Rényi entropy of a quantum anharmonic chain at nonzero temperature

Miha Srdinšek⁽⁰⁾,^{1,2,3,*} Michele Casula⁽⁰⁾,² and Rodolphe Vuilleumier³

¹Institut des sciences du calcul et des données (ISCD), Sorbonne Université, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

²Sorbonne Université, Institut de minéralogie, de physique des matériaux et de cosmochimie (IMPMC),

CNRS UMR 7590, MNHM, 4 Place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

³Processus d'Activation Sélectif par Transfert d'Energie Uni-électronique ou Radiative (PASTEUR), CNRS UMR 8640, Département de Chimie, École Normale Superieure, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

(Received 16 March 2023; revised 18 July 2023; accepted 14 November 2023; published 7 December 2023)

The interplay of quantum and classical fluctuations in the vicinity of a quantum critical point (QCP) gives rise to various regimes or phases with distinct quantum character. In this work, we show that the Rényi entropy is a precious tool to characterize the phase diagram of critical systems not only around the QCP but also away from it, thanks to its capability to detect the emergence of local moments at finite temperature. For an efficient evaluation of the Rényi entropy, we introduce an algorithm based on a path-integral Langevin dynamics combined with a previously proposed thermodynamic integration method built on regularized paths. We apply this framework to study the critical behavior of a linear chain of anharmonic oscillators, a particular realization of the ϕ^4 model. We fully resolved its phase diagram, as a function of both temperature and interaction strength. At finite temperature, we find a sequence of three regimes—para, disordered, and antiferro—met as the interaction is increased. The Rényi entropy divergence coincides with the crossover between the para and disordered regimes, which shows no temperature dependence. The occurrence of the antiferro regime, on the other hand, is temperature dependent. The two crossover lines merge in proximity of the QCP, at zero temperature, where the Rényi entropy is sharply peaked. Via its subsystem-size scaling, we confirm that the transition belongs to the two-dimensional Ising universality class. This phenomenology is expected to happen in all ϕ^4 -like systems, as well as in the elusive water-ice transition across phases VII, VIII, and X.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.245121

I. INTRODUCTION

Classical phase transitions are driven by thermal fluctuations, which affect the volume of explored phase space. Due to the wave nature of quantum mechanics, fluctuations appear even at zero temperature, this time stemming from the uncertainty principle of wave mechanics. At sufficiently low temperature, the interplay of both effects results in a rich phase diagram [1-3]. Quantum fluctuations typically suppress phase transitions, and direct the classical critical region towards the quantum critical point (OCP) at lower temperatures. This effect has been experimentally observed, for instance, in the Ising-like transition in LiHoF₄ [4], in the so-called quantum paraelectric materials (SrTiO₃, BaTiO₃, KTaO₃), in quantum dielectric compounds, such as $\kappa - ET_2Cu_2(CN)_3$ [5] and $\kappa - ET_2Cu[N(CN)_2]Cl$ [6], and in structural phase transitions of hydrogen-bonded materials, such as water ice VII-VIII-X [7], superconducting hydrides LaH_{10} [8], YH_n [9], and H₃S [10], and hydrogen halides, like HF and HBr [11–13]. Throughout their phase diagram, the interplay of quantum and thermal fluctuations introduces typical regimes with distinct scaling laws and entanglement properties [14-16]. A prominent example of such regimes is the quantum critical behavior above the QCP, where long-range order is destroyed while local moments, like spin or local polarization, can be preserved, locally breaking a symmetry. A prototypical example of this situation is found in the one-dimensional (1D) transverse Ising model, where three distinct regimes are well understood to be a consequence of different types of symmetry-breaking mechanisms [17,18].

All previously mentioned experimental systems can be approximated by a generalization of the Ising model—the discretized ϕ^4 model—where particles live in a double-well external potential, interacting through a quadratic term. By increasing the interaction, they freeze in a long-range-ordered configuration through a phase transition belonging to the Ising universality class [19–21]. The transition can be of displacive (soft mode) or order-disorder type, depending on the height of the double-well barrier. When the barrier is low, particles fluctuate around the origin until the interaction displaces them, by softening their shuttling vibrational mode. Conversely, in the large barrier regime, particles tunnel or switch between off-centered positions and, eventually, their interaction can force them to occupy the same double-well minimum if the coupling is ferro, or the opposite one in an antiferro model.

The finite-temperature phase diagram of the ϕ^4 model continues to be a field of active research, as it can change considerably from system to system [22]. In higher dimensions, the phase diagram shows the occurrence of classical phase transition, induced by thermal fluctuations, emerging from quantum criticality [23]. However, different regimes

^{*}miha.srdinsek@upmc.fr

surrounding the QCP are not well understood, with the exception of the infinite-barrier limit, which is analogous to the Ising model. This owes mostly to the fact that not all the physical mechanisms associated with quantum criticality are known and, hence, reliable physical and experimental probes are hard to devise. As a result, phase diagrams of many critical systems, such as certain superhydrides [7,24–27] or the superconducting cuprates [28–34] as notable examples, are not fully explained yet.

On the other hand, entanglement and entropic properties have proven to be valuable tools for the analysis and classification of quantum phase transitions in many-body systems [35,36]. One of the most commonly used measures is the Rényi entropy, as it directly quantifies quantum and classical fluctuations [37–51]. Its scaling with the subsystem size is markedly different at the QCP [52]. Particularly in 1D systems, the entanglement of a pure state generally saturates at some finite system size, while at the QCP it diverges as a logarithm. The prefactor in front of the logarithm can be analytically shown to be equal to the central charge of the conformal field theory describing the QCP, one of the most striking features of 1D systems [35,37].

Rényi entropy allows to detect the emergence of a local symmetry breaking and the corresponding local moment formation at finite temperature. In this work, we consider the Rényi entropy to characterize the phase diagram not only around the QCP but also away from it. With the use of the replica trick ideas in path-integral simulations [38–48], the Rényi entropy can be evaluated at finite temperature for a targeted subsystem. However, the Rényi entropy evaluation is very computationally demanding, with errors increasing with system size, level of entanglement, and area of subsystem boundaries. With the aim at making the Rényi entropy evaluation much more easily accessible, we introduce an algorithm based on path-integral equations, sampled by a Langevin dynamics, that exploits a recently developed thermodynamic integration method [53].

We then present a full study of the low-temperature phase diagram of the 1D discrete ϕ^4 model in the regime of vanishing double-well barrier, where it represents a chain of antiferromagnetically coupled anharmonic oscillators. By computing the Rényi entropy, we accurately predict the QCP location. We perform the subsystem-size scaling analysis and confirm that the central charge of the theory takes the value of c = 1/2, as expected for the Ising universality class with one fermionic degree of freedom. In the explored temperature range, we discover three regimes. A para regime, with no local order parameter, a disordered regime with local order in imaginary time, corresponding to a local moment formation, and an antiferro regime, analogous to the domain-wall regime of the Ising model [17]. In the third regime, the particles are mostly trapped in one of the minima and form domains due to thermal fluctuations. Since this is a one-dimensional model, at sufficiently large system sizes and infinite simulation times, the domains would on average restore the symmetry, and the order parameter would vanish on both sides of the QCP at any finite temperature.

This demonstrates that the Rényi entropy indeed detects the local moment formation. We find that the line separating the para and disordered regimes, as identified by the maximum of Rényi entropy, exhibits no temperature dependence. This behavior is analogous to the spin-freezing crossover, described by Werner *et al.* [54] for fermionic systems, and detectable through imaginary-time correlations. On the contrary, the crossover between the disordered and antiferro regimes shows a temperature dependence, with classical fluctuations aiding to break the global symmetry at finite system sizes.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we describe the implementation of an original algorithm for the evaluation of Rényi entropy at finite temperature with Langevin dynamics. In Sec. III, we consider the coupled anharmonic chain and evaluate Rényi entropy across the phase transition. By studying the subsystem-size scaling, we conclude that the transition belongs to the two-dimensional (2D) Ising universality class. Furthermore, we show the importance of extrapolating the results to the zero-imaginary-time step, otherwise affected by a sizable imaginary-time discretization bias. Finally, in Sec. IV we explore the nonzero-temperature phase diagram and discuss the crossover properties between the three regimes. In Sec. V, we present our conclusions and draw the connections between the described regimes and some phases observed in real systems such as water ice. Some further discussions, technical details, and proofs are left in the Appendixes.

II. RÉNYI ENTROPY AND PATH INTEGRALS

Quantum Rényi entropy is a generalization of quantum von Neumann entropy, with free parameter $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \{1\}$. For a bipartite system $A \cup B$, the definition of the Rényi entropy of subsystem A and order α , S_A^{α} , is based on the the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_A$ of the partition A ($\hat{\rho}_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho$) raised to the power α and traced out over the A degrees of freedom. More precisely,

$$S_A^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \frac{\text{Tr}_A[\hat{\rho}_A]^{\alpha}}{[\text{Tr}\hat{\rho}]^{\alpha}},\tag{1}$$

where $\text{Tr}\hat{\rho}$ is the normalization constant, ensuring that the density matrix has unit trace. In the limit of $\alpha \to 1$ the entropy equals the von Neumann entropy. The Rényi entropy fulfills almost all essential properties of entropy, except for some inequalities, like the subadditivity and triangle inequality [55]. This does not affect its performance as an entanglement measure in a pure state [56]. The reason for the Rényi entropy popularity is its simplicity. In particular, the evaluation of the collision entropy ($\alpha = 2$), defined as a logarithm of the purity $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}^2]$, is considerably simpler if compared to the evaluation of $\text{Tr}[\hat{\rho}_A \log \hat{\rho}_A]$.

By considering α replicas of the system, Rényi entropy can be recast as the average of a SWAP operator (when $\alpha = 2$) or a circular permutation operator (for $\alpha > 2$), acting on the subsystem of interest. When expressed in path-integral formalism, these operators reduce to the free energy of merging imaginary-time trajectories (*world lines*) for the subsystem of interest. The remaining difficulty of evaluating the logarithm of observables that can still vary over several orders of magnitude has been addressed by recent works [39,41– 43,45–48,53]. In one of them, we proposed a thermodynamic integration scheme based on regularizing paths which significantly reduces the Rényi entropy variance, yielding low-error low-bias averages. In the following (Sec. II A), we first recall definitions and how expressing the density matrix in terms of path integrals can be used to map the Rényi entropy evaluation problem to the one of merging ring polymers. Then, in Sec. II B we present an algorithm that allows the inclusion of the path-regularization scheme introduced by us in Ref. [53] into the framework of path-integral Langevin dynamics, used to sample the quantum thermal distribution.

A. Path integrals

In the path-integral formulation, the quantum Hamiltonian of N degrees of freedom of the form

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}} + \hat{V}(q_{1}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{N}), \qquad (2)$$

where q_i and p_i are positions and momenta of the *i*th particle with mass m_i , is mapped to an analogous classical model. The resulting classical canonical partition function is expressed as

$$\mathbf{Tr}[e^{-\beta\hat{H}}] = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar}\right)^{P} \int d^{f}\mathbf{p}d^{f}\mathbf{q}e^{-\zeta H_{P}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{q})},\qquad(3)$$

where f = PN, and *P* is the number of *beads* forming rings, each one representing a quantum particle. The accuracy of the mapping grows with the inverse of $\zeta = \beta/P$, the imaginary-time step separating neighboring beads, with a convergence rate dependent on the observable. The analogous classical Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_{P}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{P} \left(\frac{(2\pi\hbar)^{2}}{2m_{i}} \left[p_{i}^{(j)} \right]^{2} + \frac{1}{2} m_{i} \omega_{P}^{2} \left(q_{i}^{(j)} - q_{i}^{(j+1)} \right)^{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{P} V \left(q_{1}^{(j)}, \dots, q_{N}^{(j)} \right),$$
(4)

and $\omega_P = 1/\zeta \hbar$. The upper index (imaginary-time slices) has periodic boundary conditions, with period $P(q_i^{(P+1)} = q_i^{(1)})$. In this way, the particles are mapped to harmonic rings, where each bead belongs to an imaginary-time slice. Interparticle interaction involves only beads with the same upper index.

Looking back at Eq. (1), we see that the denominator describes an ensemble with α rings of length *P* for each particle. Also the trace over *B* in the numerator results in the particles belonging to *B* to form rings of length *P*. However, the multiplication of the reduced density matrix $\hat{\rho}_A$ with itself results in the ensemble of |A| rings of length αP . The effect of the traces is therefore visible in the imaginary-time boundary conditions.

Hence, the free energy cost of changing boundary conditions equals the Rényi entropy. The evaluation of free energy differences is a very common computational problem for which many algorithms exist. It is therefore no surprise that a whole arsenal of these methods was already applied to the quantum Rényi entropy evaluation in Monte Carlo simulations [38–42,45–48,53,57–60]. One of the methods, particularly suitable for large or continuous systems, is the recent extension of the thermodynamic integration, where regularized paths are used. In this method [53], one expresses the entropy as an integral over λ in such a way that

$$\log \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\rho_{A}^{\alpha}}{[\mathrm{Tr}\rho]^{\alpha}} = \int_{0}^{1} \partial_{\lambda} \log(\mathcal{Z}[\lambda]) d\lambda$$
$$= -\zeta \int_{0}^{1} \langle \partial_{\lambda} H(\lambda) \rangle_{\mathcal{Z}[\lambda]} d\lambda, \tag{5}$$

where the boundary conditions in imaginary time of the Hamiltonian of the system are smoothly deformed so that $\mathcal{Z}[0] = [\text{Tr}\rho]^{\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{Z}[1] = \text{Tr}\rho_A^{\alpha}$. The entropy is then defined as the work required to change the boundary conditions from α replicas of independent rings to the system where each particle belonging to system *A* is merged into a single ring. In the simulation, the λ integral is performed numerically on a finite grid. However, the path has to be chosen wisely in order to result in a low-variance estimate.

We use the same path as the one described in Ref. [53], where only the interactions that enforce the boundary conditions are varied. The classical Hamiltonian along the path is written as

$$H_{i}(\lambda) = H_{\alpha P}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) + \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} g(\lambda) \big(\mathbf{q}_{i}^{(jP)} - \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(jP-P+1)} \big)^{2} + (h(\lambda) - 1) \big(\mathbf{q}_{i}^{(jP)} - \mathbf{q}_{i}^{(jP+1)} \big)^{2},$$
(6)

where $H_{\alpha P}$ is the extended Hamiltonian of fused rings given by Eq. (4) for the particles belonging to subsystem *A*, while the particles in *B* keep the boundary conditions of α disconnected ensembles. For particles in *A*, the upper index is periodic with period αP ($q_i^{(\alpha P+1)} = q_i^{(1)}$). $H(\lambda)$ lives on a plane spanned by the coordinates *g* and *h*, with the path described by the prescription

$$(g,h) = ((1-\lambda)^3, \lambda^3).$$
 (7)

In Sec. II B we present a Langevin dynamics algorithm that is able to simulate the ensembles along the presented integration path.

B. Path-integral Ornstein-Uhlenbeck dynamics

Path-integral molecular dynamics (PIMD) algorithms aim at sampling ring polymer configurations through dynamical trajectories [61,62]. Among those, path-integral Ornstein-Uhlenbeck dynamics (PIOUD) uses dynamical equations [63] driven by the forces acting on the coordinates along the trajectory, and a Langevin thermostat. Langevin dynamics equations are solved in order to introduce the coupling with a thermal bath. This approach is free from the need of designing smart Monte Carlo moves and is therefore much more transferable to the simulations of more complex higher-dimensional models. Nevertheless, solving the Langevin equations of motion should be done efficiently.

Sampling the phase space according to the canonical distribution is achieved by evolving the following stochastic equations of motion:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathbf{p}} &= -\gamma \tilde{\mathbf{p}} - \underline{\mathbf{K}} \tilde{\mathbf{q}} + \mathbf{f}(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}) + \eta(t), \\ \tilde{\mathbf{q}} &= \tilde{\mathbf{p}}, \end{split}$$
(8)

where $\tilde{\mathbf{p}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{q}}$ are $DNP\alpha$ -dimensional vectors of momenta and positions of the whole system rescaled by mass ($\tilde{p}_i = p_i/\sqrt{m_i}$ and $\tilde{q}_i = q_i\sqrt{m_i}$), and $\mathbf{f} = -\nabla_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}}V$ is the vector of forces obtained from the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) potential energy surface V. The only stochastic contribution comes from η , a normally distributed noise with zero mean and unit variance. Together with the damping, controlled by γ , these two terms fix the temperature in accordance with the fluctuation-dissipation theorem. Usually, γ is a diagonal matrix. In a more general setting, where forces are sampled stochastically because they are affected by intrinsic errors, such as the ones evaluated, for instance, by electronic quantum Monte Carlo methods [64], it can also have off-diagonal terms, related to the force covariance matrix.

On the other hand, $\underline{\mathbf{K}}$ is an off-diagonal matrix in the imaginary-time indices, which represents the harmonic in-

teraction in imaginary time. In the normal path-integral ensemble driven by the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4), it is simply written as

$$K_{il}^{(j)(k)} = \omega_P^2 \delta_{il} (2\delta^{(j)(k)} - \delta^{(j)(k-1)} - \delta^{(j)(k+1)}).$$
(9)

Yet, in our case the matrix depends on the lower index as well. Indeed, particles belonging to subsystem A have modified boundary conditions, that are functions of the parameters gand h [Eq. (7)]. The new **K** matrix should therefore be

$$\omega_P^2 \delta_{il}(\delta^{i \in A} \tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g,h) + \delta^{i \notin A} \tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(1,0)), \qquad (10)$$

when subsystem *A* is considered in the evaluation of the Rényi entropy. The upper index is again periodic, with period αP . The new smaller matrix $\tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g, h)$ includes all the ensembles described by Eq. (6). It is a function of parameters *g* and *h*, defined as

$$\tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g,h) = \begin{cases} \omega_P^2((1+g+h)\delta^{(j)(k)} - h\delta^{(j)(k-1)} - \delta^{(j)(k+1)} - g\delta^{(j)(k+P-1)} & \text{for } (j \mod P) = 0\\ \omega_P^2((1+g+h)\delta^{(j)(k)} - \delta^{(j)(k-1)} - h\delta^{(j)(k+1)} - g\delta^{(j)(k-P+1)}) & \text{for } (j \mod P) = 1\\ \omega_P^2(2\delta^{(j)(k)} - \delta^{(j)(k-1)} - \delta^{(j)(k+1)}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(11)

The structure of the \tilde{K} matrix is illustrated in Fig. 1.

The forces in Eq. (8) come from both the harmonic and interparticle interactions; thus they span very different energy scales. This renders the sampling very inefficient. The solution employed in the PIOUD algorithm is to split the time evolution into two operators. Indeed, the time evolution described by the action of the Fokker-Planck Liouville operator is split with symmetric Trotterization to yield

$$e^{i\mathcal{L}\delta t} = e^{i\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{BO}}\delta t/2} e^{i\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{harm}}\delta t} e^{i\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{BO}}\delta t/2} + \mathcal{O}(\delta t^3), \qquad (12)$$

where \mathcal{L}^{BO} contains the propagation of the particles interacting at each imaginary-time slice through the BO forces **f**, neglecting the harmonic interaction between the beads, which is instead included in \mathcal{L}^{harm} . Both \mathcal{L}^{BO} and \mathcal{L}^{harm} contain stochastic and dissipation terms, where the γ matrix is ad-



FIG. 1. The matrix $\tilde{K}(g, h)$ for $\alpha = 2$. Abbreviation $\tilde{gh} = 1 + g + h$ is used.

justed for each propagator. While in the BO ensemble the γ matrix is used as a user-defined constant (γ^{BO}), in the harmonic one it is chosen according to the optimal damping scheme for harmonic oscillators [65]. This corresponds to writing it in the eigenbasis of the matrix *K* in Eq. (9), where γ is a diagonal matrix with elements [63]

$$\gamma_{\text{harm}}^{(k)} = \begin{cases} 2\Omega_k & \text{if } 2\Omega_k \geqslant \gamma_0 \\ \gamma_0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(13)

 Ω_k are eigenvalues of matrix K, and now the upper index (k) indicates the corresponding kth eigenvector in the beads space. This choice for γ_{harm} not only optimizes the dumping process but also guarantees that $[\gamma_{harm}, K] = 0$. This latter condition is needed to integrate exactly the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck dynamics, i.e., the thermalized Brownian quantum diffusion, encoded in \mathcal{L}^{harm} [63]. As in the BO ensemble, the damping coefficient related to the center-of-mass dynamics (γ_0) has to be found by optimizing the diffusion coefficient of the process by running short simulations. Once the optimal value is found, it is transferable to similar systems.

However, with the new $\underline{\mathbf{K}}$ matrix of Eq. (10), different particles have different eigenvalues and eigenvectors, depending on which subsystem they belong to. The same should therefore hold also for the γ matrix, which now depends on the particle (lower) index as well, since the $\underline{\mathbf{K}}$ eigenvalues depend on it. Indeed, what we propose is to simply extend the prescription in Eq. (13), and write

$$\left[\gamma_{\text{harm}}^{(k)}\right]_{i} = \begin{cases} 2\Omega_{i}^{(k)} & \text{if } 2\Omega_{i}^{(k)} \geqslant \gamma_{0} \\ \gamma_{0} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(14)

This has profound implications, as it shows that this type of Langevin thermalization cannot work in an integration scheme where the propagation of the harmonic part is not diagonal in the lower index. Nevertheless, our choice of γ_{harm}

in Eqs. (14) still fulfills the condition $[\gamma_{\text{harm}}, K] = 0$ by construction. Therefore, $\mathcal{L}^{\text{harm}}$ can be exactly integrated even with the optimal dumping scheme of Eqs. (14), appropriately generalized for the extended Hamiltonian in Eq. (6).

The algorithm then proceeds as follows. First, the eigenvalues of $\tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g, h)$ are found for either of the two cases in Eq. (10). The propagator $e^{i\mathcal{L}^{harm}\delta t}$ can be evaluated exactly if written in the **K** eigenbasis, owing to the fact that there are no contributions from physical forces **f** present. From here on the algorithm consists of rotating the coordinates back and forth between the eigenbasis of $\tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g, h)$ and the coordinate basis, according to the following prescription:

(1) Update the particles' momenta by applying the $e^{i\mathcal{L}^{BO}\delta t/2}$ propagator, according to the equation

$$\tilde{\mathbf{p}}(t) = e^{-\gamma^{\mathrm{BO}}\delta t/2} \tilde{\mathbf{p}}(t - \delta t/2) + \int_{t-\delta t/2}^{t} dt' e^{\gamma^{\mathrm{BO}}(t'-t)} [\mathbf{f}(t - \delta t/2) + \boldsymbol{\eta}(t')].$$
(15)

(2) Transform the vectors of positions and momenta of each particle from the coordinate basis to the $\tilde{K}^{(j)(k)}(g,h)$ eigenbasis.

(3) Propagate them exactly, by means of the $e^{i\mathcal{L}^{harm}\delta t}$ propagator.

(4) Perform the inverse transformation, back to the coordinate basis.

(5) Evaluate the forces coming from the physical potential as $\mathbf{f}(t + \delta t) = -\nabla_{\tilde{\mathbf{q}}} V(t + \delta t)$.

(6) Close the symmetric form by applying the $e^{i\mathcal{L}^{BO}\delta t/2}$ propagator in Eq. (15) again, according to Eq. (12).

Further numerical details are given in Appendix A. Also, as molecular dynamics (MD) is not always efficient to sample phase space when large energy barriers are present, we have introduced a SWAP move (see Appendix B), performed randomly. Thus, the sampling performed is a hybrid MD and Monte Carlo scheme. The algorithm was tested on an integrable entangled model of two coupled harmonic oscillators (see Appendix C).

III. CHAIN OF ANHARMONIC OSCILLATORS: ZERO-TEMPERATURE PHASE DIAGRAM

A. System description

The discrete ϕ^4 model, where the field ϕ is discretized in space, analogous to an ultraviolet cutoff, is described by the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2), with the potential term

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\theta}{2} (\hat{q}_{i} - \hat{q}_{i+1})^{2} - m\omega^{2} \hat{q}_{i}^{2} + \lambda \hat{q}_{i}^{4}, \qquad (16)$$

where $q_i = \phi(i)$ are values of the field at fixed positions *i*. It depicts a chain of *N* particles trapped in an external double-well potential of the form $U = (\theta - m\omega^2)\hat{q}_i^2 + \lambda \hat{q}_i^4$. The coupling between the particles comes from the harmonic interaction $(-\theta \hat{q}_i \hat{q}_{i+1})$. In this work, we consider a particular limit of the discrete ϕ^4 model, where the quadratic term is removed, resulting in a single-well anharmonic potential (Appendix D). This is achieved by fixing $\theta = m\omega^2$ and rescaling the mass through $m \to m\lambda$, thus reducing the number of

free parameters to one, such that

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \hat{q}_{i}^{4} - D^{2} \hat{q}_{i} \hat{q}_{i-1}, \qquad (17)$$

in the system with periodic boundary conditions $(\hat{q}_{N+1} = \hat{q}_1)$, with $D^2 = m\omega^2$. In fact, D^2 can be either negative (the "ferro" case) [Eq. (17)] or positive (the "antiferro" case):

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \hat{q}_{i}^{4} + D^{2} \hat{q}_{i} \hat{q}_{i-1}, \qquad (18)$$

where the negative sign in front of D^2 can be interpreted as coming from a potential term with negative curvature (i.e., imaginary phonon) at q = 0. Hereafter, we will adopt the latter situation [Eq. (18)] as reference Hamiltonian for the chain of anharmonic oscillators.

The system was shown to undergo a quantum phase transition of the order-disorder type in the double-well regime, and of the displacive type in the anharmonic regime. In both cases the transition belongs to the 2D Ising universality class [13,19–21,23,66,67]. In fact, in the limit of very deep wells, it describes a two-level system, analogous to the quantum Ising model in a transverse field.

The model is extensively studied also in the continuous limit $(d/N \rightarrow 0, d)$ being the lattice spacing), describing a relativistic quantum scalar field, where the interaction term in Eq. (16) reduces to $(\partial_x q(x))^2$. It is arguably the simplest model that contains kinks, defined as abrupt changes of the field configuration jumping from one minimum of the double well to the other. In this limit the renormalization of the diverging quadratic term reduces the number of free parameters to one [68–73]. Phase transition can be observed also in the continuous case. However, the critical value of the parameter is not agreed upon [73].

The discrete chain is particularly interesting because it approximates many condensed matter systems, depending on the interpretation of coordinates q_i . If interpreted as displacements of protons in the system, the model can describe hydrogen halides, like HF and HBr [11–13], superhydrides, such as LaH₁₀ [8], YH_n [9], and H₃S [10], and even waterice phases, such as VII, VIII, and X [7,27,74,75]. On the other hand, if q_i are interpreted as local dipoles, the same model can represent quantum dielectric materials [22], such as $\kappa - \text{ET}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$ and $\kappa - \text{ET}_2\text{Cu}[\text{N}(\text{CN})_2]\text{Cl}$, possessing electronic ferroelectricity [6]. In magnetic materials, such as LiHoF₄ [4], the q_i coordinates represent local magnetic moments.

According to the classical analysis, the system features two degenerate global minima, with ferro (antiferro) order if the coupling constant is purely imaginary (real). The two models in Eqs. (17) and (18) are equivalent up to the symmetry transformation of flipping every second coordinate. As already mentioned, here we choose the antiferroelectric situation for illustrative purposes in Sec. IV. The following solution represents the two minima in the antiferro case:

$$q_{2i}^m = \pm \frac{D}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad q_{2i-1}^m = \mp q_{2i}^m,$$
 (19)



FIG. 2. Rényi entropy as a function of *D*. (a) Rényi entropy of half of the system for different system sizes *N* at $\zeta = 0.06$. Peak forming at the phase transition point appears when *N* is sufficiently large. (b) Critical *D*^{*} depends on how many beads we use. This is demonstrated at 100 K for the entropy of the full system (solid lines) and half of the system (dashed lines). Also the level of quantum correlations, $S_{half}^2 - S_{full}^2$, is affected. (c) D_P^* dependence on the reciprocal of ratio $\zeta = 300/PT$. The plot includes points extracted from data at 100 K (blue) and 300 K (orange), which seem to agree, for the peaks of the entropy of half of the system (open circles) and the full system (solid circles). In the inset, a clear dependence on ζ is demonstrated. By a linear extrapolation in ζ , the critical *D* is predicted to be $D_{\infty}^* = 0.405 \pm 0.001$. Continuous curves in (a) and (b) are splines fitted on the data. They have been used to determine the maxima.

if the number of oscillators, N, is even. A system with odd number of oscillators is frustrated and avoided in our analysis. When N > 8, the classical system can move from one minimum to the other through the creation of a kink-antikink pair, by flipping only one particle. Once a kink-antikink pair is created, it can grow a domain without additional energy cost. The classical energy cost of kink-antikink pair creation is $\Delta V = 2D^4$, as opposed to the collective crossing of the saddle point, when the cost increases with the system size N as $\Delta U = ND^4/4$. By increasing D, particles are pushed further away, while the height of the barrier increases. In the following, we study the system at temperatures much lower than the height of the barrier, such that $k_b T \ll \Delta V$. Thus, by choosing $\theta = m\omega^2$ in Eq. (16), we have one external parameter, D, that tunes the height of the potential energy barrier, similar to pressure in, say, ice. Also, with this choice, there is no phase transition at T = 0 in the classical limit, as the barrier disappears only at D = 0. However, due to quantum fluctuations, the particles can tunnel through the barrier for some values of D, and thus on average restore the symmetry $\langle q \rangle = 0$. With the algorithm introduced in Sec. II, we aim at pinpointing the position of the QCP using the Rényi entropy of the system. We will show that the D dependence of the entropy strongly resembles the one of the Ising model criticality and can be used to locate the phase transition, and evaluate its central charge. In order to simplify the comparison with realistic systems, we fix the energy scale by considering oscillators with the proton mass $m_p = 1837.1799$ in atomic units and the potential given in Eq. (18). Indeed, for such choice we observe a phase transition at physical H-H distances and physical height of the potential barrier of 15 kJ/mol^{-1} per hydrogen bond.

B. Quantum critical point

As argued in the Introduction, the entropy of a subsystem at zero temperature in one dimension grows with the subsystem size only up to a certain threshold. This rule is violated only in the vicinity of the QCP, where the growth is logarithmic and never saturates [52]. One can also reverse this point and claim that by looking at the entropy of a fixed subsystem size, where it is sufficiently large (for example, half of the system), one could spot the critical point by the spike in the entropy. Upon increasing the system size, one should see that the entropy saturates everywhere except in the vicinity of the phase transition. Given that the critical system is gapless, the entropy should diverge exactly at the QCP. Extracting the peak is therefore often sufficient for its detection [76–80]. However, care has to be taken to show that away from the peak the entropy eventually saturates. This will be done after we fully resolve the position of the peak as a function of the system size N and imaginary-time discretization ζ . The same can be said also about the entropy of the system at finite (sufficiently low) temperature. A very nice example of this is the 1D Ising model, where the peak of the entropy at finite temperature exactly coincides with the QCP, at relatively small system sizes [53].

In Fig. 2(a) we scan over the parameter D at 100 K and plot the entropy of half of the system. By increasing the system size, the appearance of the peak is clearly visible, with the thermodynamic limit reached already at N = 64. The peak is positioned exactly where it would be expected, at the point where an abrupt change in entropy appears. Because the temperature is not exactly zero, the entropy computed here does not quantify only pure quantum fluctuations.

So far, we neglected the effect of the discretization in the imaginary time. The phase transition to a para phase is driven by quantum fluctuations, which restore the symmetry of the ground state, while the discretization in imaginary time exactly truncates these fluctuations. Thus, at finite Pthe restoration of the symmetric para state will occur slower than in the $P \rightarrow \infty$ limit, and the critical value of D, dubbed D_P^* , will be always smaller than $D^* \equiv D_{\infty}^*$. This can be seen like performing semiclassical approximations by introducing a finite P instead of performing an expansion in the powers of \hbar .

	$\zeta = 0$	CIM ^a [13]
<i>D</i> *	0.405 ± 0.001	0.4

^aCumulant intersection method [66] at fixed ζ .

The discretization error typically depends on the parameter $\zeta = \beta/P$, and should be studied for each observable separately [81,82]. Convergence can be slow, or even not reachable, for some observables [83]. In our case the effects are quite strong on the position of the phase transition [Fig. 2(b)], if compared with the case of N = 2, where $\zeta = 0.06$ already coincides with the analytical result. It can be clearly seen that ζ shifts the position of the critical point, but also affects the scaling with the subsystem size. By decreasing ζ , the full entropy decreases, which means that the system is more entangled. This is consistent with a better description of the quantumness of the particles.

To extrapolate our results to the exact limit, we studied in Fig. 2(c) the dependence of the critical value on ζ . We compared the results at two different temperatures and for the entropy of the half and full system at fixed *N*. By doing so, we can see that the peaks at different temperatures and different subsystem sizes fall on a universal curve [see Fig. 2(c)]. Moreover, for sufficiently small ζ the dependence becomes linear in ζ , as shown in the inset of Fig. 2(c). This is not surprising, and it is observed for many convergent observables [81,82]. A linear extrapolation can thus be used to extract the critical value *D** in the limit of $\zeta = 0$, which we compare with a previous estimate in Table I. The resulting distance well agrees with the distances observed in realistic systems with strong hydrogen bonds, for which we have previously shown entanglement at room temperature [7,53].

C. Scaling of the entropy

The previous procedure could raise some objections, because we analyze the entanglement of a ground state still dressed by thermal fluctuations, although at a very small temperature, as dictated by the use of path integrals. In view of this, we would like to see if the critical point, discovered by inspecting the peak, corresponds to a logarithmic scaling as a function of the subsystem size. In Figs. 3(a) and 3(b) we can see that, for the values below and above the critical point, the entropy indeed saturates upon increasing the subsystem size. This feature persists even upon increasing the number of particles in the system.

However, detecting a transition point by looking at the subsystem-size scaling would be very time consuming. One has to blindly extract many subsystem sizes at many couplings. If N is too small, the curves will saturate in an interval of D values that narrows only upon increasing N. Additionally, we see that with larger N comes also the need for larger P; otherwise the effective temperature increases [Fig. 3(b)]. Overall, we can see that the scaling can be used to confirm the correctness of the critical value, but it is not a feasible method for the search of the critical coupling.

Since the entropy clearly diverges close to the QCP and saturates elsewhere, we compared the scaling with the logarithmic curve. Due to the remarkable connection between conformal invariant quantum field theories (CFTs) and critical phenomena, the scaling depends on the CFT central charge *c* of the same universality class [35]. The exact dependence is known even for finite temperature and finite system sizes [37]. Written in terms of the Rényi entropy of order α , the scaling equals [37]

$$S_l^{\alpha} \sim \frac{c}{6} \left(\frac{\alpha^2 - 1}{\alpha} \right) \log(l).$$
 (20)

In Fig. 3(c), we varied the parameter ζ and performed the scaling analysis at a *D* value that corresponds to the peak of the entropy for given ζ . The scaling is clearly in accordance with Eq. (20). From this, the prefactor of the logarithm, directly depending on the central charge, can be extracted. We noticed that, at fixed ζ , the value does not agree with the universality class of the Ising model, c = 0.5. However, the ζ -dependence



FIG. 3. Rényi entropy as a function of subsystem size in the neighborhood of the phase transition at 100 K. Scaling for the (a) N = 32 and (b) N = 64 chains for equally spaced values of D, with solid lines connecting the points. The colored sets of points are in the vicinity of the phase transition. It is clearly visible that for a general D the entropy saturates, while it keeps growing in the critical regime. (c) Scaling for the N = 64 chain at critical D, for various values of the ratio $\zeta = 300/PT$. The solid lines in panel (c) are fits of Eq. (20) to the points. Effects are relatively small and convergence is fast. In the inset, we show the parameter in front of the fitted logarithmic terms as a function of ζ . By a crude linear extrapolation, $c_{\zeta=0}$ is extracted.

analysis suggests that this is again an imaginary-time discretization effect. Indeed, in the limit of $\zeta = 0$, the data agree with the central charge being equal to 0.5.

IV. PHASE DIAGRAM AT NONZERO TEMPERATURE

So far, we have been focusing on the critical phenomena at small fixed temperature with the purpose of locating and characterizing the zero-temperature phase transition. However, the phase diagram around a quantum phase transition usually features rich temperature dependence [1,3,14,15,17,84].

Studies of higher-dimensional systems found the emergence of classical order-disorder phase transition in the double-well chain with finite barrier and a displacive transition at vanishing barrier [23]. Investigations in 1D discrete ϕ^4 models were done in the limit of large double-well barrier, i.e., the Ising model. The transverse Ising model at finite temperature splits into three distinct regimes [17]. For large magnetic field, the ground state is aligned with the magnetic field, while thermal fluctuations flip individual spin in the opposite direction. On the other side of the transition, where the magnetic field is weak, there exists a domain-wall regime, with the presence of kink-antikink pairs. Both of these regimes evolve into a quantum critical regime with strong quantum fluctuations. This raises the question whether the same regimes persist after the double-well barrier is removed.

To address this question, let us now discuss the finitetemperature phase diagram of the coupled anharmonic chain, exploiting once more the evaluation of the Rényi entropy. Similarly to the Ising chain, we observe three regimes. However, these regimes are different, and we will describe them as para, disordered, and antiferro, as presented in the Introduction. They can be found in this order by raising the coupling D. They are separated by two crossovers, that merge in the limit of low temperature at the QCP. Our calculations suggest that the disordered regime disappears close to zero temperature, which results in a direct transition between the antiferro and para regimes.

A. Crossover from antiferro to disordered regime

Let us start by looking at the strong-coupling side of the phase diagram at finite temperature, and progressively reduce D. In order to investigate the crossover from antiferro to disordered regime, we consider the total polarization as the order parameter, and extend the study of the ground-state symmetry done by Wang *et al.* [13] to higher temperatures. For the model in Eq. (18), displaying antiferromagnetic order, we write the order parameter as

$$\Phi(D) = \frac{1}{PN} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{P} \sum_{i=1}^{N/2} q_{2i}^{(j)} \right|,$$
(21)

where the sign of the interaction is taken into account by looking at one of the two bipartite lattices.

Figure 4 displays the computed order parameter $\langle \Phi(D) \rangle$ at different temperatures. Two regimes are clearly visible. Due to the presence of quantum fluctuations, the average $\langle \Phi(D) \rangle$ vanishes for small enough *D* even at the lowest temperature we could access (50 K; top panel of Fig. 4). The interparticle



FIG. 4. Order-disorder order parameter Φ . Top: The value of the parameter Φ across the transition at various temperatures. The restoration of the broken symmetry is marked by a sudden drop of the parameter values. The effect is visible also at higher temperatures. The dashed line is the position of the minima of the classical potential. Bottom: Fluctuations (susceptibility) of the order parameter, weighted by the temperature to improve readability. By increasing the temperature the peak of susceptibility function drifts to higher *D*. Colors range from purple at 50 K to red at 1000 K.

interaction counteracts the effect of quantum and thermal fluctuations, which have the tendency to restore the symmetry of the potential. By increasing *D*, the value of $\langle \Phi(D) \rangle$ sharply increases and the system undergoes a phase transition (Fig. 4). Thermal fluctuations, although smaller than the energy cost of a flip $(k_b T \ll \Delta V)$, delay the transition to larger *D*.

In 1D systems, thermal fluctuations not only delay the transition but also break true long-range order, due to the formation of domain walls (kink-antikink pairs). A way to locate the crossover between the disordered and antiferro regimes is to compute the susceptibility of the order parameter, defined as $\chi = \beta PN(\langle \Phi(D)^2 \rangle - \langle \Phi(D) \rangle^2)$, and look at the position of its maximum. Just as for the Rényi entropy, the position of the peak displays a strong dependence on the imaginary-time step ζ , with scaling almost identical to the one of the Rényi entropy (Appendix E). The extrapolated location of the susceptibility peak is shown on the *T-D* diagram in Fig. 8. It shows a linear dependence on *D*⁴. This indicates that its behavior is strongly affected by quantum effects.

We now investigate the full entropy of the system as a function of D and temperature, displayed in Fig. 5. Except for very low temperature, already discussed in Sec. III, we can distinguish three regimes. At low D the Rényi entropy is seen to be increasing with D, followed by a decrease after reaching a maximum. Then, at even larger values of D, the Rényi entropy plateaus at $S^2 = 1$, as expected in the ordered regime, with two degenerate ground states. The crossover between the last two regimes can be estimated as the intersection of a linear extrapolation of the Rényi entropy at the inflection point on the right side of the peak, with the $S^2 = 1$ line. These linear fits are shown in Fig. 5 and the crossover values of D at each temperature obtained by this procedure are very close



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the Rényi entropy. Thermodynamic entropy of a system of N = 128 particles as a function of coupling *D* at different temperatures and fixed imaginary-time step ζ . Different regimes, described by the order parameter Φ [Eq. (21)] and imaginary-time correlations C_T [Eq. (22)], are marked by dashed (para), solid (disordered), and dotted (antiferro) lines. These regimes are clearly visible in the Rényi entropy: exponential growth of fluctuations with *D* in the para regime, divergence of the Rényi entropy at the QCP, almost linear suppression of correlation with increased *D* in the disordered regime, and fast relaxation of entropy to the value of $S^2 = 1$ in the antiferro regime. Colors range from purple at 100 K to red at 1000 K.

to our estimate of the disorder-to-order crossover from the susceptibility χ .

B. Crossover from disordered to para regime

The maximum of the Rényi entropy in Fig. 5 occurs at the strength D corresponding to the critical value for the quantum phase transition observed at low temperature. This suggests that it is the signature of a crossover from a regime where the particles are fully delocalized over the two minima, through a combination of quantum and thermal fluctuations, to a regime where individual particles localize in one basin, with formation of local moments. This is consistent with an expansion of the available phase space, through the formation of a double well, as D increases, and the macroscopic localization in one local minimum as D is increased further. The corresponding increase in the entropy in the first regime and the decrease in the second regime lead to the maximum at the transition point. Due to thermal fluctuations, this does not result in long-range order, but in a regime where the formed local moments are spatially disordered (Fig. 8).

This crossover thus appears analogous to the spin-freezing phenomenon, described by Werner *et al.* [54] for strongly correlated fermionic systems, and we denote the two regimes as the para phase at low D and the disordered phase at intermediate D. To check this interpretation, it is possible to look at the correlation in the imaginary time, defined as

$$C_T(\tau\zeta) = \left\langle \frac{1}{NP} \sum_i^N \sum_j^P q_i^{(j)} q_i^{(j+\tau)} \right\rangle.$$
(22)

It is averaged over the simulation time, while τ is an integer running from 0 to P, specifying the distance in imaginary



FIG. 6. Local moment formation. Top: The imaginary-time correlation function at half time $C_T(\beta/2)$ for various temperatures. In the local moment regime, $C_T(\beta/2)$ saturates to a finite value. Analogously to the spin freezing [54], the temperature dependence of $C_T(\beta/2)$ is suppressed. Bottom: The ratio between $C_T(\beta/2)$ at T = 100 K and the correlation function at higher temperatures. Inset: In logarithmic scale the dependence is linear and the critical *D* can be estimated. Colors range from dark blue at 100 K to red at 1000 K.

time, bounded by $\beta = 1/k_b T$. In the para regime the particles do not distinguish between being right and left, and their position is randomly distributed in imaginary time. When the interaction is increased and the underlying ground state undergoes a displacive transition, a whole ring or sections of a ring become trapped in one of the minima and the correlation increases. Upon crossing the para-to-disorder crossover, individual particles are locked in the minima and correlation saturates, which is the hallmark of the local moment regime. Looking at the imaginary-time correlation at half inverse temperature in the upper panel of Fig. 6, we see that indeed the correlation vanishes at low temperatures in the para regime and increases with temperature, due to the sharp decrease of de Broglie wavelength $\Lambda = \sqrt{2\pi \hbar^2 / m k_b T}$, which determines the spread of the particle's ring. After the crossover line of local moment formation is crossed, the imaginary-time correlation saturates and does not show temperature dependence any more, because thermal fluctuations can at most move the full particle or a large section of the ring. Indeed, the particles are already localized due to the interaction D, and displacing each individual bead becomes too expensive. We located the crossover by using a similar procedure to the one described by Werner *et al.* [54]. We compute the C_T correlations at different temperatures and compare them to the one at T = 100 K. By noticing that the ratio of correlation functions scales exponentially, we were able to precisely locate the critical value of D, where the ratio reaches unity. Indeed, the saturation point happens almost exactly at the value of D where the Rényi



FIG. 7. World-line snapshots in different regimes. Each ring is collapsed according to the sign in front of the bead position to either -1 (dark blue) or +1 (light blue). At the point of local moment formation (middle panel), the ordering in imaginary time appears, but it is not frozen. Upon increasing the interaction further, entire rings get trapped to the left- or right-hand side with respect to the central symmetric position. The snapshots are taken at 200 K with 25 beads and N = 128.

entropy reaches its maximum (see Fig. 8), unambiguously identifying the local moment formation point. The described behavior is clearly visible, if a system configuration is taken at random along the PIMD trajectory, and all the bead positions are mapped to $\{-1, 1\}$, i.e., left and right, with a sign func-



FIG. 8. Phase diagram of 1D anharmonic chain in Eq. (18). We observe three distinct regimes: para (red), disordered (blue), and antiferro (green). The crossovers between these regimes are located by looking at the peak of the Rényi entropy (red points), the ratio of the correlation functions in imaginary time, $C_T(\beta/2)$, of Eq. (22) and Fig. 6 (black points, "Spin freezing"), and the susceptibility χ of the order parameter in Eq. (21) and Fig. 4 (blue points, "Global order"). Results are obtained at finite ζ and for N = 128, and then extrapolated to $\zeta = 0$, by shifting all points by $\delta D = 0.01546$ to the right, as demonstrated for the Rényi entropy and susceptibility peaks (see Appendix E). The error bars represent the width of the peaks at 95% of their height.

tion (see Fig. 7). Ordering in imaginary time starts to appear around the QCP, when world-line paths become much stiffer.

Based on all these observations, we finally draw the converged phase diagram of the anharmonic chain in Fig. 8. In a general 1D ϕ^4 model we expect to see a gradual disappearance of the para regime, upon increase of the double-well barrier. This should be replaced by the disordered regime so that eventually, in the limit of infinite double-well barrier, the phase diagram resembles the one of the Ising model.

V. CONCLUSION

We demonstrated that the Rényi entropy can be used to pinpoint and classify phase diagrams. In the chain of anharmonic oscillators studied here, we successfully identified its quantum critical point and proved that the Rényi entropy, by successfully counting the number of available states, can also be used for the exploration of its finite-temperature phase diagram.

The resulting phase diagram is exciting, because it shows features very similar to the ones of hydrogen-rich materials. In particular, it is worth commenting on the apparent similarity between our 1D chain and the phase diagram of high-pressure water ice across the VIII-VII-X phase transitions, a region of its complex phase diagram that recently attracted significant attention [85]. Phase VIII of water ice is a crystalline phase, where a global network of hydrogen bonds is created. While at low enough pressure (low density) the individual water molecules still have some mobility, as they can rotate and switch between six different configurations, phase VIII is a proton-ordered phase, with an order parameter analogous to our polarization parameter. After the phase boundary to ice VII is crossed, protons can hop between neighboring oxygen atoms. This is due to the increase of either temperature or pressure, and it is again analogous to the order-disorder crossover observed in our model. In realistic simulations and experiments, this is a first-order thermodynamic phase transition [7,27]. By further increasing the pressure and still following our analogy, the transition from ice VII to ice X can be interpreted as a quantum phase transition where quantum fluctuations destroy the local moments, created by the off-centered proton positions with respect to the two flanking oxygen atoms. Therefore, phases VII and X are represented by the disordered quantum critical phase and the para phase of our model, respectively. Based on our analogy, a direct transition from phase VIII to phase X is then expected at low temperature. This analysis is, however, a very crude simplification, and a full quantum mechanical treatment of the realistic system should be carried out. With the method developed and presented in this work, this is certainly within our reach. Nevertheless, VIII-VII-X water ice is only one of the practical realizations of the more general ϕ^4 model. Our Rényi entropy approach can thus be fruitfully applied to a large variety of quantum critical systems.

We have shown that the Rényi entropy can be used to detect local moment formation in many-body quantum systems. This owes to the fact that the Rényi entropy counts the average number of occupied states in the system. As a result, at sufficiently low temperature, the local moment formation leads to an abrupt change in entropy, which can be seamlessly connected with the entropy divergence at the zero-temperature from one move is p characterize temperature-dependent phase diagrams of quan-

characterize temperature-dependent phase diagrams of quantum systems, and its sensitiveness to emergent local symmetry breakings makes it a precious tool to localize elusive phase transitions that otherwise would be very hard to detect.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank GENCI for the computational resources provided through the allocation A0130906493, where the main calculations have been performed. We thank the support of the HPCaVe computational platform of Sorbonne University. We are grateful for the environment provided by ISCD and its MAESTRO junior team. This work was partially supported by the European Centre of Excellence in Exascale Computing TREX-Targeting Real Chemical Accuracy at the Exascale, funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program under Grant Agreement No. 952165.

APPENDIX A: NUMERICAL DETAILS

The value of the damping constant γ_0 was fixed to $\gamma_0 =$ 0.005855 atomic units (a.u.). On the other hand, for the BO Liouvillian, γ^{BO} has been set to zero, because the BO forces of our model are deterministic and $\gamma_0 \neq 0$ was enough to thermalize the system. A typical simulation was split in blocks of 10 000 time-propagation steps, and usually 140-400 blocks were evaluated. The time step was calculated with the formula $\Delta t = 0.25D/0.334$ fs, with D defined in Eq. (18), and varied from 0.250 to 0.375 fs. Final simulation times were therefore ranging from 0.10 to 0.28 ns. These long simulation times were needed in the vicinity of the QCP, due to symmetry breaking, after which two distinct copies of the system got trapped in distinct global minima. In this case the problem was relieved by introducing a SWAP move (see Appendix B). However, longer simulation times were still needed, to reach low error estimates.

Temperature was varied from 50 to 1000 K. This resulted in the range of β from 315 to 6313 hartree⁻¹, where hartree is an atomic unit of energy. The maximum number of beads used was 50. For the numerical integration over the regularized thermodynamic integration path we used ten integration steps.

APPENDIX B: ACCELERATING MD SAMPLING WITH SWAP MOVE

As is often the case, the simulations based on PIMD can get stuck in minima separated by barriers larger than the size of thermal fluctuations. This is particularly true when calculating the Rényi entropy in the models with broken symmetry, for example in the double-well potential. In such case in the regime with large barriers different replicas belonging to the ensemble get stuck in either the same or different minima. In order to get the correct estimation of entropy both configurations must be sampled, which becomes exponentially slower with the size of the barrier.

To facilitate the sampling, a SWAP move can be introduced, especially if minima of the system are related by symmetry. The SWAP move flips all the particles in one of the replicas from one minimum to another. During the simulation this move is proposed and accepted with the probability given by the Boltzmann distribution. The main change in energy comes from the boundary conditions connecting the two ensembles. Note that the velocities can be rotated in any fashion, since the norm of them stays unchanged.

Given the symmetry of the anharmonic chain, the SWAP was done by multiplying all particle positions by -1. Using this additional SWAP move was enough to preserve ergodicity in our simulations. We believe that such a scheme can be used also in systems with larger symmetries, such as higher-dimensional chains of anharmonic oscillators.

APPENDIX C: METHOD TESTING

As a test of performance of our algorithm, we used a system of two coupled harmonic oscillators. The model is described by the Hamiltonian in Eq. (2), where the potential is given by

$$V(q_1, q_2) = \frac{m_1 \omega_1^2}{2} q_1^2 + \frac{m_2 \omega_2^2}{2} q_2^2 - m \omega_1 \omega_2 \Gamma q_1 q_2.$$
(C1)

The Hamiltonian is bounded from below only for $|\Gamma| < 1$ where there exists a global minimum at the point $(x_1, x_2) = (0, 0)$. Since the Hamiltonian comes as a quadratic form, the coordinate system can be rotated in such a way that it actually describes two noninteracting Harmonic oscillators,

$$y_1 = \cos \delta q_1 - \sin \delta q_2,$$

$$y_2 = \sin \delta q_1 + \cos \delta q_2,$$
 (C2)

with the angles expressed as [86]

$$\epsilon = \frac{\omega_2^2 - \omega_1^2}{2\omega_1 \omega_2 \Gamma},\tag{C3}$$

$$\tan \delta = \frac{\epsilon}{\|\epsilon\|} \sqrt{\epsilon^2 + 1} - \epsilon, \qquad (C4)$$

and the new frequencies given by $\tilde{\omega}_i^2 = \omega_i^2 \pm \omega_1 \omega_2 \Gamma \tan \delta$. Each of these oscillators has a well-known density matrix ρ_0 , given by a Gaussian distribution [87]

$$\rho_0(y_i, y_i'; \beta) = \sqrt{\frac{2\xi_i - \psi_i}{\pi}} e^{-\xi_i(y_i^2 + y_i'^2) + \psi_i y_i y_i'}, \quad (C5)$$

with the new parameters ξ_i , ψ_i defined as

$$\xi_i = \frac{m\tilde{\omega}_i}{2\hbar} \coth(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_i\beta), \tag{C6}$$

$$\psi_i = \frac{m\tilde{\omega}_i}{\hbar} \frac{1}{\sinh(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_i\beta)}.$$
 (C7)

The density matrix of the full system is therefore given by $\rho = \rho_0(\tilde{\omega}_1, y_1)\rho_0(\tilde{\omega}_2, y_2)$. In order to perform traces over original degrees of freedom, $q_{1,2}$, we perform back-rotation, by using Eq. (C2). Now all the traces of all the powers of the density matrix and of the reduced density matrix can be evaluated analytically with multivariate Gaussian integrals.

For the full Rényi entropy of order α , we need to evaluate the trace of the density matrix to the integer power α , ρ^{α} . It can be again expressed as a product of Gaussian distributions, given by the tridiagonal quadratic forms $\rho_0^{\alpha}(y_i; \beta) = \exp(-\mathbf{y}_i^T A_i \mathbf{y}_i/2)$ of dimension $\alpha \times \alpha$. The new vector \mathbf{y}_i contain coordinates y_i belonging to separate replicas of a corresponding free mode, and the matrix coupling them is given by a tridiagonal matrix, with terms $4\xi_i$ on the main diagonal and terms $-\psi_i$ as sub- and supradiagonals. There are also two additional $-\psi_i$ terms added to the off-diagonal corners. To proceed, we note that the multivariate Gaussian integral of a quadratic form equals the inverse of the square root of its determinant. Therefore, to evaluate the Rényi entropy of the full complex it is enough to evaluate the two determinants $|A_i|$, so that

$$S^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \prod_{i} \left[\left(\frac{2\xi_{i} - \psi_{i}}{\pi} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{|A_{i}|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right].$$
(C8)

For example, when $\alpha = 2$ it is equal to

$$S^{2} = -\log(\tanh(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_{1}\beta/2)\tanh(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_{2}\beta/2)), \qquad (C9)$$

demonstrating that indeed the system has a nondegenerate ground state, since $S^2 = 0$ in the limit of T = 0.

Completely analogous steps have to be done also for the reduced density matrix. By integrating over q_1 we obtain the reduced density matrix of the second oscillator, defined as

$$p_{2}(q_{2}, q_{2}'; \beta) = B \exp \left\{ q_{2}^{2}(\Phi^{2} - \Xi) + q_{2}q_{2}'(2\Phi^{2} + \Psi) + q_{2}'^{2}(\Phi^{2} - \Xi) \right\},$$
(C10)

where the new parameters are given through the prescriptions below:

$$\Xi = \xi_1 \sin^2 \delta + \xi_2 \cos^2 \delta, \tag{C11}$$

$$\Psi = \psi_1 \sin^2 \delta + \psi_2 \cos^2 \delta, \qquad (C12)$$

$$\Phi = \frac{2\xi_1 - \psi_1 - 2\xi_2 + \psi_2}{2\sqrt{\frac{2\xi_1 - \psi_1}{\sin^2 \delta} + \frac{2\xi_2 - \psi_2}{\cos^2 \delta}}},$$
(C13)

$$B = \frac{m}{\pi\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\tilde{\omega}_1\tilde{\omega}_2\tanh(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_1\beta/2)\tanh(\hbar\tilde{\omega}_2\beta/2)}{(2\xi_1 - \psi_1)\cos^2\delta + (2\xi_2 - \psi_2)\sin^2\delta}}.$$
 (C14)

Finally, to get the trace of any power of the reduced density matrix, one can notice that the density matrix of integer power α , $\rho_2^{\alpha}(q_2, q_2 : \beta)$, is again a Gaussian distribution, given by the tridiagonal quadratic form $\exp(-\mathbf{q}_2^T A \mathbf{q}_2/2)$ of dimension $\alpha \times \alpha$. Now the vector \mathbf{q}_2 contains coordinates q_2 belonging to separate replicas. The matrix A has $4(\Phi^2 - \Xi)$ on the main diagonal and terms $(2\Phi^2 + \Psi)$ as sub- and supradiagonals. There are also two additional $(2\Phi^2 + \Psi)$ terms added to the off-diagonal corners. By using the same formula for the multivariate Gaussian integral of a quadratic form, we get the result for the Rényi entropy of subsystem 2:

$$S_{2}^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left[B^{\alpha} \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{|A|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) \right],$$
 (C15)

which in the case of $\alpha = 2$ reduces to a much simpler expression,

$$S_2^2 = -\log\left(B^2 \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{4(\Xi - \Phi^2)^2 - (2\Phi^2 + \Psi)^2}}\right).$$
 (C16)

A similar expression can be found also for the first oscillator, by everywhere replacing $\cos \delta$ with $\sin \delta$ and vice versa.



FIG. 9. Temperature distribution along the integration path evaluating the entropy of the harmonic oscillator with $\omega = 0.01$, when coupled to another with $\omega = 0.004$, through $\Gamma = 0.94868$, defined in Eq. (C1).

The system represents an ideal continuous model with entanglement and can be used as a benchmarking model for algorithms evaluating entanglement entropy. The level of entanglement in this system can be very large and is diverging upon approaching the limit of $\Gamma = 1$ [88]. In the following tests we used the value that is very close to the critical point $\Gamma = 0.94868$, but distant enough to preserve good convergence of the MD algorithm.

First we used the model to confirm that the coupling to the thermal bath is done correctly with the prescription in Eq. (14). We tested the coupling to the thermal bath by looking at the distribution kinetic energy, expressed as an instantaneous temperature, along the trajectories for different ensembles of coupled harmonic oscillators along the path in Eq. (7), corresponding to the evaluation of the entropy of one subsystem. In this case the friction changes from particle to particle and for different values of the coupling constant. As can be seen in Fig. 9, all trajectories are correctly thermalized, validating the algorithm presented in Sec. IIB. We then compared numerical and analytical results for the entropy, and tested the performance of the algorithm in evaluating higher orders of the Rényi entropy. At higher orders of Rényi entropy the test was performed by comparing the simulation with the exact result for the Rényi mutual information. It can be expressed as

$$I^{\alpha}(1:2) = S_1^{\alpha} + S_2^{\alpha} - S^{\alpha}, \qquad (C17)$$

where the lower index specifies which oscillator was chosen as a subsystem in the evaluation of Rényi entropy of order α . This quantity is useful because it cancels out the correlations of the full system and really quantifies the correlations between the particles. However, the quantity is really related to the correlation only in the limit of $\alpha \rightarrow 1$, when it cannot be negative. In the system of coupled harmonic oscillators the mutual information of integer order is never negative and follows a similar curve as $\alpha = 1$. This suggests that it is related to the actual correlation.

It can be seen from Fig. 10 that the method agrees well with the theoretical result, with a small bias due to



FIG. 10. Evaluation of Rényi entropy of a harmonic oscillator with $\omega = 0.01$ and an oscillator with $\omega = 0.004$ that are coupled through $\Gamma = 0.94868$, defined in Eq. (C1). (a) Entropy at different temperatures. (b) Rényi mutual information [see Eq. (C17)] of higher orders $\alpha = 2, 3, 4$. Numerical results (crosses) are compared to the exact analytical results (line).

numerical integration. The method can cover a very large span of temperatures, thanks to the choice of optimal integration path. However, the bias increases slightly with temperature [see Fig. 10(a)] and with increasing order α [see Fig. 10(b)]. The bias can be combated by rescaling the integration parameter λ , but for our purposes this is not needed, since in this work we analyze low-temperature behavior of the secondorder Rényi entropy.

APPENDIX D: THE ϕ^4 MODEL

The model with the potential in Eq. (16) is described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m} + \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\theta}{2} (\hat{q}_{i} - \hat{q}_{i+1})^{2} - m\omega^{2} \hat{q}_{i}^{2} + \lambda \hat{q}_{i}^{4}.$$
(D1)

Considering two particles, when $\theta < m\omega^2/2$ the classical potential features four minima. Two global minima are

located at

$$q_0^m = q_1^m = \pm \sqrt{\frac{m\omega^2}{2\lambda}},\tag{D2}$$

and two other minima correspond to

$$q_0^m = -q_1^m = \pm \sqrt{\frac{m\omega^2}{2\lambda} - \frac{\theta}{\lambda}},$$
 (D3)

which become imaginary, when $\theta > m\omega^2/2$. In Fig. 11 we show some possible potential energy surfaces, for the case of two particles. In this work, we have set $\theta = m\omega^2$ which leaves only two minima as discussed in the main text. In doing so we defined new parameters $m' = m\lambda$ and $D = \sqrt{m'\omega}$, leading to the Hamiltonian of Eq. (16) with an imaginary coupling, corresponding to the ferroelectric situation.

There exist many different parametrizations of the model. For making comparison with previous results, we list the mappings from our parametrization to some others. In Refs. [20,23] the Hamiltonian is written in terms of parameters a, σ , and d, such that

$$\hat{H}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}, \hat{\mathbf{q}}) = \sum_{i}^{N} \frac{\hat{p}_{i}^{2}}{2m_{i}} + \sum_{i}^{N} \left(2d - \frac{a}{2}\right)\hat{q}_{i}^{2} + \frac{a}{4}\hat{q}_{i}^{4} - \sigma\hat{q}_{i}\hat{q}_{i+1}.$$
(D4)

With a simple comparison we can see that then $\lambda = a/4$, $\theta = \sigma$, and $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{3a}{4m} - 2\frac{d}{m}}$. This Hamiltonian is particularly useful for exploring the limit of the Ising model $(a \to \infty)$, where particles are strongly localized to positions around -1and 1. The mass causes them to tunnel and is analogous to the strength of the transverse magnetic field in the Ising model.

When comparing our predictions for the critical coupling D^* with the work of Wang *et al.* [13], the following correspondence is used. They show that the model can be recast to depend on only two parameters, κ and ϵ . The two parameters represented in the Hamiltonian formulation appear as

$$S[\phi] = \epsilon \sum_{i}^{N} \left[\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2\kappa} + \frac{\kappa}{2} (\hat{q}_i - \hat{q}_{i+1})^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{q}_i^2 - 1)^2 \right], \quad (D5)$$

and can be expressed in terms of our parameters as $\omega = (\epsilon \lambda/m^2)^{1/3}$ and $\kappa = \theta/2m\omega^2$. From our analysis we can see that the last one controls the type of the transition and the number of minima, while the first one controls the strength



FIG. 11. Classical potential as a function of parameter θ . For simplicity, only a classical potential of two particles is shown, with all the other parameters fixed to one: $m = \omega = \lambda = 1$.



FIG. 12. $\zeta = 300/PT$ dependence of the peaks of the susceptibility of the order parameter, introduced in Eq. (21). Red points correspond to the susceptibility at 300 K and green points to the susceptibility at 100 K. For comparison we show also the positions of the peaks of the Rényi entropy in blue, for both temperatures and two subsystem sizes. The linear interpolation shows that the peaks of all the quantities drift as a function of ζ with the same rate.

of quantum effects. The larger the value of ϵ , the closer we are to the classical limit. By making the restriction $\theta = m\omega^2$, we choose the value $\kappa' = 1/2$ and vary only ϵ , in this

- M. Vojta, Quantum phase transitions, Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 2069 (2003).
- [2] S. Sachdev, Quantum magnetism and criticality, Nat. Phys. 4, 173 (2008).
- [3] S. Sachdev and B. Keimer, Quantum criticality, Phys. Today 64(2), 29 (2011).
- [4] D. Bitko, T. F. Rosenbaum, and G. Aeppli, Quantum critical behavior for a model magnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 940 (1996).
- [5] M. Abdel-Jawad, I. Terasaki, T. Sasaki, N. Yoneyama, N. Kobayashi, Y. Uesu, and C. Hotta, Anomalous dielectric response in the dimer Mott insulator κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃, Phys. Rev. B 82, 125119 (2010).
- [6] P. Lunkenheimer, J. Müller, S. Krohns, F. Schrettle, A. Loidl, B. Hartmann, R. Rommel, M. de Souza, C. Hotta, J. A. Schlueter, and M. Lang, Multiferroicity in an organic charge-transfer salt that is suggestive of electric-dipole-driven magnetism, Nat. Mater. 11, 755 (2012).
- [7] P. Pruzan, J. C. Chervin, E. Wolanin, B. Canny, M. Gauthier, and M. Hanfland, Phase diagram of ice in the VII–VIII–X domain. Vibrational and structural data for strongly compressed ice VIII, J. Raman Spectrosc. 34, 591 (2003).
- [8] A. P. Drozdov, P. P. Kong, V. S. Minkov, S. P. Besedin, M. A. Kuzovnikov, S. Mozaffari, L. Balicas, F. F. Balakirev, D. E. Graf, V. B. Prakapenka, E. Greenberg, D. A. Knyazev, M. Tkacz, and M. I. Eremets, Superconductivity at 250 K in lanthanum hydride under high pressures, Nature (London) 569, 528 (2019).
- [9] P. Kong, V. S. Minkov, M. A. Kuzovnikov, A. P. Drozdov, S. P. Besedin, S. Mozaffari, L. Balicas, F. F. Balakirev, V. B. Prakapenka, S. Chariton, D. A. Knyazev, E. Greenberg, and M. I. Eremets, Superconductivity up to 243 K in the

case $\epsilon' = m^2 \omega^3 / \lambda = \sqrt{m'} D^3$, which we use to compare our results.

APPENDIX E: SCALING IN ζ

We observed that the position of the peak of the Rényi entropy strongly depends on the size of the imaginary-time step ζ (Fig. 2). This dependence appears linear in ζ , which is large, compared to the dependence of the partition function, ζ^3 , given by the Trotterization. To determine the full effect of ζ on the phase diagram (Fig. 8), we further studied the scaling of the susceptibility upon the discretization in imaginary time. In Fig. 12 we show the position of the susceptibility peak for different temperatures and imaginary-time steps. The maximum of the Rényi entropy is shown on the same plot. It can be seen that, at these temperatures, the relative positions of these maxima converge very early, while the absolute position converges, linearly, as the position of the peak of the Rényi entropy. Since we observed no temperature dependence on the convergence rate, we expect this approximation to hold also at slightly higher temperatures, covered in Fig. 8. Therefore, the phase diagram in the limit of zero imaginary time can be obtained by just recording the positions of the peaks at sufficiently small imaginary-time steps and then shifting all of them with the linear fit in Fig. 12.

yttrium-hydrogen system under high pressure, Nat. Commun. **12**, 5075 (2021).

- [10] A. P. Drozdov, M. I. Eremets, I. A. Troyan, V. Ksenofontov, and S. I. Shylin, Conventional superconductivity at 203 Kelvin at high pressures in the sulfur hydride system, Nature (London) 525, 73 (2015).
- [11] R. W. Jansen, R. Bertoncini, D. A. Pinnick, A. I. Katz, R. C. Hanson, O. F. Sankey, and M. O'Keeffe, Theoretical aspects of solid hydrogen halides under pressure, Phys. Rev. B 35, 9830 (1987).
- [12] M. Springborg, Energy surfaces and electronic properties of hydrogen fluoride, Phys. Rev. B 38, 1483 (1988).
- [13] X. Wang, D. K. Campbell, and J. E. Gubernatis, Quantum double-well chain: Ground-state phases and applications to hydrogen-bonded materials, Phys. Rev. B 49, 15485 (1994).
- [14] M. Gabbrielli, A. Smerzi, and L. Pezzè, Multipartite entanglement at finite temperature, Sci. Rep. 8, 15663 (2018).
- [15] T.-C. Lu and T. Grover, Structure of quantum entanglement at a finite temperature critical point, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 043345 (2020).
- [16] I. Frérot and T. Roscilde, Reconstructing the quantum critical fan of strongly correlated systems using quantum correlations, Nat. Commun. 10, 577 (2019).
- [17] S. Sachdev, Finite temperature correlations of the Ising chain in a transverse field, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 11, 57 (1997).
- [18] K.-H. Wu, T.-C. Lu, C.-M. Chung, Y.-J. Kao, and T. Grover, Entanglement Rényi negativity across a finite temperature transition: A Monte Carlo study, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 140603 (2020).
- [19] R. Toral and A. Chakrabarti, Numerical determination of the phase diagram for the *cpht*⁴ model in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 42, 2445 (1990).

- [20] A. N. Rubtsov and T. Janssen, Quantum phase transitions in the discrete φ^4 model: The crossover between two types of transition, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 172101 (2001).
- [21] D.-H. Kim, Y.-C. Lin, and H. Rieger, Path integral Monte Carlo study of the interacting quantum double-well model: Quantum phase transition and phase diagram, Phys. Rev. E 75, 016702 (2007).
- [22] C. Hotta, T. Yoshida, and K. Harada, Quantum critical dynamics in two-dimensional transverse Ising model, Phys. Rev. Res. 5, 013186 (2023).
- [23] V. V. Savkin, A. N. Rubtsov, and T. Janssen, Quantum discrete φ^4 model at finite temperatures, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 214103 (2002).
- [24] M. Benoit, D. Marx, and M. Parrinello, Tunnelling and zeropoint motion in high-pressure ice, Nature (London) 392, 258 (1998).
- [25] Y. Bronstein, P. Depondt, F. Finocchi, and A. M. Saitta, Quantum-driven phase transition in ice described via an efficient Langevin approach, Phys. Rev. B 89, 214101 (2014).
- [26] M. Cherubini, L. Monacelli, and F. Mauri, The microscopic origin of the anomalous isotopic properties of ice relies on the strong quantum anharmonic regime of atomic vibration, J. Chem. Phys. 155, 184502 (2021).
- [27] A. Reinhardt, M. Bethkenhagen, F. Coppari, M. Millot, S. Hamel, and B. Cheng, Thermodynamics of high-pressure ice phases explored with atomistic simulations, Nat. Commun. 13, 4707 (2022).
- [28] J. Orenstein and A. J. Millis, Advances in the physics of hightemperature superconductivity, Science 288, 468 (2000).
- [29] M. E. Simon and C. M. Varma, Detection and implications of a time-reversal breaking state in underdoped cuprates, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 247003 (2002).
- [30] C. M. Varma, Theory of the pseudogap state of the cuprates, Phys. Rev. B 73, 155113 (2006).
- [31] P. A. Lee, From high temperature superconductivity to quantum spin liquid: Progress in strong correlation physics, Rep. Prog. Phys. 71, 012501 (2008).
- [32] E.-A. Kim, M. J. Lawler, P. Oreto, S. Sachdev, E. Fradkin, and S. A. Kivelson, Theory of the nodal nematic quantum phase transition in superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 77, 184514 (2008).
- [33] S. Sachdev, Where is the quantum critical point in the cuprate superconductors?, Phys. Status Solidi B **247**, 537 (2010).
- [34] N. Kowalski, S. S. Dash, P. Sémon, D. Sénéchal, and A.-M. Tremblay, Oxygen hole content, charge-transfer gap, covalency, and cuprate superconductivity, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2106476118 (2021).
- [35] G. Vidal, J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and A. Kitaev, Entanglement in quantum critical phenomena, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 227902 (2003).
- [36] M. M. Wolf, F. Verstraete, M. B. Hastings, and J. I. Cirac, Area laws in quantum systems: Mutual information and correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 070502 (2008).
- [37] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. (2004) P06002.
- [38] M. B. Hastings, I. González, A. B. Kallin, and R. G. Melko, Measuring Rényi entanglement entropy in quantum Monte Carlo simulations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 157201 (2010).
- [39] S. Humeniuk and T. Roscilde, Quantum Monte Carlo calculation of entanglement Rényi entropies for generic quantum systems, Phys. Rev. B 86, 235116 (2012).

- [40] V. Alba, Entanglement negativity and conformal field theory: A Monte Carlo study, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. (2013) P05013.
- [41] V. Alba, Out-of-equilibrium protocol for Rényi entropies via the Jarzynski equality, Phys. Rev. E 95, 062132 (2017).
- [42] J. D'Emidio, Entanglement entropy from nonequilibrium work, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 110602 (2020).
- [43] J. D'Emidio, R. Orus, N. Laflorencie, and F. de Juan, Universal features of entanglement entropy in the honeycomb Hubbard model, arXiv:2211.04334.
- [44] J. McMinis and N. M. Tubman, Rényi entropy of the interacting Fermi liquid, Phys. Rev. B 87, 081108(R) (2013).
- [45] D. J. Luitz, X. Plat, N. Laflorencie, and F. Alet, Improving entanglement and thermodynamic Rényi entropy measurements in quantum Monte Carlo, Phys. Rev. B 90, 125105 (2014).
- [46] P. V. Buividovich and M. I. Polikarpov, Numerical study of entanglement entropy in SU(2) lattice gauge theory, Nucl. Phys. B 802, 458 (2008).
- [47] J. Zhao, B.-B. Chen, Y.-C. Wang, Z. Yan, M. Cheng, and Z. Y. Meng, Measuring Rényi entanglement entropy with high efficiency and precision in quantum Monte Carlo simulations, npj Quantum Mater. 7, 69 (2022).
- [48] J. Zhao, Y.-C. Wang, Z. Yan, M. Cheng, and Z. Y. Meng, Scaling of entanglement entropy at deconfined quantum criticality, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 010601 (2022).
- [49] Y. Gu, A. Lucas, and X.-L. Qi, Spread of entanglement in a Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev chain, J. High Energy Phys. 09 (2017) 120.
- [50] B. Bertini, K. Klobas, V. Alba, G. Lagnese, and P. Calabrese, Growth of Rényi entropies in interacting integrable models and the breakdown of the quasiparticle picture, Phys. Rev. X 12, 031016 (2022).
- [51] P. Calabrese, Entanglement spreading in non-equilibrium integrable systems, SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 20 (2020).
- [52] J. Eisert, M. Cramer, and M. B. Plenio, *Colloquium*: Area laws for the entanglement entropy, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 277 (2010).
- [53] M. Srdinšek, M. Casula, and R. Vuilleumier, Quantum Rényi entropy by optimal thermodynamic integration paths, Phys. Rev. Res. 4, L032002 (2022).
- [54] P. Werner, E. Gull, M. Troyer, and A. J. Millis, Spin freezing transition and non-Fermi-liquid self-energy in a three-orbital model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 166405 (2008).
- [55] N. Linden, M. Mosonyi, and A. Winter, The structure of Rényi entropic inequalities, Proc. R. Soc. A. 469, 20120737 (2013).
- [56] W. Song, L. Chen, and Z.-L. Cao, Lower and upper bounds for entanglement of Rényi-α entropy, Sci. Rep. 6, 23 (2016).
- [57] C. M. Herdman, P. N. Roy, R. G. Melko, and A. Del Maestro, Particle entanglement in continuum many-body systems via quantum Monte Carlo, Phys. Rev. B 89, 140501(R) (2014).
- [58] A. B. Kallin, K. Hyatt, R. R. P. Singh, and R. G. Melko, Entanglement at a two-dimensional quantum critical point: A numerical linked-cluster expansion study, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 135702 (2013).
- [59] S. Inglis and R. G. Melko, Wang-Landau method for calculating Rényi entropies in finite-temperature quantum Monte Carlo simulations, Phys. Rev. E 87, 013306 (2013).
- [60] R. R. P. Singh, M. B. Hastings, A. B. Kallin, and R. G. Melko, Finite-temperature critical behavior of mutual information, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 135701 (2011).
- [61] D. Marx and M. Parrinello, Ab initio path integral molecular dynamics: Basic ideas, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 4077 (1996).

- [63] F. Mouhat, S. Sorella, R. Vuilleumier, A. M. Saitta, and M. Casula, Fully quantum description of the Zundel ion: Combining variational quantum Monte Carlo with path integral Langevin dynamics, J. Chem. Theory Comput. 13, 2400 (2017).
- [64] C. Attaccalite and S. Sorella, Stable liquid hydrogen at high pressure by a novel *ab initio* molecular-dynamics calculation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 114501 (2008).
- [65] M. Rossi, M. Ceriotti, and D. E. Manolopoulos, How to remove the spurious resonances from ring polymer molecular dynamics, J. Chem. Phys. 140, 234116 (2014).
- [66] A. Milchev, D. W. Heermann, and K. Binder, Finite-size scaling analysis of the ϕ^4 field theory on the square lattice, J. Stat. Phys. **44**, 749 (1986).
- [67] V. Bârsan, Phase transitions in low-dimensional φ^4 systems, Philos. Mag. **88**, 121 (2008).
- [68] A. Harindranath and J. P. Vary, Stability of the vacuum in scalar field models in 1+1 dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1076 (1988).
- [69] D. Lee, N. Salwen, and D. Lee, The diagonalization of quantum field Hamiltonians, Phys. Lett. B **503**, 223 (2001).
- [70] T. Sugihara, Density matrix renormalization group in a twodimensional $\lambda \phi^4$ Hamiltonian lattice model, J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2004) 007.
- [71] D. Schaich and W. Loinaz, Improved lattice measurement of the critical coupling in ϕ_2^4 theory, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 056008 (2009).
- [72] A. Milsted, J. Haegeman, and T. J. Osborne, Matrix product states and variational methods applied to critical quantum field theory, Phys. Rev. D 88, 085030 (2013).
- [73] S. Rychkov and L. G. Vitale, Hamiltonian truncation study of the φ^4 theory in two dimensions, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 085011 (2015).
- [74] W. B. Holzapfel, On the symmetry of the hydrogen bonds in ice VII, J. Chem. Phys. 56, 712 (1972).
- [75] W. L. Mao, H.-K. Mao, A. F. Goncharov, V. V. Struzhkin, Q. Guo, J. Hu, J. Shu, R. J. Hemley, M. Somayazulu, and Y. Zhao, Hydrogen clusters in clathrate hydrate, Science 297, 2247 (2002).

- [76] I. Frérot and T. Roscilde, Entanglement entropy across the superfluid-insulator transition: A signature of bosonic criticality, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 190401 (2016).
- [77] S. Sharma, S. B. Jäger, R. Kraus, T. Roscilde, and G. Morigi, Quantum critical behavior of entanglement in lattice bosons with cavity-mediated long-range interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 143001 (2022).
- [78] J. I. Latorre, C. A. Lütken, E. Rico, and G. Vidal, Fine-grained entanglement loss along renormalization-group flows, Phys. Rev. A 71, 034301 (2005).
- [79] N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Entanglement and the phase transition in single-mode superradiance, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 073602 (2004).
- [80] T. Serwatka, R. G. Melko, A. Burkov, and P.-N. Roy, Quantum phase transition in the one-dimensional water chain, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 026201 (2023).
- [81] J. H. Samson, Time discretization of functional integrals, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 33, 3111 (2000).
- [82] L. W. Bruch, Direct evaluation of statistical mechanical path integrals, Chem. Phys. Lett. 23, 143 (1973).
- [83] G. G. Hoffman, The effect of discretization on a path integral expression for the one-electron density, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 2966 (1990).
- [84] S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin, and D. R. Nelson, Twodimensional quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet at low temperatures, Phys. Rev. B 39, 2344 (1989).
- [85] M. Cherubini, Phase diagram, structure and spectroscopy of ordinary and high pressure ice: Impact of quantum anharmonic nuclear motion, Ph.D. thesis, Sapienza University of Rome, 2022.
- [86] D. N. Makarov, Coupled harmonic oscillators and their quantum entanglement, Phys. Rev. E 97, 042203 (2018).
- [87] R. P. Feynman, Statistical Mechanics: A Set of Lectures, Advanced Books Classics (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2018), p. 372.
- [88] J.-Y. Zhou, Y.-H. Zhou, X.-L. Yin, J.-F. Huang, and J.-Q. Liao, Quantum entanglement maintained by virtual excitations in an ultrastrongly-coupled-oscillator system, Sci. Rep. 10, 12557 (2020).