# Time-reversal symmetry breaking and s-wave superconductivity in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>: A $\mu$ SR study

V. K. Anand,<sup>1,2,3,\*</sup> A. Bhattacharyya,<sup>4,†</sup> D. T. Adroja,<sup>5,6</sup> K. Panda,<sup>7</sup> P. K. Biswas,<sup>5,\*\*</sup> A. D. Hillier,<sup>5</sup> and B. Lake<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH, Hahn-Meitner Platz 1, D-14109 Berlin, Germany

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Petroleum and Energy Studies, Dehradun 248007, Uttarakhand, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics and Physics, University of Stavanger, 4036 Stavanger, Norway

<sup>4</sup>Department of Physics, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute,

Belur Math, Howrah 711202, West Bengal, India

<sup>5</sup>ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

<sup>6</sup>Physics Department, Highly Correlated Matter Research Group, University of Johannesburg,

P.O. Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa

<sup>7</sup>Department of Physics, Ariel University, Ariel 40700

(Received 10 February 2023; revised 10 November 2023; accepted 12 December 2023; published 28 December 2023)

CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> which crystallizes in ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type body-centered tetragonal structure exhibits superconductivity below the critical temperature  $T_c = 1.69$  K. We have investigated the superconducting gap structure and timereversal symmetry of the ground state in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> by means of muon spin relaxation and rotation ( $\mu$ SR) measurements. Our analysis of  $\mu$ SR data collected in a transverse magnetic field reveals BCS superconductivity with a single-band *s*-wave singlet pairing and an isotropic energy gap having the value  $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_c = 3.50(1)$ . Further, an increased relaxation rate in zero-field  $\mu$ SR asymmetry spectra below  $T_c$  provides evidence for the presence of a spontaneous magnetic field in the superconducting state, revealing that the time-reversal symmetry is broken in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.224519

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The 122-type compounds, owing to their simple ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>type body-centered tetragonal (bct) structure, are of particular interest for the study of superconductivity. The FeAs-based 122 superconductors, such as Ba(K)Fe<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, are the wellknown examples where superconductivity is achieved by suppressing the spin-density wave ordering of Fe moments [1-4]. Recently some of us investigated superconductivity in the ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type bct structured 122 compounds CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> [5,6]. CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> exhibits superconductivity below  $T_c = 1.27 \text{ K}$  [5]. The measured and derived superconducting state parameters characterize CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> as a weakly coupled type II s-wave superconductor in the dirty limit [5]. However, despite a very sharp superconducting transition in single crystal CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, the jump in the electronic specific heat at  $T_c$  reflects a value of  $\Delta C_e / \gamma_n T_c = 1.14$  which is much smaller than the BCS expected value of 1.43. The reason for the reduced value of  $\Delta C_{\rm e}/\gamma_n T_{\rm c}$  is not clear.

A reduced value of  $\Delta C_e / \gamma_n T_c = 1.21$  is also seen in the case of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> single crystal in which there is also a sharp and well-pronounced jump in the electronic specific heat at  $T_c = 1.69$  K [6]. The superconducting-state electronic specific heat data have been analyzed by the  $\alpha$ -model of BCS superconductivity [7,8]. For CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, the  $\alpha$ -

 $\Delta C_e / \gamma_n T_c = 1.21$  [6], which is lower than the BCS value  $\alpha_{BCS} = 1.764$ . For CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, a reduced value of  $\alpha = 1.58$  was obtained for  $\Delta C_e / \gamma_n T_c = 1.14$  [5]. The reduced value of  $\Delta C_e / \gamma_n T_c$  and hence  $\alpha$  may be caused by an anisotropic superconducting energy gap, or due to the presence of multiple superconducting gaps [8].

model analysis yielded a value of  $\alpha = \Delta(0)/k_{\rm B}T_{\rm c} = 1.62$  for

An *ab initio* calculation by the pseudopotential method and the generalized gradient approximation of density functional theory suggests that the major contribution to the density of states close to the Fermi level in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> comes from Pd *d* and Ge *p* orbitals [9]. Further, the estimate of electron-phonon interaction from the analysis of the Eliashberg spectral function supports the conventional mechanism for superconductivity in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The electron and phonon couple through the vibration of Pd and Ge atoms. The vibration of Pd and Ge atoms modifies the tetrahedral bond angles in PdGe<sub>4</sub> tetrahedra in such a way that the Pd *d* and Ge *p* orbitals overlap [9]. Such a change in tetrahedral bond angles in PdGe<sub>4</sub> and overlapping Pd *d* and Ge *p* orbitals is also seen in the Sr analog SrPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> which exhibits superconductivity below 3 K [10].

With an objective of shedding light on the nature of the superconducting gap structure in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, we decided to examine the superconducting gap structure by the microscopic muon spin relaxation and rotation ( $\mu$ SR) measurements. Herein, we present the results of our  $\mu$ SR study on CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The analysis of the  $\mu$ SR data suggests a single-gap isotropic *s*-wave superconductivity in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. However, to our great surprise, the  $\mu$ SR data reveals broken time-reversal symmetry

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup>vivekkranand@gmail.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>amitava.bhattacharyya@rkmvu.ac.in

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deceased.

(TRS) in the superconducting state of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. A similar time-reversal symmetry-broken superconducting state was inferred from  $\mu$ SR investigations on Sc<sub>5</sub>Co<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>10</sub> [11] and other systems which also show an isotropic *s*-wave gap symmetry [12–14].

# **II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS**

A polycrystalline sample of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> was prepared by the conventional solid-state reaction method using the high-purity starting materials (Ca-99.98%, Pd-99.95%, Ge-99.999% from Alfa Aesar) at the Core Lab for Quantum Materials, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin. The Pd and Ge powders along with the Ca pieces were pressed into a pellet and sealed inside a quartz tube with the pellet kept in an alumina crucible, which was then slowly heated to 800 °C at a rate of 50 °C per hour and kept there for 30 h, after which it was ground finely, again pelletized and sealed, and subsequently heat treated at 900 °C for 72 h. This process of grinding, pelletizing, and sealing was repeated again, and the sample was heat treated at 900 °C for another 72 h. The quality of the sample synthesized this way was checked by powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) at room temperature using  $Cu-K_{\alpha}$  radiation with the Bruker-D8 laboratory-based x-ray diffractometer. The XRD data (see Appendix, Fig. 4) revealed the desired phase and confirmed the ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type body-centered tetragonal structure of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The lattice parameters obtained from the refinement a = b = 4.3271(2) Å and c = 4.9823(7) Å and the *c*-axis position parameter  $z_{Ge} = 0.376(7)$  are in good agreement with the respective values obtained for single crystal  $CaPd_2Ge_2$  [6] and with the literature values [15].

The  $\mu$ SR measurements were carried out at the ISIS facility of the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, United Kingdom, using the muon spectrometer MuSR which has 64 detectors for transverse and longitudinal applied field directions [16]. The CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> powder sample was mounted on a high-purity Ag plate (99.999%). The use of Ag minimizes the contribution from the sample holder as the Ag gives only a nonrelaxing signal. The powdered sample was mounted to the Ag plate by applying the diluted GE varnish which was then covered with thin silver foils. As muons are very sensitive to magnetic fields, correction coils were used to neutralize the stray fields to within 1  $\mu$ T.  $\mu$ SR data were collected in both the zero field (ZF) and the applied transverse field (TF). The ZF  $\mu$ SR measurements were made at several temperatures between 0.1 and 2.5 K. The TF  $\mu$ SR measurements were carried out between 0.1 and 2.5 K in the presence of transverse fields of H = 10, 20, 30, and 40 mT, which lie in between the lower critical field  $H_{c1} = 3.1 \text{ mT}$  and the upper critical field  $H_{c2} = 134 \text{ mT}$  [6]. In the superconducting state with the field-cooled mode, muons probe the vortex lattice state. The  $\mu$ SR spectra were analyzed with the program WIMDA [17].

# **III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### A. ZF $\mu$ SR: Time-reversal symmetry state

Figure 1(a) presents the representative  $\mu$ SR data collected in the zero field at 0.1 K (which is well below  $T_c$ ) and 2.5 K (which is well above  $T_c$ ). The ZF  $\mu$ SR spectra allow us to discern the time-reversal symmetry state of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> by



FIG. 1. (a) Zero field  $\mu$ SR time spectra for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> collected at 0.1 and 2.5 K. The solid curves represent the fit according to Eq. (1). (b) The temperature *T* dependence of the muon spin relaxation rate  $\lambda_{ZF}$  obtained from the fits  $\mu$ SR spectra collected at various *T*. The dashed navy blue line is the guide to the eye.

detecting the extremely weak magnetic field associated with the breaking of time-reversal symmetry. The time *t* evolution of muon spin asymmetry spectra in the zero field can be modeled by a damped Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function [18,19],

$$A_{\rm ZF}(t) = A_0 G_{\rm KT}(t) e^{-\lambda_{\rm ZF} t} + A_{\rm BG}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $A_0$  is the initial muon asymmetry in the zero field,

$$G_{\rm KT}(t) = \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3}\left(1 - \sigma_{\rm KT}^2 t^2\right)e^{-\sigma_{\rm KT}^2 t^2/2}\right]$$
(2)

is the Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function,  $\lambda_{ZF}$  is the muon relaxation rate associated with the fluctuating fields due to electronic moments, and  $A_{BG}$  is the time-independent contribution from the sample holder. In Eq. (2), the parameter  $\sigma_{KT}$  accounts for the Gaussian distribution of static fields associated with the nuclear moments.  $\sigma_{KT}$  is related to the local field distribution width as  $H_{\mu} = \sigma_{KT}/\gamma_{\mu}$ , where  $\gamma_{\mu}$  is the muon gyromagnetic ratio,  $\gamma_{\mu}/2\pi = 135.53$  MHz/T.

The representative fits of  $\mu$ SR spectra by the damped Gaussian Kubo-Toyabe function [Eq. (1)] are shown by solid curves in Fig. 1(a). The  $\lambda_{ZF}$  values obtained from the fits of  $\mu$ SR spectra over the temperature range 0.1 K to 2.5 K are shown in Fig. 1(b). The *T* dependence of  $\sigma_{KT}$  obtained from the fitting is presented in the Appendix [Fig. 5(a)]. At  $T > T_c$ ,  $\sigma_{KT} \approx 0.0703 \ \mu s^{-1}$  and is nearly temperature independent over 0.1 to 2.5 K. As can be seen from Fig. 1(b), there is

an increase in  $\lambda_{ZF}$  at  $T < T_c$ . This kind of increase in  $\lambda_{ZF}$  indicates that the muons detect a spontaneous internal field while entering the superconducting state. Thus, the zero-field  $\mu$ SR measurements reveal that the time-reversal symmetry in the superconducting state is not preserved, and hence there is a time-reversal symmetry breaking in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The  $\lambda_{ZF}(T)$  seems to have an upward concavity which is not usual. Most of the superconductors with the TRS broken superconducting state have been found to show a downward concavity in  $\lambda_{ZF}(T)$ . The origin for this unusual upward concavity is not clear. An upward concavity in  $\lambda_{ZF}(T)$  was also observed in the case of fully gapped *s*-wave superconductor ScS with the time-reversal symmetry broken superconducting state [14].

Below  $T_c$ ,  $\Delta\lambda_{ZF}$  increases by 0.029(1)  $\mu s^{-1}$ , corresponding to a characteristic magnetic field strength  $\Delta \lambda_{\rm ZF} / \gamma_{\mu} =$ 0.034(2) mT, which provides clear evidence for the timereversal symmetry breaking in the superconducting state of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. Muon spin relaxation studies have provided evidence of TRS breaking in several superconducting materials like  $Sr_2RuO_4$  with a possible chiral *p*-wave symmetry [20-22]; SrPtAs with a chiral *d*-wave symmetry [23]; LaNiC<sub>2</sub> with two nodeless gaps [24]; and  $A_5 Rh_6 Sn_{18}$  (A = Y, R, or Sc) [25–27], La<sub>7</sub>(Ir, Rh, Pd)<sub>3</sub> [12,13,28], and Zr<sub>3</sub>Ir [29] with an s-wave gap symmetry. For the present compound the spontaneous flux density due to superconductivity as estimated using the change in ZF- $\mu$ SR relaxation rate above is 0.034(2) mT. A similar estimate for Sr<sub>2</sub>RuO<sub>4</sub> shows the appearance of a characteristic field of 0.050 mT [20]. The spontaneous field associated with TRS breaking in the superconducting state of La<sub>7</sub>Pd<sub>3</sub> has been found to be 0.006 mT [28] and that for Zr<sub>3</sub>Ir has been found to be 0.009 mT [29].

Recently, we found evidence for TRS breaking in the centrosymmetric superconductor Sc<sub>5</sub>Co<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>10</sub>, for which also an isotropic fully gapped s-wave symmetry has been inferred from the  $\mu$ SR study [11]. The TRS breaking is usually associated with a nonunitary triplet pairing state or a mixed singlet-triplet state. However, a group theoretical analysis of Ginzburg-Landau's free energy and symmetry allowed pairing states using density functional theory does not support the presence of either a nonunitary triplet pairing state or a mixed singlet-triplet state in Sc<sub>5</sub>Co<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>10</sub> [11]. Accordingly, it was proposed that the Fermi surface topography of Sc<sub>5</sub>Co<sub>4</sub>Si<sub>10</sub> may allow TRS breaking by conventional electron-phonon mechanism [11]. We are under the impression that a similar physics associated with the Fermi surface topography could be held responsible for the observation of TRS breaking in the superconducting state of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> with a fully gapped s-wave symmetry.

Another mechanism which has been proposed for the TRS breaking in fully gap single-band BCS superconductors is based on loop supercurrent order applicable to the systems having complex crystal symmetry that allows the formation of microscopic supercurrent loops, such as in Re<sub>6</sub>X (X = Zr, Hf, and Ti) [30,31]. Ghosh *et al.* [31] proposed that if the lattice unit cell of a superconducting material consists of more than two distinct symmetry-related sites, and the symmetry allows the order parameter to have different amplitude and phase at these symmetry sites, then it is possible to realize a superconducting ground state with spontaneous microscopic Josephson currents flowing between these symmetry sites of

unit cells. These microscopic Josephson currents are termed as supercurrent loops, which, in turn, produce a weak static magnetic field that can break the time-reversal symmetry and can be probed by  $\mu$ SR measurement, which is extremely sensitive to the presence of even very weak magnetic field. On the other hand, within the BCS formalism a TRS breaking in a multiband superconductor can be associated with the development of a complex gap structure on account of the interband interactions [32].

#### **B.** TF $\mu$ SR: Superconducting gap structure

Figure 2 presents the transverse-field  $\mu$ SR asymmetry time spectra at 2.5 K (above  $T_c$ ) and 0.1 K (below  $T_c$ ) along with their Fourier transforms. The TF  $\mu$ SR data were collected in a field-cooled mode in the presence of applied magnetic fields of 10 and 30 mT. It is clear from Figs. 2(a) and 2(e) that in the superconducting state ( $T < T_c$ ) the  $\mu$ SR spectra depolarize strongly on account of the inhomogeneous field distribution in the vortex state.

The TF  $\mu$ SR spectra could be fitted by a Gaussian oscillatory function and an oscillatory background [33–35]:

$$A_{\rm TF}(t) = A_1 \cos(\omega t + \phi) e^{-\sigma_{\rm TF}^2 t^2/2} + A_{\rm BG} \cos(\omega_{\rm BG} t + \phi),$$
(3)

where  $A_1$  is the initial asymmetry of the sample and  $A_{BG}$ that of the silver sample holder;  $\omega = \gamma_{\mu} H_{int}$ ,  $H_{int}$  being the internal field at the muon site;  $\omega_{BG} = \gamma_{\mu} H_{int,BG}$ ; and  $\phi$  is the initial phase offset of the muon precession signal.  $\sigma_{TF}$  is the Gaussian relaxation rate that can be expressed as  $\sigma_{TF}^2 = \sigma_{sc}^2 + \sigma_{nm}^2$ , where  $\sigma_{sc}$  accounts for the inhomogeneous field variation across the superconducting vortex lattice, and  $\sigma_{nm}$  is the contribution due to the nuclear dipolar moments.  $\sigma_{nm}$  was determined by fitting the spectra at  $T > T_c$  and kept fixed for  $T < T_c$ , i.e., in the superconducting state, to obtain the value of  $\sigma_{sc}$  from the fit parameter  $\sigma_{TF}$ .

The representative fits of the TF  $\mu$ SR spectra by the function discussed above in Eq. (3) are shown by solid red curves in Figs. 2(a), 2(b), 2(e), and 2(f). The value of  $\sigma_{\text{TF}}$  is found to be much larger at  $T < T_c$  (superconducting state) than that at  $T > T_c$  (normal state) [see Appendix, Fig. 5(b)]. Further, the fitting of TF  $\mu$ SR spectra at 0.1 K and 10 mT by Eq. (3) reveals that  $A_1$  is 0.887 and  $A_{\text{BG}}$  is 0.113 of the total initial asymmetry, thus giving us an estimate of the lower bound of the superconducting volume fraction of 88.7%. This confirms the occurrence of bulk superconductivity in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> as also inferred from the previous study [6].

The magnetic field probability distribution determined by the maximum entropy method is shown in Figs. 2(c), 2(d), 2(g), and 2(h) corresponding to the TF  $\mu$ SR spectra in Figs. 2(a), 2(b), 2(e), and 2(f), respectively. As can be seen from Figs. 2(d) and 2(h), at 2.5 K (in normal state) there is only one sharp peak at a value of  $H_{int}$  equal to the applied H. On the other hand, at 0.1 K (in the superconducting state), there is another broad peak at  $H_{int}$  lower than the applied H in addition to the one at the applied H [see Figs. 2(c) and 2(g)]. Such an appearance of an additional peak is a characteristic of type II superconductivity. This inference of a type II behavior



FIG. 2. Transverse field  $\mu$ SR time spectra for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> collected in the field-cooled state in an applied magnetic field of 10 mT at (a) 0.1 K and (b) 2.5 K, and that in a field of 30 mT at (e) 0.1 K and (f) 2.5 K along with their corresponding Fourier-transformed maximum entropy spectra in panels (c), (d), (g), and (h), respectively. The solid red curve represents the fit according to Eq. (3).

in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> is consistent with the estimated value of the Ginzburg-Landau parameter ( $\kappa_{GL} = 6.3 > 1/\sqrt{2}$ ) [6].

The T dependence of  $\sigma_{sc}$  extracted from the values of  $\sigma_{TF}$  that were obtained from the fit of the TF  $\mu$ SR spectra is shown in Fig. 3(a). The H dependence of  $\sigma_{sc}$  is shown in Fig. 3(b). As the TF  $\mu$ SR spectra were collected at fields much lower than the upper critical field  $H_{c2}$ , the Brandt [36–38] relation

$$\sigma_{\rm sc} = \frac{4.83 \times 10^4}{\lambda_{\rm eff}^2} (1 - H_{\rm ext}/H_{\rm c2}) \times [1 + 1.21(1 - \sqrt{(H_{\rm ext}/H_{\rm c2})})^3], \qquad (4)$$

which holds good for  $H/H_{c2} \leq 0.25$ , and for  $\kappa_{GL} \geq 5$ , can be used to estimate the effective penetration depth  $\lambda_{eff}$ . In this relation,  $\sigma_{sc}$  is in units of  $\mu s^{-1}$  and  $\lambda_{eff}$  is in nanometers. For CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>,  $\kappa_{GL} = 6.3$  and  $H_{c2} = 134$  mT [6]; therefore, we can use the above Brandt relation [Eq. (4)] to estimate the  $\lambda_{eff}$ . The *T* dependence of  $\lambda_{eff}$  obtained this way is plotted as  $\lambda_{eff}^{-2}(T)/\lambda_{eff}^{-2}(0)$  in Fig. 3(c).

Apart from the information about the magnetic penetration depth,  $\sigma_{sc}$  also provides information about the superfluid density and size, as well as the symmetry of the superconducting energy gap. In order to obtain information about the superconducting gap structure we analyzed  $\lambda_{eff}^{-2}(0)$  by [39,40]

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm sc}(T)}{\sigma_{\rm sc}(0)} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm eff}^{-2}(T,\Delta)}{\lambda_{\rm eff}^{-2}(0)}$$
$$= 1 + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\Delta(T,\varphi)}^{\infty} \frac{\partial f}{\partial E} \frac{E dE d\varphi}{\sqrt{E^2 - \Delta^2(T,\varphi)}}, \quad (5)$$



FIG. 3. (a) Temperature *T* dependence of the muon spin relaxation rate  $\sigma_{sc}$  for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> collected in various applied transverse magnetic fields *H* in the field-cooled state. (b) *H* dependence of  $\sigma_{sc}$  at indicated temperatures. The solid lines are the fits according to Eq. (4). (c) *T* dependence of the inverse square of the normalized penetration depth  $\lambda_{eff}$ . The solid curve represents the fit for an isotropic single-gap *s*-wave model according to Eq. (5).

TABLE I. Fitting parameters obtained from the analysis of  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}(T)/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}(0)$  for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> according to Eq. (5) using three models: The isotropic *s*-wave model, the anisotropic *s*-wave model, and the *s* + *s*-wave model.

Model	$\Delta_i(0)$ (meV)	$2\Delta_i(0)/k_BT_C$	χ <sup>2</sup>
Isotropic <i>s</i> -wave	0.252(3)	3.50(4)	1.62(2)
Anisotropic <i>s</i> -wave	0.311(4)	4.32(6)	1.95(4)
Two gap <i>s</i> + <i>s</i> -wave	0.281(2), 0.124(5)	3.90(1), 1.72(5)	2.10(6)

where *f* is the Fermi function and  $\varphi$  is the azimuthal angle in the direction of the Fermi surface. The Fermi function is given by  $f = [1 + \exp(E/k_{\rm B}T)]^{-1}$ . The *T* and  $\varphi$  dependence of the order parameter  $\Delta(T, \varphi)$  is given by  $\Delta(T, \varphi) =$  $\Delta(0)\delta(T/T_c)g(\varphi)$  [41,42]. The angular dependence of the superconducting gap is contained in the function  $g(\varphi)$ . For *s*-wave BCS superconductivity with an isotropic gap,  $g(\varphi) = 1$  [41,42]. Further, for the case of BCS superconductivity,  $\delta(T/T_c) = \tanh\{(1.82)[1.018(T_c/T - 1)]^{0.51}\}$  [43].

In order to determine the superconducting gap structure of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>, we analyzed the *T* dependence of  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}(T)/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{-2}(0)$  by Eq. (5) using three models: The isotropic *s*-wave model, the anisotropic *s*-wave model, and the *s* + *s*-wave model. For the *s* + *s*-wave model, both the energy gaps were taken to be isotropic, and both the gaps were allowed to vary freely without any constraint. The fits for the three models are shown in Fig. 3(c) and the fitting parameters are listed in Table I. It is evident from the fits in Fig. 3(c), as well as from the single-gap isotropic *s*-wave model describes the  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}(T)$  data better than the anisotropic *s*-wave model and/or the two-gap *s* + *s*-wave model.

The single-gap isotropic s-wave model analysis, which describes the T dependence of  $\lambda_{eff}$  the best, yielded an energy gap of  $\Delta(0) = 0.25 \text{ meV}$  which corresponds to  $2\Delta(0)/k_{\rm B}T_{\rm c} = 3.50(1)$ . This value is quite close to the expected BCS weak-coupling superconductor value of 3.53 but a little higher than the value 3.24 obtained from the jump in specific heat at the superconducting transition [6]. In addition, the s-wave analysis of  $\lambda_{\rm eff}^{-2}(T)/\lambda_{\rm eff}^{-2}(0)$  provides an estimate of  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}(0) = 188(2)$  nm, which is consistent with the previous estimate of  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}(0) = 186(16)$  nm from the penetration depth measurement using the tunnel diode resonator [6]. In order to estimate the  $\lambda_{eff}$  according to Eqs. (4) and (5) we fitted the  $\sigma_{sc}$  values obtained from all the applied transverse fields. Further, following the approach detailed in Refs. [44-46], and assuming that approximately all the normal state carriers  $(n_{\rm e})$  contribute to the superconductivity (i.e.,  $n_{\rm s} \approx n_{\rm e}$ ), we have estimated the superconducting carrier density  $n_s$ . Using the relation  $n_{\rm s} = m^* c^2 / 4\pi \lambda_{\rm eff}(0) e^2$ , for  $\lambda_{\rm eff}(0) = 188(2)$  nm, we obtained  $n_{\rm s} = 1.20(1) \times 10^{27}$  carriers  $m^{-3}$ , where we used the value of the effective mass  $m^* = (1 + \lambda_{e-ph})m_e \approx 1.51 m_e$ , with the electron-phonon coupling constant  $\lambda_{e-ph} \approx 0.51$  as estimated in Ref. [6] according to McMillan's relation [47] and  $m_{\rm e}$  being the free-electron mass.

Next we estimate the Fermi temperature  $T_{\rm F}$  using the relation [48]

which, for  $n_s = 1.20(1) \times 10^{27}$  carriers  $m^{-3}$ , gives  $T_F =$ 3164 K. This in turn gives the ratio  $T_{\rm c}/T_{\rm F} \approx 0.0005$  and hence characterizes CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> as a conventional superconductor based on the Uemura plot [49,50] that provides an empirical relation between  $T_c$  and  $T_F$  to classify a superconductor into the categories of conventional and unconventional superconductors. Uemura et al. [49,50] plotted the values of the ratio  $T_{\rm c}/T_{\rm F}$  for many conventional and unconventional superconductors and suggested that an unconventional and exotic superconductivity is observed for those superconductors for which  $0.01 \leq T_c/T_F \leq 0.1$ , whereas for a conventional superconductor  $T_{\rm c}/T_{\rm F}\leqslant 0.001$ . The value  $T_{\rm c}/T_{\rm F}\approx 0.0005$  for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> indeed falls in the range of a conventional superconductor. Therefore, the time-reversal symmetry breaking in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> is conjectured to have different physics than the one applicable to multiband or unconventional superconductors, or the systems with multiorbital character of states at the Fermi level.

# **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

We have probed the superconducting gap structure and the time-reversal symmetry state of superconducting CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> through the muon spin relaxation and rotation measurements in both the zero field and the transverse magnetic field. The TF  $\mu$ SR spectra were analyzed by a Gaussian oscillatory function, and the temperature and field dependencies of the muon spin depolarization rate associated with the superconducting state  $\sigma_{sc}$  were obtained. Further, we obtained the magnetic penetration depth from  $\sigma_{\rm sc}(T)$ . Information about the energy gap and pairing symmetry was obtained from the analysis of  $\lambda_{\rm eff}(T)$ .  $\lambda_{\rm eff}(T)$  is well described by the single-band s-wave model, indicating an isotropic superconducting gap structure. An energy gap of  $2\Delta(0)/k_{\rm B}T_{\rm c} = 3.50(1)$  is obtained from the analysis. On the other hand, the analysis of ZF  $\mu$ SR spectra revealed an increased relaxation rate below  $T_c$  on account of the spontaneous magnetic field associated with time-reversal symmetry breaking in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The observation of timereversal symmetry breaking in CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> is quite striking and invites further experimental and theoretical investigations to understand the origin of this unconventional feature despite the conventional single-band isotopic s-wave singlet pairing symmetry of the superconducting order parameter in this compound.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A.B. thanks the Science & Engineering Research Board for a CRG Research (Grant No. CRG/2020/000698). D.T.A. thanks the Royal Society of London for the Newton Advanced Fellowship Funding between the United Kingdom and China, and the International Exchange funding between the United Kingdom and Japan. D.T.A. also thanks EPSRC UK (Grant No. EP/W00562X/1) for funding. We thank the ISIS Facility for providing beam time RB1510100 [51].

# APPENDIX

Figure 4 shows the powder x-ray diffraction pattern of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> collected at room temperature along with



FIG. 4. Room-temperature powder x-ray diffraction pattern (Cu- $K_{\alpha}$  radiation) of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> along with the Rietveld refinement profile. The Rietveld refinement shown by the solid black curve represents a major contribution from the bulk CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The Bragg peak positions for CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> are shown by the short vertical bars. The differences between the experimental and calculated intensities are shown by the lowermost blue curves. The impurity peaks marked with \* and # represent the PdGe and CaO impurities, respectively.

the Rietveld refinement profile. The refinement confirmed the ThCr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>-type body-centered tetragonal structure of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. We also see a few impurity peaks from PdGe and CaO, marked with \* and #, respectively, in Fig. 4. We estimate about 2% CaO and 6% PdGe, altogether about 8% impurities in the present sample. The impurities are nonmagnetic in nature and therefore do not affect the results presented on the superconducting properties of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>. The contribution from such a spurious non-superconducting phase to  $\mu$ SR, if any, should be noticeable only in applied fields and not in the zero field.

Figure 5(a) shows the temperature dependence of the Kubo-Toyabe depolarization rate  $\sigma_{\rm KT}$  obtained from the fitting of zero-field  $\mu$ SR spectra of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> by Eq. (1).  $\sigma_{\rm KT}$  remains constant with a value of  $\approx 0.0703 \ \mu \rm s^{-1}$ . Figure 5(b) shows the temperature dependence of the Gaussian relaxation rate  $\sigma_{\rm TF}$  obtained from the fitting of



- [2] G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1589 (2011).
- [3] J. Chen, M. B. Gamża, J. Banda, K. Murphy, J. Tarrant, M. Brando, and F. M. Grosche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 237002 (2020).
- [4] J. Baglo, J. Chen, K. Murphy, R. Leenen, A. McCollam, M. L. Sutherland, and F. M. Grosche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 046402 (2022).
- [5] V. K. Anand, H. Kim, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, and D. C. Johnston, Phys. Rev. B 87, 224510 (2013).
- [6] V. Anand, H. Kim, M. A. Tanatar, R. Prozorov, and D. C. Johnston, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 26, 405702 (2014).
- [7] H. Padamsee, J. Neighbor, and C. Shiffman, J. Low Temp. Phys. 12, 387 (1973).
- [8] D. C. Johnston, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 26, 115011 (2013).



FIG. 5. (a) The temperature *T* dependence of the Kubo-Toyabe depolarization rate  $\sigma_{\text{KT}}$  obtained from the fitting of zero-field (ZF)  $\mu$ SR spectra of CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> by Eq. (1). (b) The *T* dependence of the Gaussian relaxation rate  $\sigma_{\text{TF}}$  obtained from the fitting of transverse-field (TF)  $\mu$ SR spectra measured in the applied field H = 10 mT by Eq. (3).  $\sigma_{\text{nm}}$  represents the contribution due to the nuclear dipolar moments.

transverse-field  $\mu$ SR spectra (for H = 10 mT) by Eq. (3). The contribution due to the nuclear dipolar moments is also shown in Fig. 5(b). We find  $\sigma_{\text{nm}} \approx 0.0354 \text{ }\mu\text{s}^{-1}$  at T above  $T_{\text{c}}$ .

- [9] E. Karaca, E. Arslan, H. M. Tütüncü, and G. Srivastava, Philos. Mag. 97, 1866 (2017).
- [10] E. Karaca, H. M. Tütüncü, H. Y. Uzunok, G. P. Srivastava, and Ş. Uğur, Phys. Rev. B 93, 054506 (2016).
- [11] A. Bhattacharyya, M. R. Lees, K. Panda, P. P. Ferreira, T. T. Dorini, E. Gaudry, L. T. F. Eleno, V. K. Anand, J. Sannigrahi, P. K. Biswas, R. Tripathi, and D. T. Adroja, Phys. Rev. Mater. 6, 064802 (2022).
- [12] D. Singh, M. S. Scheurer, A. D. Hillier, D. T. Adroja, and R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 134511 (2020).
- [13] J. A. T. Barker, D. Singh, A. Thamizhavel, A. D. Hillier, M. R. Lees, G. Balakrishnan, D. M. Paul, and R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 267001 (2015).
- [14] Arushi, R. K. Kushwaha, D. Singh, A. D. Hillier, M. S. Scheurer, and R. P. Singh, Phys. Rev. B 106, L020504 (2022).

- [15] G. Venturini, B. Malaman, and B. Roques, J. Solid State Chem. 79, 136 (1989).
- [16] Muon Science: Muons in Physics, Chemistry and Materials, edited by S. L. Lee, S. H. Kilcoyne, and R. Cywinski, in *Proceedings of the Fifty First Scottish Universities Summer School in Physics* (Taylor & Francis Group, New York, 1999).
- [17] F. Pratt, Phys. B: Condens. Matter 289-290, 710 (2000).
- [18] A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, J. S. Lord, L. Wang, Y. Shi, K. Panda, H. Luo, and A. M. Strydom, Phys. Rev. B 101, 214437 (2020).
- [19] A. Bhattacharyya, K. Panda, D. Adroja, N. Kase, P. Biswas, S. Saha, T. Das, M. Lees, and A. Hillier, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 32, 085601 (2020).
- [20] G. M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, J. Merrin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori, H. Nakamura, and M. Sigrist, Nature (London) **394**, 558 (1998).
- [21] G. M. Luke, Y. Fudamoto, K. M. Kojima, M. I. Larkin, B. Nachumi, Y. J. Uemura, J. E. Sonier, Y. Maeno, Z. Q. Mao, Y. Mori *et al.*, Phys. B: Condens. Matter **289-290**, 373 (2000).
- [22] V. Grinenko, S. Ghosh, R. Sarkar, J.-C. Orain, A. Nikitin, M. Elender, D. Das, Z. Guguchia, F. Brückner, M. E. Barber *et al.*, Nat. Phys. 17, 748 (2021).
- [23] P. K. Biswas, H. Luetkens, T. Neupert, T. Stürzer, C. Baines, G. Pascua, A. P. Schnyder, M. H. Fischer, J. Goryo, M. R. Lees, H. Maeter, F. Brückner, H.-H. Klauss, M. Nicklas, P. J. Baker, A. D. Hillier, M. Sigrist, A. Amato, and D. Johrendt, Phys. Rev. B 87, 180503(R) (2013).
- [24] A. D. Hillier, J. Quintanilla, and R. Cywinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 117007 (2009).
- [25] A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, N. Kase, A. D. Hillier, A. M. Strydom, and J. Akimitsu, Phys. Rev. B 98, 024511 (2018).
- [26] A. Bhattacharyya, D. Adroja, N. Kase, A. Hillier, J. Akimitsu, and A. Strydom, Sci. Rep. 5, 12926 (2015).
- [27] A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, J. Quintanilla, A. D. Hillier, N. Kase, A. M. Strydom, and J. Akimitsu, Phys. Rev. B 91, 060503(R) (2015).
- [28] D. A. Mayoh, A. D. Hillier, G. Balakrishnan, and M. R. Lees, Phys. Rev. B 103, 024507 (2021).
- [29] T. Shang, S. K. Ghosh, J. Z. Zhao, L.-J. Chang, C. Baines, M. K. Lee, D. J. Gawryluk, M. Shi, M. Medarde, J. Quintanilla, and T. Shiroka, Phys. Rev. B 102, 020503(R) (2020).
- [30] S. K. Ghosh, M. Smidman, T. Shang, J. F. Annett, A. D. Hillier, J. Quintanilla, and H. Yuan, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 33, 033001 (2021).
- [31] S. K. Ghosh, J. F. Annett, and J. Quintanilla, New J. Phys. 23, 083018 (2021).
- [32] B. J. Wilson and M. P. Das, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 25, 425702 (2013).
- [33] D. T. Adroja, A. Bhattacharyya, Y. J. Sato, M. R. Lees, P. K. Biswas, K. Panda, V. K. Anand, G. B. G. Stenning, A. D. Hillier, and D. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 103, 104514 (2021).
- [34] A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, K. Panda, S. Saha, T. Das, A. J. S. Machado, O. V. Cigarroa, T. W. Grant, Z. Fisk,

A. D. Hillier, and P. Manfrinetti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 147001 (2019).

- [35] A comparison of Eqs. (1) and (3) reveals that the exponential multiplier of the ZF fitting function is not required for the case of the TF fitting function. This can be understood as follows: in TF measurements, the externally applied field is dominant and effectively suppresses the impact of any intrinsic or external fluctuating fields as well as Kubo-Toyabe contribution. Therefore, the exponential contribution, which accounts for the effects of fluctuating fields, which is very small, of the order of  $\mu$ T, becomes less relevant under the strong influence of the transverse field. For the present compound, the fluctuating field of 0.034(2) mT estimated from the change in the ZF- $\mu$ SR relaxation rate is much smaller than the applied TFs of 10 and 30 mT. For this reason, the multiplication by the exponential term can be neglected for TF fitting.
- [36] E. Brandt, J. Low Temp. Phys. 73, 355 (1988).
- [37] E. H. Brandt, Phys. Rev. B 68, 054506 (2003).
- [38] K. Panda, A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, N. Kase, P. K. Biswas, S. Saha, T. Das, M. R. Lees, and A. D. Hillier, Phys. Rev. B 99, 174513 (2019).
- [39] R. Prozorov and R. W. Giannetta, Supercond. Sci. Technol. 19, R41 (2006).
- [40] A. Bhattacharyya, P. P. Ferreira, K. Panda, S. H. Masunaga, L. R. de Faria, L. E. Correa, F. B. Santos, D. T. Adroja, K. Yokoyama, T. T. Dorini, R. F. Jardim, L. T. F. Eleno, and A. J. S. Machado, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 34, 035602 (2022).
- [41] J. F. Annett, Adv. Phys. 39, 83 (1990).
- [42] G. M. Pang, M. Smidman, W. B. Jiang, J. K. Bao, Z. F. Weng, Y. F. Wang, L. Jiao, J. L. Zhang, G. H. Cao, and H. Q. Yuan, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 220502(R) (2015).
- [43] A. Carrington and F. Manzano, Phys. C (Amsterdam, Neth.) 385, 205 (2003).
- [44] A. Hillier and R. Cywinski, Appl. Magn. Reson. 13, 95 (1997).
- [45] D. T. Adroja, A. D. Hillier, J.-G. Park, E. A. Goremychkin, K. A. McEwen, N. Takeda, R. Osborn, B. D. Rainford, and R. M. Ibberson, Phys. Rev. B 72, 184503 (2005).
- [46] V. K. Anand, D. Britz, A. Bhattacharyya, D. T. Adroja, A. D. Hillier, A. M. Strydom, W. Kockelmann, B. D. Rainford, and K. A. McEwen, Phys. Rev. B 90, 014513 (2014).
- [47] W. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968).
- [48] C. Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, 8th ed. (Wiley, New York, 2005).
- [49] Y. J. Uemura, G. M. Luke, B. J. Sternlieb, J. H. Brewer, J. F. Carolan, W. N. Hardy, R. Kadono, J. R. Kempton, R. F. Kiefl, S. R. Kreitzman, P. Mulhern, T. M. Riseman, D. L. Williams, B. X. Yang, S. Uchida, H. Takagi, J. Gopalakrishnan, A. W. Sleight, M. A. Subramanian, C. L. Chien *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2317 (1989).
- [50] Y. J. Uemura, Phys. C (Amsterdam, Neth.) 185-189, 733 (1991).
- [51] V. K. Anand, D. T. Adroja, and A. D. Hillier, Study of superconductivity in CaPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub>, SrPd<sub>2</sub>As<sub>2</sub> and CaPd<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub> using muon spin relaxation and rotation, STFC ISIS Neutron and Muon Source, 2015, doi: 10.5286/ISIS.E.RB1510100.