Moiré semiconductors on the twisted bilayer dice lattice

Di Ma[,](https://orcid.org/0009-0009-8789-1275)^{1,*} Yu-Ge Chen \bullet ,^{2,*,†} Yue Yu,¹ and Xi Luo \bullet ^{[3](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9124-6409),‡}

¹*Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433,China*

²*Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics and Institute of Physics,*

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

³*College of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai 200093, China*

(Received 17 October 2023; revised 1 March 2024; accepted 4 April 2024; published 23 April 2024)

We propose an effective lattice model for the moiré structure of the twisted bilayer dice lattice. In the chiral limit, we find that there are flat bands at the zero-energy level at any twist angle besides the magic ones and these flat bands are broadened by small perturbation away from the chiral limit. The flat bands contain both bands with zero Chern number which originate from the destructive interference of the states on the dice lattice and the topological nontrivial bands at the magic angle. The existence of the flat bands can be detected from the peak-splitting structure of the optical conductance at all angles, while the transition peaks do not split and only occur at magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.109.155159](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.109.155159)

I. INTRODUCTION

The search for a flat-band system has become one of the new trends over the past few decades. Due to the large effective mass of the quasiparticles in the flat-band system, the density of states (DOS) is high and the kinetic energy of the carriers is strongly quenched. Therefore, the flat-band system is a good candidate for studying strongly correlated electronic states induced by strong Coulomb interaction, such as ferromagnetism $[1-3]$, heavy fermions $[4,5]$, fractional Chern insulators $[6,7]$, Wigner crystals $[8,9]$, and unconventional superconductivity [\[10–12\]](#page-7-0).

Traditionally, the nearly-flat-band system can be achieved by invoking fine-tuned nearest-neighbor hoppings or longrange hoppings or by breaking time-reversal symmetry. Several lattice models have been proposed along these lines in kagome [\[13\]](#page-8-0), Lieb [\[14\]](#page-8-0), and dice lattices [\[15\]](#page-8-0). The existence of the flat band is guaranteed by the destructive interference of the Wannier functions of the lattice structure, and the flat-band states are identified as compact localized states [\[8,9](#page-7-0)[,15–19\]](#page-8-0). This destructive interference protection can also be generalized to lattices with mirror symmetry [\[20\]](#page-8-0). Usually, this kind of flat band has a zero Chern number in the lattice model with nearest-neighbor hopping only [\[21\]](#page-8-0). On the dice lattice, the flat band can acquire a nonzero Chern number by invoking Rashba spin-orbital coupling and exhibits an anomalous quantum Hall effect by adding on-site Hubbard interactions, which could be realized in the transition-metal oxide SrTiO3/SrIrO3/SrTiO3 trilayer heterostructure by growing in the (111) direction $[22]$. Materials with flat-band structures along these lines have also been reported in Cu(111) confined

by CO molecules [\[23\]](#page-8-0), optical lattices, and cold-atom systems [\[24–29\]](#page-8-0). The topology of the dice lattice with non-Hermiticity has also been studied $[30]$. In three dimensions, a famous example is the Kane semimetal, where the flat-band structure is associated with the triplet degenerate nodes and can be described by a three-dimensional Lieb lattice model [\[31\]](#page-8-0). The low-energy quasiparticle, the Kane fermion, can be viewed as a fermionic photon, i.e., a spin-1 fermion. The effective Hamiltonian near the triplet degenerate node is $H_{jk} = \epsilon_{ijk} p_i$, where ϵ_{ijk} is the totally antisymmetric tensor and p_i is the momentum. By squaring the Hamiltonian, $H^2 \sim p_i p_j - p^2 \delta_{ij}$, which is related to the Hamiltonian of the photon. The case here is similar to squaring the Dirac Hamiltonian to obtain the Hamiltonian for the Klein-Gordon equation. Therefore, the Kane fermion can be viewed as a fermionic photon and the flat band of the Kane fermion corresponds to the longitudinal mode of the photon. Experimentally, the spinful Kane fermion has been reported in $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ [\[32\]](#page-8-0) and Cd₃As₂ [\[33\]](#page-8-0). The existence of the flat band is shown in the optical conductance by the large peaks near zero frequency [\[32,34\]](#page-8-0). The spinless Kane fermion is proposed to exist in materials with space groups 199 and 214, such as Ag_3Se_2Au and $Pd_3Bi_2S_2$ [\[35\]](#page-8-0). Band structures with triple nodal points have also been proposed in ZrTe $[36]$, LaPtBi $[37]$, and APd_3 $(A = Pb, Sn)$ [\[38\]](#page-8-0). More kinds of topological materials would be found by the method of symmetry indicators and topological quantum chemistry [\[39–41\]](#page-8-0).

A new mechanism for generating a flat band was found in twisted bilayer graphene (TBG) at a magic twist angle $\theta \sim$ 1.08◦ [\[42,43\]](#page-8-0). Unlike the destructive-interference-induced flat band, the flat-band structure of TBG originates from the extremely large band folding of the moiré structure, and TBG becomes a strongly correlated electron system. Very soon thereafter, superconductivity was reported in TBG [\[44,45\]](#page-8-0). The flat band in TBG has a nontrivial Chern number [\[46\]](#page-8-0), which can be explained by the zeroth chiral Landau levels

^{*}These authors contributed equally to this work.

[†]Corresponding author: chenyuge@iphy.ac.cn

[‡]Corresponding author: xiluo@usst.edu.cn

FIG. 1. (a) Structure of the dice lattice. Blue, red, and black dots correspond to *A*, *B*, and *C* sites, respectively. The lattice vectors are denoted by a_2 and a_2 . (b) Bilayer dice lattice in $A-B$ (Bernal) stacking. The vector τ labels the position of the sublattice site in a unit cell.

of Dirac/Weyl fermions [\[47\]](#page-8-0). Away from the half filling, the fractional Chern insulator phase was also proposed and reported in TBG $[48–50]$ and the twisted bilayer MoTe₂ [\[51–53\]](#page-9-0).

In this paper we consider the combination of moiré structure and destructive-interference-induced flat bands, namely, a twisted bilayer dice lattice (TBD). Inspired by Bistritzer and MacDonald's continuum lattice model for TBG [\[42\]](#page-8-0), we construct a lattice model for the TBD in the reciprocal space. We find that there are flat bands with zero energy in the chiral limit at any twist angle besides the magic ones that are broadened by small perturbation away from the chiral limit. The flat bands are contributed from the ones with zero Chern number as in the Dice lattice and the nontrivial ones at the magic angle; therefore, the TBD is a playground for studying the interplay between the zero-Chern-number flat bands and nontrivial ones. We further confirm this scenario by considering the pseudo-Landau-level description [\[47\]](#page-8-0) and its optical conductance.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we provide the detailed construction of the lattice model of the TBD. We also introduce the concept of chiral limit to the TBD. From the chiral limit of the TBD, we show the origin of the flat bands in the TBD. There are flat bands in the TBD in the chiral limit at all angles other than the magic ones. We also numerically calculate the Bloch band structure and compare it with that of TBG. In Sec. [III](#page-4-0) we use the pseudo-Landau-level language to describe the physics of the flat bands in the TBD, where the pseudomagnetic field is caused by the interlayer hopping. We can directly find that, besides the topological zeroth Landau level, the higher Landau levels which are topologically

trivial also contribute to the flat bands. In Sec. [IV](#page-6-0) we use the degenerate perturbation method to calculate the optical conductance of the TBD and we find that the flat bands contribute a peak-splitting structure, which is conclusive evidence of the experimental prediction for the existence of the flat bands. This phenomenon also exists at all angles besides the magic ones when compared with TBG. Section [V](#page-7-0) provides a brief summary and discussion of our conclusions.

II. EFFECTIVE MODEL OF THE TBD

The dice lattice can be viewed as two honeycomb lattices *A*-*B* and *B*-*C* sharing the same sublattice site *B*. The lattice base vectors are $a_1 = a(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $a_2 = a(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and the corresponding reciprocal vectors $\mathbf{b}_1 = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a} (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ and $\mathbf{b}_2 =$ $\frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}a}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, where $a = \sqrt{3}d$ is lattice constant and *d* is the distance between two nearest sites [see Fig. $1(a)$]. For simplicity, we consider a spinless lattice model with nearestneighbor hopping only. Due to this similarity, the TBD has the same moiré structure as TBG [see Fig. $2(a)$]. There is a flat band $E = 0$ in the lattice spectrum. The low-energy behavior near the Dirac point can be captured by $H_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{S}$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, 0)$ is the lattice momentum and **S** is the spin-1 generalization of the Pauli matrix that acts on sublattice space of the order of *A*, *B*, and *C*,

$$
S_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

$$
S_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (1)

Since the dice lattice can be realized in the SrTiO3/SrIrO3/SrTiO3 trilayer heterostructure by growing in the (111) direction [\[22\]](#page-8-0), it is possible to realize the TBD in this material by twisting when growing.

For TBG, Bistritzer and MacDonald proposed a lowenergy effective continuum Dirac model of the moiré structure for a small twist angle $\theta < 10^\circ$ using Bloch bands near the Dirac points. The effective model consists of two isolated graphene layers and hopping terms between them. With this model they reveal flat Bloch bands in the electronic structure at magic twist angles which give rise to a high DOS [\[42\]](#page-8-0). Similar to the case of TBG, we follow Ref. [\[42\]](#page-8-0) to construct a continuum model for the TBD. In principle, for the Blochband-based effective theory to be valid, the valley structure should be present. However, the global flat band in the singlelayer dice model may negate this validity. This obstacle could be avoided by including the second-nearest-neighbor hopping in the lattice model such that the global flat bands become dispersive and acquire the valley structure. In a recent paper [\[54\]](#page-9-0) Zhou *et al.* confirmed that the flat-band structure is substantiated in the TBD in the absence of the secondnearest-neighbor hopping. Therefore, we can only consider the nearest-neighbor hopping in the TBD for simplicity. Later we will show that this is the case in the chiral limit of the continuum model and there are exact flat bands at all angles. In contrast, away from the chiral limit, the results would be

FIG. 2. (a) Moiré period structure of the TBD with unit lattice vectors $a_1^m = L_s(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, -\frac{1}{2})$ and $a_2^m = L_s(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, where $L_s = \frac{a}{2\sin(\theta/2)}$ is the size of the moiré unit cell. (b) Reciprocal space of the \overline{TBD} with reciprocal vectors $b_1^m = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}L_s}(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $b_2^m = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}L_s}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$. The coordinate (l_1, l_2) means the vector $\mathbf{b} = l_1 \mathbf{b}_1^m + l_2 \mathbf{b}_2^m$. The red dashed line marks the first Brillouin zone with green dashed lines marking the reciprocal path for calculating the TBD band structure.

less predictive if the second-nearest-neighbor hopping were zero and the valley structure were absent due to the flat bands.

In the TBD, we keep the top layer 1 fixed and rotate the bottom layer 2 by θ with respect to layer 1. The effective TBD Hamiltonian contains intralayer and interlayer parts. The lowenergy intra-Hamiltonian reads

$$
H = v_f \sum_{k} \psi_{1,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{k} \psi_{1,\mathbf{k}} + v_f \sum_{k} \psi_{2,\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{S}_{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{k} \psi_{2,\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (2)
$$

where $\psi_{1,2}$ are the annihilation operators in layers 1 and 2, respectively, and $\mathbf{S}_{\theta} = e^{(i\theta/2)S_3}(S_1, S_2)e^{(-i\theta/2)S_3}$.

For the interlayer hopping term *H*⊥, we consider nearestneighbor hopping from layer 1 in the α ($\alpha = A, B, C$) sublattice to the closest sublattice β ($\beta = A', B', C'$) in layer 2 (see Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The hopping amplitude depends on the difference between the two sites. We have

$$
H_{\perp} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \alpha, \beta} \psi_{1, \alpha}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{k}_1) T_{1, 2}^{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \psi_{2, \beta}(\mathbf{k}_2) + \text{H.c.}, \quad (3)
$$

with

$$
T_{1,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}_1,\mathbf{k}_2) = \frac{1}{A_{\text{UC}}} \sum_{\mathbf{G}_1,\mathbf{G}_2} e^{-i\mathbf{G}_1 \times \tau_{1,\alpha}} t(\mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{G}_1)
$$

× $e^{-i\mathbf{G}_2 \cdot \tau_{2,\beta}} \delta_{\mathbf{K}_1 + \mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{K}_2 + \mathbf{k}_2 + \mathbf{G}_2},$ (4)

where A_{UC} is unit cell area and $K_{1,2}$ represent the Dirac point for each layer which satisfies $K_2 = R_\theta \cdot K_1$, where R_θ is the rotation matrix. Here $\tau_{1/2, \alpha/\beta}$ is a vector connecting the two sites in the unit cell. For the TBD, we consider the *A*-*B* stacking (Bernal) configuration coordinates as $\tau_{1,A} = \tau_{2,B'} =$ (0, 0), $\tau_{1,B} = \tau_{2,C'} = (0, d)$, and $\tau_{1,C} = \tau_{2,A'} = (0, 2d)$ [see Fig. $1(b)$]. To compare the results with TBG, we choose $d = 1.42$ Å, the lattice constant of graphene. Here $t(\mathbf{k})$ is the Fourier transformation of the tunneling amplitude $t(\mathbf{r})$ which satisfies $t_{1,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = t_{1,2}^{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{r}_1 + \tau_{1,\alpha} - \mathbf{r}_2 - \tau_{2,\beta})$ and decays rapidly if *k* in reciprocal space exceeds the Dirac point [\[42\]](#page-8-0). Considering this property, we only need to choose three vectors $G_l = g_{(l),1}, g_{(l),2}, g_{(l),3}$, with $g_{(l),1} = 0, g_{(l),2} = b_{(l),2}$, and $\mathbf{g}_{(l),3} = -\mathbf{b}_{(l),1}$ the reciprocal lattice vectors, $(l) = (1), (2)$ the layer index, and $\mathbf{b}_{(1),i} = R_{\theta} \mathbf{b}_{(2),i}$. Substituting these three vectors into the hopping matrix (4), we have

$$
T_{1,2}(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) = T_{q_b} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - q_b} + T_{q_{tr}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - q_{tr}} + T_{q_{tl}} \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2 - q_{tl}},
$$
\n(5)

where $q_b = \frac{8\pi \sin(\theta/2)}{3a} (0, -1), q_{tr} = \frac{8\pi \sin(\theta/2)}{3a} (\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \frac{1}{2}),$ and $q_{tl} = \frac{8\pi \sin(\theta/2)}{3a}(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ are the vectors connecting the nearest Dirac points of the two layers in the moiré Brillouin zone [see Fig. $2(b)$] and

$$
T_{q_b} = W \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
T_{q_{ir}} = W e^{-i g_{1,2} \cdot \tau_0} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi} & 1 & e^{-i\phi} \\ e^{-i\phi} & e^{i\phi} & 1 \\ 1 & e^{-i\phi} & e^{i\phi} \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
T_{q_{it}} = W e^{-i g_{1,3} \cdot \tau_0} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-i\phi} & 1 & e^{i\phi} \\ e^{i\phi} & e^{-i\phi} & 1 \\ 1 & e^{i\phi} & e^{-i\phi} \end{pmatrix},
$$
 (6)

where $W = \frac{t(|K|)}{A_{\text{UC}}}$, with $|K| = 4\pi/3\sqrt{3}d$, and $\phi = 2\pi/3$. Here we choose $W = 110$ meV as in graphene [\[42\]](#page-8-0). We choose also $\tau_0 = 0$, which is the translation vector of the TBD. For later convenience, we also denote the transition amplitude between the two layers by $W_{\alpha\beta}$.

A. Chiral limit of the TBD

The origin and topological nature of the flat bands can be revealed in the chiral limit. In Ref. [\[48\]](#page-8-0) Tarnopolsky *et al.* proposed the chirally symmetric continuum model for TBG, which is also known as the chiral limit. In their model they considered a Hamiltonian

$$
H_{\text{TBG}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}^*(-\mathbf{r}) \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} -i\overline{\partial} & \alpha U(\mathbf{r}) \\ \alpha U(\mathbf{r}) & -i\overline{\partial} \end{pmatrix},
$$

with the basis $\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = (\psi_1, \psi_2, \chi_1, \chi_2)^T$, where 1 and 2 are the layer indices and ψ and χ correspond to the sublattice. Here α is a parameter, $U(\mathbf{r})$ is the interlayer potential,

and $\bar{\partial} = \partial_x + i\partial_y$. The chiral symmetry is manifested by the particle-hole symmetry $\{H, \sigma_z \otimes 1\} = 0$, where σ_z acts in the sublattice space. The flat bands in TBG satisfy $\mathcal{D}\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = 0$, which also determines the magic angle, and the flat-band wave function $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ behaves like the one in the quantum Hall effect on a torus [\[48\]](#page-8-0). Therefore, these flat bands in TBG are topological. Another reason is that the flat bands can be explained as the zeroth Landau level of the Weyl fermion under a pseudomagnetic field which comes from the lattice distortion of the twisting [\[47\]](#page-8-0) and the zeroth Landau level of the Weyl fermion is topological.

Inspired by this model, we generalize the chiral limit to the TBD model by substituting from the Pauli matrices that act on the sublattice space to the 3×3 **S** matrices defined in [\(1\)](#page-1-0),

$$
H_{\text{TBD}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}^*(-\mathbf{r}) & 0 \\ \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}) & 0 & \mathcal{D}^*(-\mathbf{r}) \\ 0 & \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{r}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)
$$

with the particle-hole symmetry $\{H_{\text{TBD}}, S_3 \otimes 1\} = 0$. The basis is now $\Psi = (\psi_1^A, \psi_2^A, \psi_1^B, \psi_2^B, \psi_1^C, \psi_2^C)^T$, where $\psi^{A,B,C}$ labels the sublattice. Therefore, the generalized chiral symmetry in the TBD corresponds to choosing $W_{AA'} = W_{BB'} =$ $W_{CC'} = W_{AC'} = W_{CA'} = 0$, and the hopping matrices defined in [\(6\)](#page-2-0) become

$$
T_{q_b}^c = W \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
T_{q_{tr}}^c = W e^{-i g_{1,2} \cdot \tau_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ e^{-i \phi} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e^{-i \phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$

\n
$$
T_{q_{tt}}^c = W e^{-i g_{1,3} \cdot \tau_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ e^{i \phi} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & e^{i \phi} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
$$
 (8)

Now we can count the number of flat bands. The usual band counting from TBG at the magic angle is one flat band per valley per spin, with a total number of four, from each of $\mathcal{D}\psi = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}^*\psi = 0$. In the TBD, there are two kinds of flat bands. One is similar to the case of TBG, which comes from $\mathcal{D}\psi_A = 0$ and $\mathcal{D}^*\psi_C = 0$. They produce four flat bands each. The *A* and *C* sublattice indices correspond to the valley indices in TBG. For ψ_B , both $\mathcal{D}\psi_B =$ 0 and $D^*\psi_B = 0$ should be satisfied for flat bands. In the $\alpha = 0$ limit, this means ψ_B should be both holomorphic and antiholomorphic, which is a constant if ψ_B has no singularity. Therefore, ψ_B does not generate flat bands. The other kind of flat bands originates from the destructive interference of the states on the dice lattice structure. We can construct these wave functions in the $\alpha = 0$ limit, to which the model is continuously connected [\[48\]](#page-8-0), namely, $\Psi_0 \propto$ $(a i\overline{\partial}^* \Lambda_1(\mathbf{r}), b i\overline{\partial}^* \Lambda_2(\mathbf{r}), 0, 0, a i\overline{\partial} \Lambda_1(\mathbf{r}), b i\overline{\partial} \Lambda_2(\mathbf{r}))^T$, where *a* and *b* are constants and $\Lambda_{1,2}$ are some arbitrary functions of **r** without singularities. The Chern number of these flat bands is zero. This is also confirmed in the pseudo-Landau-level description discussed in Sec. [III.](#page-4-0) From the Landau-level point of view, the flat bands corresponding to the zeroth Landau level are topological and the ones from the *n*th (*n* > 1) Landau level are trivial.

FIG. 3. Band structure of the *A*-*B* stacking untwisted bilayer dice lattice model. Here we set the reciprocal path the same as for graphene [\[55\]](#page-9-0); the lattice vector and coordinates of atoms in the unit cell are the same as in Fig. $1(b)$. The other parameters are $W_{AB'} = W_{BC'} = 0.33$ eV and (a) $W_{AC'} = 0.33$ eV (the chiral symmetry is broken) and (b) $W_{AC'} = 0$ (the chiral symmetry is preserved).

The chiral symmetry of the Hamiltonian (7) can also be confirmed without twisting (see the Appendix). For *A*-*B* stacking, finite W_{AC} will break the particle-hole symmetry [see Fig. 3(a)].

B. Comparing the band structures of TBG and the TBD

After building the effective model of the TBD, we now can compare the band structures of TBG and the TBD. Similar to Bistritzer and MacDonald's model for TBG [\[42\]](#page-8-0), the Hamiltonian for a layer with the twist angle θ near the Dirac point \mathbf{K}_{θ} can be written as

$$
H_{\mathbf{K}_{\theta}}(\mathbf{q}_{\theta}) = v_f q_{\theta} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{-i(\theta_q - \theta)} & 0 \\ e^{i(\theta_q - \theta)} & 0 & e^{-i(\theta_q - \theta)} \\ 0 & e^{i(\theta_q - \theta)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)
$$

where $\mathbf{q}_{\theta} = q_{\theta} (\cos \theta_q, \sin \theta_q)$ is the momentum measured from the Dirac point \mathbf{K}_{θ} in the moiré Brillouin zone with the unit vectors $b_1^m = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}}$ $\frac{4\pi}{3L_s}(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2})$ and $\mathbf{b_2^m} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{3}L_s}$ $rac{4\pi}{3L_s}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}),$ where $L_s = \frac{a}{2 \sin(\theta/2)}$ is the size of the moiré unit cell [see Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). By using the Bloch bands, we can truncate the TBD model Hamiltonian near the Dirac points in the moiré Brillouin zone. For example, by defining $\mathbf{b} = l_1 \mathbf{b}_1^m + l_2 \mathbf{b}_2^m$, the simplest case is to truncate to the first moiré Brillouin zone [\[42\]](#page-8-0), namely, $l_1 = l_2 = 1$. The truncated Hamiltonian has the form

$$
H_{tr} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\mathbf{K}}^{1}(\mathbf{q}) & T_{\mathbf{q}_{b}} & T_{\mathbf{q}_{tr}} & T_{\mathbf{q}_{lt}} \\ T_{\mathbf{q}_{b}}^{+} & H_{\mathbf{K}}^{2}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}_{b}) & 0 & 0 \\ T_{\mathbf{q}_{tr}}^{+} & 0 & H_{\mathbf{K}}^{2}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}_{tr}) & 0 \\ T_{\mathbf{q}_{lt}}^{+} & 0 & 0 & H_{\mathbf{K}}^{2}(\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}_{lt}) \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(10)

where $H_K^{1(2)}$ is the kinetic part of (9) in layer 1 (2). The basis of the above Hamiltonian is four three-component spinors with the momentum near the central Dirac point in layer 1 and \mathbf{q}_b , \mathbf{q}_{tr} , and \mathbf{q}_{tl} in layer 2 [see Fig. [2\(b\)\]](#page-2-0). The chiral limit is obtained by replacing the hopping matrices $T_{\mathbf{q}_b}$, $T_{\mathbf{q}_r}$, and $T_{\mathbf{q}_t}$ with $T_{q_b}^c$, $T_{q_{tr}}^c$, and $T_{q_{t}}^c$, respectively.

In the numerical calculation, we choose $W_{AA'} = W_{BB'} =$ *W_{CC'}*. In TBG, the absolutely flat band at the magic angle $\theta \approx 1.08^{\circ}$ can be obtained in the chiral limit, namely, by

FIG. 4. Here $W_{AB'} = W = 0.11$ eV. The band structure of TBG in the chiral limit is shown at twist angles (a) $\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$, (c) $\theta = 1.08^{\circ}$, and (e) $\theta = 5^\circ$. The flat band only occurs at the magic angle $\theta =$ 1.08◦. The band structure of the TBD in the chiral limit is shown at twist angles (b) $\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$, (d) $\theta = 1.08^{\circ}$, and (f) $\theta = 5^{\circ}$. Totally flat bands with a high level degeneracy appear at zero energy at all angles. The band structure of the TBD is shown (g) at magic angle $\theta = 1.08^{\circ}$, with $W_{AA'} = 0$ eV and $W_{AC'} = 0.1$ eV, and (h) at $\theta = 5^{\circ}$, with $W_{AA'}/W_{AB'} = 0.5$ eV. In (g) and (h) the chiral symmetry is broken and the degeneracy is lifted. The momentum labels $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 1$ are defined in Fig. [2\(b\).](#page-2-0)

choosing $W_{AA'} = 0$ [\[48\]](#page-8-0). In the chiral limit of the TBD, highly degenerate flat bands at zero energy also exist. We truncate the Hamiltonian at the order of $l_1 = l_2 = 3$ and we choose three moiré angles $\theta = 0.5^{\circ}$, 1.08°, 5° The final results of the band structures of TBG and the TBD are summarized in Fig. 4.

Unlike TBG, which only has flat bands near magic angles, the TBD has flat bands at all angles, which is a manifestation

of the flat band of the single-layer dice lattice model. These TBD flat bands are highly degenerate in the chiral limit, more than the usual band counting. When chiral symmetry is broken by finite $W_{AA'}$ or $W_{AC'}$, the original exactly flat bands in the chiral limit now spread from zero energy and become nearly flat; this behavior is similar to that of Landau levels [see Figs. $4(g)$ and $5(b)$]. Numerically, we find that for small perturbations that break the chiral symmetry, the nearly flat bands are now away from zero energy and the gaps among them and the conduction and valence bands remain [see Figs. $4(g)$ and $4(h)$]. This, however, could be an artifact of the continuum model due to flat bands and the lack of valley structure, which is the limitation of the continuum model away from the chiral limit. To further verify the validity of the continuum model in the flat-band regime, a more controlled calculation, such as a real-space commensurate one, is needed, which is beyond the scope of the present work. Therefore, besides the theoretical analysis in the preceding section, we also numerically confirm that in order to obtain the band structure of exact flat bands at zero energy, namely, the chiral limit, both parameters $W_{AA'}$ and W_{AC} must be zero.

Before ending this section, we would like to comment on the degeneracy of the flat bands of the TBD. In general, the degeneracy is lower when away from the magic angle or the chiral limit. In numerical calculations, apply different cutoff parameters for different twist angles, because the size of the unit cell dependent on the twist angle $|A_{\text{MUC}}|=|\mathbf{a}_1^m \times \mathbf{a}_2^m|$ $(3\sqrt{3}d^2)/[8\sin^2(\theta/2)]$. The smaller the twist angle is, the more Dirac points will be folded in this cutoff. A consequence is that the number of degenerate flat bands decreases as the twist angle increases. Further, the number of flat bands is nearly one-third the number of sites, showing that most of the flat bands originate from the destructive interference of the states on the dice lattice. Our results are consistent with those in Ref. [\[54\]](#page-9-0).

III. THE SU(2) GAUGE POTENTIAL AND PSEUDO-LANDAU-LEVEL STRUCTURE

The chiral limit is an ideal model to study the flat-band structure, while in reality the chiral limit is violated by finite *W_{AA'}* or *W_{AC'}* arising from different atomic stacking and atomic layer deformation [\[56\]](#page-9-0). Fortunately, Liu *et al.* showed that Bistritzer and MacDonald's model for TBG at a small magic angle can be effectively described by pseudo-Landau levels under an $SU(2)$ gauge potential $[47]$. After a gauge transformation on the Bloch functions and expanding the tunneling potential to the linear order of *r*/*Ls*, Liu *et al.* arrived at the pseudo-Landau-level Hamiltonian for TBG,

$$
H_{\text{TBG}}^p = -\hbar v_f \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A} \tau_2 \right) \cdot \sigma + 3u_0 \tau_1, \quad (11)
$$

where $\mathbf{A} = 2\pi u_0^{\prime}/L_s e v_f(y, -x)$ is the SU(2) gauge potential and the Pauli matrices $\tau_{1,2}$ act on the layer index. In addition, u'_0 denotes the hopping parameters $W_{AB'}$ and u_0 denotes $W_{AA'}$. The effective magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \approx 120 \text{ T}$ for TBG at $\theta \approx 1.08^{\circ}$ [\[47,](#page-8-0)[57,58\]](#page-9-0). The effective magnetic fields have opposite directions on each layer; therefore, we can define a time-reversal operator $\Theta = i(\tau_2 \otimes \sigma_0) \mathcal{K}$, where K means complex conjugation. In addition, the time-reversal symmetry

FIG. 5. Landau-level structure of (a) TBG and (b) the TBD, with $u'_0 = 0.01$ eV, $u_0 = 0.1$, and $\mu = 0.05$ eV (red dotted line), and optical conductance σ_{xx} of (c) TBG and (d) the TBD. We choose the room temperature $T = 300$ K. The symbol \pm in (c) represents the two flat bands near zero energy. For energy bands bigger (or smaller) than the zero energy with a typical Landau-level gap, we denote by $L = 0^{\pm}, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ For example, $T_{\pm,0^+}$ represents the contribution from two zero-energy bands to the first Landau level with positive energy denoted by $L = 0^+$. For the flat bands near zero energy in (d), we use their energy value to denote, for example, $T_{-0.012,0^+}$, which represents the contribution from the flat band with energy $E = -0.012$ eV to $L = 0^+$.

is preserved. After a similar treatment, we can also derive the pseudo-Landau-level Hamiltonian for the TBD. By replacing σ, which acts on the sublattice index of graphene, by **S**, the result is

$$
H_{\text{TBD}}^p = -\hbar v_f \left(\mathbf{k} - \frac{e}{\hbar} \mathbf{A} \tau_2 \right) \cdot \mathbf{S} + 3u_0 \tau_1, \tag{12}
$$

where u'_0 denotes hopping parameters $W_{AB'}$ and $W_{BC'}$ in the TBD and u_0 denotes $W_{AA'}$. In the following numerical calculations, we set $u'_0 = 0.01$ eV and $u_0 = 0.1$.

To discuss the Landau-level structure, we transform the Hamiltonian (12) into the basis that diagonalizes τ2,

$$
H_{\text{TBD}}^{p}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pi_{x} + i\pi_{y} & 0 & 3iu_{0} & 0 & 0 \\ \pi_{x} - i\pi_{y} & 0 & \pi_{x} + i\pi_{y} & 0 & 3iu_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & \pi_{x} - i\pi_{y} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3iu_{0} \\ -3iu_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi'_{x} + i\pi'_{y} & 0 \\ 0 & -3iu_{0} & 0 & \pi'_{x} - i\pi'_{y} & 0 & \pi'_{x} + i\pi'_{y} \\ 0 & 0 & -3iu_{0} & 0 & \pi'_{x} - i\pi'_{y} & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
(13)

where $\pi_x = \hbar v_f k_x - ev_f A_x$, $\pi_y = -\hbar v_f k_y + ev_f A_y$, $\pi'_x =$ $\hbar v_f k_x + e v_f A_x$, and $\pi'_y = -\hbar v_f k_y - e v_f A_y$. Since *u*₀ is small, we can treat it as a perturbation. Then, for the unperturbed Hamiltonian H_0 , we define the Landau-level creation

operators of the two layers, $b^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{L_s}{8\pi u'_0 \hbar v_f}}(\pi_x - i\pi_y)$ and $a^{\dagger} = \sqrt{\frac{L_s}{8\pi u'_0 \hbar v_f}} (\pi'_x + i\pi'_y)$, and the nonzero commutators are $[b, b^{\dagger}] = [a, a^{\dagger}] = 1,$

$$
H_0(\mathbf{k}) = \hbar \omega \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b^{\dagger} & 0 & b & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{\dagger} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a^{\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 & a^{\dagger} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (14)
$$

where $\hbar \omega = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi u'_0 \hbar v_f}{L_s}}$. The *H*₀ is block diagonalized for each layer. We denote by $|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle$ and $|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle$ the eigenvalues of the wave functions for layers 1 and 2, respectively. The components of $|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle$ and $|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle$ are made of Landau-level wave functions that satisfy $b |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle$, Landau-level wave functions that satisfy $b|n\rangle = \sqrt{n|n-1}\sqrt{n}$,
 $b^{\dagger} |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle$, $a|m\rangle = \sqrt{m}|m-1\rangle$, and $a^{\dagger} |m\rangle =$ $\sqrt{m+1}$ |*m* + 1). To be more specific,

$$
|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle = (A_n^1|n\rangle, A_n^2|n+1\rangle, A_n^3|n+2\rangle)^T, \tag{15}
$$

$$
|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = (B_m^1|m+2\rangle, B_m^2|m+1\rangle, B_m^3|m\rangle)^T, \qquad (16)
$$

where A_n^i and B_m^j are the normalizing coefficients and when $n(m) < 0, |n(m)\rangle = 0.$

For layer 1, there are three energies for $n > 1$,

$$
E_{n,\pm}^{(1)} = \pm \hbar \omega \sqrt{2n+1}, \quad E_{n,0}^{(1)} = 0. \tag{17}
$$

In the wave function for $E_{n,0}^{(1)}$, the second component $A_{n,0}^2 = 0$, which has a structure similar to that in the flat-band wave function of the dice lattice. For $n = 1$, there are two eigenenergies

$$
E_{1,\pm}^{(1)} = \pm \hbar \omega,\tag{18}
$$

which are the first Landau levels, with the wave functions $\Psi_{1,\pm}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (0, \pm |0\rangle, |1\rangle)^T$. Here $n = 0$ is the topological zeroth Landau level with $E_{0,0}^{(1)} = 0$ and $|\Psi_{0,0}^{(1)}\rangle = (0, 0, |0\rangle)^T$.

For layer 2, the derivation for the spectrum and wave func-For layer 2, the derivation for the spectrum and wave function is similar. For $m > 1$, $E_{m,\pm}^{(2)} = \pm \hbar \omega \sqrt{2m+1}$ and $E_{m,0}^{(2)} =$ 0. The second component of $|\Psi_{m,0}^{(2)}\rangle$, $B_{m,0}^2 = 0$. For $m =$ 1, $E_{1,\pm}^{(2)} = \pm \hbar \omega$ and $|\Psi_{1,\pm}^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|1\rangle, \pm |0\rangle, 0)^{T}$. For $m = 0$, $E_{0,0}^{(2)} = 0$ and $|\Psi_{0,0}^2\rangle = (0,0,0)^T$. We treat small u_0 as a perturbation, which will mix the states between $|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle$ and $|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle$ and lift the degeneracy at zero energy. This is consistent with the results in Sec. [II](#page-1-0) because u_0 corresponds to $W_{AA'}$, which breaks the chiral symmetry. This behavior of small u_0 is also confirmed numerically [see Fig. $5(b)$], which is similar to the band structure in Fig. [4.](#page-4-0) Here we emphasize a difference between TBG and the TBD. Because of the lack of zero-energy states $|\Psi_{n,0}\rangle$ for $n > 1$ in the pseudo-Landau levels of TBG, the effect of u_0 is of the third order $[47]$, while in the TBD, it is of the first order. Therefore, the optical conductance for finite u_0 will behave differently for TBG and the TBD (discussed below). A similarity between TBG and the TBD is that, after the perturbation of u_0 , a double degeneracy remains which is related to the S_3 symmetry $[47]$.

IV. OPTICAL CONDUCTANCE

In reality, materials that rotate the polarization plane of linearly polarized light have many applications in various devices, which is usually achieved by magneto-optical effects, the quantum Hall effect, and the Kerr and Faraday rotations by invoking external magnetic fields [\[59–63\]](#page-9-0), which also limits the applications in small-scale devices. Therefore, searching for materials with intrinsic properties that rotate light is urgent for recent applications. The TBG is such a candidate for advanced optical applications due to the tunable twist angles [\[64,65\]](#page-9-0). The optical conductivity of the bilayer dice lattice without twisting was studied before $[66,67]$. Here we study the optical conductance of the TBD, which could be another candidate for such applications.

We utilize the Kubo formula in the pseudo-Landau-level basis to calculate the optical conductance of the TBD system [\[68\]](#page-9-0),

$$
\sigma_{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{ig}{2\pi\hbar l_B^2} \sum_{LL_s} \frac{f - f'}{\varepsilon' - \varepsilon} \frac{\langle \Psi | j_\alpha | \Psi' \rangle \langle \Psi' | j_\beta | \Psi \rangle}{\omega - (\varepsilon' - \varepsilon) + i\Gamma}, \qquad (19)
$$

where $l_B = \sqrt{L_s h c v_f / 4\pi u'_0}$ is the magnetic length. Without considering the spin degree of freedom, we can simply set $g = 2$. Here *f* is the Fermi distribution and ω is the photon energy. Although we use the pseudo-Landau-level description, no external magnetic field is applied. The pseudomagnetic field is induced by the hopping of $W_{AB'}$ and $W_{BC'}$, which can also be induced by strain $[69]$.

We take into account the contribution of all Landau levels; however, the remaining double degeneracy of the band structure will cause divergence in conductance. For a doubly degenerate band, we set the divergent part of the Kubo formula (19) as

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{f(\epsilon) - f'(\epsilon')}{\epsilon' - \epsilon} = \frac{e^{(\epsilon_0 - \mu)/kT}}{(e^{(\epsilon_0 - \mu)/kT} + 1)^2} \frac{1}{kT}.
$$
 (20)

From the Hamiltonian (14), the current is obtained as $j_{\alpha,\beta} =$ $\frac{\delta H_0(\mathbf{k})}{\delta A_{\alpha,\beta}}$.

The numerical results for $\mu = 0.05$ eV and $T = 300$ K of the conductance of TBG and the TBD are shown in Figs. $5(c)$ and $5(d)$. Since the chemical potential we choose is close to zero, all significant absorption peaks originate from transitions between the near-zero bands and the positive-energy bands or between the negative-energy bands and the near-zero bands. The double-peak structure of TBG is caused by the splitting of double degeneracy, and the width of the splitting is proportional to u'_0 .

By comparing the result of TBG with that for the TBD, we see that the double-peak structure of TBG does not exist in the TBD. In the TBD, the peak splits into several small peaks. This reflects that there are many states near zero energy. In Fig. [5\(c\)](#page-5-0) the distance between the double peaks is $\Delta\omega \sim$ 0.03 eV, which corresponds to 40 μ m, while in Fig. $5(d)$ the typical distance between the split peaks is $\Delta\omega \sim 0.015$ eV and the corresponding wavelength is about 80 µm, which are all in the terahertz range and experimentally detectable. Therefore, this peak-splitting structure provides proof of the experimental prediction showing the existence of the large degeneracy of the flat bands in the TBD.

 ϵ

Although the Landau-level description for TBG fails when the twist angle is away from the magic ones, the Landau-level structure remains in the TBD [see Figs. $4(g)$ and $4(h)$]. Therefore, in the optical conductance at angles other than the magic ones, the peak splitting structure remains in the TBD, whereas the peaks arising from the transitions that form the flat bands disappear in TBG, which is also a key experimental difference between TBG and the TBD.

The transitions between these zero-energy levels are forbidden in the TBD, which means there are no peaks at low frequency near $\omega \sim 0$, which is a key difference from the three-dimensional Kane fermion [\[34\]](#page-8-0). The reason for this phenomenon is attributed to the structure of the current and wave function in two dimensions, that is, $\langle \Psi | j_{\alpha} | \Psi' \rangle \langle \Psi' | j_{\beta} | \Psi \rangle = 0$ between those near-zero bands, and their contribution to the optical conductance being zero, while in three dimensions the k_3S_3 part will have a nontrivial contribution and the transition between different Landau levels has a nonzero k_3 , which causes the peaks near zero frequency [\[34\]](#page-8-0).

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we constructed a lattice model for the TBD. In the chiral limit, it has flat bands at all twisted angles besides the magic ones and the flat bands are broadened when chiral symmetry is broken, which could be confirmed by the peak-splitting structure of the optical conductance near the magic angles. Away from the magic angles, the peak splitting remains in the TBD, whereas these peaks disappear in TBG due to the nonexistence of the flat bands. The flat bands in the TBD are composed of zero-Chern-number bands by destructive interference of the states on the dice lattice as well as the topological nontrivial bands by the moiré structure at the magic angles. In this model we have neglected the spin degrees of freedom of electrons. If the spin-orbital-coupling interaction is added, the bands with zero Chern number may become nontrivial [\[22\]](#page-8-0). It is possible to realize the TBD in the transition-metal oxide SrTiO3/SrIrO3/SrTiO3 trilayer heterostructure by growing and twisting in the (111) direction. As a semiconductor, due to the high DOS of the flat bands of the TBD, the TBD may have potential applications in temperature-sensitive and photosensitive manipulations. With interactions, the TBD may also be a good candidate as a fractional Chern insulator.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through Grant No. 12174067.

APPENDIX: BILAYER DICE LATTICE WITHOUT TWISTING

In the main text we discussed the effects of finite *WAA* and W_{AC} on the flat-band structure of the TBD system; here we consider their effects on the band structure in the aligned bilayer case. The Hamiltonian of the *A*-*B* stacking bilayer dice lattice reads

$$
H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & h(k) & 0 & 0 & W_{AB'} & W_{AC'} \\ h^*(k) & 0 & f(k) & W_{BA'} & 0 & W_{BC'} \\ 0 & f^*(k) & 0 & W_{CA'} & W_{CB'} & 0 \\ 0 & W_{AB'}^* & W_{AC'}^* & 0 & h(k) & 0 \\ W_{BA'}^* & 0 & W_{BC'}^* & h^*(k) & 0 & f(k) \\ W_{CA'}^* & W_{CB'}^* & 0 & 0 & f^*(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(A1)

where $h(\mathbf{k}) = -\tau (e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \tau_B} + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\tau_B - a_1)} + e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\tau_B - a_2)}), \quad f(k) =$ $-\tau(e^{ik \cdot (\tau_B - \tau_C)} + e^{ik \cdot (\tau_B - a_1 - \tau_C)} + e^{ik \cdot (\tau_B - a_2 - \tau_C)}),$ and $\tau =$ $\sqrt{2}v_f\hbar/3d$. The basis of the aligned bilayer dice lattices is $\Psi^{\dagger} = (c_{1,A}^{\dagger}, c_{1,B}^{\dagger}, c_{1,C}^{\dagger}, c_{2,A'}^{\dagger}, c_{2,B'}^{\dagger}, c_{2,C'}^{\dagger})$. The positions of atoms *B* and *C* in one unit cell are $\tau_B = d(0, 1)$ and $\tau_C = d(0, 2)$ and *d* is a lattice constant. Here we have set $W_{AA'} = W_{BB'} = W_{CC'} = 0$. The band structures with $W_{AC'} \neq 0$ and $W_{AC} = 0$ were plotted in Fig. [3,](#page-3-0) where the chiral symmetry is broken for finite $W_{AC'}$.

- [1] A. Mielke, Ferromagnetic ground states for the Hubbard model on line graphs, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/24/2/005) **24**, L73 (1991).
- [2] H. Tasaki, Ferromagnetism in the Hubbard models with degen[erate single-electron ground states,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.1608) Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1608 (1992).
- [3] S. Zhang, H.-h. Hung, and C. Wu, Proposed realization of [itinerant ferromagnetism in optical lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.82.053618) Phys. Rev. A **82**, 053618 (2010).
- [4] J.-W. Rhim, K. Kim, and B.-J. Yang, Quantum distance and [anomalous Landau levels of flat bands,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2540-1) Nature (London) **584**, 59 (2020).
- [5] J.-X. Yin, S. S. Zhang, G. Chang, Q. Wang, S. S. Tsirkin, Z. Guguchia, B. Lian, H. Zhou, K. Jiang, I. Belopolski, N. Shumiya, D. Multer, M. Litskevich, T. A. Cochran, H. Lin, Z. Wang, T. Neupert, S. Jia, H. Lei, and M. Z. Hasan, Negative flat band magnetism in a spin–orbit-coupled correlated kagome magnet, Nat. Phys. **15**[, 443 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41567-019-0426-7)
- [6] E. Tang, J.-W. Mei, and X.-G. Wen, High-temperature fractional quantum Hall states, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**[, 236802 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.106.236802)
- [7] E. J. Bergholtz and Z. Liu, Topological flat band models and [fractional Chern insulators,](https://doi.org/10.1142/S021797921330017X) Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **27**, 1330017 (2013).
- [8] C. Wu, D. Bergman, L. Balents, and S. Das Sarma, Flat bands and Wigner crystallization in the honeycomb optical lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 070401 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.070401)
- [9] C. Wu and S. Das Sarma, $p_{x,y}$ -orbital counterpart of graphene: [Cold atoms in the honeycomb optical lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.235107) Phys. Rev. B **77**, 235107 (2008).
- [10] R. Micnas, J. Ranninger, and S. Robaszkiewicz, Superconductivity in narrow-band systems with local nonretarded attractive interactions, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.62.113) **62**, 113 (1990).
- [11] S. Miyahara, S. Kusuta, and N. Furukawa, BCS theory on a flat band lattice, Physica C **460–462**[, 1145 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physc.2007.03.393)
- [12] H. Aoki, Theoretical possibilities for flat band superconductivity, [J. Supercond. Novel Magn.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10948-020-05474-6) **33**, 2341 (2020).
- [13] [I. Syôzi, Statistics of kagomé lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1143/ptp/6.3.306) Prog. Theor. Phys. **6**, 306 (1951).
- [14] [E. H. Lieb, Two theorems on the Hubbard model,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.1201) Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1201 (1989).
- [15] B. Sutherland, Localization of electronic wave functions due to local topology, Phys. Rev. B **34**[, 5208 \(1986\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.34.5208)
- [16] Z. Liu, Z.-F. Wang, J.-W. Mei, Y.-S. Wu, and F. Liu, Flat Chern [band in a two-dimensional organometallic framework,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.106804) Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 106804 (2013).
- [17] Z. Liu, F. Liu, and Y.-S. Wu, Exotic electronic states in the [world of flat bands: From theory to material,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/23/7/077308) Chin. Phys. B **23**, 077308 (2014).
- [18] P. Sathe, F. Harper, and R. Roy, Compactly supported Wannier [functions and strictly local projectors,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1751-8121/ac1167) J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **54**, 335302 (2021).
- [19] P. Sathe and R. Roy, Compact Wannier functions in one dimension, [arXiv:2302.11608.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.11608)
- [20] Y. Chen, J. Huang, K. Jiang, and J. Hu, Decoding flat bands from compact localized states, Sci. Bull. **68**[, 3165 \(2023\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2023.11.032)
- [21] P. Sathe and R. Roy, Topological triviality of flat Hamiltonians, [arXiv:2309.06487.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.06487)
- [22] F. Wang and Y. Ran, Nearly flat band with Chern number $C = 2$ on the dice lattice, Phys. Rev. B **84**[, 241103\(R\) \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.241103)
- [23] M. R. Slot, T. S. Gardenier, P. H. Jacobse, G. C. P. van Miert, S. N. Kempkes, S. J. M. Zevenhuizen, C. M. Smith, D. Vanmaekelbergh, and I. Swart, Experimental realization and [characterization of an electronic Lieb lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys4105) Nat. Phys. **13**, 672 (2017).
- [24] R. Shen, L. B. Shao, B. Wang, and D. Y. Xing, Single Dirac cone with a flat band touching on line-centered-square optical lattices, Phys. Rev. B **81**[, 041410\(R\) \(2010\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.041410)
- [25] D. Guzmán-Silva, C. Mejía-Cortés, M. A. Bandres, M. C. Rechtsman, S. Weimann, S. Nolte, M. Segev, A. Szameit, and R. A. Vicencio, Experimental observation of bulk and edge [transport in photonic Lieb lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/16/6/063061) New J. Phys. **16**, 063061 (2014).
- [26] S. Mukherjee, A. Spracklen, D. Choudhury, N. Goldman, P. Ohberg, E. Andersson, and R. R. Thomson, Observation of a [localized flat-band state in a photonic Lieb lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.245504) Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 245504 (2015).
- [27] R. A. Vicencio, C. Cantillano, L. Morales-Inostroza, B. Real, C. Mejía-Cortés, S. Weimann, A. Szameit, and M. I. Molina, [Observation of localized states in Lieb photonic lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.245503) Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 245503 (2015).
- [28] S. Taie, H. Ozawa, T. Ichinose, T. Nishio, S. Nakajima, and Y. Takahashi, Coherent driving and freezing of bosonic matter wave in an optical Lieb lattice, Sci. Adv. **1**[, e1500854 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500854)
- [29] S. Xia, Y. Hu, D. Song, Y. Zong, L. Tang, and Z. Chen, Demonstration of flat-band image transmission in optically induced Lieb photonic lattices, Opt. Lett. **41**[, 1435 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.41.001435)
- [30] R. Sarkar, A. Bandyopadhyay, and A. Narayan, Non-Hermiticity induced exceptional points and skin effect in the [Haldane model on a dice lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.035403) Phys. Rev. B **107**, 035403 (2023).
- [31] X. Luo, F.-Y. Li, and Y. Yu, Anomalous magnetic transport and extra quantum oscillation in semi-metallic photon-like fermion gas, New J. Phys. **20**[, 083036 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aad9b7)
- [32] M. Orlita, D. M. Basko, M. S. Zholudev, F. Teppe, W. Knap, V. I. Gavrilenko, N. N. Mikhailov, S. A. Dvoretskii, P. Neugebauer, C. Faugeras, A.-L. Barra, G. Martinez, and

M. Potemski, Observation of three-dimensional massless Kane fermions in a zinc-blende crystal, Nat. Phys. **10**[, 233 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys2857)

- [33] A. Akrap, M. Hakl, S. Tchoumakov, I. Crassee, J. Kuba, M. O. Goerbig, C. C. Homes, O. Caha, J. Novak, F. Teppe, W. Desrat, S. Koohpayeh, L. Wu, N. P. Armitage, A. Nateprov, E. Arushanov, Q. D. Gibson, R. J. Cava, D. van der Marel, B. A. Piot *et al.*, Magneto-optical signature of massless Kane electrons in Cd_3As_2 , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**[, 136401 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.136401)
- [34] X. Luo, Y.-G. Chen, and Y. Yu, Magneto-optical conductance of [Kane fermion gas in low frequencies,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ab358c) New J. Phys. **21**, 083010 (2019).
- [35] B. Bradlyn, J. Cano, Z. J. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, C. Felser, R. J. Cava, and B. A. Bernevig, Beyond Dirac and Weyl [fermions: Unconventional quasiparticles,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf5037) Science **353**, aaf5037 (2016).
- [36] Z. M. Zhu, G. W. Winkler, Q. S. Wu, J. Li, and A. A. Soluyanov, Triple point topological metals, Phys. Rev. X **6**[, 031003 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031003)
- [37] H. Yang, J. B. Yu, S. S. P. Parkin, C. Felser, C.-X. Liu, and B. H. Yan, Prediction of triple point fermions in simple half-Heusler topological insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**[, 136401 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.136401)
- [38] K.-H. Ahn, W. E. Pickett, and K.-W. Lee, Coexistence of triple nodal points, nodal links, and unusual flat bands in intermetallic *A*Pd3 (*A* = Pb, Sn), Phys. Rev. B **98**[, 035130 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.035130)
- [39] T. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Z. Song, H. Huang, Y. He, Z. Fang, H. Weng, and C. Fang, Catalogue of topological electronic materials, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0944-6) **566**, 475 (2019).
- [40] M. G. Vergniory, L. Elcoro, C. Felser, N. Regnault, B. A. Bernevig, and Z. Wang, A complete catalogue of high-quality topological materials, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0954-4) **566**, 480 (2019).
- [41] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, Comprehensive search for topological materials using symmetry indicators, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0937-5) **566**, 486 (2019).
- [42] R. Bistritzer and A. H. MacDonald, Moiré bands in twisted double-layer graphene, [Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1108174108) **108**, 12233 (2011).
- [43] E. Y. Andrei and A. H. MacDonald, Graphene bilayers with a twist, Nat. Mater. **19**[, 1265 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41563-020-00840-0)
- [44] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional supercon[ductivity in magic-angle graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature26160) Nature (London) **556**, 43 (2018).
- [45] B. Lian, Z. Wang, and B. A. Bernevig, Twisted bilayer [graphene: A phonon-driven superconductor,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.257002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 257002 (2019).
- [46] K. P. Nuckolls, M. Oh, D. Wong, B. Lian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, B. A. Bernevig, and A. Yazdani, Strongly correlated Chern insulators in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-3028-8) **588**, 610 (2020)
- [47] J. Liu, J. Liu, and X. Dai, Pseudo Landau level representation of twisted bilayer graphene: Band topology and implications on the correlated insulating phase, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 155415 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.155415)
- [48] G. Tarnopolsky, A. J. Kruchkov, and A. Vishwanath, Origin of [magic angles in twisted bilayer graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.106405) Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 106405 (2019).
- [49] P. J. Ledwith, G. Tarnopolsky, E. Khalaf, and A. Vishwanath, Fractional Chern insulator states in twisted bilayer graphene: An analytical approach, Phys. Rev. Res. **2**[, 023237 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.023237)
- [50] Y. Xie, A. T. Pierce, J. Min Park, D. E. Parker, E. Khalaf, P. Ledwith, Y. Cao, S. H. Lee, S. Chen, P. R. Forrester, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and

A. Yacoby, Fractional Chern insulators in magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04002-3) **600**, 439 (2021).

- [51] J. Cai, E. Anderson, C. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Holtzmann, Y. Zhang, F. Fan, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. Ran, T. Cao, L. Fu, D. Xiao, W. Yao, and X. Xu, Signatures of fractional quantum anomalous Hall states in twisted $MoTe₂$, Nature (London) **622**, 63 (2023).
- [52] H. Park, J. Cai, E. Anderson, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, X. Liu, C. Wang, W. Holtzmann, C. Hu, Z. Liu, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, J. Chu, T. Cao, L. Fu, W. Yao, C. Chang, D. Cobden, D. Xiao, and X. Xu, Observation of fractionally quantized anomalous Hall effect, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06536-0) **622**, 74 (2023).
- [53] Y. Zeng, Z. Xia, K. Kang, J. Zhu, P. Knüppel, C. Vaswani, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Integer and fractional Chern insulators in twisted bilayer MoTe₂, [arXiv:2305.00973.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2305.00973)
- [54] X. Zhou, Y.-C. Hung, B. Wang, and A. Bansil, Generation of isolated flat bands with tunable numbers through Moiré engineering, [arXiv:2310.07647.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.07647)
- [55] G. Catarina, B. Amorim, E. V. Castro, J. M. V. P. Lopes, and N. Peres, Twisted bilayer graphene: Low-energy physics, electronic and optical Properties, in *[Handbook of Graphene Set](https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/book/10.1002/9781119468455)*, edited by E. Celasco, A. N. Chaika, T. Stauber, M. Zhang, C. Ozkan, C. Ozkan, U. Ozkan, B. Palys, and S. W. Harun (Wiley, New York, 2019), Chap. 6, pp. 177–231.
- [56] K. Uchida, S. Furuya, J.-I. Iwata, and A. Oshiyama, Atomic corrugation and electron localization due to Moiré patterns [in twisted bilayer graphenes,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.155451) Phys. Rev. B **90**, 155451 (2014).
- [57] P. San-Jose, J. Gonzalez, and F. Guinea, Non-Abelian gauge [potentials in graphene bilayers,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.108.216802) Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 216802 (2012).
- [58] Y. Ren, Q. Gao, A. H. MacDonald, and Q. Niu, WKB estimate [of bilayer graphene's magic twist angles,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.016404) Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 016404 (2021).
- [59] W.-K. Tse and A. H. MacDonald, Magneto-optical Faraday and Kerr effects in topological insulator films and in other layered quantized Hall systems, Phys. Rev. B **84**[, 205327 \(2011\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.84.205327)
- [60] I. Crassee, J. Levallois, A. L. Walter, M. Ostler, A. Bostwick, E. Rotenberg, T. Seyller, D. van der Marel, and A. B. Kuzmenko, [Giant Faraday rotation in single- and multilayer graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1816) Nat. Phys. **7**, 48 (2011).
- [61] R. Nandkishore and L. Levitov, Polar Kerr effect and time re[versal symmetry breaking in bilayer graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.107.097402) Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 097402 (2011).
- [62] R. Shimano, G. Yumoto, J. Y. Yoo, R. Matsunaga, S. Tanabe, H. Hibino, T. Morimoto, and H. Aoki, Quantum Faraday and Kerr rotations in graphene, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2866) **4**, 1841 (2013).
- [63] M. L. Solomon, J. Hu, M. Lawrence, A. García-Etxarri, and J. A. Dionne, Enantiospecific optical enhancement of chi[ral sensing and separation with dielectric metasurfaces,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsphotonics.8b01365) ACS Photonics **6**, 43 (2019).
- [64] C.-J. Kim, A. Sáchez-Castillo, Z. Ziegler, Y. Ogawa, C. [Noguez, and J. Park, Chiral atomically thin films,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nnano.2016.3) Nat. Nanotechnol. **11**, 520 (2016).
- [65] S. T. Ho and V. N. Do, Optical activity and transport in twisted [bilayer graphene: Spatial dispersion effects,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.195141) Phys. Rev. B **107**, 195141 (2023).
- [66] P. O. Sukhachov, D. O. Oriekhov, and E. V. Gorbar, Stackings [and effective models of bilayer dice lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.075166) Phys. Rev. B **108**, 075166 (2023).
- [67] P. O. Sukhachov, D. O. Oriekhov, and E. V. Gorbar, Optical [conductivity of bilayer dice lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.075167) Phys. Rev. B **108**, 075167 (2023).
- [68] E. Illes and E. J. Nicol, Magnetic properties of the α - T_3 model: Magneto-optical conductivity and the Hofstadter butterfly, Phys. Rev. B **94**[, 125435 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.94.125435)
- [69] J. Sun, T. Liu, Y. Du, and H. Guo, Strain-induced pseudo [magnetic field in the](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.155417) α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B 106, 155417 (2022).