Resonant 4f photoelectron diffraction: Insight into Yb compounds

D. Yu. Usachov^(D),^{1,2,3,*} G. Poelchen^(D),⁴ I. I. Tupitsyn,¹ K. A. Bokai^(D),^{1,2} D. Glazkova,¹ A. V. Tarasov,^{1,2} M. Mende,⁴

A. V. Fedorov,⁵ V. S. Stolyarov,^{2,3} C. Krellner¹,⁶ and D. V. Vyalikh¹,^{7,8,†}

¹St. Petersburg State University, 7/9 Universitetskaya Naberezhnaya, St. Petersburg 199034, Russia

²Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Institute Lane 9, Dolgoprudny 141701, Russia ³National University of Science and Technology MISIS, Moscow 119049, Russia

⁴Institut für Festkörper- und Materialphysik, Technische Universität Dresden, D-01062 Dresden, Germany

⁵*Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, D-12489 Berlin, Germany*

⁶Kristall- und Materiallabor, Physikalisches Institut, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Max-von-Laue Strasse 1, D-60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

⁷Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), E-20018 Donostia/San Sebastián, Basque Country, Spain ⁸IKERBASQUE, Basque Foundation for Science, E-48013 Bilbao, Spain

(Received 14 September 2023; revised 23 January 2024; accepted 4 June 2024; published 28 June 2024)

Resonant photoelectron diffraction (RPED) and its use for studies of lanthanides are presented. To demonstrate the potential of this technique, we show how the ground-state properties of the heavy-fermion compound YbRh₂Si₂ and its trivalent counterpart YbCo₂Si₂ can be determined from RPED measurements and modeling. By providing a modeling recipe and exploring RPED capabilities and limitations, we anticipate wide applications of this method for insights into the properties of lanthanide-based systems.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L241118

Photoelectron diffraction (PED) is an efficient method for the comprehensive structural analysis of crystalline surfaces, impurities and defects, thin films, low-dimensional materials, as well as systems consisting of repeated, but not necessarily periodic, structural blocks [1–8]. PED is based on the photoemission (PE) of electrons from selected atomic sites, called emitters. On their way to the surface, photoelectrons undergo multiple scattering on the atoms which surround the emitter. As a result, the angular distribution of the measured photocurrent exhibits a diffraction pattern, which contains information about the properties of emitters and the local structure around them. PED also allows to explore magnetic ordering [9–11], estimate the intermixing of elements between different atomic sites [12], and determine the noninteger valency of 4f elements in individual atomic layers [11].

To resolve the fine structure of PED patterns, it is essential to have an intense PE signal. For certain cases, such as the investigation of deep atomic layers and dilute species, the possibility of enhancing the measured PE signal becomes of primary importance. The desired enhancement can be reached by using resonant PED (RPED) [13], where photon energy is tuned to the absorption edge of a chosen core shell [6,13,14]. Interference of the direct PE channel and the autoionization (Auger) channel may significantly amplify the PE signal. However, in the modeling of RPED patterns the latter indirect PE channel is commonly ignored [6]. This approach may lead to errors which are largely unexplored. Examples of theoretical modeling of RPED remain very few in number [10,15]. Here, we show the advances of RPED for lanthanide research. Starting with a theoretical overview of RPED, we further show how this technique allows to probe the groundstate properties of the heavy-fermion compound YbRh₂Si₂ influenced by a crystal electric field (CEF). We discuss the possible limitations of RPED and ways to overcome them. Namely, on the next example of YbCo₂Si₂ [16] we consider the case when the CEF splitting of 4*f* states is so small that several states contribute to the PE signal. Finally, we present a route for RPED modeling and discuss the consequences of ignoring the indirect PE channel.

The samples were grown according to Refs. [17,18]. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) and RPED measurements were performed at BESSY II (One-Cubed ARPES instrument) and at the Swiss Light Source (PEARL instrument). The samples were cleaved in ultrahigh vacuum before measurements. The experimental geometry is described in the Supplemental Material [19].

To describe RPED, we use the muffin-tin model and express the PE amplitude as the sum of scattered partial waves (see Supplemental Material [19] for details)

$$\psi(\vec{k}) = 2\pi k \sqrt{\omega/c} \sum_{lm} e^{i\delta_l} \langle \chi_{klm} | \vec{\varepsilon} \cdot \vec{r} | g_0 \rangle \tilde{\psi}_{lm}(\vec{k}), \quad (1)$$

where ω is the photon energy (atomic units are used), g_0 is the atomic orbital from which the photoelectron is excited, $\vec{\varepsilon}$ is the photon polarization, δ_l is the continuum state phase shift, and χ_{klm} is the final state described by the function $\chi_{klm} = i^l r^{-1} R_{kl}(r) Y_l^m(\vec{r})$ with the radial wave function R_{kl} normalized to the delta function of energy. The photoionization cross section is $\sigma(\vec{k}) = |\psi(\vec{k})|^2$.

^{*}Contact author: dmitry.usachov@spbu.ru

[†]Contact author: denis.vyalikh@dipc.org



FIG. 1. Resonant PE from YbRh₂Si₂ at the Yb 4*d* threshold. (a) Yb 4*f* PE cross sections derived experimentally and theoretically. (b) Schematic presentation of the tetragonal crystal structure, cleavage plane, and possible Si and Yb surface terminations. (c) A set of PE spectra taken across the threshold from the Si-terminated surface at 2 K. (d) Resonant PE spectrum taken from the Yb-terminated surface at 2 K. (e) Computed evolution of the PE intensity of the Yb 4*f*¹² final state multiplet across the Yb 4*d* threshold for the Si-terminated crystal.

The next step is to replace the one-electron PE matrix element in Eq. (1) by the many-electron one, taking into account the resonance. Such a matrix element for the resonant transition from the ground state $|g\rangle$ to the final state $|k\beta\rangle = |\beta, klmm_s\rangle$, with β being the final state of the ion, is given by [20]

$$\langle k\beta | T | g \rangle \approx \langle k\beta | V_r | g \rangle + \sum_{\alpha \alpha'} \langle k\beta | V_a | \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha | G_a | \alpha' \rangle \langle \alpha' | V_r | g \rangle,$$
⁽²⁾

where G_a is the Green's function of the Hamiltonian with a configuration interaction V_a , α denotes intermediate states, and V_r describes the interaction with photons.

Exemplarily, we will examine RPED at the $4d \rightarrow 4f$ absorption edge of lanthanides, focusing on two Yb-based compounds. Notably, Yb exhibits two distinct 4f configurations, namely $4f^{14}$ (Yb²⁺) and $4f^{13}$ (Yb³⁺), but only the Yb³⁺ configuration yields a resonance due to the complete occupation of the 4f shell in Yb²⁺. Additionally, in heavy lanthanides the PE process is effectively characterized by the $4d \rightarrow 4f$ excitation and the super-Coster-Kronig decay channel $\langle 4d^94f^{n+1}|V_a|4d^{10}4f^{n-1}, kl \rangle$, which is the only decay channel we consider here. For light lanthanides, however, more decay channels must be taken into account [20].

In our calculations for Yb³⁺ ions, we limit the basis for the ground state to the $|M_J\rangle$ states of the lowest-energy term ${}^2F_{7/2}$,

$$|g^{\nu}\rangle = \sum_{M_J} A^{\nu}_{M_J} |4f^{13} LSJM_J\rangle$$
 (3)

To describe the intermediate states α , we use the full basis of the $|4f^{14}4d^9L_tS_tJ_tM_{Jt}\rangle$ states and diagonalize the Hamiltonian with the Coulomb and spin-orbit (SO) terms. The final states $k\beta$ were constructed from the $|4f^{12}L_fS_fJ_fM_{Jf}, klmm_s\rangle$ basis states with *l* taking all possible values (0, 2, 4, 6, 8); the Hamiltonian parameters are given in Ref. [21]. All necessary radial matrix elements and phase shifts are calculated with our Hartree-Fock code [22]. The scattering amplitudes $\tilde{\psi}_{lm}(\vec{k})$ are calculated with the modified version of the EDAC code [23], where we replaced the charge density of Yb with the one calculated with our code [22]. Finally, the matrix elements $\langle k\beta | V_a | \alpha \rangle$ are scaled by the factor of 0.75 to fit the experimentally measured width of the resonance.

First, it is worth establishing how well the resonant PE is described by our modeling. We show this in Fig. 1 with YbRh₂Si₂ as an example. Note that YbRh₂Si₂ and YbCo₂Si₂ expose two possible surface terminations upon cleaving, namely the Yb and Si terminations as shown in Fig. 1(b) [24,25]. Figure 1(c) shows a set of PE spectra taken across the Yb 4*d* threshold from the Si-terminated surface. Due to the noninteger valency of Yb in YbRh₂Si₂, the PE spectra reveal a coexistence of the Yb²⁺ and Yb³⁺ multiplets [26]. Note that between 0 and 2 eV of binding energy (BE), two Yb²⁺ PE doublets can be seen. They reflect the signals from the outermost Yb surface and bulklike Yb marked in Fig. 1(c). The spectral structure of a Yb³⁺ bulklike multiplet between 5 and 13 eV BE was discussed in Ref. [27].

When we change the photon energy across the Yb 4*d* threshold, the PE intensity of the Yb³⁺ multiplet is resonantly enhanced as seen from Fig. 1(c). Its evolution along with the calculated PE cross sections is shown in Fig. 1(a). The computed PE spectra of the Yb³⁺ multiplet are given in Fig. 1(e). A good agreement between the calculated and measured spectra as well as the PE cross sections is achieved when the resonance energy $E_{\alpha} - E_g$ is set to 181.05 eV in Eq. (2).

Shifting now our focus to the RPED measurements [Fig. 2(b)], we will show how this technique may be effectively employed to analyze the ground state of YbRh₂Si₂. The CEF with the tetragonal point symmetry splits the $J = \frac{7}{2}$ state of Yb³⁺ into four doubly degenerate states [25]. They belong



FIG. 2. (a) Different CEF schemes of YbRh₂Si₂ proposed in Refs. [28,29]. Difference in the charge densities of the closed 4*f* shell and the CEF states is illustrated (color denotes spin). (b) Schematic of the RPED experiment. (c) Average *R* factor of the six analyzed peaks shown in (d) for the four different ground-state configurations. Dotted lines with open symbols show the *R* factor when the resonant process is not taken into account. (d) Experimental and theoretical Yb³⁺ RPED patterns (with $\hbar\omega = 181$ eV at 35 K) for the peaks marked in Fig. 1(d). The PE intensity is presented in an orthographic projection with a subtracted background. The dark color corresponds to low PE intensity.

to the two irreducible representations Γ_{t6} and Γ_{t7} , leading to nonzero coefficients $A_{M_J}^{\nu}$ in Eq. (3) only for M_J that differ by 4. These states can be parametrized [18] as

$$\Gamma_{6,1} = \cos \alpha_6 |\pm 1/2\rangle + \sin \alpha_6 |\mp 7/2\rangle,$$

$$\Gamma_{6,2} = \sin \alpha_6 |\pm 1/2\rangle - \cos \alpha_6 |\mp 7/2\rangle,$$

$$\Gamma_{7,1} = \cos \alpha_7 |\pm 3/2\rangle - \sin \alpha_7 |\mp 5/2\rangle,$$

$$\Gamma_{7,2} = \sin \alpha_7 |\pm 3/2\rangle + \cos \alpha_7 |\mp 5/2\rangle,$$

(4)

where we restrict the parameters α_6 and α_7 to the range from 0° to 90°. The CEF splittings, known from neutron scattering measurements, and the proposed CEF schemes [28,29] are summarized in Fig. 2(a).

In Fig. 2(d), we show the RPED patterns from Ybterminated crystal for the peaks 1–6 marked in Fig. 1(d). Since the PED matrix element is mostly determined by the crystal structure, all patterns reveal similar sets of features. However, there are notable differences in the intensities of these features (see Fig. S1 [19]), which contain information about the ground state of Yb.

In our model, we considered the Yb³⁺ PE signal from three Yb layers (the fifth, ninth, and 13th atomic layers) below the divalent Yb surface [Fig. 2(b) and Ref. [19]]. The studies of structurally similar $LnRh_2Si_2$ systems (Ln = Tb, Dy, and Ho) [30,31] revealed a strong relaxation of the trivalent Ln termination which should be considered in modeling. However, for the divalent Yb surface of YbRh₂Si₂ PED patterns modeled without relaxation agree well with experiment, indicating no significant relaxation. This is supported by our density functional theory (DFT) calculations, where the derived displacements of atoms near the surface are negligible (<0.07 Å relative to their positions in the bulk; see Fig. S2 and related discussion in Supplemental Material [19]).

Since for $YbRh_2Si_2$ the 4f ground-state doublet is separated by 17 meV from the first excited doublet, we can neglect the occupation of excited states at the temperature of RPED experiment (\sim 35 K). To establish the ground state of YbRh₂Si₂, we examined all four possible doublets given by Eq. (4) with all possible values of α_6 or α_7 . Each modeled RPED pattern was compared with the experimental one by means of a reliability factor R defined in Ref. [32]. In Fig. 2(c), we present the dependencies of the R factor which was averaged over six RPED patterns for all four possible ground states. As seen, the optimal ground state, corresponding to the global minimum of *R*, is $\Gamma_{7,2}$ with $\alpha_7 = 56^\circ$. It agrees perfectly with the ground state corresponding to the CEF parameters $P_R 1$, proposed in Ref. [28] along with the $P_R 2$ set. They both were derived from the analysis of inelastic neutron scattering and electron paramagnetic resonance measurements. However, no decision was made as which of the two sets is the decisive one. As we can see now, the RPED data allow us to make the final statement.

It is worth noting that the RPED patterns in Fig. 2(d) reveal *R* factors smaller than 0.2, implying a good agreement between experiment and theory. The only exception is peak 6, which shows a large *R* value due to its low intensity and, consequently, noisy pattern. Nevertheless, its *R* factor reaches a minimum close to the P_R 1 parameters.

It is instructive to analyze the consequences of disregarding the indirect term in the PE matrix element. For this case, the calculated dependencies of the *R* factor for the Γ_7 ground states are shown by dashed lines in Fig. 2(c). One can see that the *R*-factor curve for $\Gamma_{7,2}$ is shifted by more than 40% to



FIG. 3. (a) Experimental and theoretical RPED patterns from the Yb³⁺ 4*f* multiplet of YbCo₂Si₂ for the peaks marked in (c). Measurements were conducted at ~35 K and calculations were performed with CEF parameters P_C 3. (b) *R*-factor dependence on the parameters of 4*f* states for different CEF schemes. (c) PE spectrum taken from the Yb termination at hv = 181 eV. (d) Measured inverse magnetic susceptibility compared with the one calculated for the CEF parameters P_C 3 at the magnetic field B = 2 T.

larger values of R and its minimum now is less obvious and moved away from the $P_R 1$ point. In addition, the difference in R between the sets $P_R 1$ and $P_R 2$ decreases as compared to the resonant curves. Overall, we conclude that ignoring the resonant term may provide a comparable but substantially less reliable result. We should add here that for certain cases (e.g., for Eu [11]), the resonance may not significantly affect the PED patterns.

We can better understand the capabilities and limitations of RPED by looking also at the trivalent compound YbCo₂Si₂, for which the results are summarized in Fig. 3. The PE spectrum taken from the cleaved sample reveals a high intensity of the Yb^{2+} doublet [Fig. 3(c)] implying that the sample surface is mostly Yb terminated. The PED analysis indicates the possible presence of about 10% of a Si-terminated surface that does not affect the results. Compared to the spectrum for YbRh₂Si₂, this one lacks peaks 7 and 8. This is a spectroscopic signature of trivalent behavior of Yb in the bulk of $YbCo_2Si_2$ [16]. For measurements, we considered the highest-intensity peaks marked as 1 and 2. The obtained RPED patterns are shown in Fig. 3(a). As for YbRh₂Si₂, we first considered only the ground-state doublet. RPED analysis indicates that the optimal ground state is $\Gamma_{7,2}$. The dependence of $R(\alpha_7)$ looks similar to the corresponding curve in Fig. 2(c), but with smaller variations of R ranging from 0.34 to the global minimum of 0.25 reached at $\alpha_7 = 68^\circ$ (see Fig. S3 [19]). Thus, the obtained results for $YbCo_2Si_2$ are very similar to those of YbRh₂Si₂.

However, as seen from Table I, the ground-state doublet of $YbCo_2Si_2$ is separated from the first excited doublet by only 4 meV [33]. Therefore, the second doublet contributes significantly to the PE signal at ~35 K, that is the temperature of experiment. It is therefore necessary to consider PE from both the ground state and the first excited state, and model the wave functions for all permutations allowed by Eq. (4). Following this procedure, we derived the two-dimensional (2D) *R*-factor maps which are given in Fig. 3(b). The obtained global minimum of *R* is highlighted with a yellow square. Although it indicates that $\Gamma_{7,2}$ is the ground state, it is seen that there are several local minima (marked with yellow circles), for which the *R* factors differ not too much from that of the global minimum. This implies that the wave functions of the ground and first excited states cannot be uniquely identified from RPED measurements at 35 K.

This problem can be solved by performing measurements at lower temperature. If this is not accessible, with the help of data from the other techniques, it is feasible to choose appropriate wave functions not only for the two doublets, but for the entire CEF-split multiplet. In order to do this, we first computed anisotropic g factors and magnetic susceptibility and compared them with experiment [18]. Then, we searched for the CEF parameters which provide the smallest R and show good agreement for g factors and susceptibility. This approach gave us the unique solution marked by the yellow cross in Fig. 3(b) and denoted as P_C3 in Table I. The respective anisotropic susceptibility, shown in Fig. 3(d), and g factors given in Table I, agree nicely with experiment [18,34]. As we see, the obtained optimal solution is not far from the global minimum of R, but the parameter α_6 deviates notably. This can be explained by the fact that CEF near the surface

TABLE I. Characteristics of YbCo₂Si₂ 4*f* states. Possible CEF parameters B_q^k are given in meV for spherical tensor operators. Experimental *g* factors are $g_c \approx 1.4$ and $g_{ab} \approx 2.8$ [18]. The parameter set $P_C 3$ is derived in this work.

Energy levels	Ref.	B_{0}^{2}	B_0^4	B_4^4	B_{0}^{6}	B_4^6	Scheme	α_6	α_7	8 _{ab}	g_c	Label
YbCo ₂ Si ₂ [33]	[33]	48.1	-19.3	-25.2	35.4	7.51	$\Gamma_{6,2} - \Gamma_{7,1} - \Gamma_{7,2} - \Gamma_{6,1}$	82.3°	42°	4.49	0.98	$P_C 1$
	[18]	47.3	-28.5	-27.7	-12.5	2.16	$\Gamma_{7,1} - \Gamma_{6,2} - \Gamma_{7,2} - \Gamma_{6,1}$	81.7°	27°	3.20	1.54	$P_C 2$
0,4,12.5,30.5 meV	This work	43.4	-29.5	2.38	-15.7	62.7	$\Gamma_{7,2} - \Gamma_{6,2} - \Gamma_{7,1} - \Gamma_{6,1}$	75°	62°	3.28	1.41	P_C3

may slightly differ from CEF in the bulk. Such possibility is evidenced by our studies of $LnRh_2Si_2$ compounds, where Ln = Tb, Dy, and Ho [30,31]. When the energy separation between the ground and excited 4*f* states is small, as in the case of YbCo₂Si₂, the influence of surface effects can be essential.

In summary, the presented RPED approach and its application to the heavy-fermion compound YbRh₂Si₂ illustrates a potential of exploiting the resonant PE process in PED measurements for studies of lanthanides. Especially, a conclusive determination of the ground-state properties of YbRh₂Si₂ as well as a discussion of RPED's limitations considered for the case of trivalent YbCo₂Si₂ demonstrates that RPED is a powerful spectroscopic tool for studies of heavy-fermion phenomena. It can be extended quite naturally to other strongly correlated materials and applied at other resonant excitations such as $3d \rightarrow 4f$ [35].

- C. S. Fadley, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy: Progress and perspectives, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. **178-179**, 2 (2010).
- [2] C. Westphal, The study of the local atomic structure by means of x-ray photoelectron diffraction, Surf. Sci. Rep. 50, 1 (2003).
- [3] D. P. Woodruff, Surface structural information from photoelectron diffraction, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 178-179, 186 (2010).
- [4] F. Matsui, T. Matsushita, and H. Daimon, Holographic reconstruction of photoelectron diffraction and its circular dichroism for local structure probing, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 061004 (2018).
- [5] M. V. Kuznetsov, I. I. Ogorodnikov, D. Y. Usachov, C. Laubschat, D. V. Vyalikh, F. Matsui, and L. V. Yashina, Photoelectron diffraction and holography studies of 2D materials and interfaces, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 87, 061005 (2018).
- [6] A. Verdini, P. Krueger, and L. Floreano, in *Surface Science Techniques*, edited by G. Bracco and B. Holst, Springer Series in Surface Sciences Vol. 51 (Springer, Berlin, 2013), Chap. VIII, pp. 217–248.
- [7] K. Tsutsui, T. Matsushita, K. Natori, T. Muro, Y. Morikawa, T. Hoshii, K. Kakushima, H. Wakabayashi, K. Hayashi, F. Matsui, and T. Kinoshita, Individual atomic imaging of multiple dopant sites in As-doped Si using spectro-photoelectron holography, Nano Lett. 17, 7533 (2017).
- [8] D. Y. Usachov, A. V. Tarasov, F. Matsui, M. Muntwiler, K. A. Bokai, V. O. Shevelev, O. Y. Vilkov, M. V. Kuznetsov, L. V. Yashina, C. Laubschat, A. Cossaro, L. Floreano, A. Verdini, and D. V. Vyalikh, Decoding the structure of interfaces and impurities in 2D materials by photoelectron holography, 2D Mater. 6, 045046 (2019).
- [9] E. D. Tober, F. J. Palomares, R. X. Ynzunza, R. Denecke, J. Morais, Z. Wang, G. Bino, J. Liesegang, Z. Hussain, and C. S. Fadley, Observation of a ferromagnetic-to-paramagnetic phase transition on a ferromagnetic surface using spin-polarized photoelectron diffraction: Gd(0001), Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2360 (1998).
- [10] R. Sagehashi, G. Park, and P. Krüger, Theory of circular dichroism in angle-resolved resonant photoemission from magnetic surfaces, Phys. Rev. B 107, 075407 (2023).

We acknowledge the German Research Foundation (DFG) for the support of our research through the Grants No. KR3831/5-1, No. LA655/20-1, SFB1143 (Project No. 247310070), and TRR288 (No. 422213477, Project No. A03). We thank the Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie for the allocation of ARPES experiments at the "One-Cube" instrument of the BESSY-II synchrotron radiation facility. We acknowledge the support from the St. Petersburg State University through Grant No. 95442847. The work of D.Yu.U. was partially supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (No. FSMG-2023-0014) (calculations of RPED matrix elements). The work of V.S.S. was supported by RSF 23-72-30004 (R-factor analysis of the data). We also thank the Paul Scherrer Institut (Villigen, Switzerland) for the allocation of ARPES experiments at the X03DA beamline of the Swiss Light Source and Matthias Muntwiler for technical assistance.

- [11] D. Y. Usachov, A. V. Tarasov, S. Schulz, K. A. Bokai, I. I. Tupitsyn, G. Poelchen, S. Seiro, N. Caroca-Canales, K. Kliemt, M. Mende, K. Kummer, C. Krellner, M. Muntwiler, H. Li, C. Laubschat, C. Geibel, E. V. Chulkov, S. I. Fujimori, and D. V. Vyalikh, Photoelectron diffraction for probing valency and magnetism of 4*f*-based materials: A view on valence-fluctuating EuIr₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B 102, 205102 (2020).
- [12] N. V. Vladimirova, A. S. Frolov, J. Sánchez-Barriga, O. J. Clark, F. Matsui, D. Y. Usachov, M. Muntwiler, C. Callaert, J. Hadermann, V. S. Neudachina, M. E. Tamm, and L. V. Yashina, Occupancy of lattice positions probed by x-ray photoelectron diffraction: A case study of tetradymite topological insulators, Surf. Interfaces 36, 102516 (2023).
- [13] P. Krüger, S. Bourgeois, B. Domenichini, H. Magnan, D. Chandesris, P. Le Fèvre, A. M. Flank, J. Jupille, L. Floreano, A. Cossaro, A. Verdini, and A. Morgante, Defect states at the TiO₂(110) surface probed by resonant photoelectron diffraction, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 055501 (2008).
- [14] M. Treier, P. Ruffieux, R. Fasel, F. Nolting, S. Yang, L. Dunsch, and T. Greber, Looking inside an endohedral fullerene: Interand intramolecular ordering of Dy₃N@C₈₀(*I_h*) on Cu(111), Phys. Rev. B 80, 081403(R) (2009).
- [15] F. J. García de Abajo, C. S. Fadley, and M. A. Van Hove, Multiatom resonant photoemission: Theory and systematics, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4126 (1999).
- [16] M. Güttler, K. Kummer, S. Patil, M. Höppner, A. Hannaske, S. Danzenbächer, M. Shi, M. Radovic, E. Rienks, C. Laubschat, C. Geibel, and D. V. Vyalikh, Tracing the localization of 4*f* electrons: Angle-resolved photoemission on YbCo₂Si₂, the stable trivalent counterpart of the heavy-fermion YbRh₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 195138 (2014).
- [17] C. Krellner, S. Taube, T. Westerkamp, Z. Hossain, and C. Geibel, Single-crystal growth of YbRh₂Si₂ and YbIr₂Si₂, Philos. Mag. **92**, 2508 (2012).
- [18] C. Klingner, C. Krellner, M. Brando, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Magnetic behaviour of the intermetallic compound YbCo₂Si₂, New J. Phys. **13**, 083024 (2011).

- [19] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.L241118 for the theory of RPED and additional information, which includes Ref. [36].
- [20] H. Ogasawara and A. Kotani, Calculation of rare-earth 4d giantabsorption spectra with multiplet effects and decay processes, J. Synchrotron Radiat. 8, 220 (2001).
- [21] D. Y. Usachov, D. Glazkova, A. V. Tarasov, S. Schulz, G. Poelchen, K. A. Bokai, O. Y. Vilkov, P. Dudin, K. Kummer, K. Kliemt, C. Krellner, and D. V. Vyalikh, Estimating the orientation of 4*f* magnetic moments by classical photoemission, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. **13**, 7861 (2022).
- [22] V. F. Bratzev, G. B. Deyneka, and I. I. Tupitsyn, Application of the Hartree-Fock method to calculation of relativistic atomic wave functions, Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR. Phys. Ser. 41, 173 (1977).
- [23] F. J. García de Abajo, M. A. Van Hove, and C. S. Fadley, Multiple scattering of electrons in solids and molecules: A cluster-model approach, Phys. Rev. B 63, 075404 (2001).
- [24] S. Danzenbächer, D. V. Vyalikh, K. Kummer, C. Krellner, M. Holder, M. Höppner, Y. Kucherenko, C. Geibel, M. Shi, L. Patthey, S. L. Molodtsov, and C. Laubschat, Insight into the *f*-derived Fermi surface of the heavy-fermion compound YbRh₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 267601 (2011).
- [25] D. V. Vyalikh, S. Danzenbächer, Y. Kucherenko, K. Kummer, C. Krellner, C. Geibel, M. G. Holder, T. K. Kim, C. Laubschat, M. Shi, L. Patthey, R. Follath, and S. L. Molodtsov, *k* dependence of the crystal-field splittings of 4*f* states in rare-earth systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 237601 (2010).
- [26] S. Danzenbächer, Y. Kucherenko, D. V. Vyalikh, M. Holder, C. Laubschat, A. N. Yaresko, C. Krellner, Z. Hossain, C. Geibel, X. J. Zhou, W. L. Yang, N. Mannella, Z. Hussain, Z.-X. Shen, M. Shi, L. Patthey, and S. L. Molodtsov, Momentum dependence of 4*f* hybridization in heavy-fermion compounds: Angle-resolved photoemission study of YbIr₂Si₂ and YbRh₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 045109 (2007).
- [27] K. Kummer, Y. Kucherenko, S. Danzenbächer, C. Krellner, C. Geibel, M. G. Holder, L. V. Bekenov, T. Muro, Y. Kato, T. Kinoshita, S. Huotari, L. Simonelli, S. L. Molodtsov, C. Laubschat, and D. V. Vyalikh, Intermediate valence in Yb

compounds probed by 4f photoemission and resonant inelastic x-ray scattering, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 245114 (2011).

- [28] A. S. Kutuzov and A. M. Skvortsova, Crystal electric field parameters for Yb³⁺ ion in YbRh₂Si₂, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 324, 012039 (2011).
- [29] A. Leushin and V. Ivanshin, Crystalline electric fields and the ground state of YbRh₂Si₂ and YbIr₂Si₂, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 403, 1265 (2008).
- [30] A. V. Tarasov, D. Glazkova, S. Schulz, G. Poelchen, K. Kliemt, A. Kraiker, M. Muntwiler, C. Laubschat, A. Generalov, C. Polley, C. Krellner, D. V. Vyalikh, and D. Y. Usachov, Crystal electric field and properties of 4*f* magnetic moments at the surface of the rare-earth compound TbRh₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B 106, 155136 (2022).
- [31] D. Y. Usachov, A. V. Tarasov, D. Glazkova, M. Mende, S. Schulz, G. Poelchen, A. V. Fedorov, O. Y. Vilkov, K. A. Bokai, V. S. Stolyarov, K. Kliemt, C. Krellner, and D. V. Vyalikh, Insight into the temperature-dependent canting of 4*f* magnetic moments from 4*f* photoemission, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 14, 5537 (2023).
- [32] D. Y. Usachov, A. V. Tarasov, K. A. Bokai, V. O. Shevelev, O. Y. Vilkov, A. E. Petukhov, A. G. Rybkin, I. I. Ogorodnikov, M. V. Kuznetsov, M. Muntwiler, F. Matsui, L. V. Yashina, C. Laubschat, and D. V. Vyalikh, Site- and spin-dependent coupling at the highly ordered *h*-BN/Co(0001) interface, Phys. Rev. B 98, 195438 (2018).
- [33] E. A. Goremychkin and R. Osborn, Crystal field excitations in YbT_2Si_2 (T = Fe, Co, Ni), J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 6818 (2000).
- [34] T. Gruner, J. Sichelschmidt, C. Klingner, C. Krellner, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Electron spin resonance of the Yb 4*f* moment in Yb($Rh_{1-x}Co_x$)₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035119 (2012).
- [35] G. van der Laan, E. Arenholz, Z. Hu, A. Bauer, E. Weschke, C. Schüssler-Langeheine, E. Navas, A. Mühlig, G. Kaindl, J. B. Goedkoop, and N. B. Brookes, Magnetic circular dichroism in Tb 3d→4f resonant photoemission, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8835 (1999).
- [36] M. P. Seah and W. A. Dench, Quantitative electron spectroscopy of surfaces: A standard data base for electron inelastic mean free paths in solids, Surf. Interf. Anal. 1, 2 (1979).