at 0.09 K (well below T_Q) remains roughly 2 J/(mol K) up to 3 T in any field orientation; no prominent entropy change was observed around 2 T for $B \parallel [001]$, at which the elastic modulus C_{11} [18] and $C_{4f}(B)$ [Fig. 1(b)] show an anomaly. This fact supports the absence of the phase boundary at 2 T or tiny entropy change at this possible phase boundary.



FIG. 5. Field-angle dependences of (a), (b) the rotational magnetocaloric effect $(\partial T / \partial \phi_B)_S$, (c), (d) the relative change in the entropy ΔS_{ϕ} , and (e), (f) the specific heat *C* under a magnetic field of 3 and 6 T, respectively, rotated within the (110) plane at 0.12 K. Here, *C* and ΔS_{ϕ} contain a nuclear contribution.

To clarify the field-angle dependence of the entropy, the rotational magnetocaloric effect $(\partial T/\partial \phi_B)_S$ was investigated at 0.12 K under a magnetic field of 3 and 6 T rotated within the (110) plane; the results are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. Combined with the $C(\phi_B)$ data at 0.12 K in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f), the ϕ_B dependence of the relative change in the entropy has been investigated as

$$\Delta S_{\phi} = S(\phi_B) - S(90^{\circ}) = -\int_{90^{\circ}}^{\phi_B} \frac{C}{T} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \phi_B}\right)_S d\phi_B.$$
(2)

The results at 3 and 6 T are shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), respectively. The $\Delta S_{4f}(B)$ plateau in the A phase can be again confirmed from ΔS_{ϕ} at 0.12 K and 3 T in the angle range $10^{\circ} \leq \phi_B \leq 30^{\circ}$ [Fig. 5(c)]. The phase transition from the NFL state to the A phase at 0.12 K reduces the entropy by only 0.07 J/(mol K), much less than the entropy decrease of roughly 0.5 J/(mol K) in the phase transition from the A phase to the AFQ phase. These results along with the ΔS_{ϕ} plateau suggest that the ground state of the A phase is well separated from the first-excited state and still has substantial entropy.

At 0.12 K and 6 T, ΔS_{ϕ} reaches its largest value of 0.48 J/(mol K) at $\phi_B \sim 40^{\circ}$, which is comparable to the ΔS_{ϕ} value in the A phase at 3 T. Therefore, this peak may also be related to the occurrence of the A phase. However, at high magnetic fields, it is difficult to identify the boundary of the A phase from $C(\phi_B)$ and $S(\phi_B)$, because T_0 drastically depends on the field angle.

Finally, let us discuss the possible origins for the A phase in PrIr₂Zn₂₀. A first possible scenario is switching of the AFQ order parameters from O_{22} to O_{20} . Indeed, the competition between the quadrupole interaction and the Zeeman effect can lead to switching of order parameters, as predicted from theoretical studies [25,26]. However, it is still uncertain whether this switching can occur only in the high-temperature region; usual phase transitions understood in terms of a change in the order parameter, as in the case of PrPb₃, are accompanied by a change of the ground state at 0 K. Such a high-temperature phase pocket without changing the ground state at 0 K is unusual for the Γ_3 doublet system. A second possible scenario is the occurrence of an exotic multipole ordering such as multiple-q orders of quadrupoles. The magnetic-field-induced phase pocket in PrIr₂Zn₂₀ is reminiscent of the skyrmionlattice phase (the so-called A phase) in MnSi, which is induced under a magnetic field in a narrow temperature and magnetic-field range just below the helimagnetic transition temperature. In the A phase of MnSi, a superposition of three helical states, the so-called triple-q state, was observed via small-angle neutron scattering experiments [27]. Two similar specific-heat anomalies were reported in MnSi as well [28]. In addition to noncentrosymmetric systems, skyrmion-lattice phases have also been found in centrosymmetric lattice systems [29–32]. On theoretical grounds, rich phase diagrams in the Γ_3 doublet system, such as triple-q orders of multipoles [33,34] and the composite state [35], have been proposed recently. Further investigations, such as neutron-scattering experiments and nuclear-magnetic-resonance measurements, are essential to elucidate the nature of the A phase in $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$.

In summary, we have provided thermodynamic evidence for the presence of a magnetic-field-induced multipole ordered phase (the so-called A phase) in $PrIr_2Zn_{20}$. The A phase occurs only in the high-temperature region and depends strongly on the magnetic-field orientation. Such a high-temperature phase pocket in the Γ_3 doublet system paves the path to further understanding a wide variety of multipole orders.

We thank K. Hattori for useful discussion. This work was supported by KAKENHI (JP23H04868, JP23H04870, JP23H01128, JP18H04306) from JSPS.

- T. Onimaru and H. Kusunose, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 082002 (2016).
- [2] T. Onimaru, T. Sakakibara, N. Aso, H. Yoshizawa, H. S. Suzuki, and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 197201 (2005).
- [3] T. Onimaru, T. Sakakibara, A. Harita, T. Tayama, D. Aoiki, and Y. Ōnuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 73, 2377 (2007).
- [4] Y. Sato, H. Morodomi, K. Ienaga, Y. Inagaki, T. Kawae, H. S. Suzuki, and T. Onimaru, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 79, 093708 (2010).
- [5] T. Onimaru, N. Aso, K. Prokes, H. S. Suzuki, T. J. Sato, and T. Sakakibara, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 68, 2091 (2007).
- [6] A. Sakai and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 063701 (2011).
- [7] T. Taniguchi, K. Hattori, M. Yoshida, H. Takeda, S. Nakamura, T. Sakakibara, M. Tsujimoto, A. Sakai, Y. Matsumoto,

S. Nakatsuji, and M. Takigawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 88, 084707 (2019).

- [8] S. Kittaka, T. Taniguchi, K. Hattori, S. Nakamura, T. Sakakibara, M. Takigawa, M. Tsujimoto, A. Sakai, Y. Matsumoto, and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 89, 043701 (2020).
- [9] M. Tsujimoto, Y. Matsumoto, T. Tomita, A. Sakai, and S. Nakatsuji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 267001 (2014).
- [10] Y. Shimura, Y. Ohta, T. Sakakibara, A. Sakai, and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 82, 043705 (2013).
- [11] Y. Shimura, Q. Zhang, B. Zeng, D. Rhodes, R. Schonemann, M. Tsujimoto, Y. Matsumoto, A. Sakai, T. Sakakibara, K. Araki, W. Zheng, Q. Zhou, L. Balicas, and S. Nakatsuji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 256601 (2019).
- [12] T. Onimaru, K. T. Matsumoto, Y. F. Inoue, K. Umeo, T. Sakakibara, Y. Karaki, M. Kubota, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 177001 (2011).
- [13] T. Onimaru, N. Nagasawa, K. T. Matsumoto, K. Wakiya, K. Umeo, S. Kittaka, T. Sakakibara, Y. Matsushita, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. B 86, 184426 (2012).
- [14] A. Sakai, K. Kuga, and S. Nakatsuji, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 083702 (2012).
- [15] K. Matsubayashi, T. Tanaka, A. Sakai, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Kubo, and Y. Uwatoko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 187004 (2012).
- [16] T. Onimaru, K. T. Matsumoto, Y. F. Inoue, K. Umeo, Y. Saiga, Y. Matsushita, R. Tamura, K. Nishimoto, I. Ishii, T. Suzuki, and T. Takabatake, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **79**, 033704 (2010).
- [17] T. Onimaru, K. Izawa, K. T. Matsumoto, T. Yoshida, Y. Machida, T. Ikeura, K. Wakiya, K. Umeo, S. Kittaka, K. Araki, T. Sakakibara, and T. Takabatake, Phys. Rev. B 94, 075134 (2016).
- [18] I. Ishii, H. Muneshige, Y. Suetomi, T. K. Fujita, T. Onimaru, K. T. Matsumoto, T. Takabatake, K. Araki, M. Akatsu, Y. Nemoto, T. Goto, and T. Suzuki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 80, 093601 (2011).
- [19] K. Iwasa, K. T. Matsumoto, T. Onimaru, T. Takabatake, J.-M. Mignot, and A. Gukasov, Phys. Rev. B 95, 155106 (2017).
- [20] S. Kittaka, S. Nakamura, H. Kadowaki, H. Takatsu, and T. Sakakibara, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 073601 (2018).

- [21] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.110.L081106 for (I) the sample dependence of the specific heat, and (II) an entropy analysis.
- [22] T. Ikeura, T. Matsubara, Y. Machida, K. Izawa, N. Nagasawa, K. T. Matsumoto, and T. Onimaru, JPS Conf. Proc. 3, 011091 (2014).
- [23] A. Wörl, T. Onimaru, Y. Tokiwa, Y. Yamane, K. T. Matsumoto, T. Takabatake, and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. B 99, 081117(R) (2019).
- [24] S. Kittaka, Y. Kono, K. Tsunashima, D. Kimoto, M. Yokoyama, Y. Shimizu, T. Sakakibara, M. Yamashita, and K. Machida, Phys. Rev. B 107, L220505 (2023).
- [25] K. Hattori and H. Tsunetsugu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 83, 034709 (2014).
- [26] K. Hattori and H. Tsunetsugu, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 85, 094001 (2016).
- [27] S. Mühlbauer, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, C. Pfleiderer, A. Rosch, A. Neubauer, R. Georgii, and P. Böni, Science 323, 915 (2009).
- [28] A. Bauer, M. Garst, and C. Pfleiderer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 177207 (2013).
- [29] S. Spachmann, A. Elghandour, M. Frontzek, W. Löser, and R. Klingeler, Phys. Rev. B 103, 184424 (2021).
- [30] M. Hirschberger, T. Nakajima, S. Gao, L. Peng, A. Kikkawa, T. Kurumaji, M. Kriener, Y. Yamasaki, H. Sagayama, H. Nakao, K. Ohishi, K. Kakurai, Y. Taguchi, X. Yu, T.-h. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Nat. Commun. 10, 5831 (2019).
- [31] Y. Yasui, C. J. Butler, N. D. Khanh, S. Hayami, T. Nomoto, T. Hanaguri, Y. Motome, R. Arita, T.-H. Arima, Y. Tokura, and S. Seki, Nat. Commun. 11, 5925 (2020).
- [32] S. Ishiwata, T. Nakajima, J.-H. Kim, D. S. Inosov, N. Kanazawa, J. S. White, J. L. Gavilano, R. Georgii, K. M. Seemann, G. Brandl, P. Manuel, D. D. Khalyavin, S. Seki, Y. Tokunaga, M. Kinoshita, Y. W. Long, Y. Kaneko, Y. Taguchi, T. Arima, B. Keimer, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 101, 134406 (2020).
- [33] H. Tsunetsugu, T. Ishitobi, and K. Hattori, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 90, 043701 (2021).
- [34] T. Ishitobi and K. Hattori, Phys. Rev. B 104, L241110 (2021).
- [35] K. Inui and Y. Motome, Phys. Rev. B 102, 155126 (2020).