Theory of electromagnetic line waves

S. A. R. Horsley[®] and A. Dwivedi[®]

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter EX4 4QL, United Kingdom

(Received 11 July 2023; revised 12 October 2023; accepted 13 October 2023; published 31 October 2023)

Whereas electromagnetic *surface waves* are confined to a planar interface between *two* media, *line waves* exist at the one-dimensional interface between *three* materials. Here we derive a nonlocal integral equation for computing the properties of line waves, valid for surfaces characterized in terms of a general tensorial impedance. We find a good approximation—in many cases—is to approximate this as a local differential equation, where line waves are one-dimensional analogs of surface plasmons bound to a spatially dispersive metal. For anisotropic surfaces we find the oscillating decay of recently discovered "ghost" line waves can be explained in terms of an effective gauge field induced by the surface anisotropy. These findings are validated using finite-element simulations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.155437

I. INTRODUCTION

Between appropriate materials, most waves can become a surface wave: a mode trapped at a planar interface between different media. Electromagnetic (EM) surface waves between bulk media include surface plasmons, magnetoplasmons, and Dyakonov waves, which depend on bulk material properties. Meanwhile, Tamm states can be trapped between periodically layered media, without the need for negative permittivity, permeability, or anisotropy. For a review of this rich EM surface-wave taxonomy, see Ref. [1]. Metasurfaces can be used to control these surface waves, providing a structured interface that imposes an effective boundary condition, either confining the wave or modifying its propagation characteristics [2,3]. Similarly, at an interface between elastic materials there are Rayleigh and Love surface waves [4], which can be controlled using, e.g., seismic metamaterials [5]. The existence of both elastic and EM surface waves can be inferred from topological arguments [6,7], which additionally predict the appearance of unidirectional surface waves between a wide range of gyrotropic and bianisotropic materials [8–10].

Despite a wealth of previous work on these twodimensional *surface* waves, their one-dimensional cousins, *line waves*, are much less well understood. A schematic example is shown in Fig. 1(b), where a line wave occurs at the common, one-dimensional interface where either the permittivity, permeability, or both change sign. This new type of excitation was predicted and experimentally confirmed in Refs. [11] and [12], and further work has examined its relationship to topology [13] and spin-momentum locking [14], and found instances of line waves between non-Hermitian parity-time symmetric media [15].

To date there have been three approaches to the theory of line waves: (i) Initially [11] the analysis was restricted to the asymptotic (electrostatic and magnetostatic) limit, where the propagation constant k takes a large value $k \gg \omega/c$, finding that the mode requires the surface impedance of the lower two media [see Fig. 1(b)] to be equal and opposite. This result is analogous to the asymptotic limit of the surface plasmon/magnon, where the bounding media have equal and opposite values of the permittivity/permeability. Although this asymptotic result agrees with numerical simulations, it gives no indication of the dispersion of the mode or the general conditions for its existence. Meanwhile, (ii) in Ref. [12] the authors found an exact analytical solution, a solution restricted to the case of lower media that are perfect electric and magnetic conductors, an unusual case where the propagation constant becomes independent of frequency. Finally, (iii) in Ref. [16] the authors used Sommerfeld-Malyuzhinets diffraction theory to find a general exact solution. Although exact, it requires the numerical evaluation of the Malyuzhinets function (expressed as an exponential of a numerical integral), and to determine, e.g., the propagation constant we must numerically find a combination of these functions that vanishes. So far it has been challenging to generalize this exact solution to other types of waves where the Malyuzhinets solution does not apply (e.g., elastic waves, or more general types of electromagnetic media), and as a result most authors resort to finite-element simulations [17].

The aim here is to derive a simple, approximate, yet accurate theory of line waves, allowing us to build an intuitive theory that can be generalized to other kinds of bounding media and wave types. The difficulty of deriving this theory compared to, say, the characteristics of the surface plasmon lies in the difference in the dimensionality of the wave propagation and three-dimensional space. For a surface wave both the frequency, ω , and the two-component in-plane wave vector, \mathbf{k}_{\parallel} , are conserved, meaning that the remaining decay

^{*}s.horsley@exeter.ac.uk

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI.



FIG. 1. Surface versus line waves. (a) Two-dimensional surface waves (plasmons or magnetoplasmons) are bound to the interface between an ordinary dielectric (e.g., air or glass) and a material with either negative permittivity, ε , or permeability, μ . (b) One-dimensional line waves are bound to the interface between three materials: an ordinary dielectric (y > 0), a negative-permittivity material (y < 0, x > 0), and a negative-permeability material (y < 0, x < 0).

constant away from the surface can be written entirely in terms of these *three* conserved quantities via the dispersion relation. All that remains of the problem is to then find the values of ω and k_{\parallel} such that the boundary conditions are satisfied.

By contrast a line wave only has *two* conserved quantities, the frequency and the wave-vector component k_z , directed along the common interface [see Fig. 1(b)]. These conserved quantities do not provide enough information to determine the form of the field in, e.g., the *x*-*y* plane, and we must therefore find *both* the field distribution and the values of the conserved quantities such that the boundary conditions are satisfied.

Here we sidestep this difficulty through writing an effective wave equation for the field on the *surface alone*. Interestingly this equation is very similar to the two-dimensional vector Helmholtz equation that would hold for the surface magnetic field H_{\parallel} in strictly two-dimensional space, with the surface impedance playing the role of the permeability. The third dimension is encoded in a nonlocal integral kernel, which serves to "blur" this two-dimensional equation. As we shall show, it is simple to apply and approximate this effective surface-wave equation to analyze the properties of line waves and understand new results such as the oscillatory decay of "ghost" line waves [17].

II. THE NONLOCAL SURFACE WAVE EQUATION

Rather than consider the bulk media sketched in Fig. 1, where we would have to consider the wave in the region y < 0, it is simpler to characterize the materials in the half space y < 0 in terms of a boundary condition at y = 0: in terms of a surface impedance. To achieve this on the surface y = 0,

we take the electromagnetic field to satisfy an impedance boundary condition [18,19],

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{||} = i\eta_0 \boldsymbol{\chi}(\boldsymbol{x}) \boldsymbol{\hat{y}} \times \boldsymbol{H}_{||}, \tag{1}$$

where $\chi(x)$ is the surface reactance (surface impedance, $Z = \sqrt{\mu/\varepsilon} = i\chi$). Our first aim is to rewrite this equation as an effective wave equation, written entirely in terms of the behavior of the in-plane H field on the y = 0 surface. To eliminate the in-plane electric field from (1) we apply the Maxwell equation, $\nabla \times \eta_0 H = -ik_0 E$,

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{||} = \frac{i\eta_0}{k_0} \hat{\boldsymbol{y}} \times \left(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}_{||}}{\partial y} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||} \boldsymbol{H}_y\right), \tag{2}$$

where $k_0 = \omega/c$ and $\eta_0 = \sqrt{\mu_0/\varepsilon_0}$.

Although Eqs. (1) and (2) can be combined into a single equation that describes the components of the magnetic field on the surface alone, it is not yet the "surface-wave equation" we want: the equation does not make reference to the behavior of the field in the plane of the surface alone. We therefore cannot yet find a solution using data on the surface alone until we have removed the third dimension entirely, eliminating both the unknown derivatives normal to the plane, and the normal field component H_y .

The out-of-plane field components and derivatives can be eliminated from Eq. (2) through using a Fourier decomposition of the magnetic field, in terms of Fourier amplitudes $\tilde{H}_{||}$. For instance, $\partial H_{||}/\partial y$ can be written as an in-plane convolution of $H_{||}$ with a kernel K(x - x'),

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{H}_{||}}{\partial y}\Big|_{y=0} = -e^{ik_z z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2} \tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}_{||}(k) e^{ikx}$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' K(x - x') (\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||}^{\prime 2} + k_0^2) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x', z), \quad (3)$$

where $\kappa = (k_z^2 - k_0^2)^{1/2}$. The integration kernel in Eq. (3) is proportional to a modified Bessel function of zeroth order [20],

$$K(x - x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\xi\kappa(x - x')}}{\sqrt{\xi^2 + 1}} = \frac{1}{\pi} K_0(\kappa |x - x'|).$$
(4)

In the above we assume $k_z > k_0$ so that—via momentum conservation—the surface wave remains confined, whatever the inhomogeneity of the surface impedance in x. This assumption leads to the real-valued modified Bessel function in (4). For $k_z < k_0$, the kernel becomes complex valued, ultimately making our problem non-Hermitian due to the scattering of the wave into the space above the surface.

The basic idea of this section is encapsulated in Eq. (3): we can reformulate the boundary condition (1) entirely in terms of the behavior of the magnetic field on the surface alone. The price is that the resulting equation is nonlocal, involving an exponentially localized kernel K(x - x') that in Eq. (3) averages the Helmholtz equation over the surface (for the form of the kernel see Fig. 2). The same calculation can also be performed to eliminate the out-of-plane magnetic field



FIG. 2. Kernel in the nonlocal Helmholtz equation (6). Defined in Eq. (4), the integral kernel appearing in the surface Helmholtz equation (6) becomes increasingly localized as the propagation constant k_z/k_0 is increased. Dashed lines show the approximation $K^{(1)}$ given in (16), which becomes increasingly accurate as k_z/k_0 is increased.

 $H_{\rm v}$ from (2), using the condition $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{H} = 0$,

$$H_{y} = \int dx' \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||}' \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x') K(x - x').$$
(5)

Substituting Eqs. (3) and (5) into (1) and (2) then gives us the final nonlocal equation governing the field on the surface,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' K(x-x') \left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||}' \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||}' \times -k_0^2 \right) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x') + k_0 \chi(x) \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = 0.$$
(6)

This is the equation that governs the field on an impedance boundary, making no reference to the space above the surface. To some, Eq. (6) might be a pleasing result: it is a generalization of the two-dimensional vector Helmholtz equation $\nabla_{||} \times \nabla_{||} \times H_{||} - k_0^2 \mu H_{||} = 0$, the free space equation appearing within the integrand of (6), and the surface reactance playing a role similar to a magnetic susceptibility. The fact that there is a third dimension normal to the surface is encoded in the integral kernel K(x - x') defined in Eq. (4), which acts to "blur" the wave operator $\nabla_{||} \times \nabla_{||} \times -k_0^2$ on the surface. As shown in Fig. 2, as the propagation constant k_z is increased, this blurring reduces, reflecting the increasing confinement of the field to the surface.

Example: Propagation on a homogeneous surface

Before applying our integral equation (6) to line waves, the reader might need convincing that this equation reproduces known results. For a surface with a uniform impedance it is simple to solve Eq. (6). Given its Fourier representation (4), the integral kernel K(x - x') has plane wave eigenfunctions,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' K(x - x') e^{ikx'} = \frac{e^{ikx}}{\sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}}.$$
 (7)

Therefore, writing the in-plane magnetic field as a plane wave times a constant vector amplitude, $H_{||}(x) = H_0 e^{ikx}$, the integral equation (6) becomes a simple algebraic equation that is

identical to the dispersion relation for electromagnetic waves in a magnetic material in two dimensions,

$$[\boldsymbol{k} \times \boldsymbol{k} \times + k_0^2 \ \mu_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k})] \boldsymbol{H}_0 = 0, \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{k} = k\hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z\hat{\mathbf{z}}$. The effective permeability in Eq. (8) is given by

$$\mu_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{k}) = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}}{k_0} \chi.$$
 (9)

Interestingly, unlike the ordinary dispersion relation for electromagnetic waves in two dimensions, the effective permeability for our surface waves (9) depends on the in-plane wave vector \mathbf{k} . Surface waves bound to a uniform impedance boundary are thus equivalent to two-dimensional electromagnetic waves in a spatially dispersive magnetic material. There is a very good physical reason for this: if the effective permittivity (9) was *not* spatially dispersive, there would only be a single transverse magnetic (TM) surface mode with dispersion relation $k^2 + k_z^2 = \mu_{\text{eff}}k_0^2$ (there is only one transverse polarization in two dimensions). The fact that the effective permeability (9) is \mathbf{k} dependent means that there are both longitudinal (TE) and transverse (TM) wave solutions to the two-dimensional equation (8).

The longitudinal (TE) surface mode can be derived through performing an inner product of (8) with \mathbf{k} , which reduces the dispersion relation to the condition $\mu_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, familiar from the theory of longitudinal modes in spatially dispersive crystals [21],

$$\mu_{\text{eff}}(\boldsymbol{k})(\boldsymbol{k}_{||} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{0}) = [k_{0} - \sqrt{k^{2} + \kappa^{2}} \chi](\boldsymbol{k}_{||} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{0}) = 0, \quad (10)$$

i.e., $(k^2 + \kappa^2)^{1/2} = k_0/\chi$. This condition can only be fulfilled when the surface reactance is *positive*. Meanwhile, taking $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{||} = 0$ in (8) yields the dispersion relation for transverse (TM) surface modes,

$$[(k^{2} + \kappa^{2}) + k_{0}\sqrt{k^{2} + \kappa^{2}}\chi]\boldsymbol{H}_{0} = 0, \qquad (11)$$

i.e., $(k^2 + \kappa^2)^{1/2} = -k_0\chi$, which can only be fulfilled when the surface reactance is *negative*. Equations (10) and (11) are the well-known dispersion relations for transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) surface waves [18,22], here derived as a special case of the nonlocal surface Helmholtz equation (6).

III. LINE WAVES ON ISOTROPIC SURFACES

We now apply the nonlocal surface-wave equation (6) to the central problem of this paper: electromagnetic line waves confined to the x = 0 interface between two impedance boundaries. To set up this problem we write the surface reactance distribution representing the materials sketched in Fig. 1(b) in terms of the average reactance χ_b and the contrast $\Delta \chi$,

$$\chi(x) = \chi_b + \frac{\Delta \chi}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x).$$
(12)



FIG. 3. Line mode dispersion and field profiles. (a) Dispersion relation (χ_b as a function of k_z , for a fixed reactance contrast $\Delta \chi = 2.309$) numerically calculated using exact integral equation (14), the two approximations given in (16) and (17), and analytic relation (24). The 800 × 800 discrete Fourier transform matrix was constructed using spatial periodicity $L = 8\lambda$. Red crosses show calculated values of k_z/k_0 computed using the COMSOL Multiphysics eigenvalue solver. Note that the results of Eqs. (17) (cyan) and (24) (red dashed) are identical. (b) Comparison of in-plane magnetic field profiles H_{\parallel} calculated from the exact integral equation (14) and from COMSOL Multiphysics. (c) Field profiles calculated using approximations (16) and (17).

With this identification, the surface integral equation (6) becomes an eigenvalue problem for the average reactance χ_b ,

$$-\frac{1}{k_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' K(x-x') (\nabla'_{||} \times \nabla'_{||} \times -k_0^2) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x')$$
$$-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \chi \operatorname{sgn}(x) \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = \chi_b^{(0)} \boldsymbol{H}_{||}.$$
(13)

The superscript "(0)" has been added to the average reactance to indicate that this is the eigenvalue of the equation without approximations. We thus determine the line mode dispersion relation through specifying the wave number k_0 , the k_z wave-vector component, and the reactance contrast $\Delta \chi$. The eigenvalue of the integral equation (13) then gives the average surface reactance required such that the given mode H_{\parallel} is supported for these values of k_0, k_z , and $\Delta \chi$.

Numerically it is simplest to solve (13) in the Fourier domain where using the eigenfunctions of the kernel (7) the integral operator becomes a simple multiplication, and the term proportional to $\Delta \chi$ becomes a principal value integral

$$\frac{1}{k_0} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} \times + k_0^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}} \right) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k) + \frac{i\Delta\chi}{2} \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbf{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k')}{k - k'} dk' \\
= \chi_b^{(0)} \tilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k),$$
(14)

where $\tilde{H}_{||}$ is the Fourier transform of the in-plane magnetic field, and "P" indicates the principal part of the integral, which itself is a Hilbert transform [23]. The appearance of a Hilbert transform is expected in this problem: its eigenfunctions are the functions of k that are analytic in either the upper or lower half of the complex k plane, which represent real-space functions that are confined to either side of the x = 0 line, where the reactance takes a fixed value.

Equation (14) can be solved using the Wiener-Hopf method [24], although we do not pursue this here. It is also straightforward to solve this equation numerically. To do this we discretize both the x axis and k space into N points and write the left-hand side of Eq. (14) as a $2N \times 2N$ matrix with

the Hilbert transform written as $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = -i\hat{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} \operatorname{diag}[\operatorname{sgn}(x_n)]\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ implemented in terms of the discrete Fourier transform matrix $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{nm} = \exp(-ik_n x_m)$. When written in this matrix form it is evident that for real $\Delta \chi$ the left-hand side of (14) is a Hermitian operator with corresponding real eigenvalues, $\chi_b^{(0)}$. For purely imaginary $\Delta \chi$ the system is *PT* symmetric, and the operator on the left of (14) is equivalent to a real-valued but non-Hermitian matrix with eigenvalues that are thus real, or in complex conjugate pairs. This is consistent with the findings of [15], where it was found that line waves can be supported on impedance surfaces with balanced loss and gain. The above eigenvalue problem finds the impedance that supports a wave with a given propagation constant k_z . It is also possible to find k_z for a fixed impedance. We show an example method for doing this in Appendix C.

As the eigenvectors of the operator (14) include both propagating modes and line waves, numerically we sort them by the proportion of their norm concentrated in a small region around x = 0, neglecting all but the most confined modes. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the line-wave dispersion relation and field profiles calculated using this method, which—as shown—agrees with the same calculation carried out using commercial finite-element software (COMSOL Multiphysics [25]).

A. Approximations to the integral kernel

The numerical results shown in Fig. 3 show that the line wave has some superficial similarities with an electromagnetic surface wave. For the surface plasmon/magnon, the asymptotic limit, $k_z/k_0 \rightarrow \infty$, requires two bulk media with zero average permittivity/permeability. Equivalently Fig. 3(a) shows that for line waves, the average surface reactance tends to zero in the same limit. This similarity was also discussed in the asymptotic analysis of Ref. [11]. Moreover the field profiles shown in Fig. 3(b) suggest that we might make an exponential approximation to the form of the line wave, equivalent to the exponential localization of



FIG. 4. Description as in Fig. 3, but for the increased reactance contrast $\Delta \chi = 8.309$, illustrating in panels (a)–(c) that the approximate kernels (16) and (17) give reduced accuracy for larger contrast reactance surfaces.

a surface plasmon/magnon around the interface. In this section we show that we can develop local approximations to the integral kernel K(x - x'). The leading-order approximation then yields a line mode that is the exact two-dimensional equivalent of the surface plasmon/magnon, with a dispersion relation that closely matches the numerical solution to Eq. (14).

For inhomogeneous media the difficulty in developing an algebraically simple solution to the nonlocal equation (13)can be traced back to the square root denominator in the Fourier representation of the integral kernel (4). Here the square root denominator is replaced with a polynomial $a_n k^n$ + $a_{n-1}k^{n-1} + \cdots$, the inverse of the integral operator would be a differential operator $a_n(-i\partial_x)^n + a_{n-1}(-i\partial_x)^{n-1} + \cdots$, meaning that we could rewrite (13) as a differential equation. However, the branch cuts in the square root denominator make this simplification impossible. To make progress we recognize that the Fourier transform of an exponentially localized field (such as the line waves we are seeking to describe) is centered around the zero wave vector. We therefore replace the square root denominator in the Fourier representation of the kernel (4) with its series expansion. Here we consider two such approximations,

$$\sqrt{\xi^2 + 1} \sim \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 & \text{(Approximation 1),} \\ 1 & \text{(Approximation 2).} \end{cases}$$
(15)

These approximations transform the integrand in (4), which contains two square root branch cuts at $\xi = \pm i$, into a form that is either an entire function of *k*, or contains simple poles. The corresponding approximate expressions for the integral kernel (4) are then given by

$$K^{(1)}(x - x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\xi\kappa(x - x')}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^2\right)}$$
$$= \frac{e^{-\sqrt{2}\kappa|x - x'|}}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (\text{Approximation 1}) \qquad (16)$$

and

$$K^{(2)}(x - x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{2\pi} e^{i\xi\kappa(x - x')}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\kappa} \delta(x - x') \quad \text{(Approximation 2).} \tag{17}$$

As shown in Fig. 2, the first approximation $K^{(1)}$ closely matches the exact expression (4), becoming ever more accurate as the value of k_z/k_0 is increased. The second approximation (17) only corresponds to the exact kernel in that it matches the area underneath its curve. The dispersion relations and field profiles obtained using these two approximations are compared to the results of the exact equation (14) in Figs. 3 and 4, illustrating that both approximations provide a good estimate of the dispersion of line waves. As shown there, approximation 1 yields fields that have a similar dependence to the exact solution away from the interface, but all field components are discontinuous across x = 0. Meanwhile approximation 2 tends to underestimate the localization of the field.

B. Local line-wave equations

The advantage of the approximations (16) and (17) is that—as discussed above—they reduce the nonlocal equation (13) to an ordinary differential equation on the surface: an equation written entirely in terms of the surface fields and their in-plane derivatives. For the first approximation (16) we note that $K^{(1)}$ obeys the inhomogeneous equation $(\partial_x^2 - 2\kappa^2)K^{(1)} = -2\kappa\delta(x - x')$. Therefore, applying the differential operator $\partial_x^2 - 2\kappa^2$ to Eq. (13) yields a local surface-wave equation holding on the y = 0 surface,

$$(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||} \times \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||} \times -k_0^2) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x) - \frac{k_0}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2\kappa^2\right) \boldsymbol{\chi}(x) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}$$

= 0 (Approximation 1). (18)

Similarly, using the more extreme approximation (17) where the integral kernel is proportional to a delta function, Eq. (13)

becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla_{||} \times \nabla_{||} \times -k_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi(x) \right) \end{bmatrix} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x)$$

= 0 (Approximation 2). (19)

Note that this equation is equivalent to our earlier one for propagation on a homogeneous surface (8) but with k = 0, and the reactance promoted to a position-dependent quantity. It is also worth noticing that taking the $k_z/k_0 \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the first approximate equation (18) yields the second approximation (19).

C. Fields and dispersion relations

From the above two surface-wave equations (18) and (19) we can find analytic expressions for the field profiles and dispersion relations of line waves that closely match the results of finite-element simulations. In this approximation the field exponentially decays away from x = 0, and line waves are the direct one-dimensional analogs of surface waves.

We concentrate on the simplest case, Eq. (19), although exactly the same argument can be carried out for the more accurate equation (18) (see Appendix B). Taking the *x* component of Eq. (19) we find that the *x* component of the magnetic field is determined by the derivative of H_z ,

$$H_x = -\frac{ik_z}{\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi(x)}\frac{dH_z}{dx}.$$
 (20)

This expression for H_x allows us to write the approximate surface-wave equation (19) in terms of H_z alone,

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{k_0^2 - k_0\kappa\chi(x)}{\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi(x)}\right)\frac{dH_z}{dx} - k_0^2\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0}\chi(x)\right)H_z = 0.$$
 (21)

Although we are describing the electromagnetic field on a surface, Eq. (21), which governs the cross-sectional form of the line wave, is equivalent to the familiar Helmholtz equation for propagation along a single axis in a bulk electromagnetic material where the effective permittivity is given by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm eff} = -\frac{\left(\frac{\kappa}{k_0}\right)^2 + \frac{\kappa}{k_0}\chi(x)}{1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0}\chi(x)}$$
(22)

and the effective permeability, μ_{eff} , equals (9). By analogy with bulk electromagnetic waves, we can predict the presence of line waves. These waves require that the product $\varepsilon_{eff}\mu_{eff}$ be negative on both sides of the interface (for exponential decay, rather than propagation), in addition to ε_{eff} changing sign across the interface (ensuring the decay constant changes sign across x = 0).

Although the above derivation holds for any spatially varying reactance $\chi(x)$, we consider the special case of the step change in impedance given by (12). From a cursory inspection of Eq. (21) we see that in order that H_z be a well-defined solution, both H_z and $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \partial_x H_z$ must be continuous across the impedance interface at x = 0. Given that the impedance is homogeneous everywhere except at x = 0, we can thus write the solution to Eq. (21) as a piecewise function

$$H_{z} = H_{0} \begin{cases} e^{\beta_{L}x} & (x < 0), \\ e^{-\beta_{R}x} & (x > 0), \end{cases}$$
(23)

where, from substitution into (21), we determine the decay constants to be given by $\beta_{L,R} = \sqrt{\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(L,R)}}$. In this approximation the existence of the line wave thus requires the positivity of $\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(L,R)}$ on either side of the x = 0 interface. Applying the second condition for continuity of $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \partial_x H_z$ then yields the line-wave dispersion relation

$$\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi^{(L)}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{k_0} + \chi^{(R)}} + \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi^{(R)}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{k_0} + \chi^{(L)}} = 0,$$
(24)

which—as shown in Figs. 3 and 4—provides a good estimate of the numerically determined dispersion relation calculated from the exact Eq. (14), and using finite-element simulations. From (24) we can see that, as $k_z \rightarrow \infty$, the dispersion relation reduces to

$$k_z \to \infty$$
: $\chi^{(L)} + \chi^{(R)} = 0,$ (25)

in agreement with the asymptotic analysis given in [11]. For complex reactances, this condition implies a *PT*-symmetric surface with balanced loss and gain, as found in [15]. We can therefore see that, despite the apparently complicated mathematical properties of line waves, they can be reasonably approximated as exponentially decaying fields on the surface, obeying the two-dimensional equation (19), which could have been anticipated from boldly promoting the dispersion relation on a homogeneous surface to a wave equation (8).

IV. ANISOTROPIC SURFACES: EFFECTIVE GAUGE FIELDS AND GHOST WAVES

Finally, having derived this simple theory for isotropic impedance boundaries we can explore some extensions to more exotic surfaces. The most obvious generalization is to anisotropic impedance boundaries. These were very recently discussed in Ref. [17], where primarily using finite-element simulations, one-dimensional "ghost line waves" were discovered: confined waves on a lossless surface that oscillate as they decay. Here we shall show that this hybrid behavior can be straightforwardly explained using the local approximation to our integral equation described above. Put simply, the anisotropy acts as an effective gauge field on the surface, and just as for an electron subject to a magnetic vector potential [26], this induces extra oscillations in the surface field.

To treat anisotropic impedance boundaries, we assume the anisotropic generalization of the surface reactance profile given in Eq. (12),

$$\boldsymbol{\chi}(x) = \chi_b \mathbf{1}_2 + \boldsymbol{\chi}_a + \frac{\Delta \boldsymbol{\chi}}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(x), \quad (26)$$

where $\Delta \chi$ is the tensorial difference in the reactance between the two surfaces, a Hermitian matrix in the case of lossless surfaces. The matrix χ_a is a constant matrix representing the zero-trace part of the average reactance of the two surfaces, whereas χ_b is an overall shift to the diagonal elements of the surface reactance required to support the surface wave. With this reactance profile the integral equation (14) is simply generalized to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{k_0} \frac{\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{k} \times + k_0^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + \kappa^2}} - \mathbf{\chi}_a \end{pmatrix} \cdot \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k) + \frac{\Delta \mathbf{\chi}}{2} \frac{i}{\pi} \mathbf{P} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k')}{k - k'} dk' = \mathbf{\chi}_b^{(0)} \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}_{||}(k).$$
(27)

This remains a Hermitian operator for Hermitian $\Delta \chi$ and χ_a , indicating eigenmodes are still supported for real values of the diagonal reactance components, $\chi_b^{(0)}$.

By an identical argument to that presented in Sec. III B, the more extreme of the two local approximations to this integral equation is also a straightforward generalization of our surface vector Helmholtz equation (19), where the reactance is replaced with a 2×2 matrix,

$$(\nabla_{||} \times \nabla_{||} \times -k_0^2) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x) + \kappa k_0 \boldsymbol{\chi} \cdot \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow H_x = -\frac{1}{\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi_{xx}} \left(i k_z \frac{d}{dx} + k_0 \kappa \chi_{xz} \right) H_z. \quad (28)$$

The second line follows from taking the *x* component of the Helmholtz equation, which determines the component of the magnetic field orthogonal to the propagation axis. Interestingly, a comparison with our earlier Eq. (20) shows that the off-diagonal element χ_{xz} induces an effective gauge potential in (28), modifying the derivative to $\partial_x - ik_z^{-1}k_0\kappa \chi_{xz}$. The appearance of an effective gauge field in the line-wave equation due to the anisotropy is confirmed after substituting Eq. (28) into the preceding vector Helmholtz equation, where we are left with a second-order equation for the field component H_z ,

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - iA\right)\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{eff}}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - iA\right)H_z + k_0^2\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}H_z = 0.$$
(29)

Here we have assumed a symmetric reactance $\chi_{xz} = \chi_{zx}$ which is equivalent to assuming time-reversal symmetry of the surface [for a Hermitian reactance, both *A* and its complex conjugate appear in (29)]. In this case the effective gauge potential is given by $A = \sigma k_z \kappa k_0^{-1} \chi_{xz}$, where $\sigma = [1 - (\kappa/k_0)\chi_{xx}]^{-1}$. Meanwhile the effective permeability and permittivity are generalized from the earlier expressions (9) and (22) to $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \sigma [1 - (\kappa/k_0) \operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{\chi}) + (\kappa/k_0)^2 \det(\boldsymbol{\chi})]$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = -\sigma [(\kappa/k_0)^2 + (\kappa/k_0)\chi_{xx}]$.

The Helmholtz equation (29) takes the form of the onedimensional Schrödinger equation for a charged particle in a magnetic gauge potential A with a mass proportional to ε_{eff} and a scalar potential proportional to μ_{eff} [26]. The effect of the anisotropy on the surface field thus both modifies the dispersion relation (24) due to the generalized forms of ε_{eff} and μ_{eff} , as well as introducing field oscillations along the x axis. These oscillations due to the gauge field can be isolated through making the substitution, $H_z = \exp[i \int_0^x A(x') dx']h_z$. This substitution transforms the field equation (29) to an equation for h_z that takes the earlier form (21)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{eff}}}\frac{\mathrm{d}h_z}{\mathrm{d}x} + k_0^2\mu_{\mathrm{eff}}h_z = 0. \tag{30}$$

The only difference from our earlier analysis is the modifications to the functional form of the effective permittivity and permeability. Assuming $\varepsilon_{\rm eff}\mu_{\rm eff} < 0$, Eq. (30) again admits confined line-wave solutions h_z of the form (23) with decay constants

$$\beta_{L,R} = k_0 \sqrt{-\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \mu_{\text{eff}}}$$
(31)
$$= k_0 \frac{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\kappa}{k_0}\right)^2 + \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi_{xx}^{(L,R)}}}{\left|1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi_{xx}^{(L,R)}\right|}$$
$$\times \sqrt{1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \operatorname{Tr}[\boldsymbol{\chi}^{(L,R)}] + \left(\frac{\kappa}{k_0}\right)^2 \operatorname{det}[\boldsymbol{\chi}^{(L,R)}]},$$
(32)

which reduce to those given below Eq. (23) for isotropic surfaces where $\chi_{xx}^{(L,R)} = \chi_{zz}^{(L,R)}$ and $\chi_{xz}^{(L,R)} = \chi_{zx}^{(L,R)} = 0$. Again demanding the continuity of $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}^{-1} \partial_x h_z$ yields the corresponding line-wave dispersion relation

$$\left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\kappa} \chi_{xx}^{(L)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi_{xx}^{(R)}\right) \beta_L + \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{\kappa} \chi_{xx}^{(R)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi_{xx}^{(L)}\right) \beta_R = 0.$$
 (33)

This is plotted as the red dashed line in Fig. 5(a), where it is evident that for this range of impedance values, this approximation closely matches the results of both the exact integral equation (27) and finite-element simulations [Fig. 5 shows line-wave profiles on an anisotropic surface with $\Delta \chi =$ $\begin{pmatrix} 2.5 & 1.2 \\ 1.2 & 2.5 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\chi_a = 0$, as defined in Eq. (27)]. Figures 5(b) and 5(c) show cross sections of the line-wave field, where compared to the isotropic results shown in Figs. 3 and 4—there is the anticipated hybrid of oscillation and decay away from the x = 0 interface on the surface.

As in the isotropic case discussed above and in Ref. [11], in the asymptotic limit $k_z \rightarrow \infty$, the dispersion relation simplifies to a constraint on the material parameters,

$$\frac{\chi_{xx}^{(R)}}{\left|\chi_{xx}^{(L)}\right|}\sqrt{\det[\boldsymbol{\chi}^{(L)}]} + \frac{\chi_{xx}^{(L)}}{\left|\chi_{xx}^{(R)}\right|}\sqrt{\det[\boldsymbol{\chi}^{(R)}]} = 0, \qquad (34)$$

which reduces to our previous result (25) when the surface is isotropic. Indeed, for anisotropic surfaces with a reactance matrix of equal determinant det[$\chi^{(L)}$] = det[$\chi^{(R)}$], Eq. (34) predicts that the asymptotic limit occurs when the diagonal elements $\chi^{(L,R)}_{xx}$ are of equal magnitude and opposite sign.

V. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

Although wedge plasmons and edge waves are well-known one-dimensional excitations, these are typically confined to a surface through the effect of surface curvature [27]. On the other hand, line waves [11,12,15,17] are one-dimensional waves confined to *flat* surfaces by the distribution of surface impedance. Line waves are much more difficult to theoretically analyze than surface waves, and to date a simple understanding of these modes has been lacking.

In this work we have provided a new general theory for analyzing the behavior of line waves on impedance boundaries. In the exact case, the properties of line waves can be calculated through determining the eigenfunctions of the integral equation (14), or its generalization to anisotropic surfaces (27). To gain further understanding of these waves, this integral equation can be approximated as a differential equation using the expansions of the kernel (18) and (19), revealing



FIG. 5. (a) Dispersion relations obtained using the exact integral equation (27), the two approximations (16) and (17), the analytic dispersion relation (33), and finite-element simulations (see Appendix A). Panels (b) and (c) show that the mode oscillates as it decays, characteristic of "ghost" line waves [17], an effect that is still captured by the most extreme approximation to the integral kernel (29).

that the cross section of the line wave obeys a one-dimensional Helmholtz equation on the surface, with a spatially dispersive effective permittivity and permeability. From this we have shown that an approximate line-wave dispersion relation can be derived exactly as is done for the surface plasmon/magnon, with the result closely matching the results of finite-element simulations (see Fig. 3), deviating more when the impedance contrast is increased to larger values (see Fig. 4).

As we have shown, it is also straightforward to extend this theory to anisotropic impedance boundaries. In this case the cross section of the line wave also (approximately) obeys a one-dimensional Helmholtz equation, with the anisotropy modifying the effective permittivity and permeability values, ensuring-for example-that the asymptotic limit of the dispersion relation no longer requires equal and opposite reactance values, but the more complicated combination of material parameters given in Eq. (34). Interestingly, we have also found that anisotropy of the reactance induces an effective gauge field on the surface. As we are dealing with an effective one-dimensional equation, this gauge field cannot induce, e.g., cyclotron orbits of the surface wave, but instead is equivalent to the gauge transformation given above Eq. (30)which leads to oscillations in the field away from the interface. We have verified this numerically (see Fig. 5) using both the exact integral equation and finite-element simulations,

providing an explanation for the recently identified "ghost" line waves [17].

Just as understanding surfaces waves has led to surfacewave antennas and the field of plasmonics, understanding line waves could lead to similar applications. They allow—for instance—electromagnetic energy to be channeled without the use of a waveguide, and as shown above and in [17] the flow of this near-field energy can be molded via the anisotropy of the surface. The theory presented here may provide a framework for a deeper understanding of these waves in a larger parameter space than has been considered to date.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.A.R.H. and A.D. thank the Royal Society and TATA for financial support (Grant No. RPG-2016-186). S.A.R.H. thanks Ian Hooper and James Capers for useful discussions.

APPENDIX A: MODIFICATIONS TO COMSOL

To simulate anisotropic impedance boundaries we modified the equations of the "impedance boundary" in COMSOL Multiphysics. This was done for surfaces with surface normal \hat{y} by replacing the following expressions,

```
emw.imp1.Jsx = ((Zzz*(emw.tEx\ensuremath{+}emw.Esx)-Zxz*(emw.tEz\ensuremath{+}emw.Esz))
```

```
-plx-sol-plxdZ)-plx-sol-plxZ0
```

```
emw.imp1.Jsy = 0
```

```
emw.imp1.Jsz = ((Zxx*(emw.tEz\ensuremath{+}emw.Esz)-Zzx*(emw.tEx\ensuremath{+}emw.Esx))
-plx-sol-plxdZ)-plx-sol-plxZ0
```

where Z0=377 Ω is the free-space impedance, Zxx, Zxz, Zzx, and Zzz are the components of the impedance tensor $\mathbf{Z} = i \boldsymbol{\chi}$, and dZ is the determinant of the impedance tensor, all

defined as a set of parameters for each impedance boundary in the COMSOL model.

APPENDIX B: THE MORE ACCURATE SOLUTION

In the main text we gave analytic results for the very simplest approximation to the integral kernel (4). We can also develop more accurate analytic results for the next-order approximation, although the formulas are more complicated. Here we give this more accurate solution for an isotropic impedance boundary. The approximate surface-wave equation is given by Eq. (18) in the main text, which we repeat here:

$$(\boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||} \otimes \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||} - \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{||}^2 - k_0^2) \boldsymbol{H}_{||}(x) - \frac{k_0}{2\kappa} \left(\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 2\kappa^2\right) \chi(x) \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = 0.$$
(B1)

Splitting this equation into components we obtain two coupled Helmholtz equations, one for the *x* component,

$$\frac{k_0}{2\kappa}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(\chi H_x) - (\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi)H_x - ik_z\frac{dH_z}{dx} = 0, \quad (B2)$$

and one for the z component,

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{2\kappa} \chi \right) H_z + k_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi \right) H_z - ik_z \frac{dH_x}{dx} = 0.$$
(B3)

Assuming a junction between two surfaces as in (12) we can solve (B2) and (B3) by assuming—in the homogeneous regions—that the field has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} H_x \\ H_z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_x \\ A_z \end{pmatrix} e^{\pm \beta x}$$
(B4)

 $(\beta > 0)$ so that (B2) and (B3) can be written as a single matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_0\chi}{2\kappa}\beta^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi) & \mp ik_z\beta \\ \mp ik_z\beta & \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{2\kappa}\chi\right)\beta^2 + k_0^2\left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0}\chi\right) \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} A_x \\ A_z \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$
 (B5)

which requires the matrix to have zero determinant. The zerodeterminant condition yields a fourth-order polynomial in the decay constant β ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{k_0\chi}{2\kappa}\beta^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{2\kappa}\chi\right)\beta^2 + k_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0}\chi\right) \end{bmatrix} + k_z^2\beta^2 = 0.$$
(B6)

As Eq. (B6) is a quadratic equation in β^2 we have four values of β that form two pairs of solutions with the same magnitude,

$$\beta_1^2 = \frac{1}{2a} [-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}],$$

$$\beta_2^2 = \frac{1}{2a} [-b - \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}].$$
(B7)

To simplify the notation we have introduced three constants,

$$a = \frac{k_0 \chi}{2\kappa} \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{2\kappa} \chi \right),$$

$$b = \frac{k_0 \chi}{2\kappa} k_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi \right) - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi) \left(1 + \frac{k_0}{2\kappa} \chi \right) + k_z^2,$$

$$c = -(\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi) k_0^2 \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{k_0} \chi \right).$$
 (B8)

Therefore, on each side of the interface our field is composed of a combination of two exponentials with decay constants β_1 and β_2 given by Eq. (B7). Each of these decaying components is multiplied by the corresponding zero eigenvector of the matrix equation (B5) so that on each side of the interface the surface magnetic field takes the form

$$x < 0: \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = c_1^{(L)} N_1^{(L)} \begin{pmatrix} i k_z \beta_1^{(L)} \\ \frac{k_0 \chi^{(L)}}{2\kappa} [\beta_1^{(L)}]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(L)}) \end{pmatrix} e^{\beta_1^{(L)} x} + c_2^{(L)} N_2^{(L)} \begin{pmatrix} i k_z \beta_2^{(L)} \\ \frac{k_0 \chi^{(L)}}{2\kappa} [\beta_2^{(L)}]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(L)}) \end{pmatrix} e^{\beta_2^{(L)} x},$$
(B9)

where $N_{1,2}^{(L,R)}$ are the normalization constants defined as

$$N_{1,2}^{(L,R)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{k_0\chi^{(L,R)}}{2\kappa} \left[\beta_{1,2}^{(L,R)}\right]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0\kappa\chi^{(L,R)})\right)^2 + k_z^2 \left[\beta_{1,2}^{(L,R)}\right]^2}}.$$
(B10)

The constants $c_{1,2}^{(L,R)}$ appearing in Eq. (B9) are yet to be determined and they represent the amplitudes of the two different exponentially decaying solutions in the region x < 0. The field on the right-hand side of the x = 0 line is similarly expressed in terms of two decaying solutions,

$$x > 0: \qquad \boldsymbol{H}_{||} = c_1^{(R)} N_1^{(R)} \left(\frac{-ik_z \beta_1^{(R)}}{\frac{k_0 \chi^{(R)}}{2\kappa} \left[\beta_1^{(R)} \right]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(R)})} \right) e^{-\beta_1^{(R)} x} + c_2^{(R)} N_2^{(R)} \left(\frac{-ik_z \beta_2^{(R)}}{\frac{k_0 \chi^{(R)}}{2\kappa} \left[\beta_2^{(R)} \right]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(R)})} \right) e^{-\beta_2^{(R)} x}.$$
(B11)

To determine the four unknown expansion coefficients in Eqs. (B9)–(B11), plus the dispersion relation, we must apply four boundary conditions at x = 0. These four boundary conditions are contained in the two coupled differential equations (B2) and (B3) derived above. For the solution to hold across the line x = 0, all differentiated quantities must be continuous. Therefore, from examining the second derivatives in Eqs. (B2) and (B3) we can see that the first derivatives they contain will only be well defined if $\chi(x)H_x$ and $(1 + k_0\chi/2\kappa)H_z$ are continuous. Similarly, integrating these equations across an infinitesimal interval containing x = 0 we can also see that $\partial_x(k_0\chi H_x/2\kappa) - ik_zH_z$ and $\partial_x(1 + k_0\chi/2\kappa)H_z - ik_zH_x$ are continuous across the interface. These are the four conditions we require to determine the dispersion of the line wave to a more accurate approximation. Applying these four conditions to the expansions (B9) and (B11) we find the following 4×4 matrix representation of the boundary conditions,

$$\begin{pmatrix} N_{1}^{(L)}\beta_{1}^{(L)}\chi^{(L)} & N_{2}^{(L)}\beta_{2}^{(L)}\chi^{(L)} & N_{1}^{(R)}\beta_{1}^{(R)}\chi^{(R)} & N_{2}^{(R)}\beta_{2}^{(R)}\chi^{(R)} \\ N_{1}^{(L)}\Sigma_{1}^{(L)} & N_{2}^{(L)}\Sigma_{2}^{(L)} & -N_{1}^{(R)}\Sigma_{1}^{(R)} & -N_{2}^{(R)}\Sigma_{2}^{(R)} \\ -N_{1}^{(L)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(L)}) & -N_{2}^{(L)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(L)}) & N_{1}^{(R)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(R)}) & N_{2}^{(R)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(R)}) \\ N_{1}^{(L)}\beta_{1}^{(L)}(\Sigma_{1}^{(L)} + k_{z}^{2}) & N_{2}^{(L)}\beta_{2}^{(L)}(\Sigma_{2}^{(L)} + k_{z}^{2}) & N_{1}^{(R)}\beta_{1}^{(R)}(\Sigma_{1}^{(R)} + k_{z}^{2}) & N_{2}^{(R)}\beta_{2}^{(R)}(\Sigma_{2}^{(R)} + k_{z}^{2}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_{1}^{(L)} \\ c_{2}^{(L)} \\ c_{1}^{(R)} \\ c_{2}^{(R)} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (B12)$$

where $\sum_{l,2}^{(L,R)} = (1 + \frac{k_0 \chi^{(L,R)}}{2\kappa}) [\frac{k_0 \chi^{(L,R)}}{2\kappa}]^2 - (\kappa^2 + k_0 \kappa \chi^{(L,R)})]$. The vanishing determinant of this matrix defines the dispersion relation of these modes,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1}^{(L)}N_{1}^{(L)}\chi^{(L)} & \beta_{2}^{(L)}N_{2}^{(L)}\chi^{(L)} & \beta_{1}^{(R)}N_{1}^{(R)}\chi^{(R)} & \beta_{2}^{(R)}N_{2}^{(R)}\chi^{(R)} \\ N_{1}^{(L)}\Sigma_{1}^{(L)} & N_{2}^{(L)}\Sigma_{2}^{(L)} & -N_{1}^{(R)}\Sigma_{1}^{(R)} & -N_{2}^{(R)}\Sigma_{2}^{(R)} \\ -N_{1}^{(L)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(L)}) & -N_{2}^{(L)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(L)}) & N_{1}^{(R)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(R)}) & N_{2}^{(R)}(\kappa^{2} + k_{0}\kappa\chi^{(R)}) \\ \beta_{1}^{(L)}N_{1}^{(L)}(\Sigma_{1}^{(L)} + k_{z}^{2}) & \beta_{2}^{(L)}N_{2}^{(L)}(\Sigma_{2}^{(L)} + k_{z}^{2}) & \beta_{1}^{(R)}N_{1}^{(R)}(\Sigma_{1}^{(R)} + k_{z}^{2}) & \beta_{2}^{(R)}N_{2}^{(R)}(\Sigma_{2}^{(R)} + k_{z}^{2}) \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (B13)$$

which is the analytic expression for the more accurate dispersion relation shown in Figs. 3 and 4. Figure 6 shows—for an arbitrarily chosen value of $\Delta \chi$ —that this expression reproduces the results of the integral equation (13) with the approximate kernel (16).

APPENDIX C: DETERMINING THE PROPAGATION FOR A FIXED IMPEDANCE AND FREQUENCY

As discussed above, the theory we have developed is naturally written as an eigenvalue problem for the average impedance with the propagation constant k_z , frequency k_0 , and impedance contrast $\Delta \chi$ fixed. Although we developed this theory to provide an analytic understanding of the line-wave dispersion relation, it is also useful as a simple numerical method to find dispersion relations for surface and line waves on an arbitrary surface impedance distribution. However, in many applications of this method it will be preferable to find the propagation constant with the impedance distribution fixed, rather than the reverse. There are several ways to solve



FIG. 6. Line-wave dispersion for $\Delta \chi = 3.209$, with other parameters as in Fig. 3. The analytic expression (B13) reproduces the result of using the approximate integral kernel $K^{(1)}$ given in Eq. (16).

this problem and here we give an example of the modifications necessary to find the propagation constant for a fixed impedance profile. Our fundamental eigenvalue problem is given in Eq. (14). As the equation is linear in the constant $\chi_b^{(0)}$, the average impedance is the natural choice of the eigenvalue. Meanwhile the equation is *nonlinear* in the propagation constant k_z . This makes finding k_z for a fixed impedance a more difficult problem.

Here we start by writing the eigenvalue problem (14) in block matrix form,

$$\begin{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k_0^2 - k_z^2}{k_0 \sqrt{k^2 + k_z^2 - k_0^2}} & \frac{kk_z}{k_0 \sqrt{k^2 + k_z^2 - k_0^2}} \\ \frac{kk_z}{k_0 \sqrt{k^2 + k_z^2 - k_0^2}} & \frac{k_0^2 - k^2}{k_0 \sqrt{k^2 + k_z^2 - k_0^2}} \end{pmatrix} \\ - \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\chi(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{F}\chi(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{H}_x \\ \tilde{H}_z \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad (C1)$$

where, e.g., k is a diagonal matrix containing the values of the wave number in the Fourier transform, and \mathcal{F} is the discrete Fourier transform operator. As the impedance and frequency are fixed, our unknown k_z is contained in the nonlinear dependence of the first matrix on the left of (C1). To recast this as an eigenvalue problem we perform a linear expansion of the left-hand matrix in (C1) around a fixed value of the wave vector K_z : $k_z \sim K_z + \delta k_z$. This linear expansion is given by

$$\frac{1}{k_0\sqrt{k^2+k_z^2-k_0^2}} \begin{pmatrix} k_0^2-k_z^2 & k_z \\ kk_z & k_0^2-k^2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \boldsymbol{m}_1 + \delta k_z \boldsymbol{m}_2,$$
(C2)

where we have defined the two matrices m_1 and m_2 as follows:

$$m_{1} = \frac{1}{k_{0}\sqrt{k^{2} + K_{z}^{2} - k_{0}^{2}}} \begin{pmatrix} k_{0}^{2} - K_{z}^{2} & kK_{z} \\ kK_{z} & k_{0}^{2} - k^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$m_{2} = \frac{1}{k_{0}(k^{2} + K_{z}^{2} - k_{0}^{2})^{3/2}} \times \begin{pmatrix} -K_{z}(2k^{2} + K_{z}^{2} - k_{0}^{2}) & k(k^{2} - k_{0}^{2}) \\ k(k^{2} - k_{0}^{2}) & -K_{z}(k_{0}^{2} - k^{2}) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C3)



FIG. 7. Comparison between dispersion calculations carried out using the exact eigenvalue problem for the background impedance (13) and the approximate linearized problem (C4) for the shift in the propagation constant. The dashed black line indicates the value of the propagation constant K_z around which we expanded the matrix.

- J. A. Polo, T. G. Mackay, and A. Lakhtakia, *Electromagnetic Surface Waves: A Modern Perspective* (Elsevier, 2013).
- [2] E. Martini, M. Mencagli Jr., and S. Maci, Philos. Trans. R. Soc. A 373, 20140355 (2015).
- [3] A. Li, S. Singh, and D. F. Sievenpiper, Nanophotonics 7, 989 (2018).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Elasticity* (Pergamon Press, 1959).
- [5] S. Brûlé, E. H. Javelaud, S. Enoch, and S. Guenneau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 133901 (2014).
- [6] K. Y. Bliokh and F. Nori, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 054301 (2019).
- [7] K. Y. Bliokh, D. Leykam, M. Lein, and F. Nori, Nat. Commun. 10, 580 (2019).
- [8] A. B. Khanikaev, S. H. Mousavi, W.-K. Tse, M. Kargarian, A. H. MacDonald, and G. Shvets, Nat. Mater. 12, 233 (2013).
- [9] S. A. R. Horsley, Phys. Rev. A 100, 053819 (2019).
- [10] S. A. R. Horsley and M. Woolley, Nat. Phys. 17, 348 (2021).
- [11] S. A. R. Horsley and I. R. Hooper, J. Phys. D 47, 435103 (2014).
- [12] D. J. Bisharat and D. F. Sievenpiper, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 106802 (2017).
- [13] D. J. Bisharat and D. F. Sievenpiper, Laser Photonics Rev. 13, 1900126 (2019).
- [14] X. Xu, J. Chang, J. Tong, D. F. Sievenpiper, and T. J. Cui, Adv. Photonics 4, 046004 (2022).

In terms of these matrices the eigenvalue problem (C1) becomes a generalized eigenvalue problem,

$$(-\boldsymbol{m}_1 + \boldsymbol{X}) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = \delta k_z \boldsymbol{m}_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{v}, \tag{C4}$$

where the eigenvector is given by $\boldsymbol{v}^{\mathrm{T}} = (\tilde{H}_x, \tilde{H}_z)$ and the *X* contains the reactance,

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}\chi(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1} & 0\\ 0 & \mathcal{F}\chi(x)\mathcal{F}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (C5)

Figure 7 shows the value of k_z determined from the generalized eigenvalue problem (C4), comparing the results with those obtained from the method outlined in the main text. The figure illustrates that there is around a 1% error in the value of k_z within a range of approximately $|\delta k_z/k_0| < 0.5$. To improve accuracy for eigenvalues far from the expansion point, a second series of calculations should be performed where the expansion point is moved. This makes the error in k_z arbitrarily small at the price of a slower numerical calculation.

- [15] M. Moccia, G. Castaldi, A. Alú, and V. Galdi, ACS Photonics 7, 2064 (2020).
- [16] X. Kong, D. J. Bisharat, G. Xiao, and D. F. Sievenpiper, Phys. Rev. A 99, 033842 (2019).
- [17] M. Moccia, G. Castaldi, A. Alù, and V. Galdi, ACS Photonics 10, 1866 (2023).
- [18] D. F. Sievenpiper, High-impedance electromagnetic surfaces, Ph.D. thesis, University of California, Los Angeles, 1999.
- [19] T. B. A. Senior and J. L. Volakis, *Approximate Boundary Conditions in Electromagnetics* (Institution of Electrical Engineers, 1995).
- [20] NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, http://dlmf. nist.gov.
- [21] J. J. Hopfield and D. G. Thomas, Phys. Rev. 132, 563 (1963).
- [22] S. Tretyakov, *Analytical Modelling in Applied Electromagnetics* (Artech House, 2003).
- [23] F. King, *Hilbert Transforms*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009).
- [24] A. V. Kisil, D. I. Abrahams, G. Mishuris, and S. V. Rogosin, Proc. R. Soc. A 477, 20210533 (2021).
- [25] COMSOL Multiphysics, https://www.comsol.com/comsolmultiphysics.
- [26] M. Peshkin and A. Tonomura, *The Aharonov-Bohm Effect* (Springer, 2014).
- [27] G. D. Valle and S. Longhi, J. Phys. B 43, 051002 (2010).