Higher rank chiral fermions in three-dimensional Weyl semimetals

Oleg Dubinkin[®],¹ F. J. Burnell,² and Taylor L. Hughes¹

¹Department of Physics and Institute for Condensed Matter Theory, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801, USA ²Department of Physics, University of Minnesota Twin Cities, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, USA

(Received 26 October 2021; revised 31 July 2023; accepted 19 September 2023; published 21 March 2024)

We report on exotic response properties in 3D time-reversal invariant Weyl semimetals with mirror symmetry. Despite having a vanishing anomalous Hall coefficient, we find that the momentum-space quadrupole moment formed by four Weyl nodes determines the coefficient of a mixed *electromagnetic charge-stress* response, in which momentum flows perpendicular to an applied electric field, and electric charge accumulates on certain types of lattice defects. This response is described by a mixed Chern-Simons-like term in 3 spatial dimensions, which couples a rank-2 gauge field to the usual electromagnetic gauge field. On certain 2D surfaces of the bulk 3D Weyl semimetal, we find what we will call rank-2 chiral fermions, with $\omega = k_x k_y$ dispersion. Such fermion states have a mixed charge-momentum anomaly which is canceled by the bulk of the 3D system.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.115146

I. INTRODUCTION

Chiral fermions have had a remarkable impact across a variety of fields of physics in the past few decades. Whether it is in the context of the weak interactions in particle-physics [1,2], or as low-energy edge or bulk excitations of topological insulators [3,4] and semimetals [5-7], or even as heralds of nonreciprocal light transport in photonic crystal analogs [8-10], there is no denying their broad relevance to a number of physical platforms. From their origin in Lorentz-invariant field theories it is known that these massless, linearly dispersing fermions can intrinsically appear in any odd spatial dimension. Additionally, in a condensed matter context, the famous Nielsen-Ninomiya no-go theorem [11] dictates that local, time-independent lattice Hamiltonians must harbor an even number of chiral fermions, such that the total chirality vanishes. Hence, these restrictions allow 1D chiral fermions to either appear as right/left-mover pairs in a 1D metal, or as isolated chiral edge states of a Chern insulator [4], while 3D chiral (Weyl) fermions appear in nodal pairs in Weyl semimetal materials [5].

Recent developments in the condensed matter and highenergy literature have opened the door to the discovery of new types of massless fermions and bosons in a non-Lorentz invariant, crystalline environment [12-17]. In this work we propose a generalization of chiral fermions for 2-dimensional systems with crystalline symmetry; we call these rank-2 chiral fermions. We present a 2D lattice model exhibiting rank-2 chiral fermions, but where the total higher rank chirality vanishes. Then we present a 3D model in which the rank-2 chiral fermions appear as surface states with an associated anomalous response. We find the anomalous response can be mapped onto a bulk rank-2 Chern-Simons term (in analogy with the dipole Chern-Simons term from Ref. [18]) representing a mixed charge-geometric response, and has a coefficient related to the Berry curvature quadrupole moment of the bulk Fermi surface.

II. CHIRAL FERMIONS AND THE CHIRAL ANOMALY

We begin by reviewing chiral fermions in 1D. For gapless fermions in 1D, the chirality is given by $\chi_1 = \text{sgn}(v)$, where v is a characteristic velocity that fixes the dispersion relation $E(k) = \hbar v k - \mu$. Superficially, this chirality allows us to define *two* currents that are conserved by the classical equations of motion of a general 1D system: the usual charge current j^{μ} (where $j^0 = \sum c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}$), and the axial current j^{μ}_{χ} (where $j^0_{\chi} = \sum_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} c^{\dagger}_{\alpha} c_{\alpha}$), and α runs over all fermion channels. Evidently, j^0_{χ} is associated with the conservation of the difference in the number of right-movers (with positive chirality) and the number of left-movers (with negative chirality).

However, it is well known that these two currents cannot be simultaneously conserved [19–21]. Indeed, in the presence of an electric field E_x , the axial current obeys the anomalous conservation law

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu}_{\chi} = n \frac{eE_{\chi}}{\pi\hbar},\tag{1}$$

where n is the number of channels. This anomalous response reflects the fact that during a process in which one adiabatically shifts the vector potential $A_x \rightarrow A_x + \frac{h}{eL}$, the number of right-moving (left-moving) particles changes by $\delta N_{\chi_1=+1} = +1$ ($\delta N_{\chi_1=-1} = -1$). Strikingly, if $\sum_{\alpha} \chi_{\alpha} \neq 0$, the axial anomaly (1) also implies an anomaly in the U(1) charge current. Thus a net chirality is impossible in a 1D lattice system that conserves the electric charge. However, chiral fermions can appear on the 1D edges of 2D integer quantum Hall [3,22] or quantum anomalous Hall [4,23] systems. Moreover, a whole family of quasi-1D chiral edge states exists on the boundary of 3D, T-breaking Weyl semimetals, and forms a so-called Fermi arc having chiral dispersion in the surface Brillouin zone [6,7]. In these cases, the anomalous conservation law on one boundary is balanced by a current flow through the bulk (possibly from the other boundary) [24], and is a signature of a Hall effect.



FIG. 1. (a) Dispersion relation and Fermi surface contours for Eq. (2). Note that when $\mu = 0$, the Fermi surface consists of two intersecting lines. (b) Dispersion relation of Eq. (2) but with guides to illustrate the chirality $\chi_{K_x}^{\hat{y}}$ in the k_y direction for fixed values of k_x . The yellow line represents the collection of k_{\parallel} Fermi points at a fixed Fermi level represented by the blue plane. (c) Fermi-level contours for the 2D tight-binding dispersion $E(\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \sin k_y$. Orange and blue points are rank-2 chiral fermions having $\chi_2 = \pm 1$, respectively. Thick red/blue lines indicate regions of the $\mu = 0$ Fermi surface that gain/lose particles when an electric field E_x is turned on. Globally there is no momentum anomaly as each fixed k_x slice has a positive and negative chirality.

III. RANK 2 CHIRAL FERMIONS AND THE MOMENTUM ANOMALY

We now discuss a generalization of chiral fermions to 2D. Consider a portion of a 2D Fermi surface, described locally by the dispersion relation:

$$E(\mathbf{k}) = \hbar v \xi k_x k_y - \mu, \qquad (2)$$

where v is a velocity and ξ has units of length. Such local Fermi-surface patches are not uncommon and indeed are guaranteed in 2D bands by Morse theory [25]. The dispersion relation and Fermi surface contours are depicted in Fig. 1(a). To look for a charge anomaly we can consider the current $j^i = \partial H / \partial k_i = \hbar v \xi \sigma^{ij} k_i$ where $\sigma^{xy} = \sigma^{yx} = 1$, $\sigma^{xx} = \sigma^{yy} = 0$ 0. We see that upon adiabatically turning on a constant electric field E_x or E_y , the total number of charged particles below the Fermi surface does not change: for every extra fermion that is added at momentum $\sigma^{ij}k_i$ in the presence of the electric field E_i , there is a partner at the opposite momentum $-\sigma^{ij}k_i$ that is removed. This should be contrasted with the response for a 1D chiral fermion above where inserting a single flux of $E_{\rm r}$ generates an extra particle, leading to an anomaly in the U(1)charge current; such an anomaly is not present in our rank-2 chiral system. However, we will now show that the dispersion relation (2) does exhibit a 2D variant of the axial anomaly, which leads to a violation of momentum conservation in the presence of external electric fields, and a violation of charge conservation in the presence of certain strain fields.

To motivate this anomaly, let us first choose a direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$ in momentum space, and consider a generic Fermi line that satisfies $(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}_F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0) \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}_F}$ is the Fermi velocity at momentum \mathbf{k}_F on the Fermi line. We can associate an axial current to each quasi-1D system at constant $k_{\parallel} \equiv (\mathbf{k} \times \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, via a momentum-resolved chirality $\chi_{k_{\parallel}}^{\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}_F} \operatorname{sgn}(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}_F} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_0)$, where the sum runs over momenta on the constant k_{\parallel} section of the Fermi line. For example, if we fix $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0 = \hat{y}$, then at fixed $k_x = K_x$, we can define an axial current $j_{\chi,y}^0(K_x) = \sum_{k_{y,FS}} \chi_{K_x}^{\hat{y}} \hat{n}_{(K_x,k_{y,FS})}$.

From a global perspective, any closed Fermi surface will intersect each slice at fixed k_{\parallel} an even number of times along the $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$ direction, with equal numbers of positive and negative chirality intersections. Thus for closed Fermi surfaces the total chirality of each fixed k_{\parallel} slice vanishes. In contrast, Eq. (2) describes open Fermi surfaces which have well-defined, nonvanishing chiralities $\chi_{K_y}^{\hat{x}} = \operatorname{sgn}(v\xi K_y)$ for slices at fixed $k_y = K_y$, and similarly for fixed $k_x = K_x$. These values are nonvanishing on each hyperbolic branch of the Fermi surface, since there is only one value of k_i on the Fermi surface at which there is an intersection with each constant $\sigma^{ij}k_i$ slice [see Fig. 1(b)]. Thus, each fixed momentum slice is chiral. Despite this chirality, Eq. (2) has time-reversal symmetry, which implies $\chi_{-k_{\parallel}}^{\hat{k}_{0}} = -\chi_{k_{\parallel}}^{\hat{k}_{0}}$, and hence the net axial charge of the entire Fermi surface vanishes, since each branch of the hyperbola has an opposite chirality. This confirms our claim above about the lack of a conventional axial anomaly in this system.

Interestingly, this failure points the way to the actual anomaly of interest since the *product* $\chi_{k_{\parallel}}^{\hat{k}_{0}} \cdot k_{\parallel}$ does take the same sign on the two hyperbolic Fermi surface branches, and will also do so in general for a Fermi surface interval and its time-reversed partner. Hence, let us specialize to time-reversal invariant systems, and focus on anomalies in the momentum densities/currents

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}}_{a}^{0} = \frac{1}{A_{2D}} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \hbar k_{a} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{k}},\tag{3}$$

where A_{2D} is the area of the 2D system. More precisely, given an interval of the Fermi surface with a nonvanishing chirality $\chi^{\mathbf{k}_0}_{k_{\parallel}}$, and its time-reversed partner, we can apply a uniform electric field in the $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_0$ direction, and consider the change in the k_{\parallel} momentum, i.e., the component of $\hat{\mathcal{J}}_a^0$ perpendicular to the applied field. Physically we expect that an electric field acting on a Fermi surface with a nonvanishing $\chi_{k_{\parallel}}^{\mathbf{\hat{k}}_{0}}$ generates electrons with one sign of k_{\parallel} momentum, and its time-reversed partner will remove electrons with the opposite sign of k_{\parallel} momentum, such that the particle number stays fixed, but there is a net change in momentum. For the hyperbolic Fermi surfaces of Eq. (2) we find that, for any value of μ , turning on E_x by adiabatically shifting A_x by $\frac{h}{eL}$ generates a change in the y-momentum density equal to $\Delta \mathcal{J}_y^0 = -\operatorname{sgn}(v\xi)\hbar \sum_{k_y=-\Lambda_y}^{\Lambda_y} |k_y|, \text{ where } \Lambda_y \text{ is a wave vector}$ cutoff. If we repeat the experiment with E_y we find $\Delta \mathcal{J}_x^0 =$ $-\operatorname{sgn}(v\xi)\hbar\sum_{k_x=-\Lambda_x}^{\Lambda_x}|k_x|$. In the thermodynamic limit, we find $\Delta \mathcal{J}_0^a = -\operatorname{sgn}(v\xi)\frac{2\Lambda_a}{2\pi}\frac{\hbar\Lambda_a}{2^2}$ when an electric field $\sigma^{ab}E_b$ is applied by inserting one flux quantum.

Naively we would like to associate the sign of the momentum anomaly response $sgn(v\xi)$ to a notion of two-dimensional chirality. However, without additional symmetry, this chirality is not well defined since by simply rotating the coordinate system one can transform $k_x k_y \rightarrow -k_x k_y$, hence flipping the sign of the chirality. Thus, to formulate a robust notion of a rank-2 chirality we need to impose symmetry. Let us impose mirror symmetry about the line x = y. This accomplishes several things: (i) it forces $k_x k_y$ and $k_x^2 - k_y^2$ to lie in different symmetry representations such that one can no longer continuously deform $k_x k_y \rightarrow -k_x k_y$ without breaking symmetry [26]; (ii) it establishes a natural pair of directions (up to a sign) in which to consider the anomalous momentum response; these directions are exchanged by the mirror symmetry, and orthogonal to each other, e.g., \hat{x} , \hat{y} for this mirror symmetry; (iii) it requires $\Lambda_x = \Lambda_y \equiv \Lambda$. Hence, with mirror symmetry the anomalous momentum response is characterized by

$$\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{J}_{a}^{\mu} = \chi_{2} \sigma_{ab} \frac{e \Lambda^{2}}{4\pi^{2}} E_{b}, \quad a, b = x, y,$$
 (4)

where χ_2 is the rank-2 chirality, which is equal to sgn($v\xi$) for the dispersion in Eq. (2).

With mirror symmetry we now have a well-defined notion of a chirality, but we still have not indicated a link to a rank-2 structure. The rank-2 nature is more clearly expressed at this level through a reciprocal anomalous response where a gauge field \mathfrak{e}^a_{μ} , which couples canonically to the momentum current \mathcal{J}^{μ}_{a} , can produce an anomaly in the ordinary charge current. Equation (3) implies that each electron couples to e_{μ}^{a} with a charge equal to its a momentum. Adiabatically shifting e_i^a produces opposite electric fields applied to opposite-chirality Fermi surface branches of the rank-2 chiral fermions. Hence we expect such a shift will generate an excess of charge in this case. We note in passing that while it is tempting to identify the fields e^a_{μ} as frame fields, we only consider fields that couple to momenta along translationally invariant lattice directions, which has the effect of limiting the gauge transformations to $\delta_{\lambda} \mathfrak{e}^{a}_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} \lambda^{a}$.

To see the anomaly explicitly, let us shift e_x^y by L_y/L_x in a system with periodic boundary conditions. Physically, this describes a process in which a dislocation with Burgers vector $L_y\hat{y}$ is threaded adiabatically through the hole spanned by the periodic *x* direction [27]. Mathematically, for an electron having a fixed k_y , this shift is equivalent to a shift in A_x by $\frac{\hbar}{e}k_ye_x^y$ [27–29]. Hence an electron with momentum $k_y = 2\pi s_y/L_y$ $(s_y \in \mathbb{Z})$ will experience a shift $k_x \rightarrow k_x + 2\pi s_y/L_x$. If the states at this k_y have $\chi_{k_y,\text{FS}}^{\hat{x}} > 0$ then this will generate s_y particles at k_y . The states at $-k_y$ have the opposite chirality, but also the opposite shift, and thus also contribute s_y $(-s_y)$ particles. In total the change in the charge for positive chirality is $\Delta Q =$ $e \sum_{k_y=-\Lambda_y}^{\Lambda_y} \frac{L_y}{2\pi} k_y = e(\frac{L_y\Lambda_y}{2\pi})^2$. In the presence of mirror symmetry there is a symmetric effect for a shift in $e_y^x \rightarrow e_y^x + L_x/L_y$ that will produce a $\Delta Q = e(\frac{L_x\Lambda_x}{2\pi})^2$, and these effects can be summarized by an anomalous conservation law

$$\partial_{\mu}j^{\mu} = \chi_2 \frac{e\Lambda^2}{4\pi^2} \mathcal{E}_{xy},\tag{5}$$

where mirror-fixed $L_x = L_y = L$, $\Lambda_x = \Lambda_y = \Lambda$, and $\mathcal{E}_{xy} = \partial_x \mathfrak{e}_0^y + \partial_y \mathfrak{e}_0^x - \partial_t \mathfrak{e}_{xy}$ is an effective rank-2 electric field (with a vector charge Gauss law [30–32]). Here, the mirror symmetry allows us to combine $\mathfrak{e}_{xy} \equiv \mathfrak{e}_x^y + \mathfrak{e}_y^x$ to form a symmetric rank-2 gauge field with gauge transformations $\mathfrak{e}_{xy} \rightarrow \mathfrak{e}_{xy} + \partial_x \lambda_y + \partial_y \lambda_x$ which match those of a vector-charge rank-2 gauge field where the vector charge is crystal momentum. Hence, our mirror-symmetric rank-2 chiral fermions have an anomalous rank-2 response, which is the origin of their name.

Before moving on to lattice models with rank-2 chiral fermions, a few remarks are in order. First, for more generic

dispersion relations $E = g^{ij}k_ik_j$, where g^{ij} is a *Lorentzian* metric, a rank-2 chirality can be defined by choosing a mirror line M through the origin of momentum space, i.e., M_{γ_1,γ_2} : $\gamma_x k_x = \gamma_y k_y$, that exchanges the principal axes \hat{x}_1, \hat{x}_2 of the quadratic form g^{ij} . These axes are unique up to a sign, which separates the two chiralities. The resulting rank-2 chiral fermions exhibit anomalies described by Eqs. (4) and (5), but with the replacement $\mathcal{E}_{xy} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{x_1x_2}$, etc. A second comment concerns the cutoff dependence of the response action. We emphasize that this is a momentum cutoff, *not* an energy cutoff: it describes the range of **k** values over which we have included states on the Fermi surface [33]. Below, we consider a 3D model where these cutoffs have a natural physical origin.

In 2D, where the Fermi surface must be closed, the net anomalous response necessarily vanishes when we extend the momentum cutoff to include the entire Brillouin zone. To illustrate this, consider a 1-band, 2D lattice model on a square lattice with only next-nearest neighbor hopping such that the dispersion relation is given by $E(\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \sin k_y$. This model has $M_{1,1}$ mirror symmetry; hence we evaluate the k_x and k_y momentum anomalies. If one expands around $(k_x, k_y) = (0, 0)$ the dispersion is $E(\mathbf{k}) \sim \chi_2 k_x k_y$ with $\chi_2 =$ +1, while around $(k_x, k_y) = (\pi, 0)$ the dispersion is $E(\mathbf{k}) \sim$ $\chi'_2 k_x k_y$, with $\chi'_2 = -1$ [see Fig. 1(c)]. Hence, we find an equal number of chiral and antichiral modes transverse to k_x (and to k_y), which make opposite contributions in Eq. (4).

IV. RANK 2 CHIRAL FERMIONS ON THE SURFACE OF A WEYL SEMIMETAL

A net rank-2 chirality can be realized, however, at the surface of a 3D system. Let us consider a 2-band Bloch Hamiltonian for a Weyl semimetal:

$$H(\mathbf{k}) = \sin k_x \sin k_y \Gamma^x + \sin k_z \Gamma^y + [m + t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y + \cos k_z)]\Gamma^z, \quad (6)$$

where if $\Gamma^a = \tau^a$ Pauli matrices the model has time-reversal symmetry with T = K, $C_2^z = \mathbb{I}$, and $M_{1,1} = \mathbb{I}$, or if $\Gamma^x = \tau^y \otimes \sigma^y$, $\Gamma^y = \tau^x \otimes \sigma^y$, $\Gamma^z = \tau^z \otimes \mathbb{I}$ the model has spinful time-reversal symmetry $T = i\mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma^y K$. We focus on the twoband model for simplicity, as the four-band model behaves just as two copies of the former.

In this model we find several Weyl semimetal regimes summarized in Figs. 2(a), 2(b), and 2(c). In particular, let us focus on the range -3t < m < -t, where the system has four gapless Weyl points in the $k_z = 0$ plane located at $\mathbf{k} = (\pm \arccos(-m/t - 2), 0, 0)^T$ and $(0, \pm \arccos(-m/t - 2), 0)^T$. One can establish the existence of rank-2 chiral fermions on the *z* surfaces via numerical diagonalization, or with analytical lattice methods to solve for surface states shown in, for example, Refs. [35–37] to find surface states that have a dispersion relation $E(\mathbf{k}) = \pm k_x k_y$ centered around the Γ point, with zero-energy lines that terminate at the four Weyl nodes.

From our continuum calculations we expect the rank-2 surface states to be anomalous, with the momentum locations of the Weyl nodes serving as natural momentum cutoffs in the x and y directions. The remaining question is, can we



FIG. 2. (a)–(c) Weyl node positions in the 3D bulk Brillouin zone and surface state structure on the (0,0,1) surface for different values of the parameters *t* and *m*. Red (blue) dots depict Weyl nodes with chirality $\chi = +1$ (-1). Red lines in the 2D surface BZ depict the surface zero modes. (d) Distribution of the k_x momentum density \mathcal{J}_x^0 weighted by the Weyl quadrupole moment $Q_{xx} = \pi^2/2$ in units of $e/8\pi^2$ for a lattice with $N_y \times N_z = 40 \times 40$ and m = -2t in the presence of two opposite magnetic flux lines. (e) Dependence of the momentum density localized in the vicinity of one of flux lines on the amount of magnetic flux Φ_x . Red line is linear fit of the numerical data (blue dots) with the slope ≈ 0.988 . We find the same dependence for the charge density j^0 localized on a torsional magnetic flux \mathcal{B}_x^x (see [34] for details).

describe the anomalous surface response as a bulk response in analogy to how the anomalous response of chiral Fermi arcs encode the bulk anomalous Hall effect [6,38–40]? To this end, let us consider the momentum space locations of the Weyl nodes $\mathbf{K}^{(\alpha)}$. We find that the pair of nodes lying on the k_x axis have Weyl chirality +1, while the pair of nodes on the k_y axis both have Weyl chirality -1. Hence, the total chirality and Weyl momentum dipole moment (i.e., the anomalous Hall coefficients) vanish: $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \chi_{(\alpha)} = 0$, $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \mathbf{K}^{(\alpha)} \chi_{(\alpha)} =$ 0. However, we note that the Weyl momentum quadrupole moment is nonvanishing:

$$Q_{xx} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \chi_{(\alpha)} (K_x^{(\alpha)})^2 = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{4} \chi_{(\alpha)} (K_y^{(\alpha)})^2 = -Q_{yy}.$$
 (7)

Indeed since the mirror symmetry $M_{(1,1)}$ also flips the sign of the Weyl chiralities it enforces $Q_{xx} = -Q_{yy}$, and $Q_{xy} = 0$. We will see below that the anomalous rank-2 surface response is determined by exactly this Weyl quadrupole moment.

V. RANK 2 CHERN SIMONS RESPONSE THEORY

To determine the bulk response we calculate a chargecurrent-momentum-current correlation function using the Kubo formula (see Refs. [34,41] within for details). We find a bulk linear response:

$$\mathcal{J}_{a}^{\mu} = \frac{e}{8\pi^{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} Q_{\nu a} \partial_{\rho} A_{\sigma}, \quad j^{\mu} = \frac{e}{8\pi^{2}} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} Q_{\nu a} \partial_{\rho} \mathfrak{e}_{\sigma}^{a},$$
(8)

where (when $\mu = 0$) the response coefficients are

$$Q_{ia} = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \,\epsilon_{ijk} k_a \mathcal{F}^{jk},\tag{9}$$

where \mathcal{F}^{ij} is the Berry curvature. We show in [34] that for a gapless system, Q_{ia} can be reduced to an integral over the Fermi surface analogous to the arguments in Ref. [42]:

$$Q_{ia} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\rm FS} k_a k_i \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} \, ds_\mu \wedge ds_\nu, \qquad (10)$$

where $\{s_1, s_2\}$ are the coordinates that parametrize the Fermi surface. For a Weyl semimetal, the Fermi surface splits into

disjoint Fermi surfaces FS_{α}, each enclosing an individual Weyl node carrying a Weyl chirality $\chi_{(\alpha)}$. Hence, the integral over the Fermi surface simplifies to a sum over a discrete set of Weyl nodes: $Q_{ia} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_{\text{Nodes}}} \chi_{(\alpha)} K_a^{(\alpha)} K_i^{(\alpha)}$, and is exactly the Weyl momentum space quadrupole moment mentioned above. We note that recent work has shown that the Hall viscosity can be determined by the momentum space quadrupole of the occupied states [43], while our work shows that a similar quantity, calculated on only the Fermi surface, describes a mixed charge-momentum response.

The bulk responses in Eq. (8) are described by the action

$$S[A, \mathfrak{e}] = -\frac{e}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \epsilon^{i\nu\rho\sigma} Q_{ia} A_{\nu} \partial_{\rho} \mathfrak{e}^a_{\sigma}.$$
(11)

For our model, and any Weyl quadrupole model having time-reversal and $M_{(1,1)}$ mirror symmetry, we find a single nonvanishing response coefficient: $\bar{Q} = Q_{xx} = -Q_{yy}$ (note $Q_{xy} = 0$ from the mirror symmetry), and we can simplify the response theory to find

$$S = -\frac{eQ}{8\pi^2} \int d^4x \left[A_z \mathcal{E}_{xy} - A_y \mathcal{E}_z^x - A_x \mathcal{E}_z^y - A_0 \left(\mathcal{B}_y^y - \mathcal{B}_x^x \right) \right],$$
(12)

where $\mathcal{E}_z^a = \partial_z \mathfrak{e}_0^a - \partial_t \mathfrak{e}_z^z$, and $\mathcal{B}_i^a = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j \mathfrak{e}_k^a$. We write the action in this suggestive form to make a direct comparison to the rank-2 scalar charge dipole Chern-Simons theory of Ref. [18]. Indeed we see Eq. (12) is just a vector-charge version of that Chern-Simons theory; i.e., instead of a rank-2 scalar dipole charge we have a rank-2 vector momentum charge. Interestingly, unlike the scalar charge case which was shown to be a pure boundary term [18], this theory gives the bulk responses in Eq. (8). This action should be contrasted with previous work on geometric response in Weyl semimetals which focus on the terms induced by a Weyl dipole [44–47].

Let us now try to understand the physical meaning of the bulk response. Consider responses

$$\mathcal{J}_{a}^{0} = \frac{e\bar{Q}}{8\pi^{2}}B_{a}(\delta_{ax} - \delta_{ay}), \quad j^{0} = \frac{e\bar{Q}}{8\pi^{2}}\mathcal{B}_{i}^{a}(\delta^{ix}\delta_{ax} - \delta^{iy}\delta_{ay}),$$
(13)

which indicate a momentum density attached to magnetic flux and a charge density attached to a torsional magnetic field \mathcal{B}_i^a parallel to the *i*th direction and having the Burgers vector in the *a*th direction. For our model, the second response requires the torsional flux and Burgers vector to be parallel, which is naturally represented by a screw dislocation. Hence, on screw dislocations in the *x* or *y* directions we should find a bound charge density. We numerically confirm both of these responses by inserting localized magnetic (torsional)

- T. D. Lee and C. N. Yang, Question of parity conservation in weak interactions, Phys. Rev. 104, 254 (1956).
- [2] C. S. Wu, E. Ambler, R. W. Hayward, D. D. Hoppes, and R. P. Hudson, Experimental test of parity conservation in beta decay, Phys. Rev. 105, 1413 (1957).
- [3] B. I. Halperin, Quantized Hall conductance, current-carrying edge states, and the existence of extended states in a twodimensional disordered potential, Phys. Rev. B 25, 2185 (1982).

flux tubes in the x direction and calculating the localized momentum (charge) density. Our numerical results exactly match the response equations as shown in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d).

To see how this bulk response is connected to the surface states, we observe that the response action Eq. (12) is not gauge invariant in the presence of a boundary. As a model for the surface we can let $\bar{Q}(z)$ be a domain wall in the z direction [40]. If we put such a domain wall configuration into Eq. (12) and integrate by parts, then the action localized on the domain wall is

$$S_{\partial z} = \frac{e\bar{Q}}{8\pi^2} \int dx \, dy \, dt \Big[A_0 \mathfrak{e}_{xy} - A_y \mathfrak{e}_0^x - A_x \mathfrak{e}_0^y \Big]. \tag{14}$$

If we treat the Weyl points as momentum cutoffs in the x and y directions then we can make the replacement $\overline{Q} = 2\Lambda^2$. The end result has response equations that exactly match the anomalous conservation laws in Eqs. (4) and (5). Thus the rank-2 chiral fermions are the boundary manifestation for the bulk vector-charge Chern-Simons response action.

VI. CONCLUSION

This work has shown that rank-2 chiral fermions, associated with 2D time-reversal and mirror-symmetric systems, exhibit a new type of anomaly, in which momentum transverse to the direction of an applied electric field is not conserved, and where certain lattice defects also lead to violations of charge conservation. We expect that rank-2 chiral fermions can naturally appear in time-reversal invariant Weyl semimetals having nonvanishing Weyl quadrupoles. Some recent work in strongly correlated systems has shown that time-reversal invariant Kondo semimetals may have a Weyl quadrupole moment [48,49]. Furthermore, in a recent joint theoryexperimental work including one of us, a rank-2 chiral mode was generated in a non-Hermitian metamaterial built from a topolectric circuit array [50]. One can identify the rank-2 modes and response by looking at spectroscopy (e.g., ARPES) and identifying charge bound to crystal defects or crystal momentum bound to magnetic field lines. These results represent the first in a family of higher-dimensional systems with various notions of higher-rank chirality. We elaborate on other members of this family in a forthcoming work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

O.D. would like to thank Mark R. Hirsbrunner for useful discussions. O.D. and T.L.H. thank ARO MURI W911NF2020166 for support. F.J.B. is grateful for the financial support of NSF DMR 1928166, the Carnegie Corporation of New York, and the Institute for Advanced Study.

- [4] F. D. M. Haldane, Model for a quantum Hall effect without Landau levels: Condensed-matter realization of the "parity anomaly", Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2015 (1988).
- [5] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, The Adler-Bell-Jackiw anomaly and Weyl fermions in a crystal, Phys. Lett. B 130, 389 (1983).
- [6] X. Wan, A. M. Turner, A. Vishwanath, and S. Y. Savrasov, Topological semimetal and Fermi-arc surface states in the

electronic structure of pyrochlore iridates, Phys. Rev. B 83, 205101 (2011).

- [7] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, and A. Vishwanath, Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids, Rev. Mod. Phys. 90, 015001 (2018).
- [8] F. D. M. Haldane and S. Raghu, Possible realization of directional optical waveguides in photonic crystals with broken time-reversal symmetry, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 013904 (2008).
- [9] S. Raghu and F. D. M. Haldane, Analogs of quantum-Halleffect edge states in photonic crystals, Phys. Rev. A 78, 033834 (2008).
- [10] T. Ozawa, H. M. Price, A. Amo, N. Goldman, M. Hafezi, L. Lu, M. C. Rechtsman, D. Schuster, J. Simon, O. Zilberberg *et al.*, Topological photonics, Rev. Mod. Phys. **91**, 015006 (2019).
- [11] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, A no-go theorem for regularizing chiral fermions, Phys. Lett. B 105, 219 (1981).
- [12] A. Paramekanti, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, Ring exchange, the exciton Bose liquid, and bosonization in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054526 (2002).
- [13] J. Cano, B. Bradlyn, and M. G. Vergniory, Multifold nodal points in magnetic materials, APL Mater. 7, 101125 (2019).
- [14] Z. Wang, A. Alexandradinata, R. J. Cava, and B. A. Bernevig, Hourglass fermions, Nature (London) 532, 189 (2016).
- [15] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic symmetries, duality, and fractons in 2+1-dimensional quantum field theory, SciPost Phys. 10, 027 (2021).
- [16] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic U(1) symmetries, duality, and fractons in 3+1-dimensional quantum field theory, SciPost Phys. 9, 046 (2020).
- [17] P. Gorantla, H. T. Lam, N. Seiberg, and S.-H. Shao, More exotic field theories in 3+1 dimensions, SciPost Phys. 9, 073 (2020).
- [18] Y. You, F. J. Burnell, and T. L. Hughes, Multipolar topological field theories: Bridging higher order topological insulators and fractons, Phys. Rev. B 103, 245128 (2021).
- [19] S. L. Adler, Axial-vector vertex in spinor electrodynamics, Phys. Rev. 177, 2426 (1969).
- [20] J. Bell and R. Jackiw, A PCAC puzzle: $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ in the σ -model, Nuovo Cim. A **60**, 47 (1969).
- [21] M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory (Westview, Boulder, CO, 1995).
- [22] X.-G. Wen, Theory of the edge states in fractional quantum Hall effects, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 06, 1711 (1992).
- [23] C.-Z. Chang, J. Zhang, X. Feng, J. Shen, Z. Zhang, M. Guo, K. Li, Y. Ou, P. Wei, L.-L. Wang, Z.-Q. Ji, Y. Feng, S. Ji, X. Chen, J. Jia, X. Dai, Z. Fang, S.-C. Zhang, K. He, Y. Wang *et al.*, Experimental observation of the quantum anomalous Hall effect in a magnetic topological insulator, Science **340**, 167 (2013).
- [24] C. G. Callan and J. A. Harvey, Anomalies and fermion zero modes on strings and domain walls, Nucl. Phys. B 250, 427 (1985).
- [25] L. Van Hove, The occurrence of singularities in the elastic frequency distribution of a crystal, Phys. Rev. 89, 1189 (1953).
- [26] The mirror symmetry does allow for the addition of $\beta(k_x^2 + k_y^2)$, to the dispersion, but as long as this term is not large enough to drive a Lifshitz transition that disrupts the hyperbola-like Fermi surface (i.e., let $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2}|\hbar v\xi|$), then the chirality remains well defined.

- [27] T. L. Hughes, R. G. Leigh, and E. Fradkin, Torsional response and dissipationless viscosity in topological insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 075502 (2011).
- [28] T. L. Hughes, R. G. Leigh, and O. Parrikar, Torsional anomalies, Hall viscosity, and bulk-boundary correspondence in topological states, Phys. Rev. D 88, 025040 (2013).
- [29] O. Parrikar, T. L. Hughes, and R. G. Leigh, Torsion, parity-odd response, and anomalies in topological states, Phys. Rev. D 90, 105004 (2014).
- [30] A. Rasmussen, Y.-Z. You, and C. Xu, Stable gapless Bose liquid phases without any symmetry, arXiv:1601.08235.
- [31] M. Pretko, Subdimensional particle structure of higher rank U(1) spin liquids, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 115139 (2017).
- [32] M. Pretko, The fracton gauge principle, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 115134 (2018).
- [33] While for a continuum theory this might seem problematic it is not completely unexpected [27,28,43] as momentum transport can be dominated by, and diverge due to, the states at large momentum, which hence have to be cut off.
- [34] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.109.115146 for additional calculation details.
- [35] M. König, H. Buhmann, L. W. Molenkamp, T. Hughes, C.-X. Liu, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, The quantum spin Hall effect: Theory and experiment, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 77, 031007 (2008).
- [36] V. Dwivedi and V. Chua, Of bulk and boundaries: Generalized transfer matrices for tight-binding models, Phys. Rev. B 93, 134304 (2016).
- [37] V. Dwivedi and S. T. Ramamurthy, Connecting the dots: Timereversal symmetric Weyl semimetals with tunable Fermi arcs, Phys. Rev. B 94, 245143 (2016).
- [38] A. A. Burkov and L. Balents, Weyl semimetal in a topological insulator multilayer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 127205 (2011).
- [39] A. A. Zyuzin and A. A. Burkov, Topological response in Weyl semimetals and the chiral anomaly, Phys. Rev. B 86, 115133 (2012).
- [40] S. T. Ramamurthy and T. L. Hughes, Patterns of electromagnetic response in topological semimetals, Phys. Rev. B 92, 085105 (2015).
- [41] X.-L. Qi, Y.-S. Wu, and S.-C. Zhang, Topological quantization of the spin Hall effect in two-dimensional paramagnetic semiconductors, Phys. Rev. B 74, 085308 (2006).
- [42] F. D. M. Haldane, Berry curvature on the Fermi surface: Anomalous Hall effect as a topological Fermi-liquid property, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 206602 (2004).
- [43] P. Rao and B. Bradlyn, Hall viscosity in quantum systems with discrete symmetry: Point group and lattice anisotropy, Phys. Rev. X 10, 021005 (2020).
- [44] Y. You, G. Y. Cho, and T. L. Hughes, Response properties of axion insulators and Weyl semimetals driven by screw dislocations and dynamical axion strings, Phys. Rev. B 94, 085102 (2016).
- [45] Z.-M. Huang, L. Li, J. Zhou, and H.-H. Zhang, Torsional response and Liouville anomaly in Weyl semimetals with dislocations, Phys. Rev. B 99, 155152 (2019).
- [46] Y. Ferreiros, Y. Kedem, E. J. Bergholtz, and J. H. Bardarson, Mixed axial-torsional anomaly in Weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 056601 (2019).
- [47] L. Liang and T. Ojanen, Topological magnetotorsional effect in Weyl semimetals, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 022016(R) (2020).

- [48] H.-H. Lai, S. E. Grefe, S. Paschen, and Q. Si, Weyl-Kondo semimetal in heavy-fermion systems, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 115, 93 (2018).
- [49] S. Dzsaber, X. Yan, M. Taupin, G. Eguchi, A. Prokofiev, T. Shiroka, P. Blaha, O. Rubel, S. E. Grefe, H.-H. Lai *et al.*, Giant

spontaneous Hall effect in a nonmagnetic Weyl-Kondo semimetal, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **118**, e2013386118 (2021).

[50] P. Zhu, X.-Q. Sun, T. L. Hughes, and G. Bahl, Higher rank chirality and non-Hermitian skin effect in a topolectrical circuit, Nat. Commun. 14, 720 (2023).