

## Dispersion relations for nonlinear systems of arbitrary degree

F. L. Ridener, Jr. and R. H. Good, Jr.

*Physics Department, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802*

(Received 26 September 1974)

A time-independent causal system is considered, in which the effect depends nonlinearly in an  $n$ th-degree way on the cause. It is shown that there are Kramers-Kronig-type dispersion relations for the transform of the response function.

### I. INTRODUCTION

Recently dispersion relations for a one-dimensional third-degree nonlinear system were developed.<sup>1</sup> The purpose of the present paper is to show that the arguments used in the third-degree problem can be extended to the  $n$ th-degree nonlinear problem and to derive the Kramers-Kronig-type dispersion relations for that general system.

This paper rests on the previous one (Ref. 1) and we refer to it for introductory and background material.

### II. DERIVATION

Consider a time-independent  $n$ th-degree nonlinear system where the cause  $C(t)$  and the effect  $E(t)$  are related by

$$E(t) = \int dt_1 \int dt_2 \cdots \int dt_n G(t-t_1, t-t_2, \dots, t-t_n) \times C(t_1)C(t_2) \cdots C(t_n). \quad (1)$$

The integrals extend from minus to plus infinity and all these quantities are real. The response function is symmetric with respect to interchange of any two variables,

$$G(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \dots) = G(\tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_3, \dots), \text{ etc.} \quad (2)$$

Suppose also that the system is causal so that the effect at any time depends only on the cause at earlier times,

$$G(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots) = 0 \text{ if } \tau_1 < 0. \quad (3)$$

The transform of the response function is defined by

$$g(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) = \int_0^\infty d\tau_1 \int_0^\infty d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^\infty d\tau_n \times G(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \times \exp i(\omega_1 \tau_1 + \omega_2 \tau_2 + \cdots + \omega_n \tau_n). \quad (4)$$

The symmetry of  $G$  implies the symmetry of  $g$ ,

$$g(\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3, \dots) = g(\omega_2, \omega_1, \omega_3, \dots), \text{ etc.}, \quad (5)$$

and the reality of  $G$  implies a type of crossing symmetry of  $g$ ,

$$g^*(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n) = g(-\omega_1, -\omega_2, \dots, -\omega_n). \quad (6)$$

Because of these symmetries, the function  $g$  is completely determined if it is specified in the fundamental region

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 > \omega_2 > \cdots > \omega_n, \\ \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \cdots + \omega > 0. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions that describe the fundamental region suggest an appropriate set of frequency variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= \omega_1 + \omega_2 + \cdots + \omega_n, \\ \Omega_1 &= \omega_1 - \omega_2, \\ \Omega_2 &= \omega_2 - \omega_3, \\ &\vdots \\ \Omega_{n-1} &= \omega_{n-1} - \omega_n. \end{aligned}$$

These are positive throughout the fundamental region and form a nonorthogonal set of coordinates for points inside.

A new function may be defined by

$$\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}) = g(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n). \quad (7)$$

The variable  $\Omega_0$  has special significance, as will be seen below; the dispersion relations involve integrations over  $\Omega_0$  and the response of a system at frequency  $\omega_{\text{out}}$  to a set of applied frequencies is governed by  $\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1})$  evaluated at  $\Omega_0 = \omega_{\text{out}}$ .

In terms of the original response function  $G$ ,  $\bar{g}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}) &= \int_0^\infty d\tau_1 \int_0^\infty d\tau_2 \cdots \int_0^\infty d\tau_n G(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) \exp((i/n)\{\Omega_0(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \cdots + \tau_n) \\ &\quad - [\Omega_1 + 2\Omega_2 + \cdots + (n-1)\Omega_{n-1}](\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \cdots + \tau_n) + n[\Omega_1\tau_1 + \Omega_2(\tau_1 + \tau_2) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \Omega_{n-1}(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \cdots + \tau_{n-1})\}]). \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

This result is found by solving for the  $\omega$ 's in terms of the  $\Omega$ 's and substituting into Eq. (4). The interesting feature of this result is that the coefficient of  $i\Omega_0$  in the exponent is  $n^{-1}(\tau_1 + \tau_2 + \dots + \tau_n)$ , which is always positive. Consequently, for fixed  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}$ , Eq. (8) serves to define  $\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1})$  as an analytic function of a complex variable  $\Omega_0$  in the upper half-plane.

The function  $\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1})$  does not have crossing symmetry in the  $\Omega_0$  alone. However, one can find functions that do have the required property. Equations (5) and (6) imply

$$\begin{aligned} g(\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_{n-1}, \omega_n) \\ = g(\omega_n, \omega_{n-1}, \dots, \omega_2, \omega_1) \\ = g^*(-\omega_n, -\omega_{n-1}, \dots, -\omega_2, -\omega_1) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

which, upon translating into terms of  $\bar{g}$  and the  $\Omega$ 's, becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = \bar{g}^*(-\Omega_0, \Omega_{n-1}, \Omega_{n-2}, \dots, \Omega_2, \Omega_1). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Consequently one defines two new functions by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_1(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ + \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_{n-1}, \Omega_{n-2}, \dots, \Omega_2, \Omega_1), \end{aligned} \quad (11a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_2(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = \frac{1}{2}i\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ - \frac{1}{2}i\bar{g}(\Omega_0, \Omega_{n-1}, \Omega_{n-2}, \dots, \Omega_2, \Omega_1), \end{aligned} \quad (11b)$$

since they have the standard crossing symmetry

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}_i^*(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = \bar{g}_i(-\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-2}, \Omega_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The functions  $\bar{g}_i$  have crossing symmetry in  $\Omega_0$  and are also analytic functions of complex  $\Omega_0$  in the upper half-plane, for fixed  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}$ . Consequently, assuming no subtractions, one can write dispersion relations for these functions,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\bar{g}_i(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = \frac{2}{\pi} P \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Omega'_0 \Omega'_0 \text{Im}\bar{g}_i(\Omega'_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1})}{\Omega_0'^2 - \Omega_0^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Im}\bar{g}_i(\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}) \\ = -\frac{2}{\pi} \Omega_0 P \int_0^\infty \frac{d\Omega'_0 \text{Re}\bar{g}_i(\Omega'_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1})}{\Omega_0'^2 - \Omega_0^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (13b)$$

These are the standard Kramers-Kronig type of dispersion relation on the  $\Omega_0$  dependence of the functions  $g_i$ , the frequency variables  $\Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_{n-1}$  being held constant.

### III. DISCUSSION

In cases  $n=2$  and  $n=3$ , Eqs. (13) specialize to the results obtained earlier.<sup>1</sup>

The interpretation of  $g$  in terms of the response of the system to a superposition of applied frequencies was developed before for the cases  $n=2$  and  $n=3$ .<sup>1</sup> For higher  $n$  the same type of interpretation applies. For example, a single input frequency  $\omega_a$  with amplitude  $A_a$  leads to output frequencies  $0, 2\omega_a, 4\omega_a, \dots, n\omega_a$  when  $n$  is even and to frequencies  $\omega_a, 3\omega_a, 5\omega_a, \dots, n\omega_a$  when  $n$  is odd, each with amplitude proportional to  $A_a^n$ . The amplitude and phase of the responses determine the transform function. The complete result, in this special case, is that the cause

$$C(t) = A_a \cos(\omega_a t - \eta_a)$$

implies the effect

$$\begin{aligned} E(t) = \frac{n!}{2^n (n/2)! (n/2)!} A_a^n g(\omega_a, \omega_a, \dots, -\omega_a, -\omega_a) + \frac{A_a^n}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{n/2-1} \frac{n!}{(n-\kappa)! \kappa!} |g(\omega_a, \omega_a, \dots, -\omega_a, -\omega_a)| \\ \times \cos[(n-2\kappa)\omega_a t - (n-2\kappa)\eta_a - \theta(\omega_a, \omega_a, \dots, -\omega_a, -\omega_a)] \end{aligned}$$

for  $n$  even, and the effect

$$E(t) = \frac{A_a^n}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{(n-1)/2} \frac{n!}{(n-\kappa)! \kappa!} |g(\omega_a, \omega_a, \dots, -\omega_a, -\omega_a)| \cos[(n-2\kappa)\omega_a t - (n-2\kappa)\eta_a - \theta(\omega_a, \omega_a, \dots, -\omega_a, -\omega_a)]$$

for  $n$  odd. Here the notation is that  $g = |g| e^{i\theta}$ . In the time-independent term half the  $n$  arguments of  $g$  are  $\omega_a$  and the other half are  $-\omega_a$ ; in this case  $g$  itself is real. In the terms in the sums on  $\kappa$ , the  $n$  arguments of  $|g|$  and  $\theta$  are always  $+\omega_a$  or

$-\omega_a$ , the  $-\omega_a$  occurring  $\kappa$  times. When two frequencies  $\omega_a$  and  $\omega_b$  are applied with amplitudes  $A_a$  and  $A_b$ , all possible sums and differences of  $n$  frequencies chosen from  $\omega_a$  and  $\omega_b$  are found in the output and the amplitude is proportional to  $A_a^\kappa A_b^{n-\kappa}$ ,

$k$  being the number of  $\omega_a$ 's contributing. The situation is progressively more involved as more frequencies are used in the input, but no qualitatively new features appear. A general feature, illustrated in the above equation, is that the response at an output frequency  $\omega_{\text{out}}$  always involves  $g$  or  $\bar{g}$  eval-

uated at  $\Omega_0 = \omega_{\text{out}}$ .

In this general  $n$ th-degree problem, the values of  $\text{Re}g$  and  $\text{Im}g$  can be determined separately by making time averages of the appropriate responses with the  $n$ th power of the cause, as illustrated for the  $n = 2$  and  $n = 3$  cases formerly.

---

<sup>1</sup>F. L. Ridener, Jr. and R. H. Good, Jr., Phys. Rev. B 10, 4980 (1974).