Percolation transition in a topological phase

Saikat Mondal[®][,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6954-2946)^{*} Subrata Pachha[l](https://orcid.org/0009-0008-2089-1759)[®],[†] and Adhip Agarwala[‡] *Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kalyanpur 208016, UP, India*

 \bigcirc (Received 28 September 2023; accepted 28 November 2023; published 11 December 2023)

Transition out of a topological phase is typically characterized by discontinuous changes in topological invariants along with bulk gap closings. However, as a clean system is geometrically punctured, it is natural to ask the fate of an underlying topological phase. To understand this physics we introduce and study both short- and long-ranged toy models where a one-dimensional topological phase is subjected to bond percolation protocols. We find that non-trivial boundary phenomena follow competing energy scales even while the global topological response is governed via geometrical properties of the percolated lattice. Using numerical, analytical, and appropriate mean-field studies we uncover the rich phenomenology and the various crossover regimes of these systems. In particular, we discuss the emergence of the "fractured topological region" where an overall trivial system contains a macroscopic number of topological clusters. Our study shows the interesting physics that can arise from an interplay of geometrical disorder within a topological phase.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L220201](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L220201)

Introduction. Percolation transition [\[1–7\]](#page-4-0) is a geometrical phase transition which deals with the connectivity of a graph without any underlying energetic considerations. For short-ranged bond percolation in one dimension [\[3,6\]](#page-4-0), with *p* as the probability of having the nearest-neighbor bond, the percolation transition happens at $p_c = 1$. However, in higher dimensions $[8-10]$ it is known that p_c can be less than 1. Critical exponents at the percolation transition follow universal behavior similar to second-order phase transitions [\[5,6,11\]](#page-4-0). Thus, percolation transitions offer an alternate playground to study critical phenomena which are not engineered by thermal fluctuations but rather are governed by geometrical "fluctuations" of the graph. Interplay of such geometrical phase transitions with conventional Hamiltonian based classical and quantum symmetry broken phases has been long investigated [\[12–21\]](#page-4-0). In particular, the effective dimension of the critical cluster at the percolation threshold has been shown to determine the nature of the phase diagrams both in higher dimensions [\[15\]](#page-4-0) and in long-range systems even in one dimension [\[18,22–26\]](#page-4-0). However, similar questions in the context of topological quantum phases have been only slightly explored $[27,28]$. Such questions can help shed light on a plethora of experimental studies where the interplay of disorder and topological phases have become increasingly important [\[29–](#page-4-0)[40\]](#page-5-0).

In this work, we pose the question—can a topological phase and its response functions detect an underlying geometrical (percolation) phase transition? To answer this question we introduce and study two toy models in one dimension where the graph is a one-dimensional chain with short- and long-range couplings and the decorated Hamiltonian on the

graph is the paradigmatic Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) chain [\[41,42\]](#page-5-0) [see Fig. [1\(a\)\]](#page-1-0). The first model we discuss is the short-ranged (SR) model, where the inter-unit-cell (composed of sites A and B) bond hopping (*w*) in the conventional SSH model is percolated while the intra-unit-cell hopping (*v*) is kept intact. Here, we find interesting signatures of the percolation transition as $p \rightarrow (p_c = 1)$, where a response function, such as polarization $[43-45]$, approaches 1 and the system hosts zero energy modes. For $p < 1$ we show the breakdown of bulk-boundary correspondence, where polarization is ∼0 even though the system hosts robust zero modes. In order to capture the physics of $p_c < 1$ we introduce a long-range (LR) model where inter-unit-cell bonds are now long-ranged and chosen probabilistically. In particular, the probability of a LR bond falls as p/r^{α} ($\alpha > 1$) but the hopping strength is kept as *w* such that geometrical $p_c < 1$. Interestingly, we find that typical signatures of a topological phase in one dimension such as polarization (P) and robust zero-modes (N_0) follow distinctive behaviors reflecting either geometrical or energetic considerations. Using a combination of numerical and "meanfield" analytic studies, we show that these models can indeed capture interesting aspects of percolation transitions in a topological phase.

Bond-diluted SSH chain. We first consider a percolating SR-SSH chain [\[41,42\]](#page-5-0) with the Hamiltonian

$$
H = v \sum_{n=1}^{L} (c_{n,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} + c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n,A}) + \sum_{n=1}^{L-1} w_{n,n+1} (c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n+1,A} + c_{n+1,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B}),
$$
 (1)

where *L* is the number of unit cells, $c_{n,A}$ ($c_{n,B}^{\dagger}$) is the annihilation (creation) operator for a fermion in sub-lattice *A* (*B*) of *n*-th unit cell. The parameters *v* and $w_{n,n+1}$ denote the

^{*}msaikat@iitk.ac.in

[†]pachhal@iitk.ac.in

[‡]adhip@iitk.ac.in

FIG. 1. (a) Bond-diluted long-range (LR) SSH chain where intraunit-cell (*A* and *B* sites) hopping is *v*. The probability of having the bond between the unit cells separated by a distance *r* is $p_{n,n+r} = p/r^{\alpha}$ and the hopping strength is $w_{n,n+r} = w$. In (b), a schematic phase diagram for *p* and α in the deep topological phase ($|w| \gg |v|$) is shown. Schematic phase diagram for w/v with *p* for a fixed α is shown in (c), where p^* is the threshold where end-to-end connectivity of the chain is established. *P* and *N*⁰ are the polarization and the number of zero-energy modes, respectively. The dotted curves in (c) are the mean-field critical curves (see text).

intra- and inter-unit-cell hopping strengths, where the latter is chosen from a probability distribution:

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{SR}(w_{n,n+1}) = p\delta(w_{n,n+1} - w) + (1 - p)\delta(w_{n,n+1}).
$$
 (2)

Thus, p (where $0 \leq p \leq 1$) is the probability of having a bond between the nearest neighboring unit cells with hopping strength *w*. Choosing *w* and *v* as real, our system always belongs to the symmetry class BDI [\[46,47\]](#page-5-0). We always study the system at half-filling, such that Fermi energy remains pinned to zero.

Phase diagram. At $p = 1$ we restore a clean SSH chain (see Refs. [\[48–50\]](#page-5-0)), which is topologically non-trivial with two zero-modes $(N_0 = 2)$ when $|w| > |v|$ and is trivial when $|w| < |v|$. The polarization (see Supplemental Mate-rial (SM) [\[51\]](#page-5-0)) takes $P = 1$ and $P = 0$ in the two phases, respectively, indicating the existence of bulk-edge correspondence at $p = 1$. As the chain is diluted (i.e., $p < 1$), we find that the polarization is always zero ($P \sim 0$) for both $|w| > |v|$ and $|w| < |v|$, as evident from Fig. 2(a). Importantly, with increasing system size, $P \rightarrow 1$ (in the topological regime) only at $p = 1$ [see Fig. 2(b)]. This would suggest that any amount of percolation in the SR-SSH chain immediately renders it trivial. However, the number of zero-modes in the system shows a nontrivial behavior which we now discuss.

Given a typical Kubo gap in the system is $\Delta E \sim 1/L$, we associate the number of zero modes $= N_0$ to be the number of single-particle eigen-energies E_i which lie within the window $|E_i| < \Delta E$. We find that N_0 is identically zero when $|w| < |v|$ for all values of *p* but can take *non-zero* values when $|w| > |v|$ even when $p < 1$ [see Fig. $2(c)$]. This indicates a breakdown of bulk-edge correspondence in the percolating (i.e., when $p < 1$) topological phase—where even though the individual clusters have robust zero modes, the overall system does not

FIG. 2. (a) Polarization (*P*) as a function of w/v and *p* for a bond-diluted SR-SSH chain [see Eqs. (1) and (2)] with $L = 64$. (b) *P* as a function of *p* for different sizes *L* ($w/v = 10.0$). (c) The number of zero-energy modes (N_0) as a function of w/v and p for $L = 64$. (d) Behavior of N_0 with p in the deep topological regime $(w/v = 100.0)$ for $L = 100$. (e) Schematic phase diagram with the parameters w/v and p. (f) Mean energy-gap (ϵ) per cluster [see Eq. [\(3\)](#page-2-0)] in the units of *v* (where $v = 1$) as a function of *p* for $L = 100$ for different w/v . The dotted lines in (a), (c), (e) are the mean-field critical lines: $wp = \pm v$. $p_c = 1$ is the classical percolation threshold. Configuration averaging is performed over 200 realizations in (a), (c) and over 1000 realizations in (b), (d), (f). The error-bars are always denoted by shaded thickness of the curves.

have a finite polarization. This implies that polarization is a truly global object, which requires end-to-end connectivity in the system. Interestingly, N_0 goes to zero at a much lower value of *p* given by ∼|*v*/*w*| shown by a dashed line in Fig. $2(c)$. To estimate this competing energy scale, we construct an effective "mean-field" Hamiltonian (H_{MF}) where a translationally invariant model is considered where hopping strength between unit cells (w) is scaled by the probability of having the corresponding connectivity p (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). This is similar to coherent potential approximation common in study of alloys [\[52,53\]](#page-5-0). Thus, the trivial to topological phase transition here happens at $|wp| = |v|$. Therefore, while the appearance of the robust zero modes is governed by H_{MF} , the behavior of polarization is determined by the geometric transition. The robustness of these zero modes are against further intra-cluster weak energetic disorder that continues to preserve the BDI symmetry class and are perturbative compared to the bulk gap scale.

Geometrical properties of the percolating clusters determine the number of zero-modes deep in the topological phase (i.e., $|w| \gg |v|$) since every cluster hosts two "edge modes". An upper bound on N_0 is $\approx 2 \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} N_s = 2Lp(1-p)$, where

 \mathcal{N}_s is the number of clusters with *s* unit-cells (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). This is confirmed numerically in Fig. $2(d)$. Thus the following qualitative phase diagram emerges: the percolation transition $(p_c = 1)$ is captured by *P* while N_0 follows the mean-field critical lines $wp = \pm v$ [see Fig. [2\(e\)\]](#page-1-0). The approach to percolation transition criticality predicts interesting scaling for physical quantities. For instance, *P* approaches 1 near $p \rightarrow p_c$ as $(1 - P) \sim L^{-2/\nu_p}$, where $\nu_p = 1$ and is thus determined by percolation exponent (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). Similarly, the mean energy gap (ϵ) per cluster, defined as

$$
\epsilon = \frac{\sum_{s} \epsilon_{s} \mathcal{N}_{s}}{\sum_{s} \mathcal{N}_{s}},\tag{3}
$$

exhibits interesting behavior near p_c for different values of *w*, *v*. Here, ϵ_s is the energy-gap in a cluster with *s* unit cells. A typical cluster of size *s*, for $|w| \gg |v|$ has $\epsilon_s \sim \exp(-s/\lambda)$ because of hybridizing edge modes. For $|w| \ll |v|$, the clusters are trivial and gapped with $\epsilon_s \sim 2|v - w|$ and at $|w| =$ $|v|, \epsilon_s \sim 1/s$. This results in a linear behavior of $\epsilon \to 0$ as $p \rightarrow 1$ at $|w| \gg |v|$ and a logarithmic dependence at $|w| =$ |*v*| (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). All these results are numerically confirmed in Fig. [2\(f\).](#page-1-0) Thus, the SR-SSH chain shows the non-trivial role of percolation physics in a topological phase. We now discuss long-range chains where p_c can be tuned below 1.

Bond-diluted LR-SSH chain. Geometrically, for longranged bond-diluted chains [\[23,24,26\]](#page-4-0), where the probability of having a bond between the *n*-th and $(n + r)$ -th sites goes as $p_{n,n+r} = p/r^{\alpha}$ (where $\alpha > 1$), the percolation transition is found to occur at $p_c = 1$ for $\alpha > 2$ and $p_c < 1$ for $1 <$ α < 2, respectively, thus differentiating the two situations within a renormalization group study [\[24,26\]](#page-4-0). A lower bound on p_c is given by Bethe threshold (p_c^{Bethe}) [\[23,26\]](#page-4-0) which is the value of *p* where a site is coupled to at least one other site, i.e., $p_c^{\text{Bethe}} \sum_r (2/r^{\alpha}) \sim 1$, which implies $p_c^{\text{Bethe}} \sim$ $1/(2Li_{\alpha}(1))$, where $Li_{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (x^{r}/r^{\alpha})$. In order to study the role of topological quantum Hamiltonian on such a LR chain we study the following model:

$$
H = v \sum_{n=1}^{L} (c_{n,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B} + c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n,A})
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n=1}^{L-1} \sum_{r=1}^{L-n} w_{n,n+r} (c_{n,B}^{\dagger} c_{n+r,A} + c_{n+r,A}^{\dagger} c_{n,B}),
$$
 (4)

where the probability distribution of the inter-cell hopping strength $w_{n,n+r}$ between the *n*-th and $(n + r)$ -th unit cells is

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{LR}(w_{n,n+r}) = \frac{p}{r^{\alpha}} \delta(w_{n,n+r} - w) + \left(1 - \frac{p}{r^{\alpha}}\right) \delta(w_{n,n+r}),\tag{5}
$$

where $w_{n,n+r}$ can assume the values $w \neq 0$ and zero with the probabilities p/r^{α} and $(1 - (p/r^{\alpha}))$, respectively, where $\alpha > 1$. The probability of having a bond between the unit cells decreases with the distance *r*, but the inter-cell hopping strength (w) remains constant. The corresponding H_{MF} where the inter-unit-cell hopping goes as $\sim \frac{wp}{r^{\alpha}}$ (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)) governs physics which is determined by the average energetics of the problem.

FIG. 3. (a) Number of zero-modes (N_0) as a function of w/v and *p* for Model-LR with $\alpha = 1.6$ and $L = 100$, (b) N_0 as a function of w/v for LR and mean-field (MF) model with $\alpha = 1.6$, $p =$ 0.3, and $L = 100$. The dotted lines are the MF critical lines $wp =$ $(-v)/(\text{Li}_{\alpha}(-1))$ and $wp = (-v)/(\text{Li}_{\alpha}(1))$. Configuration averaging is performed over 200 realizations in (a) and over 1000 realizations in (b) .

Results and phase diagram. In the LR system we calculate *N*⁰ and find it is non-zero in the same parameter regime where the corresponding H_{MF} is non-trivial [see Fig. 3(a) where the mean-field critical lines and N_0 are shown]. The mean-field critical lines can be easily estimated to be $wp =$ $(-v)/(\text{Li}_{\alpha}(-1))$ and $wp = (-v)/(\text{Li}_{\alpha}(1))$. This also corroborates with the behavior in the SR model in $\alpha \gg 2$ limit. The number of zero modes, however is dependent on the nature of the geometrical disorder—as can be seen in Fig. 3(b) where Model-LR has significantly higher N_0 compared to the mean-field (MF) model. This is expected since for translationally invariant MF model $N_0 = 2$ in the topological regime; while in LR given a finite p_c the system has larger number of disconnected clusters for the same value of α and w/v (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). We next investigate the behavior of polarization in this system. While in the trivial regime ($|w| < |v|$) *P* ~ 0 irrespective of the value of α and p , deep in the topological regime the behavior of *P* is quite unique. For $|w| \gg |v|$, when $\alpha \gg 2$, *P* ∼ 1 only when *p* → 1 consistent with the SR system. However, as α is reduced, we find that P undergoes a transition from 1 to zero, at neither the mean-field value *nor* the geometrical percolation transition. The transition happens at a different crossover scale p we label p^* . This divides the complete phase space into three distinct regions: for $p > p^*$, where both $P \sim 1$, $N_0 \neq 0$ and thus the system is "topological" even while percolation disorder is present. For $p < p_{MF}$ [where $p_{MF} = |(-v)/(w \text{Li}_{\alpha}(1))|$] both *P* and N_0 are 0 signaling a trivial phase. In between, for $p > p_{MF}$ and $p < p^*$ while the system has a number of zero modes (coming from underlying topological clusters), $P \sim 0$ signaling an absence of any global topological response [see Figs. $4(a)$, $4(b)$]. We dub this regime a Fractured Topological Region (FTR). Study $\sum_{i=1}^{N_0} | \langle n | \psi_i \rangle |^2$, *n* is site index] confirms such modes localized of local density of states for zero energy modes $[LDOS(n)]$ at the "edges" of different clusters in FTR [see Fig. $4(c)$]. The fractured region is thus characterized by an extensive number of zero modes (see $[51]$), determined by a combination of topological and geometrical properties—before the system eventually transits to a trivial phase. However, for $p > p^*$ the system undergoes a transition from topological to trivial phase as w/v is tuned at a value determined by the H_{MF} . This qualitatively points out the schematic phase diagrams shown in Figs. $1(b)$ and $1(c)$.

FIG. 4. (a) Polarization (*P*) as a function of α and p for Model-LR with $|w|/|v| = 5.0$ and $L = 64$. (b) Number of zero-energy modes (N_0) and *P* with *p* for LR with $|w|/|v| = 5.0$, $L = 64$ and $\alpha =$ 3.0. (c) Local density of states (LDOS) of the zero-energy modes as a function of site index (*n*) and *p* for LR with $|w|/|v| = 5.0$ and $\alpha = 3.0$. Here, p_c is classical percolation threshold, $p^* = 1/(\text{Li}_{\alpha}(1))$ and $p_{MF} = |(-v)/(w \text{Li}_{\alpha}(1))|$. Configuration averaging is performed over 200 realizations in (a) and over 1000 realizations in (b).

We note that while at $\alpha \gg 2$ value of $p^* = p_c \sim 1$, at small α , $p^* \neq p_c$. Thus the polarization physics is not just decided by the formation of a spanning cluster; in fact we find *p*^{*} is broadly the value of *p* when $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{p}{r^{\alpha}} \sim 1$ [i.e., $p^* \sim$ $(Li_{\alpha}(1))^{-1}$] which is the probability when a site is connected to any site on one of its sides. Thus *p*[∗] represents end-to-end connectivity between the two ends of the lattice which is $\rightarrow 1$ as $\alpha \gg 2$ thus coinciding with the geometrical p_c . In another model, where $w_{n,n+r}$ has the following probability distribution $(p_c = 0)$:

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{LR2}(w_{n,n+r}) = p\delta\Big(w_{n,n+r} - \frac{w}{r^{\alpha}}\Big) + (1-p)\delta(w_{n,n+r}), \tag{6}
$$

another *p*[∗] emerges due to a different percolation properties of the links (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)), even though the p_{MF} remains the same as before. While our qualitative argument shows that the end-to-end connectivity gets established at *p*[∗] we do not find any signatures of a thermodynamic phase transition for the electronic system. This is not unexpected since the electronic problem still resides on a highly disordered landscape. It will be interesting to find classical signatures of *p*[∗] and how they are different from *pc*.

Discussion. It is pertinent to note that while in a translationally symmetric Hamiltonian, topological and trivial phases are separated by a critical point where both the topological invariant and its boundary manifestations undergo concurrent transitions—the same physics does not occur under a geometrical disorder. As a topological phase is punctured (here using a percolation protocol), it is expected that eventually the

topological phase gives way to a trivial phase. However, our study shows that these two well-defined limits are separated by a large region where bulk-boundary correspondence breaks down. We also point out that even though we maintain the symmetry class of the underlying parent topological phase; these different regimes which seem to be separated out by distinct energy scales or geometrical properties are not separated via a critical point in the sense of a diverging length scale. The behavior of quantities such as gap, fidelity susceptibility, IPR, and entanglement entropy suggests that all these phases and their crossover boundaries have localized states near Fermi energy (see [\[51\]](#page-5-0)). In this sense, these transitions are also distinct from studies of perturbative disorder such as Anderson/hopping disorder where no geometrical phase transitions occur [\[37](#page-4-0)[,54–56\]](#page-5-0). We also point out that polarization and its real space equivalent as a topological response have been rigorously investigated for one-dimensional topological insulators in both clean and disordered settings [\[37](#page-4-0)[,54–56\]](#page-5-0), however they have not been discussed in context of geometrical transitions. While we have used *P* to characterize the global topological response, it may be useful to find other topological markers which may be better suited to capture the signatures of the percolation transition.

Outlook. While the nature of topological phases and their phase transitions are well understood in crystalline systems, an alternate method to trivialize a topological phase is via geometrical disorder. Unlike the perturbative energetic disorder where a disorder energy scale is introduced, a geometrical disorder allows an interplay of both geometrical and Hamiltonian based phase transitions in the same system. We create toy models based on the simplest one-dimensional topological phases (SSH) to delineate such interplay of geometrical and topological physics. In the SR model as the system is percolated, it creates a macroscopic number of topological zero modes, even when the global $P \to 0$. In the LR model, where p_c < 1, we find a new percolation scale p^* above which polarization remains large, and below which we find a fractured topological region, where zero modes appear even in absence of global topological order.

The experimental detection of signatures of percolation transition in physical observables like polarization for bonddiluted topological systems is an interesting open question. Experimental observation of the SSH topological phase in a Rydberg system [\[34\]](#page-4-0) and a concrete proposal to study glassy physics in the same setup [\[35\]](#page-4-0) offer an exciting platform to realize this physics. While our work focuses on the onedimensional system, generalization of this study to higher dimensions and topologically ordered phases is a natural and exciting future direction. While a few such studies focusing on Chern insulators have appeared which show interesting hints of geometrical criticality [\[27,28\]](#page-4-0), it will be interesting to see if there is a general framework which opens up a new class of unique phases and phase transitions which are associated with just the geometrical properties of the system.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to and honor the memory of Prof. Amit Dutta with whom we started the discussions in this project. We acknowledge fruitful discussions with Diptarka Das, Deepak Dhar, and Sumilan Banerjee. S.M. acknowledges support from the PMRF Fellowship and S.P. acknowledges funding from the IIT Kanpur Institute Fellowship. A.A. acknowledges support from IITK Initiation Grant No. IITK/PHY/2022010. Numerical calculations were performed on the workstation *Wigner* at IITK.

- [1] S. R. Broadbent and J. M. Hammersley, Percolation processes: I. Crystals and mazes, [Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305004100032680) **53**, 629 (1957).
- [2] R. G. Priest and T. C. Lubensky, Critical properties of two tensor models with application to the percolation problem, Phys. Rev. B **13**[, 4159 \(1976\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.13.4159)
- [3] P. J. Reynolds, H. E. Stanley, and W. Klein, Ghost fields, pair connectedness, and scaling: Exact results in one-dimensional percolation, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/10/11/007) **10**, L203 (1977).
- [4] [J. W. Essam, Percolation theory,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/43/7/001) Rep. Prog. Phys. **43**, 833 (1980).
- [5] D. Stauffer and A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory* (Taylor and Francis, London, 1992).
- [6] K. Christensen, *Percolation Theory* (Imperial College, London, 2002).
- [7] R. Zallen, *The Physics of Amorphous Solids* (John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2008).
- [8] M. F. Sykes and J. W. Essam, Exact critical percolation proba[bilities for site and bond problems in two dimensions,](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704215) J. Math. Phys. **5**, 1117 (1964).
- [9] H. Kesten, The critical probability of bond percolation on [the square lattice equals](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01197577) $\frac{1}{2}$, Commun. Math. Phys. **74**, 41 (1980).
- [10] S. Galam and A. Mauger, Universal formulas for percolation thresholds, Phys. Rev. E **53**[, 2177 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.53.2177)
- [11] [H. Kesten, Scaling relations for 2 D-percolation,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01205674) Commun. Math. Phys. **109**, 109 (1987).
- [12] R. Balian, R. Maynard, and G. Toulouse, *Ill-Condensed Matter* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1979), Vol. 31.
- [13] M. Aizenman, J. T. Chayes, L. Chayes, and C. M. Newman, Discontinuity of the magnetization in one-dimensional 1/|*x* − *y*| ² Ising and Potts models, [J. Stat. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01022985) **50**, 1 (1988).
- [14] D. S. Fisher, Random transverse field ising spin chains, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.534) **69**, 534 (1992).
- [15] T. Senthil and S. Sachdev, Higher dimensional realizations of activated dynamic scaling at random quantum transitions, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.5292) **77**, 5292 (1996).
- [16] D. S. Fisher, Phase transitions and singularities in random quantum systems, Physica A **263**[, 222 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(98)00498-1)
- [17] A. Dutta, Quantum spin glass with long-range random interactions, Phys. Rev. B **65**[, 224427 \(2002\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.65.224427)
- [18] A. Dutta, Effect of long-range interactions on the pure [and random quantum Ising transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(02)01404-8) Physica A **318**, 63 (2003).
- [19] A. Dutta and R. Loganayagam, Effect of long-range connections on an infinite randomness fixed point associated with the quantum phase transitions in a transverse Ising model, Phys. Rev. B **75**[, 052405 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.052405)
- [20] U. Divakaran and A. Dutta, Long-range connections, quan[tum magnets and dilute contact processes,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2007.04.067) Physica A **384**, 39 (2007).
- [21] I. A. Kovács, Quantum multicritical point in the two- and three[dimensional random transverse-field Ising model,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013072) Phys. Rev. Res. **4**, 013072 (2022).
- [22] Z. Q. Zhang, F. C. Pu, and B. Z. Li, Long-range perco[lation in one dimension,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/16/3/002) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **16**, L85 (1983).
- [23] L. S. Schulman, Long range percolation in one dimension, [J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/16/17/001) **16**, L639 (1983).
- [24] C. M. Newman and L. S. Schulman, One dimensional $1/|j i|$ ^S percolation models: The existence of a transition for $S \leq 2$, [Commun. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01211064) **104**, 547 (1986).
- [25] Z. Glumac and K. Uzelac, Critical behaviour of the 1D q-state [Potts model with long-range interactions,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/26/20/013) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **26**, 5267 (1993).
- [26] G. Gori, M. Michelangeli, N. Defenu, and A. Trombettoni, One-dimensional long-range percolation: A numerical study, Phys. Rev. E **96**[, 012108 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.96.012108)
- [27] I. Sahlberg, A. Westström, K. Pöyhönen, and T. Ojanen, Topo[logical phase transitions in glassy quantum matter,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.013053) Phys. Rev. Res. **2**, 013053 (2020).
- [28] M. N. Ivaki, I. Sahlberg, and T. Ojanen, Criticality in amorphous topological matter: Beyond the universal scaling paradigm, Phys. Rev. Res. **2**[, 043301 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.2.043301)
- [29] E. J. Meier, F. A. An, A. Dauphin, M. Maffei, P. Massignan, T. L. Hughes, and B. Gadway, Observation of the topological [anderson insulator in disordered atomic wires,](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat3406) Science **362**, 929 (2018).
- [30] S. Stützer, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, P. Titum, N. H. Lindner, M. Segev, M. C. Rechtsman, and A. Szameit, Photonic topological anderson insulators, [Nature \(London\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0418-2) **560**, 461 (2018).
- [31] F. Zangeneh-Nejad and R. Fleury, Disorder-induced signal fil[tering with topological metamaterials,](https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.202001034) Adv. Mater. **32**, 2001034 (2020).
- [32] S. Longhi, Topological anderson phase in quasi-periodic waveguide lattices, Opt. Lett. **45**[, 4036 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1364/OL.399742)
- [33] S. Kanungo, J. Whalen, Y. Lu, M. Yuan, S. Dasgupta, F. Dunning, K. Hazzard, and T. Killian, Realizing topological edge states with Rydberg-atom synthetic dimensions, [Nat. Commun.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28550-y) **13**, 972 (2022).
- [34] S. de Léséleuc, V. Lienhard, P. Scholl, D. Barredo, S. Weber, N. Lang, H. P. Büchler, T. Lahaye, and A. Browaeys, Observation of a symmetry-protected topological phase of interacting bosons with Rydberg atoms, Science **365**[, 775 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav9105)
- [35] K. Li, J.-H. Wang, Y.-B. Yang, and Y. Xu, Symmetry-protected [topological phases in a Rydberg glass,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.263004) Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 263004 (2021).
- [36] E. J. Meier, F. A. An, and B. Gadway, Observation of the topological soliton state in the Su–Schrieffer–Heeger model, Nat. Commun. **7**[, 13986 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13986)
- [37] X. Shi, I. Kiorpelidis, R. Chaunsali, V. Achilleos, G. Theocharis, and J. Yang, Disorder-induced topological phase [transition in a one-dimensional mechanical system,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.033012) Phys. Rev. Res. **3**, 033012 (2021).
- [38] L. Thatcher, P. Fairfield, L. Merlo-Ramírez, and J. M. Merlo, Experimental observation of topological phase transi[tions in a mechanical 1D-SSH model,](https://doi.org/10.1088/1402-4896/ac4ed2) Phys. Scr. **97**, 035702 (2022).
- [39] J. Gao, Z.-S. Xu, D. A. Smirnova, D. Leykam, S. Gyger, W.-H. Zhou, S. Steinhauer, V. Zwiller, and A. W. Elshaari, Observation of Anderson phase in a topological photonic circuit, Phys. Rev. Res. **4**[, 033222 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.033222)
- [40] S.-G. Cheng, Y. Wu, H. Jiang, Q.-F. Sun, and X. Xie, Transport measurement of fractional charges in topological models, [NPJ Quantum Mater.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-023-00558-7) **8**, 30 (2023).
- [41] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Solitons in polyacetylene, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.42.1698) **42**, 1698 (1979).
- [42] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, Soliton excitations in polyacetylene, Phys. Rev. B **22**[, 2099 \(1980\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.22.2099)
- [43] [J. Zak, Berry's phase for energy bands in solids,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.62.2747) Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2747 (1989).
- [44] R. Resta, Quantum-mechanical position operator in extended systems, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.1800) **80**, 1800 (1998).
- [45] C. Kane, Topological band theory and the z2 invariant, in *Topological Insulators*, edited by M. Franz and L. Molenkamp, Contemporary Concepts of Condensed Matter Science (Elsevier, San Diego, 2013), Vol. 6, Chap. 1, pp. 3–34.
- [46] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Nonstandard symmetry classes in mesoscopic normal-superconducting hybrid structures, Phys. Rev. B **55**[, 1142 \(1997\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.55.1142)
- [47] A. Agarwala, A. Haldar, and V. B. Shenoy, The tenfold way [redux: Fermionic systems with n-body interactions,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2017.07.016) Ann. Phys. **385**, 469 (2017).
- [48] S.-Q. Shen, *Topological Insulators* (Springer Nature, Berlin, 2012).
- [49] L. O. J. K. Asbóth and A. Pályi, *A Short Course on Topological Insulators* (Springer Nature, Berlin, 2016).
- [50] S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Bhattacharjee, and D. Sen, Driven quantum many-body systems and out-of-equilibrium topology, [J. Phys.: Condens. Matter](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/ac1151) **33**, 393001 (2021).
- [51] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ [10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L220201](http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.108.L220201) for additional details on polarization calculation, additional numerical results, and diagnostics.
- [52] P. Soven, Coherent-potential model of substitutional disordered alloys, Phys. Rev. **156**[, 809 \(1967\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.156.809)
- [53] B. Velický, Theory of electronic transport in disordered binary [alloys: Coherent-potential approximation,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.184.614) Phys. Rev. **184**, 614 (1969).
- [54] B. Pérez-González, M. Bello, A. Gómez-León, and G. Platero, Interplay between long-range hopping and disorder in topological systems, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 035146 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.035146)
- [55] H.-C. Hsu and T.-W. Chen, Topological Anderson insulating phases in the long-range Su-Schrieffer-Heeger model, Phys. Rev. B **102**[, 205425 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.205425)
- [56] S.-N. Liu, G.-Q. Zhang, L.-Z. Tang, and D.-W. Zhang, Topological Anderson insulators induced by random binary disorders, Phys. Lett. A **431**[, 128004 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2022.128004)