Exciton-exciton interaction: A quantitative comparison between complimentary phenomenological models

Pradeep Kumar¹,¹ Bhaskar De¹,¹ Rishabh Tripathi¹,¹ and Rohan Singh^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal 462066, India ²JILA, University of Colorado & National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0440, USA ³Department of Physics, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309-0390, USA

(Received 27 October 2023; revised 20 February 2024; accepted 19 March 2024; published 17 April 2024)

Many-body interactions such as exciton-exciton interactions significantly affect the optical response of semiconductor nanostructures. These interactions can be rigorously modeled through microscopic calculations. However, these calculations can be computationally intensive and often lack physical insights. An alternative is to use phenomenological many-body-interaction models such as the modified optical Bloch equations and the anharmonic oscillator model. While both these models have separately been used to interpret experimental data, to the best of our knowledge, an explicit and direct correspondence between these models have not been established. Here, we show the empirical equivalence between these two complimentary models through two-dimensional coherent spectroscopy simulations. A quantitative correspondence between the parameters used to incorporate the exciton-exciton interactions in these two models are obtained. We also perform a quantitative comparison of these phenomenological models with experiments, which highlights their usefulness in interpreting experimental results.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.155423

I. INTRODUCTION

The bound state of an electron-hole pair, known as exciton, dominates the near-band-gap optical response of semiconductor nanostructures. The Coulomb interactions that bind the electron-hole pair also influence other excitons, resulting in strong many-body interactions (MBIs). These MBIs in quantum wells (QWs) have previously been demonstrated by excitation-density-dependent optical measurements [1,2]. More recently, similar phenomena have been observed in other two-dimensional (2D) nanomaterials, including perovskite nanoplatelets [3,4], transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) [5–8], and Rydberg excitons in solids [9,10]. MBIs play a key role in explaining the origin of electronic coherent coupling in quantum-dot states [11,12], quantum wells [13–16], and TMDCs [17,18]. The MBIs also influence interlayer coherence of indirect excitons in coupled QWs and TMDCs heterostructures [19-22], which is important to achieve superfluidity and Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) of excitons [23-31]. While these novel materials are of interest for quantum information processing, manipulating and controlling these MBIs will be critical for scalable applications. Furthermore, a recently reported result shows these interactions also significantly affect the enhancement of photocatalytic efficiency [32]. Thus, quantifying these MBIs can help to optimize the performance of optoelectronic devices.

The effects of the MBIs are manifested in the coherence decay dynamics of excitons. Typically, nonlinear techniques like four-wave mixing (FWM) [33] and two-dimensional coherent spectroscopy (2DCS) [34–36] are used to study the coherent dynamics of these nanomaterials' optical response [37–39]. Experimental observation of these many-body signatures can be interpreted through various formalisms such as local-field correction (LFC) [40–42], excitation-induced dephasing (EID) [43–45], excitation-induced shift (EIS) [46,47], and biexciton formation [48,49].

In general, the MBIs can be attributed to various interactions including, but not limited to, exciton-exciton, exciton-free carrier, and exciton-phonon interactions. A rigorous method to incorporate these MBIs is through a comprehensive many-body treatment, referred to as the microscopic model [50]. These models explicitly incorporate the various interaction terms and are often used to compare the effect of these different interactions. For example, microscopic calculations of exciton wave functions in momentum space were used to compare the exciton-exciton and exciton free-carrier interactions in QWs and TMDC heterostructures [51,52]. The microscopic model can, however, be computationally challenging due to the explicit consideration of various microscopic interactions. Furthermore, these calculations often provide limited physical insight into the underlying phenomena [52,53]. To overcome these limitations, phenomenological models were developed as much simpler and physically intuitive alternatives. A key difference from the microscopic calculations is the introduction of phenomenological parameters to model and interpret the experimental observations.

One of the phenomenological few-level models is the modified optical Bloch equations (MOBEs) [54]. The excitons are primarily treated as a two-level system (fermions) and the OBEs are used to describe their interaction with light. The MOBEs are obtained by incorporating the MBIs as three excitation-dependent modifications to the OBEs. These

^{*}rohan@iiserb.ac.in

additional terms include two terms corresponding to change in the resonance energy (EIS) and dephasing rate or homogeneous linewidth (EID) with the excitation density. A third additional term is the LFC that incorporates changes in the effective optical electric field due to macroscopic polarization. The EIS and EID effects were measured as a change in the exciton resonance energy [55–57] and dephasing rate [43,44], respectively, with an increase in the excitation density whereas the LFC was primarily invoked to explain the origin of the so-called negative-delay signal in two-pulse FWM experiments [40–42]. The MOBEs provide a good qualitative explanation for the experimental signatures arising from MBIs. However, MOBEs need to be solved numerically [47], which inhibits their use for quantitative analysis of data.

An alternative phenomenological framework to understand the nonlinear optical response of the excitons in the presence of MBIs is the anharmonic oscillator (AO) model [58-61]. Here, MBIs between excitons, which are composite bosons, are introduced in the form of anharmonic corrections to the harmonic potential of noninteracting excitons. While an ideal bosonic system is perfectly linear and cannot be saturated, the anharmonicity results in a nonlinear response of the excitons. Changes in the energy spacing, dephasing rate, and transition dipole moment of higher excited states account for EIS, EID, and phase-space filling (PSF), respectively, in this model. A significant advantage of the AO model is that we can analytically calculate the nonlinear optical response by solving the relevant OBEs perturbatively. Quantification of the MBIs by fitting the experimental data with the AO model has been demonstrated previously [62].

Both the aforementioned phenomenological models have been separately used to explain and interpret the effects of MBIs in experiments [37,58,62]. While these models are based on microscopic descriptions and can be understood through fermionic (MOBE) [63] and bosonic (AO) [59] frameworks of interacting excitons, one would expect the convergence of these two in the limit of a large ensemble and at low excitation density. However, to the best of our knowledge, a direct correspondence between these two complimentary models has not been established. For instance, it is not known if the parameters used to quantify MBIs in the two models are equivalent. Thus, a quantitative comparison of the two models is essential for gaining a comprehensive understanding of the MBIs and their effect on the nonlinear-optical response. Specifically, these findings will enable us to compare the relative strength of MBI in different nanomaterials.

In this work, we have established an empirical equivalence between these two complimentary MBI models through 2DCS simulations. In Sec. II we have simulated 2D spectra for a range of excitation densities using the MOBEs. Slices from the simulated spectra are fit to those obtained from calculations for the AO model in Sec. III. We find an excellent match between the peak lineshape in 2D spectra from both approaches for a range of excitation densities and MBI strengths, which demonstrates their equivalence. Furthermore, we discuss the correspondence between the MBI parameters used in the two approaches. In Sec. IV, we use both the MBI models to quantitatively reproduce the excitation-density dependence of lineshapes in 2DCS experiments which were performed on



FIG. 1. (a) Exciton as a two-level system used for the MOBE calculations with excitation-density-dependent dephasing rate γ and resonance frequency ω . $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ represent the ground and excited states, respectively. (b) Energy level scheme for anharmonic exciton ladder is illustrated. $|0\rangle$: ground state, $|1\rangle$: single-exciton state, $|2\rangle$: two-exciton state, γ_{01} : dephasing rate of the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition, ξ : excitation-induced dephasing (EID), Δ : excitation-induced shift (EIS).

GaAs QWs. We provide a summary and outlook of our work in Sec. V.

II. MODIFIED OPTICAL BLOCH EQUATIONS SIMULATION

Figure 1(a) shows the exciton as a two-level system. The ground and excited states are denoted as $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, respectively. The MOBEs incorporate EID and EIS through a linear dependence of dephasing rate γ and resonance frequency ω with excitation density

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 + \gamma' N \rho_{11}, \tag{1a}$$

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \omega' N \rho_{11}. \tag{1b}$$

Here, the dephasing rate γ and the resonance frequency ω comprise the excitation-independent terms (γ_0, ω_0) and the excitation-dependent terms ($\gamma' N \rho_{11}, \omega' N \rho_{11}$). $N \rho_{11}$ is the excited-state population density with density of states N and the density matrix element ρ_{11} corresponding to the occupation probability of $|1\rangle$. The parameters γ' and ω' quantify the strength of EID and EIS, respectively. The MOBEs are obtained from the OBEs after incorporating the above-mentioned excitation-density-dependent terms:

$$\dot{\phi}_{11} = -\Gamma_1 \rho_{11} + \frac{i}{\hbar} (\mu E)(\rho_{01} - \rho_{10}),$$
 (2a)

$$\dot{\rho}_{01} = -(\gamma_0 + \gamma' N \rho_{11}) \rho_{01} + i(\omega_0 + \omega' N \rho_{11}) \rho_{01} + \frac{i}{\hbar} (\mu E) (\rho_{11} - \rho_{00}),$$
(2b)

where ρ_{jk} are the density-matrix elements, in which j = kand $j \neq k$ correspond to population and coherence terms, respectively, Γ_1 is the population decay rate of the exciton, and μ is the transition dipole moment of $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition. The electric field of the excitation light is

$$E(\mathbf{r},t) = \frac{\mathcal{E}(t)}{2} [e^{i(\omega_L t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})} + \text{c.c.}], \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is time-dependent electric-field amplitude, ω_L is the frequency of the excitation light, **k** is the wavevector and **r**

is position. Here, we have not included the LFC term since its primary effect is to produce the negative time-delay transient four-wave mixing signal (TFWM), which is analogous to two quantum coherence signals in 2DCS; this signal is not relevant for the current work.

We have solved the MOBEs numerically to obtain the two-pulse TFWM signal [54,64]. A pair of excitation pulses with wavevectors k_1 and k_2 are considered. The time delay between the pulses is τ with the \mathbf{k}_1 wavevector pulse arriving first. The FWM signal is emitted during time t after the arrival of the second pulse. The homogeneous TFWM signal $S_{\text{hom}}(\omega, \tau, t)$ for resonance frequency ω is obtained by extracting the third-order coherence term $\rho_{01}^{(3)}(\tau, t)$ in the phase-matching direction $2\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1$. The total TFWM signal for the inhomogeneous distribution is obtained by taking the sum of the signal from all the frequency components $S_{\text{inhom}}(\tau, t) = \sum_{i} p_i S_{\text{hom}}(\omega_i, \tau, t)$, where p_i is the normalized probability of having an oscillator with resonance frequency ω_i . We consider a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation σ for the inhomogeneity. The MOBEs are solved for transform-limited excitation pulses with a Gaussian envelope and 100 fs full-width half-maximum (FWHM). The intensities of both the excitation pulses are kept equal to each other. We quantify the pulse intensity in terms of square of the pulse area $\Theta = \frac{1}{\hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mu \mathcal{E}(t) dt$ [65]. Simulated 2D spectra $\mathcal{S}_{inhom}(\omega_{\tau},\omega_{t})$ are obtained by taking a Fourier transform of the time-domain FWM signal $S_{\text{inhom}}(\tau, t)$ along the axes τ and t. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the absolute and real part, respectively, of the 2D spectra obtained for $\Theta = 3 \times 10^{-3} \pi$. Assuming a noninteracting two-level system, the widths of the peak along the diagonal and cross-diagonal directions indicate inhomogeneous (σ) and homogeneous (γ) linewidths, respectively [66]. The parameters corresponding to inhomogeneous (σ) and excitation-independent homogeneous linewidth (γ_0) used in the simulations are 0.5 meV and 0.1 meV, respectively. We have taken $N\gamma' = 100$ meV and $N\omega' = 50$ meV. The dispersive lineshape of the real part of 2D spectrum in Fig. 2(b) is attributed to EIS [37].

We performed MOBEs-based simulations for a range of pulse areas within the $\chi^{(3)}$ regime $(\int |S_{inhom}(\tau, t)|^2 dt \propto \Theta^6)$ to measure the excitation-density dependence of 2D spectra. An increase in the width of the cross-diagonal slices of the absolute 2D spectra, as shown in Fig. 3, highlights the effect of EID [39,43]. In addition to the broadening, the cross-diagonal slices show a slight blue shift and asymmetric lineshape at higher pulse areas, which can be attributed to EIS [55,57]. Figure 3 also shows that FWM signal decay rate in the presence of MBI is slower than in the case of no interaction; this apparent anomaly will be discussed later. While it is straightforward to qualitatively reproduce the experimental effects of EID and EIS through the MOBEs, it is difficult to quantify this effect since these numerical calculations take significant computational time.

III. ANHARMONIC MODEL FITS

An alternative approach is to treat excitons as interacting bosons. Interaction terms are added to the perfectly harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian, which results in the anharmonic ladder of states [59] as shown in Fig. 1(b) with ground $|0\rangle$, one-exciton $|1\rangle$, and two-exciton $|2\rangle$ states. μ_{01} and μ_{02} =



FIG. 2. The (a) absolute and (b) real part of the simulated 2D spectra using MOBEs for a pulse area of $3 \times 10^{-3}\pi$, $N\gamma' = 100$ meV and $N\omega' = 50$ meV. The (c) absolute and (d) real part of the simulated 2D spectra using the best-fit parameters for the AO model. Dashed diagonal line indicates equal excitation and emission energy amplitudes. The cross-diagonal (X-Diag) and Diagonal (Diag) directions are indicated by arrows in (a). The dashed contours in (b) and (d) show the zero level.

 $\sqrt{2}\mu_{01}$ are the transition dipole moments for transitions $|0\rangle \Leftrightarrow$ $|1\rangle$ and $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$, respectively. The anharmonic ladder is truncated to the two-exciton state in Fig. 1(b) since only these states contribute to the FWM signal in the $\chi^{(3)}$ regime. The anharmonic terms introduce an asymmetry between the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ and $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transitions. This asymmetry can be quantified by the phenomenological terms Δ and ξ , which are similar to the EIS and EID effects discussed above and produces a nonzero optical nonlinear FWM signal. We have ignored the phase-space-filling effect here, since it was previously shown to be insignificant [62]. The analytical solution of the FWM signal in the perturbative regime, considering δ -function excitation pulses, is

$$S_{AO}(\tau,t) = A e^{-i\omega_{01}(\tau-t) - \gamma_{01}(\tau+t) - \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\tau-t)^2} [1 - e^{(i\Delta - \xi)t}], \quad (4)$$

where A is the FWM-signal amplitude, ω_{01} , γ_{01} , and σ are the resonance frequency, dephasing rate, or homogeneous line width, and inhomogeneous line width, respectively, of the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition. We have considered equal inhomogeneity for both $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ and $|1\rangle \leftrightarrow |2\rangle$ transitions. This analytical calculation can be used to quantify these effects through a nonlinear fitting procedure [62].

We explore the correspondence between the two models by fitting multiple slices of the absolute and real part of 2D spectrum obtained from MOBE simulations with those



FIG. 3. Pulse-area-dependent cross-diagonal slices of absolute value 2D spectra obtained from MOBE model are shown. Excitationinduced dephasing (EID) causes a broadening of the cross-diagonal slices with increasing pulse area. A slight blue shift in the peak of the cross-diagonal slices and asymmetric lineshape indicates excitationinduced shift (EIS). The black arrows indicate increasing pulse area. The dashed line shows the cross-diagonal slice in the absence of MBIs.

obtained from the AO model. The fitting procedure is repeated for a series of excitation densities and various values of $N\gamma'$ and $N\omega'$. As an example, Fig. 4 shows the slices from the 2D spectra in Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) (dashed line) along with

TABLE I. Symmetry-breaking fit parameters in the AO model.

<i>Νγ'</i> (meV)	<i>Nω</i> ' (meV)	ξ (μeV)	Δ (μ eV)	$\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$
100	100	2.65 ± 0.01	2.70 ± 0.01	0.981 ± 0.005
50	100	1.000 ± 0.003	1.978 ± 0.007	0.506 ± 0.002
100	50	3.72 ± 0.03	1.86 ± 0.01	2.00 ± 0.02

the fits obtained from the AO model (solid line). We find an excellent match between the simulated and fit slices. There are slight variations in the obtained values of the fit parameters depending on the energy range chosen for the fitting procedure. In order to avoid this bias and estimate the uncertainty in the fit parameters, we repeat the fitting procedure for several energy ranges from 10 to 20 meV. Subsequently we will report the mean and standard deviation of the parameters obtained for these different energy ranges as the best-fit parameters when fitting MOBE simulation results with the AO model. We obtain the following best-fit parameters for the current case: $\gamma_{01} = 102.70 \pm 0.05 \ \mu eV$, $\sigma = 499.7 \pm 0.2 \ \mu eV$, $\Delta = 1.82 \pm 0.05 \ \mu eV$, $\xi = 3.73 \pm 0.09 \ \mu eV$. Simulated absolute and real-part spectra of AO model using these best-fit parameters are shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d), respectively.

The symmetry-breaking fit parameters ξ and Δ of the AO model are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. These parameters don't exhibit any clear dependence on the excitation density; this behavior is observed for other combinations of $N\gamma'$ and $N\omega'$, too. Because of the random variation with excitation density, we quantify the values of Δ and ξ by taking a weighted average of the results for all excitation densities; these are summarized in Table I. These values change with the



FIG. 4. Slices obtained from MOBE simulations (green dashed line) and the corresponding fits using the AO model (solid red line) are shown. Slices for the MOBE simulation are taken from the absolute value [Fig. 2(a)] and real part [Fig. 2(b)] 2D spectra, respectively. The particular slice is indicated by the label above each plot.



FIG. 5. The symmetry-breaking fit parameters in the AO model—EID (ξ) in (a) and EIS (Δ) in (b) measured as a function of excitation densities for different values of $N\gamma'$ and $N\omega'$. The error bars are standard deviation in parameters value obtained by fitting 2D spectra in multiple energy ranges.

values of $N\gamma'$ and $N\omega'$ used in the MOBE simulation. We find that both Δ and ξ vary when either $N\gamma'$ or $N\omega'$ is changed. While these variations seem random, we note that the ratio $\frac{\xi}{\Delta}$ matches perfectly with the value of $\frac{\gamma'}{\omega'}$. We thus conclude that although the parameters ξ and Δ are not perfectly separable, the fitting procedure gives a good estimate of the relative strength of EID and EIS.

The excitation-independent parameters ξ and Δ do not affect the overall width and position of the peak and, consequently, cannot model the broadening and shift of the cross-diagonal slices shown in Fig. 3. This goal is achieved by introducing parameters $\gamma_{01}(N_x)$ and $\omega_{01}(N_x)$ that depend on the excitation density N_x . Figure 6 shows an increase in the homogeneous linewidth γ_{01} with the excitation density. We quantify the excitation-density dependence using the relation

$$\gamma_{01}(N_x) = \gamma_{01}(0) + \gamma_{\text{EID}}N_x,\tag{5}$$

where $\gamma_{01}(0)$ is the zero-excitation-density homogeneous linewidth of the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition, and γ_{EID} is the rate of change of homogeneous line width with the excitation density



FIG. 6. Linear variation of homogeneous linewidth with excitation density for different combinations of $N\gamma'$ and $N\omega'$. Linear fits to data are shown by the dashed lines.

 N_x [43,67]. The fit results are summarized in Table II. We find that the slope γ_{EID} is directly proportional to $N\gamma'$ and does not vary significantly with the value of $N\omega'$. Furthermore, the offset $\gamma_{01}(0)$ is independent of the MBI parameters used in the MOBEs and equals the excitation-independent term γ_0 .

Now let's shift our focus to an intriguing feature shown in Fig. 3. The cross-diagonal slice is narrower when the MBIs are included than without them. This narrowing indicates that the decay rate of the FWM signal is slower in presence of EID than in its absence. It is worth noting that a previous observation also supports this behavior of narrowing homogeneous linewidth when MBIs are present, specifically in the context of the bosonic framework of excitons [62]. In that case, the interference between two different quantum mechanical pathways results in a narrower linewidth. Since the MOBEs are solved through numerical methods, we cannot provide an intuitive explanation for the narrowing in this particular case. However, the similar behavior further demonstrates the equivalence of incorporating the MBIs either through the MOBEs or the AO model. Additionally, we want to emphasize that γ_0 , is not the decay rate of the FWM signal at zero-excitation density. The zero-excitation-density decay rate of the FWM signal can be determined by extrapolating the excitation-density-dependent decay rate to zero-excitation density [6,39]. We interpret the parameter γ_0 as the expected decay rate of the FWM signal in

TABLE II. Excitation-density dependence homogeneous line width (γ_{01}).

$N\gamma'$ (meV)	$N\omega'$ (meV)	γ_{EID} (10 ⁶ π^{-2} meV)	$\gamma_{01}(0)$ (μeV)
100	100	360 ± 2	99.76 ± 0.04
50	100	181.0 ± 1.3	100.26 ± 0.03
100	50	346 ± 5	99.65 ± 0.08



FIG. 7. Excitation-density dependence of the homogeneous linewidth γ_{01} obtained by fitting the experimental data (red circles) and MOBE simulations (blue diamonds) to the AO model. MOBE simulations were performed for $N\gamma' = 100$ meV, $N\omega' = 150$ meV. The linear fits are shown by the blue and red dashed lines and show an almost perfect overlap with each other.

the hypothetical case where the interexcitonic interactions are absent.

In this section we have provided a detailed comparison of the MOBE-based simulations and the AO model. Our quantitative findings can be summarized as

$$\frac{\xi}{\Delta} = \frac{\gamma'}{\omega'},\tag{6a}$$

$$\gamma_{01}(0) = \gamma_0, \tag{6b}$$

$$\gamma_{\rm EID} \propto \gamma'$$
. (6c)

We have shown that both the models are consistent with each other. Next, we compare them to the experimental data.

IV. COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENTS

2DCS experiments were performed on GaAs QWs using the three-pulse noncollinear geometry [68]. The sample comprising four periods of GaAs QWs with a width of 10 nm and Al_{0.3}Ga_{0.7}As barriers with the same width was kept at a temperature of 10 K. These experiments were performed in the cocircular polarization scheme so that biexcitons are not excited [69]. Excitation densities in the range of $\sim 1 - 8 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻² per QW per excitation pulse were used. The other experimental details were same as those used previously [62].

We fit the slices obtained from the experimental data with the AO model to obtain the parameters ξ , Δ , γ_{01} , and ω_{01} . The observed dependence of γ_{01} is shown in Fig. 7 by red circles. We repeated the experiment thrice at each power; the error bars for the fits to the experimental data indicate the standard deviation in the best-fit values. We measure ω_{01} through the



FIG. 8. Excitation-density dependence of the shift in the resonance frequency ω_{01} in experiments (red circles) and MOBE simulations (blue diamonds). The data and simulations are the same as those used in Fig. 7.

overall shift of the peak of the 2D spectra with changing excitation density; the shifts are shown in Fig. 8. These experimental findings were reproduced by solving the MOBEs for $N\gamma' = 100$ meV and $N\omega' = 150$ meV. The simulated spectra are also fit with the AO model following the procedure discussed in Sec. III. The corresponding results are shown in Figs. 7 and 8 with blue diamonds. We have used an arbitrary scaling factor between the exciton density and the square of pulse area to show the correspondence between the fit data obtained from experiments and the MOBE simulations. Similar fit parameters highlight that the lineshapes obtained from MOBEs are equivalent to the experimental data. We note that in order to obtain a change in γ_{01} that is equivalent to the experiment, we had to go beyond the $\chi^{(3)}$ regime.

Analogous to Eq. (5), we can quantify the excitationdensity dependence of the resonance frequency through

$$\omega_{01}(N_x) = \omega_{01}(0) + \omega_{\text{EIS}}N_x,\tag{7}$$

where $\omega_{01}(0)$ is the resonance frequency of the $|0\rangle \leftrightarrow |1\rangle$ transition at zero-excitation density and ω_{EIS} is the rate of change of the resonance frequency with the excitation density. We did not discuss this phenomenon in Sec. III because the small shifts in the resonance frequency were partially masked by the finite resolution of the simulations. The increased pulse area and the $N\omega'$ term make the shift in resonance frequency clearly observable now.

Consistent with the earlier results, we did not see any excitation-density dependence on the symmetry-breaking parameters ξ and Δ (data not shown) for either the experimental data or the simulation results. Taking weighted averages, as discussed in Sec. III, results in $\Delta = 19 \pm 3 \,\mu\text{eV}$ and $\xi = 6 \pm 1 \,\mu\text{eV}$ for the experiment with $\frac{\Delta}{\xi} = 3.2 \pm 0.7$. The

corresponding values for the MOBE simulations are $\Delta = 6.2 \pm 0.7 \,\mu\text{eV}$ and $\xi = 4.3 \pm 0.4 \,\mu\text{eV}$, corresponding to $\frac{\Delta}{\xi} = 1.4 \pm 0.2$; this should ideally be equal to 1.5 based on the ratio $\frac{\omega'}{\gamma'}$. Although these values are quite different, $\frac{\Delta}{\xi} > 1$ suggests that EIS is more dominant than EID in this sample. Likely reasons for the quantitative anomaly could be the inability of the fitting procedure to perfectly separate the effect of EIS and EID and the large uncertainty ~16% in the experimentally obtained values.

V. CONCLUSION

We have presented a comprehensive and quantitative comparison of modeling MBIs using the MOBEs and the AO model. These models introduce interactions to excitons when they are treated as fermions (for the MOBEs) and bosons (for the AO model). Interestingly, these complimentary approaches result in identical lineshape of 2D spectra. We have also established a direct correspondence between the MBIs parameters used in the MOBEs and the AO model. These findings are further strengthened by reproducing experimental data for GaAs QWs using both the models independently. This study provides a possible framework to compare the relative strength of MBIs among different excitonic systems such as QWs [2,38], layered semiconductors [6-8], and perovskite nanomaterials [3,4,70]. It can also be relevant for the ongoing efforts to develop flexible semiconductor quantum dot lasers [71-73] and TMDCs-based valleytronics devices [74–76], which are affected by MBIs.

- D. Chemla and J. Shah, Many-body and correlation effects in semiconductors, Nature (London) 411, 549 (2001).
- [2] S. Wachter, M. Maute, H. Kalt, and I. Galbraith, Coherent exciton interactions: Dependence on excitation fluence and polarization, Phys. Rev. B 65, 205314 (2002).
- [3] X. Wu, M. T. Trinh, and X.-Y. Zhu, Excitonic many-body interactions in two-dimensional lead iodide perovskite quantum wells, J. Phys. Chem. C 119, 14714 (2015).
- [4] F. Thouin, D. Cortecchia, A. Petrozza, A. R. Srimath Kandada, and C. Silva, Enhanced screening and spectral diversity in many-body elastic scattering of excitons in two-dimensional hybrid metal-halide perovskites, Phys. Rev. Res. 1, 032032(R) (2019).
- [5] T. Jakubczyk, G. Nayak, L. Scarpelli, W.-L. Liu, S. Dubey, N. Bendiab, L. Marty, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, F. Masia *et al.*, Coherence and density dynamics of excitons in a single-layer MoS₂ reaching the homogeneous limit, ACS Nano 13, 3500 (2019).
- [6] C. Boule, D. Vaclavkova, M. Bartos, K. Nogajewski, L. Zdražil, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, M. Potemski, and J. Kasprzak, Coherent dynamics and mapping of excitons in single-layer MoSe₂ and WSe₂ at the homogeneous limit, Phys. Rev. Mater. 4, 034001 (2020).
- [7] F. Katsch, M. Selig, and A. Knorr, Exciton-scattering-induced dephasing in two-dimensional semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 257402 (2020).
- [8] D. Erkensten, S. Brem, and E. Malic, Exciton-exciton interaction in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers and

Finally, we note that significant theoretical work has been done to study MBIs in spatially-indirect excitons observed in coupled QWs and heterostructures of layered semiconductors [23–25,28,77]. These excitons have long (>100 ns) lifetimes, which is important to achieve exciton BEC in these materials [25,78]. Furthermore, the minimal overlap of the electron and hole wave functions implies that these excitons are weakly interacting [21,27,79], which suggests that the AO model should be applicable and can be used to quantify interactions between indirect excitons. While our study has implicitly focused on direct excitons in QWs where the BEClike phenomenon is unexpected [80], a similar quantitative study of indirect excitons would be worth exploring. Particularly, it might be possible to study the effect of novel-excitonic phases such as BEC on MBIs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Steven T. Cundiff for fruitful discussions. We thank Takeshi Suzuki and S.T.C. for help in collecting the experimental data used in this work. The authors acknowledge support from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), New Delhi, under Project No. SRG/2020/000822. B.D. acknowledges the Ministry of Education, Government of India for support from the Prime Minister's Research Fellows (PMRF) Scheme.

P.K. and B.D. contributed equally to this work.

van der Waals heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B 103, 045426 (2021).

- [9] T. Kazimierczuk, D. Fröhlich, S. Scheel, H. Stolz, and M. Bayer, Giant Rydberg excitons in the copper oxide Cu₂O, Nature (London) 514, 343 (2014).
- [10] D. Semkat, H. Fehske, and H. Stolz, Quantum many-body effects on Rydberg excitons in cuprous oxide, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 230, 947 (2021).
- [11] A. S. Lenihan, M. V. Gurudev Dutt, D. G. Steel, S. Ghosh, and P. K. Bhattacharya, Raman coherence beats from entangled polarization eigenstates in InAs quantum dots, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 223601 (2002).
- [12] E. W. Martin and S. T. Cundiff, Inducing coherent quantum dot interactions, Phys. Rev. B 97, 081301(R) (2018).
- [13] K. B. Ferrio and D. G. Steel, Raman quantum beats of interacting excitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 786 (1998).
- [14] J. Kasprzak, B. Patton, V. Savona, and W. Langbein, Coherent coupling between distant excitons revealed by two-dimensional nonlinear hyperspectral imaging, Nat. Photon. 5, 57 (2011).
- [15] G. Nardin, G. Moody, R. Singh, T. M. Autry, H. Li, F. Morier-Genoud, and S. T. Cundiff, Coherent excitonic coupling in an asymmetric double InGaAs quantum well arises from manybody effects, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 046402 (2014).
- [16] G. Moody, I. A. Akimov, H. Li, R. Singh, D. R. Yakovlev, G. Karczewski, M. Wiater, T. Wojtowicz, M. Bayer, and S. T. Cundiff, Coherent coupling of excitons and trions in a photoexcited CdTe/CdMgTe quantum well, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 097401 (2014).

- [17] A. Singh, G. Moody, S. Wu, Y. Wu, N. J. Ghimire, J. Yan, D. G. Mandrus, X. Xu, and X. Li, Coherent electronic coupling in atomically thin MoSe₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 216804 (2014).
- [18] T. L. Purz, E. W. Martin, P. Rivera, W. G. Holtzmann, X. Xu, and S. T. Cundiff, Coherent exciton-exciton interactions and exciton dynamics in a MoSe₂/WSe₂ heterostructure, Phys. Rev. B 104, L241302 (2021).
- [19] K. Moon, H. Mori, K. Yang, S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, L. Zheng, D. Yoshioka, and S.-C. Zhang, Spontaneous interlayer coherence in double-layer quantum Hall systems: Charged vortices and Kosterlitz-Thouless phase transitions, Phys. Rev. B 51, 5138 (1995).
- [20] D. Snoke, Spontaneous Bose coherence of excitons and polaritons, Science 298, 1368 (2002).
- [21] F.-C. Wu, F. Xue, and A. H. MacDonald, Theory of twodimensional spatially indirect equilibrium exciton condensates, Phys. Rev. B 92, 165121 (2015).
- [22] R. Anankine, S. Dang, M. Beian, E. Cambril, C. G. Carbonell, A. Lemaître, and F. Dubin, Temporal coherence of spatially indirect excitons across Bose-Einstein condensation: the role of free carriers, New J. Phys. 20, 073049 (2018).
- [23] Y. E. Lozovik and O. L. Berman, Phase transitions in a system of spatially separated electrons and holes, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 84, 1027 (1997).
- [24] Y. E. Lozovik, O. L. Berman, and M. Willander, Superfluidity of indirect excitons and biexcitons in coupled quantum wells and superlattices, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 12457 (2002).
- [25] O. L. Berman, Y. E. Lozovik, D. W. Snoke, and R. D. Coalson, Collective properties of indirect excitons in coupled quantum wells in a random field, Phys. Rev. B 70, 235310 (2004).
- [26] J. P. Eisenstein and A. H. MacDonald, Bose-Einstein condensation of excitons in bilayer electron systems, Nature (London) 432, 691 (2004).
- [27] O. L. Berman and R. Y. Kezerashvili, High-temperature superfluidity of the two-component Bose gas in a transition metal dichalcogenide bilayer, Phys. Rev. B 93, 245410 (2016).
- [28] O. L. Berman and R. Y. Kezerashvili, Superfluidity of dipolar excitons in a transition metal dichalcogenide double layer, Phys. Rev. B 96, 094502 (2017).
- [29] R. Anankine, M. Beian, S. Dang, M. Alloing, E. Cambril, K. Merghem, C. G. Carbonell, A. Lemaître, and F. Dubin, Quantized vortices and four-component superfluidity of semiconductor excitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 127402 (2017).
- [30] Y. Morita, K. Yoshioka, and M. Kuwata-Gonokami, Observation of Bose-Einstein condensates of excitons in a bulk semiconductor, Nat. Commun. 13, 5388 (2022).
- [31] H. Liu, J. Wang, S. Chen, Z. Sun, H. Xu, Y. Han, C. Wang, H. Liu, L. Huang, J. Luo, and D. Liu, Direct visualization of dark interlayer exciton transport in moiré superlattices, Nano Lett. 24, 339 (2024).
- [32] S. Mukherjee, A. K. NM, S. Ramesh, B. Karthikeyan, and N. Kamaraju, Many-body interaction governs the ultrafast relaxation dynamics of hot holes in CuS nanoflakes and photocatalytic efficiency-enhanced CuS/Ag₂S nanocomposites, ACS Appl. Opt. Mater. 1, 1332 (2023).
- [33] J. Shah, Ultrafast Spectroscopy of Semiconductors and Semiconductor Nanostructures (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- [34] D. B. Turner, K. W. Stone, K. Gundogdu, and K. A. Nelson, Invited Article: The coherent optical laser beam recombination

technique (COLBERT) spectrometer: Coherent multidimensional spectroscopy made easier, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **82**, 081301 (2011).

- [35] J. O. Tollerud and J. A. Davis, Coherent multi-dimensional spectroscopy: Experimental considerations, direct comparisons and new capabilities, Prog. Quantum Electron. 55, 1 (2017).
- [36] H. Li, B. Lomsadze, G. Moody, C. Smallwood, and S. Cundiff, *Optical Multidimensional Coherent Spectroscopy* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2023).
- [37] X. Li, T. Zhang, C. N. Borca, and S. T. Cundiff, Manybody interactions in semiconductors probed by optical twodimensional Fourier transform spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 057406 (2006).
- [38] D. Webber, L. Hacquebard, X. Liu, M. Dobrowolska, J. Furdyna, and K. Hall, Role of many-body effects in the coherent dynamics of excitons in low-temperature-grown GaAs, Appl. Phys. Lett. **107**, 142108 (2015).
- [39] G. Moody, C. Kavir Dass, K. Hao, C.-H. Chen, L.-J. Li, A. Singh, K. Tran, G. Clark, X. Xu, G. Berghäuser *et al.*, Intrinsic homogeneous linewidth and broadening mechanisms of excitons in monolayer transition metal dichalcogenides, Nat. Commun. 6, 8315 (2015).
- [40] K. Leo, M. Wegener, J. Shah, D. S. Chemla, E. O. Göbel, T. C. Damen, S. Schmitt-Rink, and W. Schäfer, Effects of coherent polarization interactions on time-resolved degenerate four-wave mixing, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 1340 (1990).
- [41] M. Wegener, D. S. Chemla, S. Schmitt-Rink, and W. Schäfer, Line shape of time-resolved four-wave mixing, Phys. Rev. A 42, 5675 (1990).
- [42] D.-S. Kim, J. Shah, T. C. Damen, W. Schäfer, F. Jahnke, S. Schmitt-Rink, and K. Köhler, Unusually slow temporal evolution of femtosecond four-wave-mixing signals in intrinsic GaAs quantum wells: Direct evidence for the dominance of interaction effects, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2725 (1992).
- [43] A. Honold, L. Schultheis, J. Kuhl, and C. W. Tu, Collision broadening of two-dimensional excitons in a GaAs single quantum well, Phys. Rev. B 40, 6442 (1989).
- [44] H. Wang, K. Ferrio, D. G. Steel, Y. Z. Hu, R. Binder, and S. W. Koch, Transient nonlinear optical response from excitation induced dephasing in GaAs, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1261 (1993).
- [45] Y. Z. Hu, R. Binder, S. W. Koch, S. T. Cundiff, H. Wang, and D. G. Steel, Excitation and polarization effects in semiconductor four-wave-mixing spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 49, 14382 (1994).
- [46] M. Mitsunaga, T. Takagahara, R. Yano, and N. Uesugi, Excitation-induced frequency shift probed by stimulated photon echoes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 3216 (1992).
- [47] J. M. Shacklette and S. T. Cundiff, Role of excitation-induced shift in the coherent optical response of semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045309 (2002).
- [48] E. J. Mayer, G. O. Smith, V. Heuckeroth, J. Kuhl, K. Bott, A. Schulze, T. Meier, D. Bennhardt, S. W. Koch, P. Thomas, R. Hey, and K. Ploog, Evidence of biexcitonic contributions to four-wave mixing in GaAs quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 50, 14730(R) (1994).
- [49] K. W. Stone, K. Gundogdu, D. B. Turner, X. Li, S. T. Cundiff, and K. A. Nelson, Two-quantum 2D FT electronic spectroscopy of biexcitons in GaAs quantum wells, Science 324, 1169 (2009).

- [50] V. M. Axt and A. Stahl, A dynamics-controlled truncation scheme for the hierarchy of density matrices in semiconductor optics, Z. Phys. B 93, 195 (1994).
- [51] V. Shahnazaryan, I. Iorsh, I. A. Shelykh, and O. Kyriienko, Exciton-exciton interaction in transition-metal dichalcogenide monolayers, Phys. Rev. B 96, 115409 (2017).
- [52] B. F. Gribakin, E. S. Khramtsov, A. V. Trifonov, and I. V. Ignatiev, Exciton-exciton and exciton charge carrier interaction and exciton collisional broadening in GaAs/AlGaAs quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 104, 205302 (2021).
- [53] S. R. Bolton, U. Neukirch, L. J. Sham, D. S. Chemla, and V. M. Axt, Demonstration of sixth-order Coulomb correlations in a semiconductor single quantum well, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2002 (2000).
- [54] J. Shacklette and S. T. Cundiff, Nonperturbative transient fourwave-mixing line shapes due to excitation-induced shift and excitation-induced dephasing, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 20, 764 (2003).
- [55] N. Peyghambarian, H. M. Gibbs, J. L. Jewell, A. Antonetti, A. Migus, D. Hulin, and A. Mysyrowicz, Blue shift of the exciton resonance due to exciton-exciton interactions in a multiple-quantum-well structure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2433 (1984).
- [56] D. Chemla, W. Knox, D. Miller, S. Schmitt-Rink, J. Stark, and R. Zimmermann, The excitonic optical stark effect in semiconductor quantum wells probed with femtosecond optical pulses, J. Lumin. 44, 233 (1989).
- [57] G. Manzke, Q. Y. Peng, K. Henneberger, U. Neukirch, K. Hauke, K. Wundke, J. Gutowski, and D. Hommel, Density dependence of the exciton energy in semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4943 (1998).
- [58] M. Kuwata-Gonokami, S. Inouye, H. Suzuura, M. Shirane, R. Shimano, T. Someya, and H. Sakaki, Parametric scattering of cavity polaritons, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 1341 (1997).
- [59] Y. P. Svirko, M. Shirane, H. Suzuura, and M. Kuwata-Gonokami, Four-wave mixing theory at the excitonic resonance: weakly interacting boson model, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 674 (1999).
- [60] J.-I. Inoue, T. Brandes, and A. Shimizu, Renormalized bosonic interaction of excitons, Phys. Rev. B 61, 2863 (2000).
- [61] S. Rudin and T. L. Reinecke, Anharmonic oscillator model for driven and vacuum-field Rabi oscillations, Phys. Rev. B 63, 075308 (2001).
- [62] R. Singh, T. Suzuki, T. M. Autry, G. Moody, M. E. Siemens, and S. T. Cundiff, Polarization-dependent exciton linewidth in semiconductor quantum wells: A consequence of bosonic nature of excitons, Phys. Rev. B 94, 081304(R) (2016).
- [63] K. Victor, V. M. Axt, G. Bartels, A. Stahl, K. Bott, and P. Thomas, Microscopic foundation of the phenomenological fewlevel approach to coherent semiconductor optics, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter 99, 197 (1995).
- [64] A. Leitenstorfer, A. Lohner, K. Rick, P. Leisching, T. Elsaesser, T. Kuhn, F. Rossi, W. Stolz, and K. Ploog, Excitonic and free-carrier polarizations of bulk GaAs studied by femtosecond coherent spectroscopy, Phys. Rev. B 49, 16372 (1994).

- [65] L. Allen and J. H. Eberly, Optical resonance and two-level atoms (Wiley, New York, 1975).
- [66] M. E. Siemens, G. Moody, H. Li, A. D. Bristow, and S. T. Cundiff, Resonance lineshapes in two-dimensional Fourier transform spectroscopy, Opt. Express 18, 17699 (2010).
- [67] R. Singh, T. M. Autry, G. Nardin, G. Moody, H. Li, K. Pierz, M. Bieler, and S. T. Cundiff, Anisotropic homogeneous linewidth of the heavy-hole exciton in (110)-oriented GaAs quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 88, 045304 (2013).
- [68] A. Bristow, D. Karaiskaj, X. Dai, T. Zhang, C. Carlsson, K. Hagen, R. Jimenez, and S. Cundiff, A versatile ultrastable platform for optical multidimensional Fourier-transform spectroscopy, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 80, 073108 (2009).
- [69] A. D. Bristow, D. Karaiskaj, X. Dai, R. P. Mirin, and S. T. Cundiff, Polarization dependence of semiconductor exciton and biexciton contributions to phase-resolved optical two-dimensional Fourier-transform spectra, Phys. Rev. B 79, 161305(R) (2009).
- [70] S. A. March, D. B. Riley, C. Clegg, D. Webber, X. Liu, M. Dobrowolska, J. K. Furdyna, I. G. Hill, and K. C. Hall, Four-wave mixing in perovskite photovoltaic materials reveals long dephasing times and weaker many-body interactions than GaAs, ACS Photonics 4, 1515 (2017).
- [71] T. R. Nielsen, P. Gartner, and F. Jahnke, Many-body theory of carrier capture and relaxation in semiconductor quantum-dot lasers, Phys. Rev. B 69, 235314 (2004).
- [72] H. C. Schneider, W. W. Chow, and S. W. Koch, Excitationinduced dephasing in semiconductor quantum dots, Phys. Rev. B 70, 235308 (2004).
- [73] L. Monniello, C. Tonin, R. Hostein, A. Lemaitre, A. Martinez, V. Voliotis, and R. Grousson, Excitation-induced dephasing in a resonantly driven InAs/GaAs quantum dot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 026403 (2013).
- [74] E. J. Sie, J. W. McIver, Y.-H. Lee, L. Fu, J. Kong, and N. Gedik, Valley-selective optical stark effect in monolayer WS₂, Nat. Mater. 14, 290 (2015).
- [75] F. Mahmood, Z. Alpichshev, Y.-H. Lee, J. Kong, and N. Gedik, Observation of exciton–exciton interaction mediated valley depolarization in monolayer MoSe₂, Nano Lett. **18**, 223 (2018).
- [76] P. D. Cunningham, A. T. Hanbicki, T. L. Reinecke, K. M. McCreary, and B. T. Jonker, Resonant optical stark effect in monolayer WS₂, Nat. Commun. **10**, 5539 (2019).
- [77] C. Schindler and R. Zimmermann, Analysis of the excitonexciton interaction in semiconductor quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 78, 045313 (2008).
- [78] Z. Vörös, D. W. Snoke, L. Pfeiffer, and K. West, Trapping excitons in a two-dimensional in-plane harmonic potential: Experimental evidence for equilibration of indirect excitons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 016803 (2006).
- [79] M. Kuwata-Gonokami, High-density excitons in semiconductors, in *Comprehensive Semiconductor Science and Technology* (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 2011), pp. 213–255.
- [80] K. Sivalertporn, L. Mouchliadis, A. L. Ivanov, R. Philp, and E. A. Muljarov, Direct and indirect excitons in semiconductor coupled quantum wells in an applied electric field, Phys. Rev. B 85, 045207 (2012).