# **Bloch oscillations of Fibonacci anyons**

Xiaoqi Zhou,<sup>\*</sup> Weixuan Zhang<sup>0</sup>,<sup>\*,†</sup> Hao Yuan<sup>0</sup>, and Xiangdong Zhang<sup>4</sup>

Key Laboratory of Advanced Optoelectronic Quantum Architecture and Measurements of Ministry of Education, Beijing Key Laboratory of Nanophotonics & Ultrafine Optoelectronic Systems, School of Physics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

(Received 24 April 2024; revised 18 July 2024; accepted 19 August 2024; published 3 September 2024)

Non-Abelian anyons, which correspond to collective excitations possessing multiple fusion channels and noncommuting braiding statistics, serve as the fundamental constituents for topological quantum computation. Here, we reveal the exotic Bloch oscillations (BOs) induced by non-Abelian fusion of Fibonacci anyons. It is shown that the interplay between fusion-dependent internal energy levels and external forces can induce BOs and Bloch-Zener oscillations (BZOs) of coupled fusion degrees with varying periods. In this case, the golden ratio of the fusion matrix can be determined by the period of BOs or BZOs in conjunction with external forces, giving rise to an effective way to unravel non-Abelian fusion. Furthermore, we experimentally simulate non-Abelian fusion BOs by mapping the Schrödinger equation of two Fibonacci anyons onto the dynamical equation of electric circuits. Through the measurement of impedance spectra and voltage evolution, both fusion-dependent BZOs and BOs are simulated. Our findings establish a connection between BOs and non-Abelian fusion, providing a versatile platform for simulating numerous intriguing phenomena associated with non-Abelian physics.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.110.094301

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum computing has garnered significant attention in recent decades due to its potential for exponential acceleration over classical computers in solving specific problems. The principle challenges in the development of quantum computing lie in addressing noise and decoherence. Topological quantum computation presents a promising approach to solving these obstacles by utilizing non-Abelian anyons for encoding and manipulating quantum qubits in a nonlocal manner, where quantum gates are implemented by braiding of non-Abelian anyons and the measurement of quantum qubits is accomplished through fusion of anyons [1-5]. In contrast with fermions and bosons, the exchange of non-Abelian anyons is governed by unitary transformations. In addition, there are multiple possible outcomes upon fusion (merging) of two non-Abelian anyons, being different from other elementary particles that have only one fusion outcome. These two fundamental properties of non-Abelian anyons form the basis for topological quantum computation. Given their significant applications, extensive research has been conducted to identify physical systems capable of hosting non-Abelian anyons.

Two promising platforms for non-Abelian anyons are the Fibonacci and Ising anyon models, both exhibiting non-Abelian braiding statistics and fusion roles [1–25]. Specifically, Ising anyons have been predicted to exist as Majorana zero modes in topological superconductors [6–9], low-energy excitations of fractional quantum Hall states [12,13], Kitaev materials [14–16], and dense quark matter [17]. In addition, the vortex-bounded Dirac fermionic mode is proposed to be among the Ising anyons [18–22]. However, Ising anyons

necessary [2]. In contrast, Fibonacci anyons offer a promising platform for universal topological quantum computation where all quantum gates are implemented through braiding manipulation in a topologically protected manner [23], and several candidates have been proposed to host Fibonacci anyons, including the fractional quantum Hall state with  $v = \frac{12}{5}$  [24], interacting Majorana fermions [25], and so on [26–30]. In addition, some recent investigations have shown that anyonic statistics could trigger the appearance of many interesting effects [31-34]. It has been pointed out that quantum statistics could dramatically affect the Bloch oscillation (BO) of two Abelian anyons, where the oscillation frequency of two pseudofermions with a statistical angle of  $\pi$  becomes half of that for two bosons [31,32]. Motivated by the properties of anyonic BOs, it is intriguing to inquire whether non-Abelian statistics can give rise to more exotic BOs.

alone are insufficient for universal quantum computations; therefore, incorporating nontopological processes becomes

In this paper, we firstly investigate the non-Abelian fusion BOs and Bloch-Zener oscillations (BZOs) of Fibonacci anyons in a one-dimensional (1D) lattice subject to external forces. It is shown that, by adjusting the external forcing, it is possible to achieve either two or a single Wannier-Stack ladder of two Fibonacci anyons with a fusion-dependent internal energy level. When a single Wannier-Stack ladder accompanied with BOs emerges, the corresponding values of external force can determine the golden ratio for the fusion matrix of Fibonacci anyons. As for the case of three Fibonacci anyons, there are a pair of internal energy levels, making BZOs appear under a suitable value of external force. Furthermore, we design and fabricate resistor-capacitor (RC) circuits to experimentally simulate BOs and BZOs of Fibonacci anyons. In this paper, we establish a connection between BO dynamics and non-Abelian fusion, suggesting a useful way to characterize non-Abelian fusion.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Contact author: zhangwx@bit.edu.cn

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Contact author: zhangxd@bit.edu.cn



FIG. 1. The schematic diagram for the lattice model of two Fibonacci anyons under the external force *F*. (a) The fusion tree with a Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the second site. (b) By applying the  $\mathcal{F}$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis is transformed into a basis that displays the direct fusion channel of two Fibonacci anyons located at the first and second positions. (c) The fusion tree corresponding to a Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the third site. (d) By applying the  $\mathcal{F}$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis is transformed into a basis that displays the direct fusion channel of two Fibonacci anyons located at the first and second positions. (c) The fusion tree corresponding to a Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the third site. (d) By applying the  $\mathcal{F}$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis is transformed into a basis that displays the direct fusion channel of two Fibonacci anyons located at the first and third positions. The label  $x_l$  ( $y_l$ ) corresponds to the outcome resulting from merging  $x_{l-1}$  ( $y_{l-1}$ ) with its preceding non-Abelian anyon at (l - 1)th site  $A_{l-1}$ , being expressed as  $A_{l-1} \otimes x_{l-1}(y_{l-1}) = x_l$  ( $y_l$ ), where  $A_{l-1}$  can be either the vacuum particle *I* or the non-Abelian anyon  $\tau$ .

# II. THEORY OF CHARACTERIZING NON-ABELIAN FUSION OF FIBONACCI ANYONS BY BOS AND BZOS

Previous investigations have shown that Fibonacci anyons can be described by the  $SU(2)_3$  Chern-Simons theories [35,36] according to the fusion rule of  $\tau \otimes \tau = \tau \oplus I$ , with  $\tau$  and I representing a Fibonacci anyon and a vacuum state, respectively. In recent years, the lattice models of Fibonacci anyons with finite filling factors have been wildly explored, giving rise to various exotic many-body phenomena related to non-Abelian fusion [37-40]. Here, following the SU(2)<sub>3</sub> theory with the above fusion role, we consider a pair of Fibonacci anyons moving along a 1D chain under the influence of an external force F. Specifically, the system consists of two Fibonacci anyons and L - 2 vacuum states, where L represents the length of the lattice, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). Here,  $J_t$  is the single-anyon hopping rate, and J is the interaction strength of Fibonacci anyons. The label  $x_l$  corresponds to a non-Abelian anyon resulting from combining  $x_{l-1}$  with its preceding non-Abelian anyon at the (l-1)th lattice site as  $A_{l-1} \otimes x_{l-1} = x_l$ , where  $A_{l-1}$  can be either the vacuum particle I or the non-Abelian anyon  $\tau$ . In this case, different two-anyon states within the Hilbert space should not only be characterized by positions of Fibonacci anyons but also by labels  $x_l$ . There are five possible values of  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{L+1}$  when positions of two Fibonacci anyons are fixed (see Appendix A for details). Therefore, each two-anyon configuration in our model exhibits five internal degrees of freedom arising from the fusion of two Fibonacci anyons, being called *fusion degrees*. The probability amplitude of a two-anyon state with one Fibonacci anyon at the *m*th lattice site and the other at the *n*th lattice site, along with the  $\alpha$ th fusion degree ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and 5), can be denoted as  $C_{m,n}^{\alpha}$ .

In addition, Fibonacci anyons in our model possess the effective interaction (J), which can be quantized by the  $\mathcal{F}$ -symbol transformation, serving as a basis transformation between different representations of fusion trees [37–40]. By applying the  $\mathcal{F}$ -symbol transformation, we can transform the fusion chain basis depicted in Fig. 1(a) to a basis that exhibits the direct fusion channel of two Fibonacci anyons, as indicated in Fig. 1(b) with the label  $\tilde{x}_L$ . Thus, analogous to the Heisenberg interaction of electrons, the interaction among Fibonacci anyons can be obtained by projecting the fusion outcome onto a vacuum state *I*. Thus, the fusion matrix is denoted by

where  $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$  is the golden ratio of the fusion matrix. Details for the derivation of the fusion matrix  $H_J$  are

provided in Appendix B. It is noteworthy that the external force *F* can act on each non-Abelian fusion degree. In this scenario, the impact of external force can be reinterpreted as the gradient potential  $F(m + n)\mathbf{I}_{5\times 5}$  with two Fibonacci anyons at the *m*th and *n*th sites.

Except for the fusion interaction of Fibonacci anyons, each anyon can also transport from one site to an adjacent vacant site. When a Fibonacci anyon is hopping from the second to the third site, the corresponding fusion tree of the final state is also changed, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). Similarly, we can apply the  $\mathcal{F}$  symbol to transform the fusion chain basis represented in Fig. 1(c) to the basis that displays the direct fusion channel of two Fibonacci anyons, as indicated in Fig. 1(d). In this case, the coupling matrix between the initial and final two-anyon states is described by  $H_t = -J_t \mathbf{I}_{5\times 5}$ . Thus, the time-dependent Schrödinger equation of two Fibonacci anyons can be expressed as ( $\hbar = 1$ )

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n} = \mathbf{H}_{t} \big[ \boldsymbol{\psi}_{m\pm 1,n} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n\pm 1} \big] + [\mathbf{H}_{J} + F(m+n)\mathbf{I}_{5\times 5}]\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n}, \qquad (2)$$

with  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n} = [C_{m,n}^1, C_{m,n}^2, C_{m,n}^3, C_{m,n}^4, C_{m,n}^5]^T$ . Here,  $C_{m,n}^{\alpha}$  corresponds to the probability amplitude with one Fibonacci anyon at the *m*th site and the other at the *n*th site, along with the  $\alpha$ th fusion degree ( $\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4, \text{ and } 5$ ). Also,  $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n}$  is the state vector composed of  $C_{m,n}^{\alpha}$  for five fusion degrees, and  $\mathbf{H}_t = -J_t \mathbf{I}_{5\times5}$  is the coupling matrix between a pair of two-anyon states, with  $J_t$  being the single-anyon hopping rate. Specifically, the first two terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (2) correspond to scenarios where one of two Fibonacci anyons transitions from the *m*th site to either the (m + 1)th or (m - 1)th site, or from the *n*th site to either the (n + 1)th or (n - 1)th site. Here,  $\mathbf{H}_J$  is the fusion matrix. The impact of the external force F can be reinterpreted as the gradient potential  $F(m + n)\mathbf{I}_{5\times5}$ .

Next, we study the eigenspectra and dynamics of two Fibonacci anyons based on Eq. (2). It is noted that fusion degrees of  $C_{m,n}^1$ ,  $C_{m,n}^2$ , and  $C_{m,n}^3$  are all decoupled from other fusion degrees. In this case, two Fibonacci anyons with these three fusion degrees behave as two noninteracting particles hopping on a 1D chain under an external force *F*, with the effective on-site potential of each particle being -J, 0, and 0 for the cases of  $C_{m,n}^1$ ,  $C_{m,n}^2$ , and  $C_{m,n}^3$ , respectively. In this case, the two-anyon eigenspectra can exhibit the Wannier-Stark ladder  $\varepsilon_{mn}^1 = -J + (m+n)F$  related to  $C_{m,n}^1$  and  $\varepsilon_{mn}^2 = \varepsilon_{mn}^3 =$ (m+n)F for  $C_{m,n}^2$  and  $C_{m,n}^3$ . The difference between the two adjacent eigenenergies equals  $\delta \varepsilon_{1,2,3} = F$  (see Appendix C for the numerical results of  $\varepsilon_{mn}^1$  as a function of *F*).

In contrast with the first, second, and third fusion degrees, it is noted that the fourth and fifth fusion degrees are intricately coupled with each other, involving the golden ratio  $\phi$ associated with Fibonacci anyons. In such a case, both the external force and non-Abelian fusion can influence the energy levels  $\varepsilon_{m,n}^{4,5}$  related to two nonseparable fusion degrees  $C_{m,n}^4$ and  $C_{m,n}^5$  for two Fibonacci anyons. To clarify the property of the energy level for  $\varepsilon_{m,n}^{4,5}$ , we first consider the case with F =0. The interval energy level of fourth and fifth fusion degrees can be obtained by solving eigenvalues of

$$H_J^{4,5} = -J \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{-2} & \phi^{-3/2} \\ \phi^{-3/2} & \phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\varepsilon_a^{4,5} = 0$  and  $\varepsilon_b^{4,5} = 1$ . Thus, the fusion-dependent internal energy gap of  $\varepsilon_{m,n}^{4,5}$  equals  $\delta \varepsilon_f^{4,5} = 1$ . Hence, two distinct Wannier-Stack ladders with energy gaps being  $\delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^1 = \varepsilon_b^{4,5} - \varepsilon_a^{4,5}$  and  $\delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^2 = F - (\varepsilon_b^{4,5} - \varepsilon_a^{4,5})$  are obtained with  $F \ge 1$ . We anticipate the occurrence of BZOs with two noncoincident Wannier-Stack ladders [41]. In other words, the presence of two-anyon BZOs would serve as confirmation for the existence of the non-Abelian fusion. The period of BZOs, denoted as  $T_{\text{BZO}}$ , equals the least common multiple of  $T_1 = 2\pi/\delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^1$  and  $T_2 = 2\pi/\delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^2$ . Interestingly, it is noted that two Wannier-Stack ladders can also form a superposition pattern with  $\delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^1 = \delta \varepsilon_{4,5}^2$  at F = 0.5, 1, and 2 (see Appendix C), triggering the appearance of BOs [42–45] for two coupled fusion degrees. Based on the values of external forces that can trigger BOs, we can determine the golden ratio  $\phi$  for the fusion matrix of Fibonacci anyons, making BOs act as an effective way to resolve the non-Abelian fusion.

To demonstrate the emergence of BZOs and BOs resulting from fusion energy levels of  $\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5}$  at different external forces, we calculate the temporal evolution of two-anyon states  $C_{m,n}^4$  and  $C_{m,n}^5$  with L = 20 and  $J_t = J = -1$ . At first, we focus on the BZO in a system with two staggered Wannier-Stack ladders under F = 1.5. We initialize the two-anyon state as  $C_{6,13}^5 = 1$  and calculate the evolution of the system. Figure 2(a) presents the calculated wave dynamics of  $C_{6,13}^5$ . It is clearly shown that the periodic oscillation appears with  $T_{\rm BZO} = 12.56$ , being consistent with the above theoretical prediction. A distinctive feature in the Fibonacci anyon system is the emergence of coupled fusion degrees, making these two fusion degrees exhibit coupled BZOs. To illustrate their oscillatory behaviors, we calculate the time-dependent particle occupation density  $P_i^{\alpha} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} |C_{i,l}^{\alpha}|^2$   $(i \neq l, \alpha = 4, 5)$  at different times in Fig. 2(b). Black and red lines correspond to particle occupation densities associated with the fourth and fifth fusion degrees, respectively. At t = 0.3 (the red triangle), the occupation density is observed to be highest for the fifth fusion degree at the sixth and 13th lattice sites, with a low particle occupation density of the fourth fusion degree. As time increases to t = 4.43 (the blue triangle), the occupation density reaches its peak for the fourth fusion degree at the sixth and 13th lattice sites, while the occupation density of the fifth fusion degree is decreased. When t = 6.8 (the yellow triangle), the maximum oscillation range is achieved, with both fusion degrees exhibiting their highest occupation densities at the fifth, seventh, 12th, and 14th lattice points. Additionally, a significant portion of the occupation density has transformed to the fifth fusion degree. Upon reaching  $T_{\rm BZ} = 12.56$  (the green triangle), which marks one complete period, it is evident that both fusion degrees return to the initial distribution. This periodic modulation in the probability distribution not only supports our prior theoretical conjectures concerning the BZO of Fibonacci anyons but also substantiates the existence of fusion energy levels within them.



FIG. 2. Numerical results of the Bloch-Zener oscillation (BZO) and Bloch oscillation (BO) for two Fibonacci anyons. (a) The calculated wave dynamics of the probability amplitude  $C_{6,13}^5$  with the external force being F = 1.5. (b) The evolution of the particle occupation density  $P_i^{\alpha} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} |C_{i,l}^{\alpha}|^2 (i \neq l, \alpha = 4, 5)$  at different times with F = 1.5. The black and red lines represent the fourth and fifth fusion degrees, respectively. (c) The calculated wave dynamics of probability amplitude  $C_{6,13}^5$  with the external force being F = 2. (d) The evolution of the particle occupation density at different times with F = 2.

Next, we direct our attention to the behavior of two-anyon BOs with F = 2. We initialize the system with  $C_{6,13}^5 = 1$ . Figure 2(c) illustrates the temporal evolution of the probability amplitude  $C_{6,13}^5$ . The calculated period of BOs is found to be 6.28, in agreement with the predicted value. Furthermore, we evaluate the evolution of particle occupation densities to observe the mutual transformation between two coupled fusion degrees during BOs, as depicted in Fig. 2(d). At t = 0.3, the occupation density is highest for the fifth fusion degree at the sixth and 13th lattice site, while the occupation density for the fourth fusion degree starts to increase. A continuous transformation occurs between these two fusion degrees, and at t = 1.64, both degrees of freedom exhibit nearly equal occupation densities, reaching maximum values at the fifth, seventh, 12th, and 14th lattice points. At this moment, BOs of two fusion degrees reach their maximum range. As time increases to 3.134, the transformation between these mutually coupled degrees reaches its peak level. The occupation density is highest for the fourth fusion degree at lattice points six and 13, while it decreases for the fifth fusion degree of freedom at those same lattice points. When time reaches  $T_{\rm BO} = 6.28$ , we observe that the initial state oscillates back to the sixth and 13th lattice points with an occupation density being zero for the fourth fusion degree, confirming our previous theoretical prediction. Above results clearly show that the reciprocal transformations among coupled fusion degrees appear during BZOs and BOs of two Fibonacci anyons.

It is noticed that the fusion degrees in the 1D chain are related to the number of Fibonacci anyons. Thus, we further consider the lattice model with three Fibonacci anyons. The fusion matrix of three Fibonacci anyons can be derived as (see Appendix D for details)

The coupling matrix of the three-anyon model is  $H_{3,t} = -J_t \mathbf{I}_{8\times8}$ . Here,  $C^{\alpha}_{m,n,q}$  is used to represent the probability amplitude of the  $\alpha$ th fusion degree when the first anyon is at position *m*, the second anyon is at position *n*, and the third anyon is at position *q*. The time-dependent Schrödinger equation of three-anyon state  $C^{\alpha}_{m,n,q}$  can be found in Appendix E.

For the three Fibonacci anyon system, we find that there are eight fusion degrees, where the first five fusion degrees are decoupled from others and last three degrees are coupled together. In this case, we focus on analyzing the impact of



FIG. 3. Numerical results of the Bloch-Zener oscillation (BZO) for three Fibonacci anyons. (a) The temporal evolution of the probability amplitude  $C_{5,10,15}^6$  with the external force being F = 2.3153. (b) The evolution of the particle occupation densities of three fusion degrees at different times. Black, red, and blue lines correspond to results of the sixth, seventh, and eighth fusion degrees of three Fibonacci anyons, respectively.

three coupled fusion degrees on the BZO. The eigenvalues of

$$H_{3,J}^{6,7,8} = -J \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{-2} & \phi^{-2} & -\phi^{5/2} \\ \phi^{-2} & \phi^{-2} & -\phi^{-5/2} \\ -\phi^{-5/2} & -\phi^{-5/2} & -\phi^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

are equal to  $\varepsilon_1 = -0.8937$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_3 = 1.4216$ , making the internal fusion energy levels become  $\delta \varepsilon_f^1 = 0.8937$ and  $\delta \varepsilon_f^2 = 1.4216$ . In this case, two staggered Wannier-Stack ladders with energy gaps being  $\Delta E_1 = F - \delta \varepsilon_f^2 = \delta \varepsilon_f^1$ and  $\Delta E_2 = F - \delta \varepsilon_f^1 = \delta \varepsilon_f^2$  can appear with F = 2.3153(see Appendix E for details). Thus, it is anticipated that the BZOs can appear in the three-Fibonacci anyon systems with the oscillation period being  $T_{\text{BZO}} = r \frac{2\pi}{\Delta E_1} = s \frac{2\pi}{\Delta E_2}$  (where rand s are a pair of prime numbers).

We calculate the evolution of three coupled fusion degrees with the initial state being  $C_{5,10,15}^6 = 1$ . Figure 3(a) illustrates the temporal evolution of  $C_{5,10,15}^6$ . Other parameters are L =20 and  $J_t = J = -1$ . It is shown that the oscillation period is equal to 35.26, which is consistent with the theoretical prediction. Within one period, the waveform is symmetric about t =17.63. We compute the particle occupation densities of three fusion degrees at different times, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Black, red, and blue lines correspond to results of the sixth, seventh, and eighth fusion degrees, respectively. At t = 0.3, it can be observed that the sixth fusion degree has the highest particle occupation density, while the particle occupation densities of the seventh and eighth fusion degrees are nearly zero. As time increases to 6.73, the occupation density of the sixth fusion degree completely transforms into the occupation density of the seventh and eighth fusion degrees. At t = 10.86, the particle occupation density of the sixth fusion degree increases, and the seventh fusion degree has a lower occupation density, while the particle density for the eighth fusion degree becomes zero. At t = 17.63, the sixth and seventh fusion degrees have the highest particle occupation density, but the eighth degree of freedom has a lower particle occupation density. The mutual transformation of internal coupled fusion degrees during BZO provides strong evidence for the existence of internal energy levels of the three Fibonacci anyon systems. It is worth noting that, as for other values of F, the system possesses three different internal energy levels, and the overall oscillation period should be the least common multiple of three periods related to these three energy levels (see Appendix F for details). In this scenario, an increase in the number of Fibonacci anyons leads to an increment of distinct internal energy levels, thereby significantly extending the period of fusion dynamics and disrupting the emergence of BOs and BZOs in the thermodynamic limit.



FIG. 4. Experimental simulation of Wannier-Stark ladders for two Fibonacci anyons by impedance spectra. (a) The schematic diagram of the designed resistor-capacitor circuit simulator. The voltages at pink and blue (gray and white) circuit nodes are labeled by  $V_{m,n}^4$  and  $V_{m,n}^5$  ( $V_{m,n}^{\prime 4}$  and  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}$ ). The inset shows the correspondence between the voltages  $V_{1,2}^4$  and  $V_{1,2}^5$  and probability amplitudes of the fourth and fifth fusion degrees with two Fibonacci anyons locating at the first and second lattice sites. (b) The detailed connection pattern of the circuit node  $V_{3,5}^5$  to nearby nodes through negative impedance converters. (c) The photographic image of the fabricated circuit (L = 7) around the node  $V_{3,5}^5$ , with  $C = 1 \ \mu F$ ,  $R_t = 1000\Omega$  (the white symbols),  $R_{J_1} = 2618\Omega$ ,  $R_{J_2} = 2058\Omega$  (the orange symbol),  $R_{J_3} = 1618\Omega$  (the blue symbol), and  $R_F = 1500\Omega$  (the blue symbol). Measured impedance spectra of  $Z_{3,4}^5$ ,  $Z_{3,5}^5$  for the circuit simulator with (d) F = 1.5 and (e) F = 2.

#### III. EXPERIMENTAL SIMULATION OF NON-ABELIAN FUSION OF FIBONACCI ANYONS DYNAMICS BY ELECTRIC CIRCUITS

Motivated by previous experimental breakthroughs in simulating few-body quantum systems by electric circuits [32,46,47], optical waveguides [48] and fiber networks [49], in this part, we experimentally simulate the non-Abelian fusion BOs and BZOs of two Fibonacci anyons by mapping the Schrödinger equation of two Fibonacci anyons [Eq. (2)] onto the dynamical equation of our designed RC circuits. Figure 4(a) displays the schematic diagram of the RC circuit simulator. The voltages at pink and blue (gray and white) circuit nodes are labeled by  $V_{m,n}^4$  and  $V_{m,n}^5$  ( $V_{m,n}^4$  and  $V_{m,n}^5$ ), which can be mapped to the probability amplitudes  $c_{m,n}^4$  and  $c_{m,n}^5$  ( $c_{m,n}^4$  and  $c_{m,n}^5$ ) of a 1D lattice chain with two Fibonacci anyons. For example, the voltages  $V_{1,2}^4$  and  $V_{1,2}^5$  correspond to the probability amplitudes of the fourth and fifth fusion

degrees with two Fibonacci anyons locating at the first and second lattice sites, as illustrated in the inset. The effective coupling between different two-anyon states can be realized by designing the node connection in the circuit. Figure 4(b) plots the connection pattern from the circuit node  $V_{3,5}^5$  to its nearby nodes of  $V_{2,5}^{\prime 5}, V_{3,4}^{\prime 5}, V_{3,5}^{\prime 5}, V_{3,6}^{\prime 5}$ , and  $V_{4,5}^{\prime 5}$  through negative impedance converters (INICs) valued  $\pm R_t, \pm R_t, \pm R_{J_2}, \pm R_{J_3} + R_F(m+n), \pm R_t$ , and  $\pm R_t$ . In addition, the circuit node  $V_{3,5}^4$  is connected to  $V_{2,5}^{\prime 4}, V_{3,4}^{\prime 5}, V_{3,5}^{\prime 5}, V_{3,5}^{\prime 4}, V_{3,6}^{\prime 4}, and <math>\pm R_t$ . Each node is also connected to the ground by a capacitor *C*. In this case, the eigenequation of the voltage evolution can be derived as (see Appendix G for details)

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{V}_{m,n} = \frac{1}{CR_t} \left\{ \mathbf{H}'_t [\mathbf{V}'_{m\pm 1,n} + \mathbf{V}'_{m,n\pm 1}] + \left[ \mathbf{H}'_J + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \mathbf{I}_{2\times 2} \right] \mathbf{V}'_{m,n} \right\} \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{V}'_{m,n}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{CR_t} \left\{ \mathbf{H}'_t [\mathbf{V}'_{m\pm 1,n} + \mathbf{V}'_{m,n\pm 1}] + \left[ \mathbf{H}'_J + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \mathbf{I}_{2\times 2} \right] \mathbf{V}_{m,n} \right\}, \quad (4)$$

with  $\mathbf{V}_{m,n} = [V_{m,n}^4, V_{m,n}^5]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{V}'_{m,n} = [V_{m,n}^{\prime 4} V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{H}'_t = \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2}$ and

$$\mathbf{H}'_{J} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{R_{J_{1}}} & \frac{1}{R_{J_{2}}} \\ \frac{1}{R_{J_{2}}} & \frac{1}{R_{J_{3}}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can write the circuit eigenequation of Eq. (4) in the matrix form of  $i\partial_t |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{t})\rangle = \mathbf{\Pi} |\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{t})\rangle$ , with  $|V(t)\rangle = [V_{1,2}^4(t), V_{1,2}^5(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^4(t), V_{L-1,L}^5(t), V_{1,2}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{1,2}^{\prime 5}(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t)]^T$  and  $\mathbf{\Pi} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbf{H} \\ -\mathbf{H} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Here, if circuit elements satisfy  $F = \frac{1}{CR_tR_F(m+n)}$ ,  $\phi^{-2} = \frac{1}{CR_tR_{J_1}}$ ,  $\phi^{-3/2} = \frac{1}{CR_tR_{J_2}}$ , and  $\phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{CR_tR_{J_3}}$ , *H* can be mapped to the Hamiltonian matrix of the fourth and fifth fusion degrees of two Fibonacci anyons. Thus, the eigenenergy  $(\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5})$  of two Fibonacci anyons is directly mapped to the eigenfrequency (*f*) of the circuit as  $\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5} = 2\pi f R_t C$  (see Appendix G), so that the designed RC circuit can be used to simulate the 1D lattice model with two Fibonacci anyons. Figure 4(c) provides the photographic image of the fabricated circuit (*L* = 7) around the node  $V_{3,5}^5$ with  $C = 1 \ \mu$ F,  $R_t = 1000\Omega$ ,  $R_{J_1} = 2618\Omega$ ,  $R_{J_2} = 2058\Omega$ ,  $R_{J_3} = 1618\Omega$ , and  $R_F = 1500\Omega$  (*F* = 1.5). Details on the sample fabrication are provided in Appendix H.

It is noted that the impedance response of a circuit node is related to the local density of states of the mapped quantum lattice model. Thus, to illustrate the eigenspectrum of the circuit simulator, we measure impedance spectra of three circuit nodes  $(V_{3,4}^5, V_{3,5}^4, \text{ and } V_{3,5}^5)$ , as illustrated by blue, black, and red lines in Fig. 4(d). It is shown that there are multiple impedance peaks of each circuit node, where each peak can be mapped to an eigenenergy of the two-anyon eigenspectrum. Interestingly, we can find that there are two intervals (marked by dash lines) between adjacent peaks, equaling  $\delta f_1 = 0.15$  kHz and  $\delta f_2 = 0.08$  kHz, respectively. This is consistent with the existence of two Wannier-Stark ladders in the mapped lattice model with  $\delta \varepsilon_1 = 1$  and  $\delta \varepsilon_2 =$ 0.5. Compared with simulation results (see Appendix I), the large width of measured impedance peak results from the loss effect of the circuit sample. Moreover, the frequency deviation of impedance peaks is attributed to disorder effect. In addition, we also fabricate the other circuit simulator with  $R_F = 2000\Omega$ , corresponding to F = 2. Figure 4(e) presents the measured impedance spectra of  $Z_{3,4}^5$ ,  $Z_{3,5}^4$ , and  $Z_{3,5}^5$ . It can be observed that the interval between two adjacent impedance peaks is equally spaced with  $\delta f = 0.159$  kHz. This indicates the existence of a single Wannier-Stark ladder in the mapped lattice model, being consistent with theoretical analysis.

To further simulate the fusion-dependent BZO and BO in our circuit simulators, we conduct time-domain measurements of voltage dynamics. Firstly, we measure the voltage evolution in the fabricated circuit with F = 1.5. The initial voltage is set as  $V_{3,5}^5(t=0) = 1$  V. Figure 5(a) displays the measured voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5(t)$ . It can be observed that the oscillation period of the voltage signal equals 12.5 ms, which is consistent with the simulation (see Appendix J). The decay of the recovered voltage peak is due to loss and disorder effects in the circuit sample. In addition, we can define the effective occupation density at the *i*th site as  $P_i^{\alpha} = \sum_{l=1}^{L} |V_{i,l}^{\alpha}|^2 (i \neq l, \alpha = 4, 5)$ . Figure 5(b) illustrates the evolution of the effective occupation density of two fusion degrees, where black and red lines represent results of the fourth and fifth fusion degrees, respectively. It is clearly shown that the mutual transformation of two coupled fusion degrees exists during the BZO, being consistent with the theoretical prediction.

Then we turn to the fabricated circuit with F = 2, and the initial voltage is also given by  $V_{3,5}^5(t=0) = 1$  V. Figure 5(c) displays the measured voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5(t)$ . It can be observed that the voltage signal initially decreases, then experiences a slight recovery, reaching its maximum value at t = 6.284 ms. Thus, the period of BO is 6.284 ms, which is also consistent with the simulation (see Appendix J). Similarly, the deviation between the experimental and theoretical waveforms is due to the loss and disorder effects in the circuit sample. Additionally, we further present the evolution of the effective occupation density in the circuit simulator, as shown in Fig. 5(d). It is seen that there is a continuous transformation between two fusion degrees, and the experimental measurements are in accord with theoretical results. It is noted that the above experiments only focus on BOs and BZOs of two Fibonacci anyons. Based on the same method, we can also design electric circuits to simulate fusion-dependent BZOs of three Fibonacci anyons.

#### **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, we have proposed an approach to characterize the non-Abelian fusion of Fibonacci anyons through the utilization of BOs and BZOs. Specifically, we found that, by manipulating external forces, it becomes feasible to achieve either a dual or singular Wannier-Stack ladder of two Fibonacci anyons. Remarkably, when a Wannier-Stack ladder emerges alongside BOs, the corresponding values of external force can precisely determine the golden ratio for the fusion matrix. In addition, in scenarios involving three Fibonacci anyons, a pair of internal energy levels arises under a suitable value of external force leading to the manifestation of BZOs of three Fibonacci anyons. Furthermore, we have experimentally fabricated RC circuits to simulate the fusion-dependent BOs and BZOs of two Fibonacci anyons. It is worth noting that, unlike electrons, Fibonacci anyons do not exhibit a constant force in the presence of an electric field. It is possible to realize an effective external force by linearly increasing the on-site potential along the lattice model of anyons. The potential methods include the strain engineering techniques [50-52] and designing the frequency difference between two coupling optical fields in the coldatom system [53,54], which sustain non-Abelian anyons [2]. Our findings establish a profound connection between BOs and the intricate realm of non-Abelian fusion and provide a versatile platform for simulating a myriad of captivating phenomena associated with the fascinating field of non-Abelian physics.



FIG. 5. Experimental simulation of the Bloch-Zener oscillation (BZO) and Bloch oscillation (BO) for two Fibonacci anyons by voltage measurements. (a) The measured voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5$  in the circuit simulator with F = 1.5. (b) The evolution of the effective occupation density of two fusion degrees in the circuit simulator with F = 1.5. The black and red lines represent the fourth and fifth fusion degrees, respectively. (c) The measured voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5$  in the circuit simulator with F = 2. (d) The evolution of the effective occupation density of two fusion degrees in the circuit simulator with F = 2. Here, the initial voltage is always set as  $V_{3,5}^5(t = 0) = 1$  V.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper is supported by the National Key R & D Program of China under Grant No. 2022YFA1404900, Young Elite Scientists Sponsorship Program by CAST Grant No. 2023QNRC001, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China Grant No. 12104041.

#### APPENDIX A: DETAILS ON BASIS VECTORS OF THE SYSTEM WITH TWO FIBONACCI ANYONS

In this section, we provide a detailed explanation of the basis vectors in our model consisting of two Fibonacci anyons. Figure 6(a) illustrates the fusion tree for our 1D lattice model (L = 5) with two Fibonacci anyons, denoted as  $x_i \in \{I, \tau\}$ . Due to the non-Abelian nature of their fusion, there exist multiple possible fusion trees when the positions of these anyons are fixed. As depicted in Figs. 6(b1)–6(b5), we present five potential fusion trees corresponding to two Fibonacci anyons located at the first and second sites, resulting in five internal basis vectors of  $|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle = |I, \tau, I, I, I, I|, I, \tau, \tau, I, I, I\rangle |\tau, \tau, \tau, I, I, I\rangle$  and  $|\tau, \tau, \tau, \tau, \tau, \tau\rangle$  induced by non-Abelian fusion.

#### APPENDIX B: DETAILS ON THE DERIVATION OF THE FUSION MATRIX FOR TWO FIBONACCI ANYONS

In this section, we give a detailed derivation of the fusion matrix  $H_J$ . First, let us introduce what the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix is: a matrix that describes the fusion properties of anyons. In a system composed of multiple anyons, the Hilbert space resulting from the fusion of anyons is independent of the order of fusion. However, different fusion orders can lead to distinct basis vectors for the system. The  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix is a unitary transformation matrix that describes the relationship between basis vectors resulting from different fusion orders. In the following, we provide details on deriving the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix.

In the arrangement of three particles from left to right, the two leftmost particles fuse first and then fuse with the rightmost particle, as shown in Fig. 7(a), resulting in five different fusion outcomes and five distinct basis vectors, denoted as Basis 1. Similarly, if the two rightmost particles fuse first, as shown in Fig. 7(b), there are also five possible fusion scenarios, resulting in five basis vectors, denoted as Basis 2. The transformation from Basis 1 to Basis 2 can be accomplished using the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix. In this case, the transformation between different basis vectors can be described using the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix, as shown in Fig. 7(c). Here,  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$  represents the matrix



FIG. 6. (a) Fibonacci anyonic fusion trees with L = 5. (b1)–(b5) Five potential fusion trees corresponding to two Fibonacci anyons located at the first and second sites.

element of the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix, which can transform the fusion tree with the first (*i*) and second (*j*) anyons being fused initially to the fusion tree with the second (*j*) and third (*k*) anyons being fused initially. Here, these two fusion trees can be expressed as  $|[(i, j)_p, k]_m\rangle$  and  $|[i, (j, k)_q]_m\rangle$ . Thus, we have  $|[(i, j)_p, k]_m\rangle = \sum_q \left[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}\right]_{pq} |[i, (j, k)_q]_m\rangle.$  (B1)



FIG. 7. (a) Schematic diagram of the fusion trees with the leftmost two particles fuse first and then fuse with the rightmost particle. (b) Schematic diagram of the fusion trees with the rightmost two particles fuse first and then fuse with the leftmost particle. (c) The transformation between different basis states.



FIG. 8. Schematic representation of the basis transformation resulting from the fusion process of two Fibonacci anyons.

It has been demonstrated that, if at least one of four labels *i*, *j*, *k*, and *m* of  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$  is a vacuum state (*I*), as shown in Fig. 8(a), then we always have  $[\mathcal{F}_I^{\tau\tau\tau}]_{\tau I}$ ,  $[\mathcal{F}_I^{\tau\tau\tau}]_{\tau\tau}$ , and  $[\mathcal{F}_\tau^{I\tau\tau}]_{\tau\tau} = 1$ . In this case, other values of  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$  are all zero. In addition, when these four labels of  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$  are all Fibonacci anyons ( $\tau$ ), as shown in Fig. 8(b), the value of  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$  depends on the detailed fusion role of Fibonacci anyons. Next, we focus on the derivation the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix related to  $[\mathcal{T}_\tau^{\tau\tau\tau}]_{pq}$ .

First, the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix should be a unitary matrix with  $\mathcal{FF}^+$  = I. Thus, we have

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II}^{2} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau}^{2} = 1, \qquad (B2)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau I} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau\tau} = 0, \qquad (B3)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau I}^{2} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau\tau}^{2} = 1.$$
(B4)

Then based on Eq. (B1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_I]_{\tau}\}_I \rangle &= \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II} |\{\tau, [(\tau, \tau)_I, \tau]_{\tau}\}_I \rangle \\ &+ \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau} |\{\tau, [(\tau, \tau)_{\tau}, \tau]_{\tau}\}_I \rangle. \end{aligned} (B5)$$

Using the relationship of  $|[(\tau, \tau)_{\tau}, \tau]_{\tau}\rangle = |[\tau, (\tau, \tau)_{\tau}]_{\tau}\rangle$ , Eq. (B5) can be re-expressed as

$$|\{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_I]_{\tau}\}_I\rangle = \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II} |\{\tau, [(\tau, \tau)_I, \tau]_{\tau}\}_I\rangle + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau} |\{[\tau, (\tau, \tau)_{\tau}], \tau\}_I\rangle.$$
(B6)

Applying Eqs. (B1) to (B6), we have

$$\begin{split} |\{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_{I}]_{\tau}\}_{I}\rangle \\ &= \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II} \sum_{j} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{Ij} |\{[(\tau, \tau)_{j}, \tau]_{\tau}, \tau\}_{I}\rangle \\ &+ \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau} \sum_{j} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{Ij} |\{[(\tau, \tau)_{j}, \tau]_{\tau}, \tau\}_{I}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j} \left(\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{Ij} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tauj}\right) \\ &\times |\{[(\tau, \tau)_{j}, \tau]_{\tau}, \tau\}_{I}\rangle, \end{split}$$
(B7)

with *j* being either *I* or  $\tau$ . In addition, we have

$$\{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_I]_{\tau}\}_I \rangle = |[(\tau, \tau)_I, (\tau, \tau)_I]_I \rangle$$
$$= |\{[(\tau, \tau)_I, \tau]_{\tau}, \tau\}_I \rangle.$$
(B8)

Comparing Eqs. (B7) and (B8), we can obtain

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau}\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau I} = 1, \qquad (B9)$$

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau}\left(\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{II}+\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau\tau}\right)=0.$$
 (B10)

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{split} |\{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_{\tau}]_{I}\}_{\tau}\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j} \left( \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau I} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I j} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau \tau} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau j} \right) \\ &\times |\{[(\tau, \tau)_{j}, \tau]_{I}, \tau\}_{\tau}\rangle, \end{split}$$
(B11)

$$|\{\tau, [\tau, (\tau, \tau)_{\tau}]_{I}\}_{\tau}\rangle = |\{[(\tau, \tau)_{\tau}, \tau]_{I}, \tau\}_{\tau}\rangle$$
(B12)

Finally, the pentagon diagram related to five different fusion trees, as shown in Fig. 9, should also be applied to obtain other required equations of matrix elements  $[\mathcal{F}_m^{ijk}]_{pq}$ .

The results obtained from the paths in the upper or lower half of the pentagon diagram are the same  $\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{F}^3$ . From the pentagon equation, we can obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} = \sum_{e} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{e\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau e}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{I\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{II\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau I} \\+ \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \end{bmatrix}_{\tau\tau}.$$
(B13)

This equation is known as the *pentagon equation*. It is worth noting that, due to the fusion rules, the variable e in Fig. 9 can take the values of either I or  $\tau$ . Hence, we have

$$\left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau\tau}^{2} = \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau I} \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{I\tau} + \left[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}\right]_{\tau\tau}^{3} \tag{B14}$$



FIG. 9. The pentagon diagram illustration.

Combining Eqs. (B2)–(B14), we can obtain detailed values of  $[\mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau}]_{pq}$  as

$$\begin{split} \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \right]_{\tau\tau} &= -\phi^{-1}, \quad \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \right]_{II} = \phi^{-1}, \\ \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \right]_{I\tau} &= \phi^{-1/2}, \quad \left[ \mathcal{F}_{\tau}^{\tau\tau\tau} \right]_{\tau I} = \phi^{-1/2}, \qquad (B15) \end{split}$$

where  $\phi = (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2$  is the golden ratio. Thus, the matrix form of the transformation  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix between the two sets of basis vectors in Fig. 8 is given by

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1} & \phi^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B16)

Using the  $\mathcal{F}$  matrix, we can further derive the fusion matrix  $H_J$ . Here, we consider the case with L = 5, where two Fibonacci anyons  $\tau$  and three vacuum states *I* exist. Like the Heisenberg interaction between spins, the interaction between Fibonacci anyons can be expressed as

$$H_{\text{Heisenberg}}^{\text{Fibonacci}} = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \mathcal{P}_{ij}^{I}, \qquad (B17)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{ij}^{I}$  is the projection operator acting on the fusion outcome being *I*.

Now let us write the fusion Hamiltonian for two Fibonacci anyon systems. First, we consider the scenario where two Fibonacci anyons locate at first and second sites. The process of five anyons fusing together is shown in Figs. 10(a)-10(e). For this model, we consider long-range interactions, meaning a tendency for all anyons to fuse into a vacuum state *I*, as shown in Fig. 10(e). To achieve this, we need to perform four basis transformations. The beginning basis is given by  $|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$  as

$$|I\tau IIII\rangle, |\tau\tau IIII\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau III\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B18)

The  $\mathcal{F}_1$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1} & \phi^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(B19)

changing  $|x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1, \tilde{x_2}, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$  of

$$|IIIIII\rangle, |\tau\tau IIII\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau\tau\tau\tau\gamma\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B20)

Then the  $\mathcal{F}_2$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B21)

changing  $|x_1, \tilde{x_2}, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1, \tilde{x_2}, \tilde{x_3}, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$ :

$$|IIIIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau III\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau II\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B22)

The  $\mathcal{F}_3$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B23)



FIG. 10. The fusion trees corresponding to a Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the second site. By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (a) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (b). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (b) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (c). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_3$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (c) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (d). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_4$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (d). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_4$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (d) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (d). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_4$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (e). The label  $x_l, x_{l-1}$  can be either the vacuum particle I or the Fibonacci anyon  $\tau$ .

changing  $|x_1, \widetilde{x_2}, \widetilde{x_3}, x_4, x_5, x_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1, \widetilde{x_2}, \widetilde{x_3}, \widetilde{x_4}, x_5, x_6\rangle$ :

$$|IIIIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau II\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau III\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B24)

The  $\mathcal{F}_4$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B25)

changing  $|x_1, \widetilde{x_2}, \widetilde{x_3}, \widetilde{x_4}, x_5, x_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1, \widetilde{x_2}, \widetilde{x_3}, \widetilde{x_4}, \widetilde{x_5}, x_6\rangle$ :

$$|IIIIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau IIII\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B26)

Combined with the projector  $\mathcal{P}^{I} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ , the Hamiltonian matrix then becomes

Following the same process, we can also consider the scenario where two Fibonacci anyons are at positions one and three. For this model, we also need four basis transformations to achieve the fusion of all anyons into a vacuum state *I*, as shown in Figs. 11(a)-11(e). The beginning basis is given by  $|y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6\rangle$ :

$$\begin{array}{c} |I\tau\tau III\rangle, \ |\tau\tau\tau\tau III\rangle, \ \ |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, \ |\tau II\tau\tau\tau\rangle, \ \ |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle. \\ (B28) \end{array}$$

The  $\mathcal{F}_1$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B29)



FIG. 11. The fusion trees corresponding to a Fibonacci anyon at the first site and the other Fibonacci anyon at the third site. By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (a) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (b). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (b) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (c). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_3$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (c) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (d). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_4$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (d) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (e). The label  $y_l$ ,  $y_{l-1}$  can be either the vacuum particle *I* or the Fibonacci anyon  $\tau$ .

changing the basis  $|y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, y_3,$  changing  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, y_4, y_5, y_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  to the basis |y $y_4, y_5, y_6$  of

$$|I\tau\tau III\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau III\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau I\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B30)

Then the  $\mathcal{F}_2$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1} & \phi^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(B31)

changing  $|y_1, \tilde{y_2}, y_3, y_4, y_5, y_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \tilde{y_2}, \tilde{y_3}, y_4, y_5, y_6\rangle$  $y_5, y_6$ :

$$|I\tau IIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau III\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau I\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B32)

The  $\mathcal{F}_3$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B33)

 $v_5, v_6$ :

$$|I\tau IIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau II\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau II\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B34)

The  $\mathcal{F}_4$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$
(B35)

changing  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, y_5, y_6\rangle$  to the basis  $|y_1, \widetilde{y_2}, \widetilde{y_3}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_4}, \widetilde{y_5}, \widetilde{y_6}\rangle$  $\widetilde{y}_5, y_6$ :

$$|I\tau IIII\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau III\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(B36)



FIG. 12. (a) Calculated eigenenergies  $\varepsilon_{mn}^1$  related to the fusion degree  $C_{m,n}^1$  as a function of *F*. (b) Calculated eigenenergies  $\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5}$  related to the fusion degree  $C_{m,n}^{4,5}$  as a function of *F*. The blue and red dots correspond to eigenenergies  $\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5}$  of F = 1.5 and 2, respectively.

Combined with the projector  $\mathcal{P}^{I} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ , the Hamiltonian matrix can be expressed as

Using the same method, regardless of the positions of the two Fibonacci anyons, we can derive the fusion Hamiltonian.

#### APPENDIX C: EVOLUTION OF EIGENENERGIES FOR TWO FIBONACCI ANYONS AS A FUNCTION OF THE EXTERNAL FORCE F

In this section, we calculate the evolution of eigenenergies  $\varepsilon_{mn}^1$  related to the fusion degree  $C_{m,n}^1$  as a function of F with J = -1,  $J_t = -1$ , and L = 20, as shown in Fig. 12(a). It is shown that the two-anyon eigenspectra can exhibit the Wannier-Stark ladder  $\varepsilon_{mn}^1 = -J + (m + n)F$  at each value of F, and the difference between two contiguous eigenenergies equals  $\delta\varepsilon_1 = F$ . Similarly, the eigenspectrum of  $C_{m,n}^2$  or  $C_{m,n}^3$  is also in the form of a Wannier-Stark ladder with  $\varepsilon_{mn}^2 = \varepsilon_{mn}^3 = (m + n)F$ . It is noted that the appearance of a Wannier-Stark ladder is expected to induce BO of two anyons. Next, we calculate the variation of eigenspectrum  $\varepsilon_{mn}^{4,5}$  as a function of the external force, as shown in Fig. 12(b). Clearly, except for the case of F = 2 (red nodes) with a single Wannier-Stack

appearing, there are always two staggered Wannier-Stack ladders at other values of F, as seen in F = 1.5 (blue nodes).

#### APPENDIX D: DETAILS FOR THE DERIVATION OF THE FUSION MATRIX OF THREE FIBONACCI ANYONS

In this part, let us derive the fusion Hamiltonian for the three Fibonacci anyon systems. In Supplemental Material Note 2, we know that the vacuum state *I* does not affect the fusion Hamiltonian of the Fibonacci anyon system. Therefore, here, we only consider the case of three Fibonacci anyons without the vacuum state, as shown in Fig. 13(a). The process of aggregating three Fibonacci anyons together is shown in Fig. 13(a)–13(c). Here, we consider the fusion of three Fibonacci anyons into a vacuum state *I*, as shown in Fig. 13(c). To achieve this, we need to perform two basis transformations. The beginning basis is given by  $|x_1x_2x_3x_4\rangle$  as

$$|I\tau\tau I\rangle, |I\tau I\tau\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau I\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau I\rangle, |\tau\tau I\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau \tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
 (D1)

The  $\mathcal{F}_1$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1} & \phi^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & -\phi^{1} \end{pmatrix},$$
(D2)

changing the basis  $|x_1x_2x_3x_4\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1\tilde{x_2}x_3x_4\rangle$  of

$$|I\tau\tau I\rangle, |III\tau\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau I\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau I\rangle, |\tau\tau I\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
(D3)

Then the  $\mathcal{F}_2$  matrix is written as

$$\mathcal{F}_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1} & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \phi^{-1/2} & 0 & -\phi^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (D4)$$



FIG. 13. The process of three Fibonacci anyons fusing together. By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_1$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (a) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (b). By applying the  $\mathcal{F}_2$ -symbol transformation, the fusion chain basis of (b) is transformed into the fusion chain basis of (c). The label  $x_i$ ,  $x_{i-1}$  can be either the vacuum particle *I* or the Fibonacci anyon  $\tau$ .

changing the basis  $|x_1 \tilde{x_2} x_3 x_4\rangle$  to the basis  $|x_1 \tilde{x_2} \tilde{x_3} x_4\rangle$ :

$$|I\tau II\rangle, |II\tau\tau\rangle, |I\tau\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau I\tauI\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tauI\rangle, |\tau I\tau\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau I\tau\rangle, |\tau\tau\tau\tau\rangle.$$
 (D5)

Combined with the projector  $\mathcal{P}^{3,I} = \text{diag}(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0)$ , the Hamiltonian matrix then becomes

#### APPENDIX E: THE TIME-DEPENDENT SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION OF THREE FIBONACCI ANYONS

In this part, we give the evolution equation for the amplitudes  $C^{\alpha}_{m,n,q}$  of three Fibonacci anyons. Here,  $C^{\alpha}_{m,n,q}$  is used to represent the probability amplitude of the  $\alpha$ th fusion degree when the first anyon is at position *m*, the second anyon is at position *n*, and the third anyon is at position *q*. The evolution equation for the amplitude  $C^{\alpha}_{m,n,q}$  obtained from the Schrödinger equation  $i\partial_t |\psi\rangle = \hat{H} |\psi\rangle$  ( $\hbar = 1$ ) is as follows:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n,q} = \mathbf{H}_{3,t}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m\pm1,n,q} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n\pm1,q} + \boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n,q\pm1}] + [\mathbf{H}_{3,J} + F(m+n+q)\mathbf{I}_{8\times8}]\boldsymbol{\psi}_{m,n,q}, \quad (E1)$$

with  $\Psi_{m,n,q} = [C_{m,n,q}^1, C_{m,n,q}^2, C_{m,n,q}^3, C_{m,n,q}^4, C_{m,n,q}^5, C_{m,n,q}^6, C_{m,n,q}^6, C_{m,n,q}^8, C_{m,n,q}^6]^T$ . In the case of the three Fibonacci anyon system, it is observed that there exist eight fusion degrees.

# APPENDIX F: EIGENSPECTRA OF THREE FIBONACCI ANYONS AND THE ANALYSIS OF THE OVERALL OSCILLATION PERIOD WITH THREE INTERNAL ENERGY LEVELS

In this part, our analysis primarily concentrates on the eigenspectra of three Fibonacci anyons and the overall oscillation period with three internal energy levels. The eigenvalues of

$$H_{3,J}^{6,7,8} = -J \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{-2} & \phi^{-2} & -\phi^{5/2} \\ \phi^{-2} & \phi^{-2} & -\phi^{-5/2} \\ -\phi^{-5/2} & -\phi^{-5/2} & -\phi^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

equal  $\varepsilon_1 = -0.8937$ ,  $\varepsilon_2 = 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_3 = 1.4216$ , making the internal fusion energy levels become  $\delta \varepsilon_f^1 = 0.8937$  and  $\delta \varepsilon_f^2 =$ 1.4216. In this case, two staggered Wannier-Stack ladders with energy gaps being  $\Delta E_1 = F - \delta \varepsilon_f^2 = \delta \varepsilon_f^1$  and  $\Delta E_2 =$  $F - \delta \varepsilon_f^1 = \delta \varepsilon_f^2$  can appear with F = 2.3153. To elucidate this phenomenon further, Fig. 14 illustrates the eigenenergies of three Fibonacci anyons at various values of F with J = -1and  $J_t = -1$ . It is shown that two staggered Wannier-Stack ladders appear at F = 2.3153 (marked by green dots), being consistent with the above discussion. For other values



FIG. 14. Calculated eigenenergies  $\varepsilon_{m,n,q}^{678}$  related to the fusion degree  $C_{m,n,q}^{678}$  as a function of *F*.

of *F*, the system possesses three internal energy levels, and their oscillation periods should be reconstructed by three periods related to these energy levels. Hence, if the external force satisfies the relationship of F > 2.3152, three distinct Wannier-Stack ladders with energy gaps being  $\Delta E_1 = \delta \varepsilon_f^1 =$ 0.8937,  $\Delta E_2 = \delta \varepsilon_f^2 = 1.4216$ , and  $\Delta E_3 = F - (\delta \varepsilon_f^1 + \delta \varepsilon_f^2)$ are obtained. If 2.3152 > F > 0.8937, energy gaps of three distinct Wannier-Stack ladders are  $\Delta E_1 = F$ ,  $\Delta E_2 = F - \delta \varepsilon_f^1$ , and  $\Delta E_3 = \delta \varepsilon_f^2$ . If F < 0.8937, energy gaps of three distinct Wannier-Stack ladders are  $\Delta E_1 = \delta \varepsilon_f^1$ ,  $\Delta E_2 = F - \delta \varepsilon_f^1$ , and  $\Delta E_3 = (\delta \varepsilon_f^1 + \delta \varepsilon_f^2) - F$ . The three subperiods of the system are  $T_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta E_1}$ ,  $T_2 = q \frac{2\pi}{\Delta E_2}$ , and  $T_3 = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta E_3}$ . Therefore, the oscillation period of the three Fibonacci anyon system should be the least common multiple of  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$ .

#### APPENDIX G: DETAILS OF THE DESIGNED RC CIRCUIT AND DERIVATION OF THE CIRCUIT EIGENEQUATIONS

In this section, we present details of the designed RC circuit and the derivation of the circuit eigenequations. Circuit nodes  $V_{m,n}^4$  and  $V_{m,n}^5$  are grounded using a capacitor C and an INIC with effective resistance  $R_{m,n}$ , while circuit nodes  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 4}$  and  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}$  are grounded using a capacitor C and a regular resistor  $R'_{m,n}$ . They are respectively marked with green and pink dashed boxes in Fig. 15(a). By appropriately setting the grounding INICs (resistances) as  $\frac{1}{R_{m,n}^4} = 4 + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \left[ \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^5} = 4 + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \right]$  and grounding resistances as  $\frac{1}{R_{m,n}^4} = 4 + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \left[ \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^5} = 4 + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \right]$ , this satisfies the condition that the diagonal elements of the circuit Hamiltonian

$$\Pi = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -H \\ H & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are zero. The designed INID for achieving the grounding of node  $V_{m,n}^{\alpha}$  ( $R_{m,n}$ ), single-particle hopping of two Fibonacci anyons ( $R_t$ ), coupled interactions between the fourth and fifth fusion degrees ( $R_{J_2}$ ), as well as self-coupling and external forcing [ $R_{J_1}(R_{J_3}) + R_F(m + n)$ ] is depicted in Fig. 15(b) with arrows in green, black, orange, and blue, respectively. In Figs. 15(c) and 15(d), we provide complete photographs of



FIG. 15. (a) Schematic diagram of the grounding connection in the designed resistor-capacitor circuit. In the pink box are the grounding connections for circuit nodes  $V_{m,n}^4$  and  $V_{m,n}^5$ . In the green box are the grounding connections for f circuit nodes  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 4}$  and  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}$ . (b) Internal connection structure of negative impedance converters (INICs). The photographic image of the fabricated circuit (L = 7) with (c) F = 1.5 and (d) F = 2.

the experimental circuits with external forces of 1.5 and 2, respectively. The type of op-amp is LT1363, in which the value of  $R_0 = 100\Omega$ .

Next, we give a detailed derivation of the circuit eigenequation. Carrying out Kirchhoff's law on circuit nodes of  $V_{m,n}^4$ ,  $V_{m,n}^5$ ,  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 4}$ , and  $V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}$ , we get the following equations:

$$C\frac{dV_{m,n}^{4}}{dt} = \frac{1}{R_{t}} \left\{ \left( V_{m,n-1}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{4} \right) + \left( V_{m,n+1}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{4} \right) + \left( V_{m-1,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{4} \right) + \left( V_{m+1,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{4} \right) + \frac{V_{m,n}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{4}}{R_{f_{2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{f_{1}}} \right] \left( V_{m,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{4} \right) - \frac{V_{m,n}^{4}}{R_{m,n}^{4}} \right\},$$

$$(G1)$$

$$C\frac{dV_{m,n}^{5}}{dt} = \frac{1}{R_{t}} \left\{ \left( V_{m,n-1}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{5} \right) + \left( V_{m,n+1}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{5} \right) + \left( V_{m-1,n}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{5} \right) + \left( V_{m+1,n}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{5} \right) + \frac{V_{m,n}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{5}}{R_{f_{2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{f_{3}}} \right] \left( V_{m,n}^{*} - V_{m,n}^{*} \right) - \frac{V_{m,n}^{5}}{R_{m,n}^{5}} \right\},$$

$$(G2)$$

$$C\frac{dV_{m,n}^{*4}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{R_{t}} \left\{ \left( V_{m,n-1}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*4} \right) + \left( V_{m,n+1}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*4} \right) + \left( V_{m-1,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*4} \right) + \left( V_{m+1,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*4} \right) + \frac{V_{m,n}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*4}}{R_{f_{2}}} \right.$$

$$\left. + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{f_{1}}} \right] \left( V_{m,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*4} \right) - \frac{V_{m,n}^{*4}}{R_{m,n}^{*4}} \right\},$$

$$(G3)$$

$$C\frac{dV_{m,n}^{*5}}{dt} = -\frac{1}{R_{t}} \left\{ \left( V_{m,n-1}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*5} \right) + \left( V_{m,n+1}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*5} \right) + \left( V_{m-1,n}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*5} \right) + \left( V_{m+1,n}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*5} \right) + \frac{V_{m,n}^{4} - V_{m,n}^{*5}}{R_{f_{2}}} \right) \right.$$

$$\left. + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{f_{3}}} \right] \left( V_{m,n}^{5} - V_{m,n}^{*5} \right) - \frac{V_{m,n}^{*5}}{R_{m,n}^{*5}} \right\}.$$

$$(G4)$$

Simplifying the above Eqs. (G1)–(G4), we can obtain

$$\frac{dV_{m,n}^{4}}{dt} = \frac{1}{CR_{t}} \left\{ \left[ V_{m,n-1}^{\prime 4} + V_{m,n+1}^{\prime 4} + V_{m-1,n}^{\prime 4} + V_{m+1,n}^{\prime 4} \right] + \frac{1}{R_{J_{2}}} V_{m,n}^{\prime 5} + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_{1}}} \right] V_{m,n}^{\prime 4} - \left[ 4 + \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_{1}}} - \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^{4}} \right] V_{m,n}^{4} \right\},$$

$$\frac{dV_{m,n}^{5}}{dt} = \frac{1}{CR_{t}} \left\{ \left[ V_{m,n-1}^{\prime 5} + V_{m,n+1}^{\prime 5} + V_{m-1,n}^{\prime 5} + V_{m+1,n}^{\prime 5} \right] + \frac{1}{R_{J_{2}}} V_{m,n}^{\prime 4} + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_{3}}} \right] V_{m,n}^{\prime 5} \right\}$$
(G5)

$$-\left[4 + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_3}} - \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^5}\right] V_{m,n}^5 \right\},$$

$$dV_{m,n}^{\prime 4} = 1 \left[ \left[ V_{m,n}^4 + V_{m,n}^4 + V_{m,n}^4 - V_{m,n}^4 \right] - \left[ 1 + V_{m,n}^5 - V_{m,n}^5 - V_{m,n}^5 \right] V_{m,n}^5 \right]$$
(G6)

$$\frac{dv_{m,n}}{dt} = \frac{1}{CR_t} \left\{ -\left[V_{m,n-1}^4 + V_{m,n+1}^4 + V_{m-1,n}^4 + V_{m+1,n}^4\right] - \frac{1}{R_{J_2}} V_{m,n}^5 - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} \right\rfloor V_{m,n}^4 - \left\lfloor \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} - \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} + \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} \right\rfloor V_{m,n}^4 \right\}$$
(G7)

$$-\left[-4 - \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} - \frac{1}{R_{J_{1}}} + \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^{\prime 4}}\right] V_{m,n}^{\prime} \right\},$$
(G7)  
$$\frac{dV_{m,n}^{\prime 5}}{dt} = \frac{1}{CR_{t}} \left\{-\left[V_{m,n-1}^{5} + V_{m,n+1}^{5} + V_{m-1,n}^{5} + V_{m+1,n}^{5}\right] - \frac{1}{R_{J_{2}}}V_{m,n}^{4} - \left[\frac{1}{R_{F}^{5}(m+n)} + \frac{1}{R_{J_{3}}}\right]V_{m,n}^{5} - \left[-4 - \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)} - \frac{1}{R_{J_{3}}} + \frac{1}{R_{m,n}^{\prime 5}}\right]V_{m,n}^{\prime 5} \right\}.$$
(G8)

In this case, the equation of the voltage evolution can be derived as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{V}_{m,n} = \frac{1}{CR_t} \left\{ \mathbf{H}'_t [\mathbf{V}'_{m\pm 1,n} + \mathbf{V}'_{m,n\pm 1}] + \left[ \mathbf{H}'_J + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \mathbf{I}_{2\times 2} \right] \mathbf{V}'_{m,n} \right\},\tag{G9}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{V}_{m,n}' = -\frac{1}{CR_t} \left\{ \mathbf{H}_t'[\mathbf{V}_{m\pm 1.n} + \mathbf{V}_{m,n\pm 1}] + \left[ \mathbf{H}_J' + \frac{1}{R_F(m+n)} \mathbf{I}_{2\times 2} \right] \mathbf{V}_{m,n} \right\},\tag{G10}$$

with  $\mathbf{V}_{m.n} = [V_{m,n}^4, V_{m,n}^5]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{V}'_{m.n} = [V_{m,n}^{\prime 4}, V_{m,n}^{\prime 5}]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{H}'_t = \mathbf{I}_{2 \times 2}$ , and

$$\mathbf{H}_J' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{R_{J_1}} & \frac{1}{R_{J_2}} \\ \frac{1}{R_{J_2}} & \frac{1}{R_{J_3}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, the circuit eigenequation can be written in a matrix form of  $i\partial_t |\mathbf{V}(t)\rangle = \mathbf{\Pi} |\mathbf{V}(t)\rangle$ , with  $|\mathbf{V}(t)\rangle = [V_{1,2}^4(t), V_{1,2}^5(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^4(t), V_{L-1,L}^5(t), V_{1,2}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{1,2}^{\prime 5}(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t), V_{1,2}^{\prime 5}(t), \dots, V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 4}(t), V_{L-1,L}^{\prime 5}(t)]^T$  and

$$\mathbf{\Pi} = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H \\ -H & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, **H** can be mapped to the Hamiltonian matrix of fourth and fifth fusion degrees for two Fibonacci anyons if the circuit elements satisfy the following relationships of  $F = \frac{1}{CR_rR_F(m+n)}$ ,  $\phi^{-2} = \frac{1}{CR_rR_{J_1}}$ ,  $\phi^{-3/2} = \frac{1}{CR_rR_{J_2}}$ , and  $\phi^{-1} = \frac{1}{CR_rR_{J_3}}$ . It is worth noting that the voltage equation in the time domain corresponds to the extended time-dependent Schrödinger equation of two Fibonacci anyon systems expressed as

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}_e \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' \end{pmatrix},$$
 (G11)

with  $\mathbf{C} = [C_{1,2}^4, C_{1,2}^5, \dots, C_{L-1,L}^4, C_{L-1,L}^5]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{C}' = [C_{1,2}'^4, C_{1,2}'^5, \dots, C_{L-1,L}'^4, C_{L-1,L}'^5]^T$ , and

$$\mathbf{H}_e = i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H \\ -H & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is worth knowing that, if we can obtain the evolution of the state under the action of  $\mathbf{H}_e$ , we can derive the evolution process of the target initial state under the action of  $\mathbf{H}$ . This is because we can expand *H* into the forms of  $H_a$  and  $H_e$ :

$$H_a = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0\\ 0 & -H \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_e = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & iH\\ -iH & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(G12)

Here,  $H_a$  and  $H_e$  have the same eigenvalues:

$$N^{-1}H_eN = M^{-1}H_aM = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad (G13)$$

where  $\lambda_1$  to  $\lambda_n$  are eigenvalues of  $H_a$  and  $H_e$ , and  $M = [W_1 W_2 \dots W_n]$  and  $N = [W'_1 W'_2 \dots W'_n]$  are the matrixes composed of eigenvectors  $W_i$  and  $W'_i$  of  $H_a$  and  $H_e$ , respectively. Hence,  $H_a$  and  $H_e$  can be transformed into each other  $P^{-1}H_aP = H_e$ , with

$$P = MN^{-1}. (G14)$$



FIG. 16. The simulated impedance spectra of  $Z_{3,4}^5$ ,  $Z_{3,5}^4$ , and  $Z_{3,5}^5$  for circuit simulators (L = 7) with (a) F = 1.5 and (b) F = 2. The sum of simulated impedance spectra for 18 circuit nodes (from  $Z_{12,5}^4$  to  $Z_{15,7}^5$ ) of circuit simulators (L = 20) with (c) F = 1.5 and (d) F = 2.

The time-dependent Schrödinger equation of two anyons is expressed as

$$i\partial_t \psi = H\psi. \tag{G15}$$

Let the state  $\psi$  be extended to  $\varphi = (\psi \ 0)^T$ , and we can write the time-dependent Schrödinger equation of extended Hamiltonian  $H_a$  as

$$i\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = H_a \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 \\ 0 & -H \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H\psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (G16)

The state  $\psi$  also evolves under the Hamiltonian *H*. Then let  $\phi = P^{-1}\varphi$ , and we have

$$i\partial_t P^{-1}\varphi = P^{-1}H_a P P^{-1}\varphi = H_e P^{-1}\varphi.$$
 (G17)

Thus, the state  $\phi$  evolves under the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian  $H_e$ :

$$i\partial_t \phi = H_e \phi. \tag{G18}$$

Therefore, the extended time-dependent Schrödinger equation can simulate the behavior of two Fibonacci anyon systems.

In addition, the eigenfrequencies in the circuit correspond strictly to the eigenvalues in the lattice system. By assuming the harmonic oscillation of voltage signals, we have  $\mathbf{V}_{m,n}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbf{V}_{m,n}e^{iwt}$ . Submitting it into Eqs. (G9) and (G10), we have

$$iw\mathbf{V}_{m,n} = \frac{1}{CR_{t}} \left\{ \mathbf{H}_{t}'[\mathbf{V}_{m\pm1.n}' + \mathbf{V}_{m,n\pm1}'] + \left[\mathbf{H}_{J}' + \frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)}\mathbf{I}_{2\times2}\right]\mathbf{V}_{m,n}'\right\}, \quad (G19)$$
$$iw\mathbf{V}_{m,n}' = -\frac{1}{CR_{t}} \left\{ \mathbf{H}_{t}'[\mathbf{V}_{m\pm1.n} + \mathbf{V}_{m,n\pm1}] \right\}$$

$$+\left[\mathbf{H}_{J}'+\frac{1}{R_{F}(m+n)}\mathbf{I}_{2\times 2}\right]\mathbf{V}_{m,n}\bigg\},\qquad(G20)$$

which can be expressed as

$$R_t C\omega \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{m,n} \\ \mathbf{V}'_{m,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -iH \\ iH & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{V}_{m,n} \\ \mathbf{V}'_{m,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (G21)

Particularly, the steady-state eigenequation of the circuit simulator can also be mapped to the extended steady-state Schrödinger equation of the fourth and fifth fusion degrees for two Fibonacci anyons:

$$\varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{H}_{e} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{C}' \end{pmatrix}. \tag{G22}$$

In this case, Eq. (G21) has the same form as the eigenequation of Eq. (G22). Hence, the eigenenergy of two Fibonacci



FIG. 17. The simulated voltage signals of  $V_{3,5}^5$  in the designed resistor-capacitor circuits (L = 7) with (a) F = 1.5 and (b) F = 2. The simulated voltage signals of  $V_{6,13}^5$  in the designed resistor-capacitor circuits (L = 20) with (c) F = 1.5 and (d) F = 2.

anyons are directly mapped to the eigenfrequency of the circuit as  $\varepsilon = R_t C \omega = 2\pi f R_t C$ .

# APPENDIX H: SAMPLE FABRICATIONS AND CIRCUIT MEASUREMENTS

The circuit design is conducted using JLCPCB's EDA program software, involving engineering suitable PCB schematics, stack-up layout, internal layer design, and grounding design. The designed PCB consists of eight layers, including two power layers ( $\pm 15$  V), two grounding layers, and all internal layers connected through blind holes. To implement the INIC, we utilize the LT1363 operational amplifier (OpAmp), which is powered by external voltages of  $\pm 15$  V. All capacitors and resistors are packaged in 0603 form factor for compactness. Additionally, a WK6500B impedance analyzer is employed to select circuit elements with high accuracy (disorder strength of only 1%). The values of all circuit elements are sufficiently large to neglect any influence from effective resistances or parasitic capacitances in the circuit sample. As for the time-domain voltage measurement, one circuit node needs to be excited, and we set the input signal of this circuit node to 1 V. In this case, when initializing the circuit in experiments, each node should be connected to an external voltage signal of 1 or 0 V. We use the relay model G6K (Omron) to connect the circuit nodes and the external voltage sources. The relays are controlled by a mechanical

switch through a 5 V signal. With this setting, external signals can be removed simultaneously. Thus, we connect the nodes to an oscilloscope via coaxial cables and measure the voltage signal after turning off the switch. Additionally, a 4-channel oscilloscope DSO7104B (Agilent Technologies) is used in experiments to collect time-domain voltage signals. For each circuit, we conducted four rounds of measurements, with at least 20 measurements per round, to verify the reproducibility of the obtained results.

# APPENDIX I: SIMULATION RESULTS OF IMPEDANCE RESPONSES FOR CIRCUIT SIMULATORS

In this section, we give the simulations results of impedance responses for circuit simulators using the LTSpice software. The circuit dimension designed here is L = 7, which is equivalent to the dimensions used in Fig. 4(c) in the main text. First, we simulate the circuit simulator with  $R_F = 1500\Omega$  (F = 1.5). Impedance spectra of three circuit nodes  $Z_{3,4}^5$ ,  $Z_{3,5}^4$ , and  $Z_{3,5}^5$  are illustrated by blue, black, and red lines in Fig. 16(a). There are two intervals between adjacent peaks, equaling  $\delta f_1 = 0.16$  kHz and  $\delta f_2 = 0.08$  kHz, respectively. This is consistent with the existence of two Wannier-Stark ladders in the mapped lattice model with  $\delta \varepsilon_1 = 1$  and  $\delta \varepsilon_2 = 0.5$ . We also simulate the other circuit with  $R_F = 2000\Omega$ , corresponding to F = 2. Figure 16(b) presents the simulated impedance spectra of  $Z_{3,4}^5$ ,  $Z_{3,5}^4$ , and  $Z_{3,5}^5$ . We can observe the

interval between two adjacent impedance peaks are equally spaced with  $\delta f = 0.16$  kHz. This phenomenon indicates the existence of a single Wannier-Stark ladder in the mapped lattice model, being consistent with theoretical analysis with  $\delta \varepsilon = 1$ . It is important to note that a little deviation of the frequencies of some peaks is due to the finite size effect.

Then we showcase the simulated impedance spectrum of the circuit simulator with L = 20. Figures 16(c) and 16(d) show summed impedances of a total of 18 circuit nodes, from  $Z_{12,5}^4$  to  $Z_{15,7}^5$ , with  $R_F = 1500\Omega$  and  $2000\Omega$ , respectively. It is shown that there is no deviation of frequency peaks resulting from the finite size effect.

#### APPENDIX J: SIMULATED VOLTAGE DYNAMICS OF THE TWO FIBONACCI ANYON CIRCUIT SIMULATOR

In this section, we will show the simulation of voltage dynamics of the two Fibonacci anyon circuit simulator using LTSpice software. The size of designed circuits is set as L = 7, which is equivalent to the dimensions used in Fig. 5(a) in the main text. First, we simulate the voltage evolution in the designed circuit with F = 1.5. The initial voltage is set as  $V_{3.5}^5(t = 0) = 1$  V. Figure 17(a) shows the simulated

- [1] A. Y. Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).
- [2] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. D. Sarma, Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [3] J. K. Pachos, Introduction to Topological Quantum Computation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012).
- [4] S. D. Sarma, M. Freedman, and C. Nayak, Majorana zero modes and topological quantum computation, npj Quantum Inf. 1, 15001 (2015).
- [5] A. Stern and N. H. Lindner, Topological quantum computation—From basic concepts to first experiments, Science 339, 1179 (2013).
- [6] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Signatures of Majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices, Science 336, 1003 (2012).
- [7] R. M. Lutchyn, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, L. P. Kouwenhoven, P. Krogstrup, C. M. Marcus, and Y. Oreg, Majorana zero modes in superconductor-semiconductor heterostructures, Nat. Rev. Mater. 3, 52 (2018).
- [8] K. Flensberg, F. von Oppen, and A. Stern, Engineered platforms for topological superconductivity and Majorana zero modes, Nat. Rev. Mater. 6, 944 (2021).
- [9] D. Aasen, M. Hell, R. V. Mishmash, A. Higginbotham, J. Danon, M. Leijnse, T. S. Jespersen, J. A. Folk, C. M. Marcus, K. Flensberg *et al.*, Milestones toward Majorana-based quantum computing, Phys. Rev. X 6, 031016 (2016).
- [10] J. Alicea, Y. Oreg, G. Refael, F. von Oppen, and M. P. A. Fisher, Non-Abelian statistics and topological quantum information processing in 1D wire networks, Nat. Phys. 7, 412 (2011).
- [11] M. Iqbal, N. Tantivasadakarn, R. Verresen, S. L. Campbell, J. M. Dreiling, C. Figgatt, J. P. Gaebler, J. Johansen, M. Mills, S.

voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5$ . It can be observed that the oscillation period of the voltage signal equals 12.56 ms. Then we turn to the designed circuit with F = 2, and the initial voltage is also given by  $V_{3,5}^5(t = 0) = 1$  V. Figure 17(b) displays the simulated voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5(t)$ . Next, we calculate the time dynamics of the circuit with F = 2, where the initial voltage distribution is given by  $V_{3,5}^5(t = 0) = 1$ V. The result shows the oscillation period of the voltage signal equals 6.28 ms.

To evaluate the influence of the finite size effect, we simulate the voltage dynamics when the size of the circuit simulator is increased. Here, the size of the designed circuits is set as L = 20. First, we simulate the voltage evolution in the designed circuit with F = 1.5. The initial voltage is set as  $V_{6,13}^5(t=0) = 1$  V. Figure 17(c) displays the measured voltage signal of  $V_{6,13}^5$ . We can find the oscillation period of the voltage signal equals 12.56 ms. Next, we turn to the designed circuit with F = 2, and the initial voltage is also given by  $V_{6,13}^5(t=0) = 1$  V. Figure 17(d) shows the simulated voltage signal of  $V_{3,5}^5$ . It can be observed that the oscillation period of the voltage signal equals 6.28 ms. From the above results, we can see that the oscillation periods are nearly unchanged with L = 7 and 20.

A. Moses *et al.*, Non-Abelian topological order and anyons on a trapped-ion processor, Nature (London) **626**, 505 (2024).

- [12] G. Moore and N. Read, Nonabelions in the fractional quantum Hall effect, Nucl. Phys. B. 360, 362 (1991).
- [13] P. Bonderson, A. Kitaev, and K. Shtengel, Detecting nonabelian statistics in the  $v = \frac{5}{2}$  fractional quantum Hall state, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 016803 (2006).
- [14] Y. Kasahara, T. Ohnishi, Y. Mizukami, O. Tanaka, S. Ma, K. Sugii, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, J. Nasu, Y. Motome *et al.*, Majorana quantization and half-integer thermal quantum Hall effect in a Kitaev spin liquid, Nature (London) 559, 227 (2018).
- [15] T. Yokoi, S. Ma, Y. Kasahara, S. Kasahara, T. Shibauchi, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, J. Nasu, Y. Motome, C. Hickey *et al.*, Half-integer quantized anomalous thermal Hall effect in the Kitaev material candidate α-RuCl<sub>3</sub>, Science **373**, 568 (2021).
- [16] J. A. N. Bruin, R. R. Claus, Y. Matsumoto, N. Kurita, H. Tanaka, and H. Takagi, Robustness of the thermal Hall effect close to half quantization in α-RuCl<sub>3</sub>, Nat. Phys. 18, 401 (2022).
- [17] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal, and T. Schäfer, Color superconductivity in dense quark matter, Rev. Mod. Phys 80, 1455 (2008).
- [18] S. Yasui, K. Itakura, and M. Nitta, Dirac returns: Non-Abelian statistics of vortices with Dirac fermions, Nucl. Phys. B. 859, 261 (2012).
- [19] J. Klinovaja and D. Loss, Fractional fermions with non-Abelian statistics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 126402 (2013).
- [20] P. Boross, J. K. Asbóth, G. Széchenyi, L. Oroszlány, and A. Pályi, Poor man's topological quantum gate based on the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model, Phys. Rev. B 100, 045414 (2019).
- [21] Y. Wu, H. Liu, J. Liu, H. Jiang, and X. C. Xie, Double-frequency Aharonov-Bohm effect and non-Abelian braiding properties of Jackiw-Rebbi zero-mode, Natl. Sci. Rev. 7, 572 (2020).

- [22] Y. Wu, J. Liu, and X. Xie, Recent progress on non-Abelian anyons: From Majorana zero modes to topological Dirac fermionic modes, Sci. China Phys. Mech. 66, 267004 (2023).
- [23] S. Trebst, M. Troyer, Z. Wang, and A. W. W. Ludwig, A short introduction to Fibonacci anyon models, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 176, 384 (2008).
- [24] R. S. K. Mong, D. J. Clarke, J. Alicea, N. H. Lindner, P. Fendley, C. Nayak, Y. Oreg, A. Stern, E. Berg, K. Shtengel *et al.*, Universal topological quantum computation from a superconductor-Abelian quantum hall heterostructure, Phys. Rev. X 4, 011036 (2014).
- [25] Y. Hu and C. L. Kane, Fibonacci topological superconductor, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 066801 (2018).
- [26] E. Ardonne, J. Gukelberger, A. W. Ludwig, S. Trebst, and M. Troyer, Microscopic models of interacting Yang-Lee anyons, New J. Phys. 13, 045006 (2011).
- [27] M. H. Freedman, J. Gukelberger, M. B. Hastings, S. Trebst, M. Troyer, and Z. Wang, Galois conjugates of topological phases, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045414 (2012).
- [28] T. Sanno, M. G. Yamada, T. Mizushima, and S. Fujimoto, Engineering Yang-Lee anyons via Majorana bound states, Phys. Rev. B 106, 174517 (2022).
- [29] Google Quantum AI and Collaborators, Non-Abelian braiding of graph vertices in a superconducting processor, Nature (London) 618, 264 (2023).
- [30] S. Xu, Z.-Z. Sun, K. Wang, L. Xiang, Z. Bao, Z. Zhu, F. Shen, Z. Song, P. Zhang, W. Ren *et al.*, Digital simulation of projective non-Abelian anyons with 68 superconducting qubits, Chin. Phys. Lett. **40**, 060301 (2023).
- [31] S. Longhi and G. Della Valle, Anyonic Bloch oscillations, Phys. Rev. B 85, 165144 (2012).
- [32] W. Zhang, H. Yuan, H. Wang, F. Di, N. Sun, X. Zheng, H. Sun, and X. Zhang, Observation of Bloch oscillations dominated by effective anyonic particle statistics, Nat. Commun. 13, 2392 (2022).
- [33] W. Zhang, Q. Long, H. Sun, and X. Zhang, Anyonic bound states in the continuum, Commun. Phys. 6, 139 (2023).
- [34] N. A. Olekhno, A. D. Rozenblit, A. A. Stepanenko, A. A. Dmitriev, D. A. Bobylev, and M. A. Gorlach, Topological transitions driven by quantum statistics and their electrical circuit emulation, Phys. Rev. B 105, 205113 (2022).
- [35] M. H. Freedman, M. J. Larsen, and Z. Wang, The twoeigenvalue problem and density of Jones representation of braid groups, Commun. Math. Phys. 228, 177 (2002).
- [36] E. Witten, Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial, Commun. Math. Phys. 121, 351 (1989).
- [37] C. Gils, E. Ardonne, S. Trebst, A. W. W. Ludwig, M. Troyer, and Z. Wang, Collective states of interacting anyons, edge states, and the nucleation of topological liquids, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 070401 (2009).
- [38] R. N. Pfeifer, O. Buerschaper, S. Trebst, A. W. Ludwig, M. Troyer, and G. Vidal, Translation invariance, topology, and protection of criticality in chains of interacting anyons, Phys. Rev. B 86, 155111 (2012).

- [39] N. E. Bonesteel and K. Yang, Infinite-randomness fixed points for chains of non-Abelian quasiparticles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 140405 (2007).
- [40] D. Poilblanc, M. Troyer, E. Ardonne, and P. Bonderson, Fractionalization of itinerant anyons in one-dimensional chains, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 207201 (2012).
- [41] B. M. Breid, D. Witthaut, and H. J. Korsch, Bloch-Zener oscillations, New J. Phys. 8, 110 (2006).
- [42] F. Bloch, Über die Quantenmechanik der Elektronen in Kristallgittern, Z. Phys. 52, 555 (1928).
- [43] G. Nenciu, Dynamics of band electrons in electric and magnetic fields: Rigorous justification of the effective Hamiltonians, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 91 (1991).
- [44] N. Englebert, N. Goldman, M. Erkintalo, N. Mostaan, S.-P. Gorza, F. Leo, and J. Fatome, Bloch oscillations of coherently driven dissipative solitons in a synthetic dimension, Nat. Phys. 19, 1014 (2023).
- [45] M. Di Liberto, N. Goldman, and G. Palumbo, Non-Abelian Bloch oscillations in higher-order topological insulators, Nat. Commun. 11, 5942 (2020).
- [46] N. A. Olekhno, E. I. Kretov, A. A. Stepanenko, P. A. Ivanova, V. V. Yaroshenko, E. M. Puhtina, D. S. Filonov, B. Cappello, L. Matekovits, and M. A. Gorlach, Topological edge states of interacting photon pairs emulated in a topolectrical circuit, Nat. Commun. 11, 1436 (2020).
- [47] X. Zhou, W. Zhang, H. Sun, and X. Zhang, Observation of flat-band localization and topological edge states induced by effective strong interactions in electrical circuit networks, Phys. Rev. B 107, 035152 (2023).
- [48] G. Corrielli, A. Crespi, G. Della Valle, S. Longhi, and R. Osellame, Fractional Bloch oscillations in photonic lattices, Nat. Commun. 4, 1555 (2013).
- [49] A. Schreiber, A. Gábris, P. P. Rohde, K. Laiho, M. Štefaňák, V. Potoček, C. Hamilton, I. Jex, and C. Silberhorn, A 2D quantum walk simulation of two-particle dynamics, Science 336, 55 (2012).
- [50] N. Levy, S. A. Burke, K. L. Meaker, M. Panlasigui, A. Zettl, F. Guinea, A. H. Castro Neto, and M. F. Crommie, Strain-induced pseudo-magnetic fields greater than 300 tesla in graphene nanobubbles, Science 329, 544 (2010).
- [51] F. Guinea, M. I. Katsnelson, and A. K. Geim, Energy gaps and a zero-field quantum Hall effect in graphene by strain engineering, Nat. Phys. 6, 30 (2010).
- [52] G. G. Naumis, S. Barraza-Lopez, M. Oliva-Leyva, and H. Terrones, Electronic and optical properties of strained graphene and other strained 2D materials: A review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 096501 (2017).
- [53] J. Dalibard, F. Gerbier, G. Juzeliūnas, and P. Öhberg, *Collo-quium*: Artificial gauge potentials for neutral atoms, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1523 (2011).
- [54] A. R. Kolovsky and H. J. Korsch, Bloch oscillations of cold atoms in optical lattices, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 18, 1235 (2004).