Quantum skyrmion dynamics studied by neural network quantum states

Ashish Joshi⁽¹⁾,^{1,*} Robert Peters,¹ and Thore Posske^(2,3)

¹Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

²I. Institute for Theoretical Physics, Universität Hamburg, Notkestraße 9, 22607 Hamburg, Germany ³The Hamburg Centre for Ultrafast Imaging, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany

(Received 18 March 2024; revised 23 July 2024; accepted 26 July 2024; published 5 September 2024)

We study the dynamics of quantum skyrmions under a magnetic field gradient using neural network quantum states. First, we obtain a quantum skyrmion lattice ground state using variational Monte Carlo with a restricted Boltzmann machine as the variational ansatz for a quantum Heisenberg model with a Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. Then, using the time-dependent variational principle, we study the real-time evolution of quantum skyrmions after a Hamiltonian quench with an inhomogeneous external magnetic field. We show that field gradients are an effective way of manipulating and moving quantum skyrmions. Furthermore, we demonstrate that quantum skyrmions can decay when interacting with each other. This work shows that neural network quantum states offer a promising way of studying the real-time evolution of quantum magnetic systems that are outside the realm of exact diagonalization.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.110.104411

I. INTRODUCTION

Manipulating magnetic structures and understanding their dynamics is crucial for their potential use in spintronics devices. Among these magnetic structures, magnetic skyrmions have received great attention in recent years because of their topological protection and ease of motion, making them an attractive candidate for magnetic storage devices such as a skyrmion-based racetrack memory [1-7]. These quasiparticles can be manipulated by various methods such as spin and charge currents [8–12], electric and magnetic field gradients [13-16], temperature gradients [17,18], and microwaves [19]. The size of magnetic skyrmions can range from micrometers to a few times the atomic lattice spacing [1]. However, the properties of magnetic skyrmions are mainly analyzed classically, which may only be relevant for large skyrmions. For example, while classically, the dynamics of skyrmions are described by the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation, small-sized skyrmions cannot be described using classical spins as quantum effects can play an important role.

Only recently, the static properties of quantum skyrmions have been studied in systems with a Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction (DMI) [20–27], frustration [28], itinerant magnetism [29], and with *f*-electron systems [30]. The presence of DMI or frustration makes quantum skyrmions challenging to study numerically using quantum Monte Carlo methods. While most works have focused on small systems amenable to exact diagonalization, a few works have tackled larger lattices using the density matrix renormalization group [23] and neural network quantum states [27]. However, research in the dynamical properties of quantum skyrmions is lacking as it is considerably more challenging numerically [31]. A very recent work showed the onset of a quantum skyrmion Hall effect in f-electron systems under linear response theory using dynamical mean-field theory [30]. To shed more light on the dynamics of quantum skyrmions, a full nonequilibrium calculation on large lattices is needed.

Variational methods based on artificial neural networks offer a feasible approach to approximate the static and dynamic properties of quantum many-body systems [32-42]. These methods use an artificial neural network to represent the variational wave function, known as a neural network quantum state (NQS), which learns the target state using a gradient-based optimization scheme. NQS-based methods are gaining popularity because of their higher expressive capacity than conventional methods and their ability to simulate large lattices in high dimensions [43-45]. State-of-the-art results have been obtained by combining NQS with variational Monte Carlo (VMC) for ground state calculations [46,47] and with time-dependent variational Monte Carlo (t-VMC) for real-time evolution [48,49]. In the context of quantum skyrmions, we showed in our previous work that an NQS can efficiently represent the quantum skyrmion ground state at medium and strong DMI [27], whereas the validity of NQS for dynamics of quantum spin systems has been numerically established in previous works [32,41,42,48,50].

In this paper, we study the real-time evolution of a quantum skyrmion lattice in the presence of an external magnetic field gradient using NQS and t-VMC. First, we obtain a quantum skyrmion lattice as the ground state of a two-dimensional spin-1/2 Heisenberg Hamiltonian with DMI. The spins in this quantum skyrmion lattice have nonzero quantum entanglement. Then, we quench the Hamiltonian with a nonuniform external magnetic field and evolve the system according to the

^{*}Contact author: joshi.ashish.42a@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.

time-dependent Schrödinger equation using t-VMC. We show that quantum skyrmions move diagonally to the field gradient, resembling a skyrmion Hall effect, with a velocity that is larger in the direction perpendicular to the magnetic field gradient. The quantum skyrmions interact with each other, leading to the formation of an exceptional configuration with the topological charge of a meron, which causes the decay of a quantum skyrmion. Merons and antimerons are vortexlike spin textures that are quantized to half the skyrmion number N, a topological invariant used to characterize skyrmions [Eq. (11)]. Our work shows that NQS can be used as a variational ansatz to study the ground state and nonequilibrium properties of quantum skyrmions with system sizes that are not feasible using exact methods.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we describe the model used in our simulations. In Sec. III, we discuss the NQS-based variational methods to obtain ground states and to perform real-time evolution. The details of various parameters in our calculations are described in Appendix A. In Sec. IV, we discuss the properties of the quantum skyrmion ground state. In Sec. V, we study the dynamical properties of quantum skyrmions. Finally, we summarize our work in Sec. VI.

II. MODEL

We study the spin-1/2 Heisenberg Hamiltonian with DMI and anisotropy on a two-dimensional lattice with periodic boundary conditions. The Hamiltonian is given as

$$H_{0} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\sigma_{i}^{x} \sigma_{j}^{x} + \sigma_{i}^{y} \sigma_{j}^{y} \right) - A \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_{i}^{z} \sigma_{j}^{z} - D \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (\boldsymbol{u}_{ij} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}) \cdot (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{i} \times \boldsymbol{\sigma}_{j}) + B^{z} \sum_{i} \sigma_{i}^{z}.$$
(1)

Here, *J* is the Heisenberg exchange term, *A* is the Heisenberg anisotropy term, *D* is the strength of the DMI, and B^z is the strength of the homogeneous external magnetic field. We take $\hbar = 1$. The Pauli matrices are denoted by $\sigma = \{\sigma^x, \sigma^y, \sigma^z\}$ and u_{ij} is the unit vector from site *i* to site *j*. The first three terms are summed over the nearest neighbors denoted by $\langle ij \rangle$. A quantum skyrmion state can emerge due to the competition between the ferromagnetic exchange term and the noncollinear DMI term, stabilized by the anisotropy and the external magnetic field.

III. METHOD

To obtain the ground state of the above Hamiltonian, we use variational Monte Carlo with neural network quantum states (NQS) as the variational ansatz. The many-body wave function is approximated using an artificial neural network that encodes the complex-valued coefficients $\psi_{\theta}(x)$,

$$|\psi_{\theta}\rangle = \sum_{x} \psi_{\theta}(x) |x\rangle.$$
 (2)

Here, θ are the variational parameters, and $|x\rangle$ are the local basis states, which in our case are the eigenvalues of the σ_j^z operators. We use a restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM) with complex weights and biases as the variational wave function. The RBM consists of an input layer that takes the spin



FIG. 1. Restricted Boltzmann machine used as the neural network quantum state. The inputs are the spin configurations in the σ^z basis, and the output is the logarithm of the wave function [Eq. (3)]. The hidden layer contains αL^2 neurons, where $\alpha = 2$ in our case.

configurations $|x\rangle$ as input and a hidden layer with variational parameters $\theta = (a, W, b)$ (see Fig. 1). Here, *a* are input biases, and *W* and *b* are hidden weights and biases, respectively. The length of one side of the lattice is given by *L*, and α is the hidden unit density. In this study, $\alpha = 2$ is used for both ground state and time evolution calculations. Increasing α increases the expressiveness of the network, resulting in better accuracy but with higher computational cost. The output is the logarithm of the unnormalized wave function

$$\ln[\psi_{\theta}(x)] = \sum_{i}^{\alpha L^2} a_i x_i + \ln \cosh[Wx + b]_i.$$
(3)

It is important to choose differentiable activation functions for deriving the real-time evolution, which relies on the wave function being differentiable at every point of the variational manifold [48]. Thus, instead of the reLU(x) activation function (nondifferentiable at x = 0), that was used previously to study the ground states of quantum skyrmions [27], in this work, we use an RBM with the ln cosh(x) activation function. An RBM converges with good ground accuracy while keeping the computational time within a reasonable range. With more hidden layers, ground state optimization becomes increasingly difficult and the computational time for real-time dynamics increases. Other architectures such as transformers have been recently used for the ground state properties of large lattices [40,51] and open an interesting avenue for future quantum skyrmion research.

The loss function $\mathcal{L}_0(\theta)$ for ground state calculations is the energy of the Hamiltonian H_0 which is minimized with respect to the variational parameters θ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_0(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle \psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} | H_0 | \psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \rangle. \tag{4}$$

We use Adam [52] as the optimizer and Markov chain Monte Carlo to sample the input spin configurations. For the real-time evolution, we use the time-dependent variational principle, which corresponds to the time dependence of the variational parameters, $\theta(t)$. Given a Hamiltonian *H*, at each time step, the loss function is the distance between an infinitesimal time evolved state and the state at time $t' = t + \delta t$,

$$\mathcal{L}_{t'}(\boldsymbol{\theta}(t'), \boldsymbol{\theta}(t)) = \operatorname{dist}(|\psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t')}\rangle, e^{-iH\delta t} |\psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)}\rangle),$$

= dist($|\psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t')}\rangle, -i\delta t H |\psi_{\boldsymbol{\theta}(t)}\rangle) + O(\delta t^2).$ (5)



FIG. 2. Quantum skyrmion lattice ground state of Eq. (1) at parameters D = J, A = 0.5J, and $B^z = J$. (a) Ground state spin expectation values, showing a skyrmion lattice with two quantum skyrmions. The color map indicates the *z* component of the spin expectation value. (b) Convergence of variational energy per spin over the number of iterations for a 9×9 lattice, with the energy variance per spin in the inset. The lighter color shows the values at each iteration, while the darker color shows the moving average over 30 iterations. (c) Renyi entropy of the ground state. The entropy is largest in the space between two skyrmions.

The parameters $\theta(t)$ are known, and parameters $\theta(t')$ are to be determined by minimizing the loss function above. We use the Fubini-Study metric as the distance between two wave functions $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$,

dist
$$(|\psi\rangle, |\phi\rangle) = \cos^{-1}\left(\sqrt{\frac{\langle\psi|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|\phi\rangle}}\right)^2$$
. (6)

Minimizing Eq. (5) results in an equation for the time derivative of the variational parameters $\dot{\theta}$ [32],

$$\dot{S\theta} = -iF. \tag{7}$$

Here, S is the quantum geometric tensor and F is the force vector defined as [dropping the t dependence of $\theta(t)$ for read-ability],

$$S_{ij} = \frac{\left\langle \partial_{\theta_i} \psi_{\theta} \middle| \partial_{\theta_j} \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle} - \frac{\left\langle \partial_{\theta_i} \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle} \frac{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \partial_{\theta_j} \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}, \tag{8}$$

$$F_{i} = \frac{\left\langle \partial_{\theta_{i}}\psi_{\theta} \middle| H \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle} - \frac{\left\langle \partial_{\theta_{i}}\psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle} \frac{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| H \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}{\left\langle \psi_{\theta} \middle| \psi_{\theta} \right\rangle}.$$
 (9)

Both *S* and *F* are computed by estimating the expectation values in the Monte Carlo scheme. Finally, Eq. (7) is integrated with the classic Runge-Kutta method to obtain the parameters $\theta(t')$. We use NETKET to implement the RBM, VMC, and t-VMC algorithms [53–55]. Details about the hyperparameters used are given in Appendix A.

IV. GROUND STATE

A quantum skyrmion lattice (QSL) is the ground state of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) for large DMI and finite anisotropy and magnetic field if the lattice size is large enough to accommodate the QSL, consistent with previous findings [23,27]. The spin expectation values $\langle S \rangle = \langle \sigma \rangle / 2$ in Fig. 2(a) show two quantum skyrmions in the ground state, encircled by dashed lines. As this is a quantum spin model, the lengths of the spins are not normalized due to quantum fluctuations, and thus $|\langle S \rangle| < 1/2$. The ground state energy E_0 minimization plot for the RBM used to describe the QSL in a 9×9 lattice is shown in Fig. 2(b), with the energy variance $\langle \psi_{\theta} | (H_0 - E_0)^2 | \psi_{\theta} \rangle$ in the inset. Here, the Hamiltonian parameters are D = J, A = 0.5J, and $B^z = J$. The RBM converges to a QSL as the variance vanishes.

To characterize the quantum skyrmions, we calculate the local skyrmion density for the nearest neighbor spins *i*, *j*, and *k* forming an elemental triangle Δ as [22,30,56]

$$\Omega_{\Delta} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \operatorname{atan2}[\mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot (\mathbf{n}_{j} \times \mathbf{n}_{k}), \\ 1 + \mathbf{n}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j} + \mathbf{n}_{j} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{k} + \mathbf{n}_{k} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}].$$
(10)

Here, we use the normalized spin expectation values $\mathbf{n}_i = \langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle / |\langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle|$. The skyrmion number N is given by the sum over all triangles

$$N = \sum_{\Delta} \Omega_{\Delta}.$$
 (11)

Using twice the unnormalized spin expectation values in Eq. (10) instead of **n** results in a nonquantized number Q, which depends on the length $|\langle S \rangle|$ of the spins and is an indicator of the stability of quantum skyrmions, with $Q \rightarrow N$ if and only if the spin expectation values have maximal amplitude [22] and quantum fluctuations completely vanish. For the ground state solution in Fig. 2, we find N = 2 corresponding to two quantum skyrmions in the ground state and Q = 1.93, implying that these skyrmions have spin expectation values with magnitude close to $\hbar/2$.

We note that the existence of a QSL depends not only on the DMI *D*, anisotropy *A*, and external magnetic field B^z but



FIG. 3. Real-time evolution of quantum skyrmions. (a) Magnetic field gradient used to move quantum skyrmions [Eq. (13)]. The magnetic field points along the *z* axis and depends on the *x* coordinate. (b) Time evolution of energy per spin of the quenched Hamiltonian in [Eq. (13)] over time as a quality check for the unitarity of the method. Blue shows the energy at each iteration, and yellow shows the moving average over 30 iterations. (c) Evolution of the normalized skyrmion number *N* and the unnormalized skyrmion number *Q* with time. While *Q* continuously decreases, *N* is quantized and a transition from N = 2 to N = 1 takes place at tJ = 2.81. (d)–(i) Snapshots of spin expectation values at different times with the skyrmion density Ω_{Δ} in the background. The quantum skyrmions (marked by arrows) move towards each other [(d), (e)], interact and an exceptional configuration is formed between tJ = 2.80 and tJ = 2.81 [(f), (g)], after which one quantum skyrmion decays and an elongated quantum skyrmion remains [(h), (i)].

also on the size of the lattice. For square lattices smaller than 9×9 spins, we do not find any ground state hosting a quantum skyrmion in the parameter range 0 < D/J < 2, 0 < A/J < 2, and $0 < B^z < 2$. While it is possible to obtain a quantum skyrmion ground state in smaller lattices when embedded in a ferromagnetic medium [22,27], in the presence of periodic boundaries, we only obtain a spin spiral or a ferromagnet as the ground state. For larger lattice sizes up to 13×13 , we also obtain a QSL as the ground state with N = 2 for large DMI.

Next, we study entanglement in the QSL ground state as previously done for single quantum skyrmions [23,27]. Using the expectation value of the SWAP operator, we calculate the second-order Renyi entropy $S_2(\rho_A)$ as a measure of entanglement in quantum skyrmions [27,37,57],

$$S_2(\rho_A) = -\frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\operatorname{Tr}(\rho_A^2) \right].$$
(12)

Here, ρ_A is the reduced density matrix obtained by dividing the system into subsystems A and B and tracing out the degrees of freedom in subsystem B. In all our Renyi entropy calculations, we take subsystem A to be a single spin and partition B to be the remaining spins to see how the spins are entangled with their environment. The heat map in Fig. 2(c) shows the Renyi entropy in the QSL ground state. The entanglement is largest $[S_2(\rho_A) = 0.061]$ in the region between two skyrmions and smallest [$S_2(\rho_A) = 0.004$] around the center of the skyrmions. The entropy for the central spin is nonzero [$S_2(\rho_A) = 0.013$], different from the case of a quantum skyrmion embedded in a ferromagnetic medium where the central spin was disentangled from the rest of the lattice. This might be due to different parameter regimes, boundary conditions, and system sizes [23,27]. As $0 \leq S_2(\rho_A) \leq$ $\ln(2)$, the Renyi entropies are still small in the OSL ground state.



FIG. 4. Evolution of Renyi entropy over time. The entropy increases when the quantum skyrmions interact.

V. DYNAMICS OF QUANTUM SKYRMIONS

In this section, we study the real-time evolution of the QSL ground state after quenching the Hamiltonian with a magnetic field gradient. Magnetic field gradients have been shown to be an effective way of manipulating classical skyrmions and can induce a motion perpendicular to the gradient [15–17]. We quench the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) with a static, nonuniform magnetic field,

 $H_q = H_0 + \sum_i B_i^g \sigma_i^z,$

where

$$B_i^g = \begin{cases} g(x_i + 1) & \text{if } 0 \leq x_i < 4, \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i = 4, \\ g(x_i - L) & \text{if } 4 < x_i < L. \end{cases}$$
(13)

Here, g is the strength of the gradient, x_i is the x coordinate of the *i*th spin, and $x_i = 4$ is the x coordinate of the center of one of the skyrmions at t = 0. The gradient is along the x axis. With this B^g , the magnetic field gradient is largest at the center of the middle skyrmion $x_i = 4$ and decreases away from it [Fig. 3(a)]. The speed at which the quantum skyrmions move depends on the gradient, similar to the classical case [16]. With this choice of B^g , the interaction of quantum skyrmions can be observed in the timescales accessible by our method while maintaining the stability of the nontrivial spin structure. The ground state of the Hamiltonian H_q with a magnetic field gradient is a spin spiral phase. Thus, the quench is made from a nontrivial quantum skyrmion phase to a trivial spiral phase. We therefore expect a tendency for the quantum skyrmions to eventually transition to a spiral with N = 0. With g = 0.2J, Fig. 3(b) shows the evolution of the energy $E_q = \langle \psi_{\theta(t)} | H_q | \psi_{\theta(t)} \rangle$ with time *t*. After the quench, the Hamiltonian is time independent, the time evolution is unitary, and the energy is supposed to be conserved. While the energy E_q is indeed nearly constant in our simulations, we see that it changes at longer times due to the accumulation of errors [41,50] and we constrain ourselves to the interval $tJ \leq 5$. Thus, tJ = 5 gives an upper bound for the validity regime which is a reasonable bound considering the unitarity of time evolution.

The time evolution of spin expectation values is shown in Figs. 3(d)–3(i) (see Supplemental Material [58] for the video). The color plot in the background shows the local skyrmion density Ω_{Δ} [Eq. (10)]. The speed of the quantum skyrmions depends on the magnetic field gradient, and as the quantum skyrmion at $x_i = x_c = 4$ experiences a larger gradient than the quantum skyrmion at $x_i = 8$, it moves faster. The speed of the quantum skyrmions is also proportional to the magnitude of g (not shown here). However, a larger g increases the errors in t-VMC and can even destroy the QSL state. The quantum skyrmions move in a Hall-like motion [15], with the velocity perpendicular to the field gradient larger than the velocity parallel to it. The two quantum skyrmions experience opposite magnetic field gradients and move towards each other [Fig. 3(e)]. The skyrmion density Ω_{Δ} builds up especially for one triangle of spins at (7,1) as the two quantum skyrmions interact. The skyrmion density reaches a maximum of $\Omega_{\Delta} = 0.5$ for this triangle at tJ = 2.80 [Fig. 3(f)]. Then, it passes through an exceptional configuration, where the denominator in Eq. (10) changes sign [56], and Ω_{Δ} changes from $\Omega_{\Delta} \approx 0.5$ to $\Omega_{\Delta} \approx -0.5$ which results in the change of skyrmion number *N* from N = 2 to N = 1 in Fig. 3(g). Thus, the quantum skyrmion decay is mediated by exceptional configurations carrying the topological charge of a meron. By this, the two quantum skyrmions merge to an elongated quantum skyrmion [Fig. 3(i)]. Although Ω_{Δ} changes discontinuously at tJ = 2.81, the spin expectation values and the wave function do not change discontinuously and the realtime evolution remains valid at this singular point. We also note that we did not observe a dynamical quantum phase transition here, which is accompanied by the nonanalytic behavior of the wave function [59].

To obtain this decay of quantum skyrmions, it is necessary that the two quantum skyrmions interact. By changing the gradient profile, it becomes possible for the two skyrmions to move in the same direction without interaction. Alternatively, starting with a single skyrmion state (achieved by optimizing the ground state RBM in the presence of large pinning fields such that only one skyrmion remains), the time evolution of a single skyrmion can be obtained. In both cases, we do not observe a quantum skyrmion decay. However, when two quantum skyrmions are driven towards each other, they collide, and this interaction leads to the formation of an exceptional configuration and deletion of a quantum skyrmion.

Finally, let us discuss the evolution of the Renyi entropy with time, shown in Fig. 4. At tJ = 0, the entropy is low and concentrated between the two skyrmions, which is shown in Fig. 4(a). As the quantum skyrmions move toward each other, the entropy between them increases, reaching a maximum of $S_2 = 0.48$ at tJ = 4.50. The increase in entropy is due to the interaction between the two quantum skyrmions, and it increases continuously, even after one quantum skyrmion decays. The merging of two quantum skyrmions results in large entropy regions, demonstrating the necessity of quantum calculations to capture the correct behavior of this process.

VI. SUMMARY

In this paper, we studied the ground state properties and real-time evolution of quantum skyrmions. Using variational Monte Carlo with a restricted Boltzmann machine as the variational ansatz, we obtained the ground state of a spin-1/2Heisenberg model in the presence of Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction and Heisenberg anisotropy. The ground state hosts a quantum skyrmion lattice with nonzero Renyi entropy and skyrmion number N = 2. The Renyi entropy is largest between the two quantum skyrmions. These quantum skyrmions can be manipulated by applying a magnetic field gradient. The quantum skyrmions move in a direction mostly perpendicular to the gradient, with a small parallel component. The velocity of quantum skyrmions depends on the magnitude and direction of the gradient. An exceptional configuration with the topological charge of a meron is formed due to the interaction of the time-evolving quantum skyrmions, resulting in a quantum skyrmion decay as the skyrmion number N = 2changes to N = 1. Thus, neural network quantum states can effectively approximate the real-time evolution of quantum skyrmions and reveal previously unknown quantum phenomena. Stabilizing longer-time dynamics is an interesting aspect for future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank K. Aoyama for the fruitful discussions. A.J. is supported by the MEXT Scholarship and the Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, under the Ginfu Fund. A.J. also acknowledges the funding towards this work from the Kyoto University–University of Hamburg fund. R.P. is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP23K03300. T.P. acknowledges funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project No. 420120155) and the European Union (ERC, QUANTWIST, Project No. 101039098). Parts of the numerical simulations in this work have been done using the facilities of the Supercomputer Center at the Institute for Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo.

The views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council.

APPENDIX A: HYPERPARAMETERS DETAILS

For the ground state calculations, we take the hidden layer density $\alpha = 2$. With a larger α , energy is slightly improved but the spin expectation values remain unchanged. However, this results in a higher computational cost, especially during real-time evolution. The weights and biases are initialized randomly with a normal distribution having a standard deviation of 0.01. To optimize the RBM using gradient descent, we use the Adam optimizer with the moments $\beta_1 = 0.9$ and $\beta_2 = 0.999$ [52]. The learning rate η is varied from $\eta = 10^{-3}$ to $\eta = 10^{-5}$ in the steps of 10^{-1} after every 4×10^4 iterations, which can be seen as a sudden drop in the variational energy in Fig. 2(a). Using a stochastic gradient descent optimizer with stochastic reconfiguration [32] gives similar results but with increased computational costs. As the Hilbert space is very large, we use the Markov chain Monte Carlo to generate samples that are used in the computation of expectation values. The samples are generated by flipping one spin randomly, and the process is repeated L^2 times to complete one Monte Carlo sweep. We use 2^{14} samples for energy calculation and 2^{17} samples for all the other expectation values.



FIG. 5. Overlap between the time evolved state and the state obtained using t-VMC, as defined in Appendix B. Purple shows the overlap at each time step and green shows the moving average over 100 iterations.

For the real-time evolution, the optimized RBM is used as the initial state at tJ = 0. We use a time step of $\delta t = 10^{-4}$. At each time step, the quantum geometric tensor S and the forces vector F are computed with 2^{14} samples. The equation of motion, Eq. (7), can be very unstable due to the presence of noise in the calculation of the matrix S [42,48,50]. To improve stability, we add a small shift of $\epsilon = 0.01$ to the diagonal elements of the S matrix to regularize the equation of motion. We experimented with different values of ϵ and found that while the quantum skyrmion motion was similar for all $1.0 < \epsilon < 10^{-5}$ qualitatively, a smaller ϵ resulted in unstable energy. Finally, to integrate Eq. (7), we use a fourth-order

- N. Nagaosa and Y. Tokura, Topological properties and dynamics of magnetic skyrmions, Nat. Nanotechnol. 8, 899 (2013).
- [2] A. N. Bogdanov and C. Panagopoulos, Physical foundations and basic properties of magnetic skyrmions, Nat. Rev. Phys. 2, 492 (2020).
- [3] N. S. Kiselev, A. N. Bogdanov, R. Schäfer, and U. K. Rößler, Chiral skyrmions in thin magnetic films: New objects for magnetic storage technologies? J. Phys. D 44, 392001 (2011).
- [4] N. Romming, C. Hanneken, M. Menzel, J. E. Bickel, B. Wolter, K. von Bergmann, A. Kubetzka, and R. Wiesendanger, Writing and deleting single magnetic skyrmions, Science 341, 636 (2013).
- [5] C. Schütte, J. Iwasaki, A. Rosch, and N. Nagaosa, Inertia, diffusion, and dynamics of a driven skyrmion, Phys. Rev. B 90, 174434 (2014).
- [6] R. Tomasello, E. Martinez, R. Zivieri, L. Torres, M. Carpentieri, and G. Finocchio, A strategy for the design of skyrmion racetrack memories, Sci. Rep. 4, 6784 (2014).
- [7] S. Heinze, K. von Bergmann, M. Menzel, J. Brede, A. Kubetzka, R. Wiesendanger, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, Spontaneous atomic-scale magnetic skyrmion lattice in two dimensions, Nat. Phys. 7, 713 (2011).
- [8] W. Jiang, X. Zhang, G. Yu, W. Zhang, X. Wang, M. B. Jungfleisch, J. E. Pearson, X. Cheng, O. Heinonen, K. L. Wang, Y. Zhou, A. Hoffmann, and S. G. E. te Velthuis, Direct observation of the skyrmion Hall effect, Nat. Phys. 13, 162 (2017).
- [9] R. Juge, S.-G. Je, D. S. Chaves, L. D. Buda-Prejbeanu, J. Peña-Garcia, J. Nath, I. M. Miron, K. G. Rana, L. Aballe, M. Foerster, F. Genuzio, T. O. Mentes, A. Locatelli, F. Maccherozzi, S. S. Dhesi, M. Belmeguenai, Y. Roussigne, S. Auffret, S. Pizzini, G. Gaudin, J. Vogel, and O. Boulle, Current-driven skyrmion dynamics and drive-dependent skyrmion Hall effect in an ultrathin film, Phys. Rev. Appl. **12**, 044007 (2019).
- [10] S. Woo, K. M. Song, X. Zhang, Y. Zhou, M. Ezawa, X. Liu, S. Finizio, J. Raabe, N. J. Lee, S.-I. Kim, S.-Y. Park, Y. Kim, J.-Y. Kim, D. Lee, O. Lee, J. W. Choi, B.-C. Min, H. C. Koo, and J. Chang, Current-driven dynamics and inhibition of the skyrmion Hall effect of ferrimagnetic skyrmions in GdFeCo films, Nat. Commun. 9, 959 (2018).
- [11] X. Z. Yu, N. Kanazawa, W. Z. Zhang, T. Nagai, T. Hara, K. Kimoto, Y. Matsui, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Skyrmion flow near room temperature in an ultralow current density, Nat. Commun. 3, 988 (2012).

Runge-Kutta integration scheme. Both the ground state optimization and real-time evolution calculations were performed on an NVIDIA A100 GPU.

APPENDIX B: t-VMC ERROR

Here, we discuss the errors in our time evolution calculations. The total error in θ due to integration of Eq. (7) using the Runge-Kutta method is of the order $O(\delta t^4)$. The overlap $O(t) = \langle \psi_{\theta(t')} | 1 - iH\delta t | \psi_{\theta(t)} \rangle$ is shown in Fig. 5, where $t' = t + \delta t$. Within our approximation, the overlap stays close to unity at each time step.

- [12] F. Jonietz, S. Mühlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, A. Neubauer, W. Münzer, A. Bauer, T. Adams, R. Georgii, P. Böni, R. A. Duine, K. Everschor, M. Garst, and A. Rosch, Spin transfer torques in MnSi at ultralow current densities, Science 330, 1648 (2010).
- [13] P. Upadhyaya, G. Yu, P. K. Amiri, and K. L. Wang, Electricfield guiding of magnetic skyrmions, Phys. Rev. B 92, 134411 (2015).
- [14] Y.-H. Liu, Y.-Q. Li, and J. H. Han, Skyrmion dynamics in multiferroic insulators, Phys. Rev. B 87, 100402(R) (2013).
- [15] S. L. Zhang, W. W. Wang, D. M. Burn, H. Peng, H. Berger, A. Bauer, C. Pfleiderer, G. van der Laan, and T. Hesjedal, Manipulation of skyrmion motion by magnetic field gradients, Nat. Commun. 9, 2115 (2018).
- [16] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, Skyrmion dynamics in chiral ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. B 92, 064412 (2015).
- [17] K. Everschor, M. Garst, B. Binz, F. Jonietz, S. Muhlbauer, C. Pfleiderer, and A. Rosch, Rotating skyrmion lattices by spin torques and field or temperature gradients, Phys. Rev. B 86, 054432 (2012).
- [18] L. Kong and J. Zang, Dynamics of an insulating skyrmion under a temperature gradient, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 067203 (2013).
- [19] W. Wang, M. Beg, B. Zhang, W. Kuch, and H. Fangohr, Driving magnetic skyrmions with microwave fields, Phys. Rev. B 92, 020403(R) (2015).
- [20] R. Takashima, H. Ishizuka, and L. Balents, Quantum skyrmions in two-dimensional chiral magnets, Phys. Rev. B 94, 134415 (2016).
- [21] J. P. Gauyacq and N. Lorente, A model for individual quantal nano-skyrmions, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 31, 335001 (2019).
- [22] P. Siegl, E. Y. Vedmedenko, M. Stier, M. Thorwart, and T. Posske, Controlled creation of quantum skyrmions, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 023111 (2022).
- [23] A. Haller, S. Groenendijk, A. Habibi, A. Michels, and T. L. Schmidt, Quantum skyrmion lattices in Heisenberg ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, 043113 (2022).
- [24] O. M. Sotnikov, V. V. Mazurenko, J. Colbois, F. Mila, M. I. Katsnelson, and E. A. Stepanov, Probing the topology of the quantum analog of a classical skyrmion, Phys. Rev. B 103, L060404 (2021).
- [25] K. Mæland and A. Sudbo, Quantum fluctuations in the order parameter of quantum skyrmion crystals, Phys. Rev. B 105, 224416 (2022).
- [26] A. Haller, S. A. Díaz, W. Belzig, and T. L. Schmidt, Quantum magnetic skyrmion operator, arXiv:2403.10347.

- [27] A. Joshi, R. Peters, and T. Posske, Ground state properties of quantum skyrmions described by neural network quantum states, Phys. Rev. B 108, 094410 (2023).
- [28] V. Lohani, C. Hickey, J. Masell, and A. Rosch, Quantum skyrmions in frustrated ferromagnets, Phys. Rev. X 9, 041063 (2019).
- [29] K. Kobayashi and S. Hayami, Skyrmion and vortex crystals in the Hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 106, L140406 (2022).
- [30] R. Peters, J. Neuhaus-Steinmetz, and T. Posske, Quantum skyrmion Hall effect in *f*-electron systems, Phys. Rev. Res. 5, 033180 (2023).
- [31] F. Salvati, M. I. Katsnelson, A. A. Bagrov, and T. Westerhout, Stability of a quantum skyrmion: Projective measurements and the quantum Zeno effect, Phys. Rev. B 109, 064409 (2024).
- [32] G. Carleo and M. Troyer, Solving the quantum many-body problem with artificial neural networks, Science 355, 602 (2017).
- [33] Y. Nomura, A. S. Darmawan, Y. Yamaji, and M. Imada, Restricted-Boltzmann-machine learning for solving strongly correlated quantum systems, Phys. Rev. B 96, 205152 (2017).
- [34] K. Choo, G. Carleo, N. Regnault, and T. Neupert, Symmetries and many-body excited states with neural-network quantum states, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 167204 (2018).
- [35] H. Saito and M. Kato, Machine learning technique to find quantum many-body ground states of bosons on a lattice, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 014001 (2018).
- [36] A. Szabo and C. Castelnovo, Neural network wave functions and the sign problem, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033075 (2020).
- [37] M. Hibat-Allah, M. Ganahl, L. E. Hayward, R. G. Melko, and J. Carrasquilla, Recurrent neural network wave functions, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023358 (2020).
- [38] D. Kochkov, T. Pfaff, A. Sanchez-Gonzalez, P. Battaglia, and B. K. Clark, Learning ground states of quantum Hamiltonians with graph networks, arXiv:2110.06390.
- [39] K. Inui, Y. Kato, and Y. Motome, Determinant-free fermionic wave function using feed-forward neural networks, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, 043126 (2021).
- [40] L. L. Viteritti, R. Rende, and F. Becca, Transformer variational wave functions for frustrated quantum spin systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 236401 (2023).
- [41] K. Donatella, Z. Denis, A. Le Boite, and C. Ciuti, Dynamics with autoregressive neural quantum states: Application to critical quench dynamics, Phys. Rev. A 108, 022210 (2023).
- [42] A. Sinibaldi, C. Giuliani, G. Carleo, and F. Vicentini, Unbiasing time-dependent variational Monte Carlo by projected quantum evolution, Quantum 7, 1131 (2023).
- [43] O. Sharir, A. Shashua, and G. Carleo, Neural tensor contractions and the expressive power of deep neural quantum states, Phys. Rev. B 106, 205136 (2022).
- [44] O. Sharir, G. K.-L. Chan, and A. Anandkumar, Towards neural variational Monte Carlo that scales linearly with system size, arXiv:2212.11296.

- [45] J. R. Moreno, G. Carleo, A. Georges, and J. Stokes, Fermionic wave functions from neural-network constrained hidden states, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 119, e2122059119 (2022).
- [46] C. Roth, A. Szabo, and A. H. MacDonald, High-accuracy variational Monte Carlo for frustrated magnets with deep neural networks, Phys. Rev. B 108, 054410 (2023).
- [47] R. Rende, L. L. Viteritti, L. Bardone, F. Becca, and S. Goldt, A simple linear algebra identity to optimize large-scale neural network quantum states, Commun. Phys. 7, 260 (2024).
- [48] M. Schmitt and M. Heyl, Quantum many-body dynamics in two dimensions with artificial neural networks, Phys. Rev. Lett. 125, 100503 (2020).
- [49] T. Mendes-Santos, M. Schmitt, and M. Heyl, Highly resolved spectral functions of two-dimensional systems with neural quantum states, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 046501 (2023).
- [50] D. Hofmann, G. Fabiani, J. Mentink, G. Carleo, and M. Sentef, Role of stochastic noise and generalization error in the time propagation of neural-network quantum states, SciPost Phys. 12, 165 (2022).
- [51] L. L. Viteritti, R. Rende, A. Parola, S. Goldt, and F. Becca, Transformer wave function for the Shastry-Sutherland model: Emergence of a spin-liquid phase, arXiv:2311.16889.
- [52] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, Adam: A method for stochastic optimization, arXiv:1412.6980.
- [53] G. Carleo, K. Choo, D. Hofmann, J. E. T. Smith, T. Westerhout, F. Alet, E. J. Davis, S. Efthymiou, I. Glasser, S.-H. Lin, M. Mauri, G. Mazzola, C. B. Mendl, E. v. Nieuwenburg, O. O'Reilly, H. Théveniaut, G. Torlai, F. Vicentini, and A. Wietek, NetKet: A machine learning toolkit for many-body quantum systems, SoftwareX 10, 100311 (2019).
- [54] F. Vicentini, D. Hofmann, A. Szab, D. Wu, C. Roth, C. Giuliani, G. Pescia, J. Nys, V. Vargas-Calderón, N. Astrakhantsev, and G. Carleo, NetKet 3: Machine learning toolbox for many-body quantum systems, SciPost Phys. Codebases 7 (2022).
- [55] D. Häfner and F. Vicentini, mpi4jax: Zero-copy MPI communication of JAX arrays, J. Open Source Softw. 6, 3419 (2021).
- [56] B. Berg and M. Lüscher, Definition and statistical distributions of a topological number in the lattice $O(3) \sigma$ -model, Nucl. Phys. B **190**, 412 (1981).
- [57] M. B. Hastings, I. Gonzalez, A. B. Kallin, and R. G. Melko, Measuring Renyi entanglement entropy in quantum Monte Carlo simulations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 157201 (2010).
- [58] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.110.104411 for a video of the time evolution of spin expectation values as shown in Fig. 3.
- [59] V. Vijayan, L. Chotorlishvili, A. Ernst, S. S. P. Parkin, M. I. Katsnelson, and S. K. Mishra, Topological dynamical quantum phase transition in a quantum skyrmion phase, Phys. Rev. B 107, L100419 (2023).