Magnetic structure of the magnetoelectric material Ba₂MnGe₂O₇

A. Sazonov^(D),^{1,*} H. Thoma^(D),^{2,†} R. Dutta^(D),² M. Meven^(D),² A. Gukasov^(D),³ R. Fittipaldi,⁴ V. Granata^(D),⁵

T. Masuda[®],⁶ B. Náfrádi[®],⁷ and V. Hutanu^{®2,‡}

¹European Spallation Source ERIC, P.O. Box 176, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden

²Institute of Crystallography, RWTH Aachen University and Jülich Centre for Neutron Science (JCNS) at Heinz Maier-Leibnitz

Zentrum (MLZ), 85748 Garching, Germany

³Laboratoire Léon Brillouin, CEA-CNRS, CE-Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

⁴CNR-SPIN c/o University of Salerno, 84084 Fisciano, Italy

⁵Department of Physics "E.R. Caianiello", University of Salerno, 84084 Fisciano, Italy

⁶Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

 7 École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Laboratory of Nanostructures and Novel Electronic Materials, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

(Received 3 May 2023; accepted 23 August 2023; published 7 September 2023)

A detailed investigation of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ was performed in its low-temperature magnetoelectric state combining neutron diffraction with magnetization measurements on single crystals. In the paramagnetic state at 10 K, polarized neutron diffraction was applied to reveal the components of the susceptibility tensor. The crystal and magnetic structures below the antiferromagnetic transition temperature of $T_N \approx 4$ K were determined using unpolarized neutron diffraction. These data imply no structural phase transition from 10 K down to 2.5 K and are well described within the tetragonal space group $P\bar{4}2_1m$. We found that in zero magnetic field the magnetic space group is either C_cmc2_1 or $P_c2_12_12_1$ with antiferromagnetic order along the [110] or [100] direction, respectively, while neighboring spins along the [001] axis are ordered antiferromagnetically. A noncollinear spin arrangement due to small canting within the *ab* plane is allowed by symmetry and observed experimentally. The ordered moment is found to be $3.24(3) \mu_B/Mn^{2+}$ at 2.5 K and the temperature-field dependent magnetic phase diagram is mapped out by macroscopic magnetization. Distinct differences between the magnetic structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ as compared to those of Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ and Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ are discussed.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.094412

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, several members of the melilite family, such as $Ca_2CoSi_2O_7$, $Sr_2CoSi_2O_7$, $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$, and $Ba_2CoGe_2O_7$, have been found to exhibit static as well as dynamic magnetoelectric effects [1–4] and to host remarkable optical properties [5–7]. Moreover, their structural lack of inversion symmetry allows the presence of the antisymmetric Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [8–10], which creates in combination with strong spin anisotropies [11,12] a rich variety of adopted lowtemperature magnetic structures [13–18]. The spin cycloidal $Ba_2CuGe_2O_7$ was even proposed to support a stable skyrmion phase [19].

Due to the lack of the low-temperature structural details, a general noncentrosymmetric tetragonal structure with space group (SG) $P\bar{4}2_1m$ is often used for melilites with general stoichiometry $A_2TB_2O_7$, hosting a large, monovalent to trivalent cation A, a small, divalent to quadrivalent cation B, and a transition metal ion T [5,20,21]. However, in, e.g., Ba₂CoGe₂O₇, the room temperature tetragonal crystal structure $P\bar{4}2_1m$ (Ref. [22]) transforms into orthorhombic *Cmm*2

at low temperatures [22,23]. Another melilite $Ca_2CoSi_2O_7$ undergoes a series of structural phase transitions from 600 K down to 30 K [24–27]. To our knowledge, no detailed structural information is available for $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ in the magnetic phase.

It is important to note that the main features of the magnetoelectric behavior of $Ba_2CoGe_2O_7$ were predicted [28] by symmetry considerations without appealing to any specific atomic mechanism. However, for many melilite systems, specific structural information is still unavailable, while it is clear that the different parent symmetries in the paramagnetic (PM) state can easily lead to different ground-state magnetic structures.

It was found that the Mn spins in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ order antiferromagnetically below T_N with their moments lying in the *ab* plane [4,17,29]. The important difference from other melilites, such as Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ and Ca₂CoSi₂O₇, is that Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is characterized by the nonzero magnetic propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$. However, detailed investigation of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ magnetic structure is missing and no information about in-plane spin canting was published. The only neutron diffraction measurements performed on Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ consist of only 18 magnetic Bragg reflections, collected at a cold neutron triple axis spectrometer [17].

It is clear that precise information for the atomic positions as well as about the spin order (crystal and magnetic structures) is essential to unravel the complex physics

^{*}andrew.sazonov@ess.eu

[†]henrik.thoma@frm2.tum.de

^{*}Present address: FRM II, Technische Universität München, 85747 Garching, Germany; vladimir.hutanu@frm2.tum.de

behind the magnetoelectric behavior of the melilite compounds. Recently, we performed [30] detailed structural investigation on Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ by means of neutron diffraction both at room temperature and 10 K, just above $T_N \approx 4$ K. We complemented [31] this study by means of synchrotron x-ray diffraction (XRD), confirming $P\bar{4}2_1m$ as the parent structure with no structural transitions between 110 and 673 K and revealing the electron density distribution. In order to fill the remaining gap of low temperature crystal (below T_N) and magnetic structure information on Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ and to provide reliable data for further experimental and theoretical research, we continued our investigation of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ and performed single-crystal neutron diffraction experiments as well as bulk magnetization measurements at temperatures between 2 K and 6 K and fields up to 9 T. The observed magnetic properties are compared with those of Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ $(T_{\rm N} \approx 6.7 \text{ K})$ and Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ $(T_{\rm N} \approx 5.7 \text{ K})$.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Single crystals of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ were grown by the floating-zone technique and characterized in previous studies (see Ref. [27] and references therein). The sample used for the neutron diffraction experiment has a cylindrical shape of approximately 6 mm in height and about the same diameter. For the magnetization measurements, additional single crystals of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ were provided by the CNR SPIN Salerno. The crystals, grown by the floating zone technique, were synthesized starting from polycrystalline rods prepared as described in Ref. [32].

Unpolarized single-crystal neutron diffraction studies were performed on the four-circle diffractometer HEiDi (Ref. [33]) at the research neutron source FRM II at the Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ), Germany. The full data collections for the crystal and magnetic structure refinements were done on HEiDi at 2.5 K with the wavelength $\lambda =$ 0.794 Å obtained from a Ge(422) monochromator. To reach low temperatures, a closed-cycle He cryostat was mounted in the Eulerian cradle of the diffractometer. The sample was wrapped in Al foil in order to ensure temperature homogeneity. The temperature was measured and controlled by a diode sensor near the heater position. The sample temperature was independently monitored by a second thermometer placed close to the sample position. In addition to the full data collections, temperature dependencies of the selected Bragg reflections were measured in the range of 2.5 to 10 K during the cooling process. The integrated intensities of the measured Bragg reflections were obtained with the DAVINCI program [34] using the Lehmann-Larsen method for peak location [35]. The crystal and magnetic structure parameters of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ were refined using the JANA2006 program [36].

Additionally, polarized neutron flipping ratios (FRs) were measured on the VIP diffractometer (Ref. [37]) at the Orphée reactor at the Laboratoire Léon Brillouin (LLB), France. For the measurement at 10 K in the PM phase of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇, the single crystal was mounted with the three high symmetry directions [001], [100], and [110] almost along the vertical axis of the instrument and thus parallel to the direction of the applied magnetic field of 6 T. For each orientation, FR data were collected with a neutron wavelength of 0.84 Å and refined using the Mag2Pol program [38]. For the refinement, weak Bragg reflections with intensities below 2% of the maximal observed value were excluded from the analysis due to a high uncertainty in the calculated FR.

Moreover, the field dependence of the magnetization was measured using a vibrating sample magnetometer in a Bitter magnet for fields up to 1.5 T at the High Field Magnet Laboratory, Nijmegen, and in a superconducting magnet for fields up to 9 T at the Jülich Center of Neutron Science (JCNS), Jülich. The two experiments were performed at T = 2 and 1.7 K with the field parallel to the [110] and the [100], [110], and [001] crystallographic directions, respectively. For the latter experiment, the temperature dependence of the magnetization was additionally measured at different constant field strengths for each of the three high symmetry directions.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Paramagnetic phase

1. Paramagnetic structure model

In the PM state above T_N , the crystal structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is given by the tetragonal space group $P\bar{4}2_1m$ with structural details as reported in Ref. [30]. For applied magnetic fields, the induction of a PM moment at the Mn ions is expected. The relation of this PM moment m^{PM} to the external magnetic field B can be described in terms of a susceptibility tensor χ , resulting in $m^{\text{PM}} = \chi B$. For small fields up to around 6 T, the values of the symmetric tensor χ are expected to be almost constant in the PM phase at 10 K [4], but can be anisotropic with components restricted to $\chi_{11} =$ χ_{22} and $\chi_{12} = \chi_{13} = \chi_{23} = 0$ by the tetragonal symmetry. However, macroscopic measurements of the low temperature magnetic susceptibility and the room-temperature electron spin resonance for Ba2MnGe2O7 revealed only an almost negligible anisotropy, which can be related to the zero orbital angular momenta of Mn^{2+} in the high spin state [17].

2. Field-induced magnetic structure at 10 K

To determine the local susceptibility tensor and to probe the anisotropy in the field-induced magnetization, m^{PM} is refined from the polarized neutron FR data, collected at 10 K in the PM phase of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ with magnetic field applied along different crystallographic directions. For the refinement, the structure parameters were fixed according to the results of the unpolarized neutron diffraction, presented in Ref. [30]. The refined magnitudes m^{PM} of the field-induced magnetization vectors m^{PM} , directed along the applied magnetic field, are listed in Table I. There is very good agreement between the measured and calculated asymmetry values [39]. Note that reflections with h + k odd are only sensitive to Mn moments that are antiferromagnetically ordered within the *ab* plane; thus, their asymmetry is zero in the PM phase.

For all three high-symmetry field directions listed in Table I, a similar induced magnetic moment is refined. Based on a least-squares refinement of these PM moments taking into account the exact field direction from the experimental orientation matrix, almost equal susceptibility components of $\chi_{11} = 0.330(4)$ and $\chi_{33} = 0.32(2)$ are determined. They

TABLE I. Field-induced magnetic moment of the Mn atoms in the paramagnetic phase of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇, as refined from polarized neutron diffraction data at 10 K with different applied magnetic field directions. The number of measured reflections for each FR data set and the reduced refinement residuals χ_r^2 are additionally listed. The refinement is based on the structural parameters given in Ref. [30].

Magnetic field	Total reflections	$m^{\mathrm{PM}}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$	χ_r^2	
<i>B</i> [001], 6 T	630	1.912(8)	3.37	
<i>B</i> [100], 6 T	724	1.957(3)	3.64	
<i>B</i> [110], 6 T	775	2.005(3)	2.81	

indicate a negligible anisotropy of $\chi_{11}/\chi_{33} = 1.04(5)$, which is in agreement with the expectation from *g* tensor measurements by electron spin resonance [17]. The average induced magnetic moment of $1.96(4)\mu_B/Mn$ for an applied field of 6 T at 10 K in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is close to the value obtained by macroscopic magnetization [4]. Note that no polarized neutron diffraction data were collected in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ below T_N as the ordered antiferromagnetic (AFM) moments cannot be probed by the FR method due to $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$.

B. Antiferromagnetic phase

1. Crystal structure details at 2.5 K

In order to determine the structural parameters for $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ below the magnetic transition temperature $T_N \approx 4$ K we performed a refinement of its crystal structure from our unpolarized neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K. A total of 1657 pure nuclear Bragg reflections with $\sin \theta / \lambda \lesssim 0.8$ Å⁻¹ were measured and 964 unique reflections were obtained by averaging equivalents [40] ($R_{int} = 0.0223$).

No indication of a symmetry change was found from 10 K down to 2.5 K according to the neutron diffraction data. Thus, within the experimental precision, it is assumed that the crystal structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ in the magnetic phase coincides with that at 10 K (tetragonal SG $P\bar{4}2_1m$), as determined by our previous neutron diffraction studies [30]. These structural details were taken as starting parameters for the refinement at 2.5 K. All atomic positions which are not restricted by symmetry were refined together with the scale, extinction, and anisotropic atomic displacement (U_{ani}) parameters. Besides this, no other constraints were used in the refinement process.

The agreement between the experimental and calculated data is shown in Fig. 1(b). Table II presents the refined atomic coordinates as well as isotropic atomic displacement $(U_{\rm iso})$ parameters. Full details of the refinement, including $U_{\rm ani}$ parameters, bond lengths, and angles, are deposited in the crystallographic information file (CIF) [41]. A comparison with the 10 K structure from our neutron diffraction [30] shows negligible differences in positional parameters with an average value of less than 1σ .

2. Antiferromagnetic structure models

The low-temperature crystal structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is well described by the tetragonal space group $P\bar{4}2_1m$ (see Sec. III B 1 for more details). Below $T_N \approx 4$ K, additional Bragg reflections appear corresponding to the magnetic order



FIG. 1. (a) Observed and calculated asymmetry values for all h + k even reflections measured in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ at 10 K with different applied magnetic field directions. The calculation is based on the refinement results in Table I. (b) Quality of the Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ crystal structure refinement in SG $P\bar{4}2_1m$ according to presented single-crystal neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K. Experimentally measured integrated intensities (F_{obs}^2) are plotted against the calculated ones (F_{calc}^2). Reliability factor $R_{\rm F}$ is also given.

with propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$. Thus, the unit cell of the magnetic structure is doubled along the *c* axis compared to the paramagnetic state.

In order to solve the magnetic structure of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ we used the concept of Shubnikov groups (magnetic space groups, MSGs), which is very useful in the case of secondorder phase transitions for enumerating the possible magnetic structures compatible with the crystal symmetry. This approach implies specific symmetry-deduced constraints on the magnetic moments. That is, the magnetic moments of symmetry-equivalent atoms are related via the magnetic symmetry operations. This allows us to reduce the number of refined parameters and to average the symmetry-equivalent reflections. It was shown that the use of MSGs significantly facilitates the interpretation of the results (see, e.g., Ref. [42] and references therein).

The nonmagnetic parent space group of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is $P\bar{4}2_1m$ and its corresponding gray group is $P\bar{4}2_1m1'$, which in addition includes the time-reversal operation. The symmetry of a magnetically ordered phase is described by a subgroup of this parent group. Figure 2 shows the *k*-maximal subgroups for $P\bar{4}2_1m1'$ with the magnetic propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$. Only four distinct types of magnetic ordering of *k*-maximal symmetry are possible in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇: MSG $P_c\bar{4}2_1c$, MSG $P_c\bar{4}2_1m$, MSG C_cmc2_1 (model *M*110), and

TABLE II. Fractional atomic coordinates x, y, and z as well as isotropic atomic displacement parameters U_{iso} (Å²) for Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ refined in SG $P\bar{4}2_1m$ according to present single-crystal neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K.

Ion	WP	x	у	Z	$U_{ m iso}$
Ba	4e	0.33475(7)	0.5 - x	0.49278(14)	0.00243(14)
Mn	2b	0	0	0	0.00290(30)
Ge	4e	0.13902(4)	0.5 - x	0.04574(8)	0.00240(9)
01	2c	0	0.5	0.16249(18)	0.00410(20)
02	4e	0.13834(7)	0.5 - x	0.73340(13)	0.00547(14)
03	8f	0.07813(7)	0.18921(7)	0.19522(10)	0.00504(13)



FIG. 2. The possible k-maximal symmetries for a magnetic ordering of Mn with propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$ on a paramagnetic phase with SG $P\bar{4}2_1m$ and its corresponding gray group $P\bar{4}2_1m1'$. Only the subgroups which allow nonzero magnetic moments are shown. Each magnetic space group label is shown together with the transformation from the parent $P\bar{4}2_1m$ unit cell basis $\{a, b, c\}$ to its standard setting. The allowed magnetic moment components which correspond to the antiferromagnetic (AFM) ordering are given at the bottom.

MSG $P_c 2_1 2_1 2_1$ (model *M*100). The differences between the models manifest themselves in the distinct magnetic modes which can be presented as the pure antiferromagnetic (AFM) components along the crystallographic direction [001], [110], or [100] (see Fig. 2).

In the case of tetragonal magnetic models (MSGs $P_c\bar{4}2_1c$ and $P_c\bar{4}2_1m$) the magnetic moment is aligned along the *c* axis. That disagrees with the experimentally observed magnetic Bragg peaks. Therefore, these two models can be ruled out. The orthorhombic magnetic models *M*110 and *M*100 (see Fig. 3) restrict the magnetic moment to lie in the *ab* plane with a main AFM component along either the [110] diagonal or [100] axis. A minor AFM component in the perpendicular direction (in-plane canting of magnetic moments) is allowed by symmetry, but does not lead to a global FM moment because of the AFM alignment between the (001) planes. Therefore, any ferromagnetic alignment of magnetic moments is forbidden in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ by symmetry in contrast to other



FIG. 3. Possible in-plane magnetic structures of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ in the *M*110 (MSG C_cmc2_1) and *M*100 (MSG $P_c2_12_12_1$) models, according to our neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K. Angle φ denotes in-plane canting of magnetic moments allowed by symmetry.

compounds in the melilite family, such as $Ba_2CoGe_2O_7$ or $Ca_2CoSi_2O_7$.

In both M110 and M100 the index of their MSGs with respect to the parent symmetry $P\bar{4}2_1m1'$ is four. Thus, two configurations twinned with respect to a diagonal mirror plane m_{xy} (90° magnetic domains) exist in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇, apart from their corresponding trivial twins with all spins reversed. All possible magnetic domains for both models are shown schematically in Fig. 4.

3. Magnetic structure refinement at 2.5 K

Two symmetry-allowed magnetic structure models (M110 and M100) selected in the previous section were used in the refinement process to compare the experimental data with the calculations. We note that in both cases the 90° magnetic domains were taken into account in the refinement, while the trivial magnetic twins with all spins reversed were omitted, since they have no effect on the diffraction data. We note, however, that if the 90° magnetic domains are equally populated, it becomes impossible to distinguish between M110 and M100 with unpolarized neutron diffraction only.

In contrast to Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ (Refs. [14,23]) or Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ (Ref. [15]), Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ is characterized by the nonzero magnetic propagation vector $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0, 1/2)$. As a result,



FIG. 4. Schematic view of the possible magnetic domains in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ for both *M*110 (MSG C_cmc2_1) and *M*100 (MSG $P_c2_12_12_1$) models. A single layer along the [001] direction is shown for simplicity.



FIG. 5. Quality of the Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ magnetic structure refinement in both *M*110 (MSG C_cmc2_1) and *M*100 (MSG $P_c2_12_12_1$) models according to present single-crystal neutron diffraction data refinement at 2.5 K. Experimentally measured integrated intensities (F_{obs}^2) are plotted against the calculated ones (F_{calc}^2) . Reliability factors R_F are also given.

the magnetic Bragg reflections are fully separated from the nuclear ones. Therefore, in the refinement, all structural parameters for Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ were fixed according to the results of the crystal structure analysis presented in Sec. III B 1 and only the magnetic structure components were refined. A total of 181 pure magnetic Bragg reflections with $\sin \theta/\lambda \lesssim 0.46 \text{ Å}^{-1}$ were measured at 2.5 K and 91 unique reflections were obtained by averaging equivalents [43] ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0275$).

A direct comparison of the entire set of pure magnetic reflections for the final fit in the two models M110 and M100 is presented in Fig. 5. As can be seen from the figure, both models reproduce the magnetic reflection intensities equally well, and it is impossible to distinguish between the two cases. This indicates that the magnetic domains are equally populated or their imbalance is negligible and cannot be easily detected. The average ordered magnetic moment in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ at 2.5 K, as refined from neutron diffraction data, is found to be about $3.24(3) \mu_B/Mn$ for both M110 and M100 models (see Table III). In both cases, the spin canting is allowed by the symmetry via the minor AFM components perpendicular to the direction of the primary AFM ordering. This minor AFM moment is found to be around 0.4 μ_B , which is close to the limit of unpolarized neutron diffraction.

4. Temperature evolution of magnetic structure

In order to follow the temperature evolution of the magnetic structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇, several intense magnetic and

TABLE III. Magnetic moment components (μ_B) of the symmetry-independent Mn atoms in the *M*110 (MSG C_cmc2_1) and *M*100 (MSG $P_c2_12_12_1$) magnetic structures models, as refined from neutron diffraction data at 2.5 K. Manganese atomic coordinates are given in Table II.

Model	M_x	M_y	M_z	M
M110	2.57(4)	1.97(4)	0	3.24(3)
<i>M</i> 100	-0.43(5)	3.21(3)	0	3.24(3)



FIG. 6. Temperature dependencies of the normalized integrated intensity of the magnetic Bragg reflections $(10\frac{1}{2})$ and $(01\frac{1}{2})$. The symbols correspond to the single-crystal neutron diffraction data with error bars too small to be visible. The solid line shows a fit to Eq. (1).

structural Bragg reflections were collected in the temperature range from 2.5 K to 6 K. Figure 6 shows the temperature dependencies of the normalized integrated intensities of the magnetic Bragg reflections $(10\frac{1}{2})$ and $(01\frac{1}{2})$, as an example. These intensities decrease continuously with increasing temperature and become constant and close to zero above $T_{\rm N}$.

The integrated intensities I of magnetic Bragg reflections measured with unpolarized neutrons follow the square of the magnetic order parameter. The data were fitted in the temperature range from $0.8T_N$ to T_N assuming a power law dependence to the equation [44,45]

$$I = I_{\rm n} + I_0 \left(\frac{T_{\rm N} - T}{T_{\rm N}}\right)^{2\beta},\tag{1}$$

where I_n is the nuclear (structural) contribution to the intensity, I_0 is the magnetic intensity at T = 0, and β is the critical exponent.

The fit yields $\beta = 0.27 \pm 0.05$ as the critical exponent which is close to the values found for Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ (Ref. [23]), Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ (Ref. [15]), and other layered 2D antiferromagnets [46–48]. This is in agreement with the layered crystal structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ where MnO₄ and Ge₂O₇ groups in the planes are separated by interlayer Ba cations and exchange interactions between manganese spins on neighboring layers are expected to be much weaker than intraplane exchange couplings.

A very weak nuclear contribution above T_N is associated with the multiple scattering, also known as the Renninger effect (see, e.g., Refs. [49,50] and references therein), which was found in Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ at 10 K (Ref. [27]) and Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ at room temperature (Ref. [22]).

5. Magnetization measurements

The absence of a global ferromagnetic component derived from the magnetic symmetry analysis (see Sec. III B 2) agrees well with the absence of in-plane spontaneous magnetization observed in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ below $T_N \approx 4$ K. Figure 7 presents



FIG. 7. Field dependence of the magnetization M in μ_B per transition metal ion (TM) for Ca₂CoSi₂O₇ (blue) and Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ (red). Field is applied along the [110] direction at 2 K. In contrast to Ca₂CoSi₂O₇, there is no hysteresis for Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ indicating no spontaneous magnetization in the *ab* plane in agreement with the magnetic symmetry analysis.

the results of the bulk magnetization (*M*) measurements at 2 K, just below the Néel temperature, with field applied along the [110] direction. For comparison, the magnetization curve of another member of the melilite family, $Ca_2CoSi_2O_7$, shows a clear spontaneous magnetization, both observed experimentally and allowed by symmetry (Ref. [15]). Unfortunately, the absence of in-plane spontaneous magnetization makes it impossible to independently estimate the mean value of zero field canting within the *ab* plane, as was successfully done earlier for Ba₂CoGe₂O₇ (Ref. [15]).

In addition, field- and temperature-dependent magnetization measurements were used to outline the magnetic phase diagram by monitoring the change in the transition temperature T_N for increasing external fields *B*. The results are shown in Fig. 8 and clearly indicate a decrease in T_N for higher fields, independently of the applied field direction. This isotropic



FIG. 8. Field- and temperature-dependent magnetic phase diagram in Ba₂MnGe₂O₇. Symbols correspond to the magnetization measurements with field applied in the respective crystallographic direction. The solid line shows a fit of the $B \parallel [001]$ data (red circular symbols) to Eq. (2).

behavior complies well with the polarized neutron diffraction results in Sec. III A 2. The reduction of T_N for stronger external fields is typical for antiferromagnets and connected to the field-induced suppression of the order parameter. Applying the Ising model of antiferromagnets, the general variation of T_N with applied magnetic fields *B* was derived by Bienenstock [51] using a high-temperature expansion of the free energy and reduced to an expression of the form

$$\frac{T_N}{T_{N,0}} = \left[1 - \left(\frac{B}{B_0}\right)^2\right]^\zeta,\tag{2}$$

with zero-field transition temperature $T_{N,0}$, zero-temperature critical field B_0 , and exponent ζ . Note that the critical field $B_0 = -zJ/m$ is given by the coordination number z, the spin coupling energy J, and the magnetic moment m. Using this approximation, the field dependency can be well fitted by $T_{N,0} = 4.18(4)$ K, $B_0 = 9.5(3)$ T, and $\zeta = 0.58(8)$, as shown by the solid black line in Fig. 8. Its continuation, given by the dashed line, serves as good approximation for the boundary between the AFM and PM phase. As the exponent ζ reflects mainly the dimension of the system and is around 0.87 for a 2D square lattice and around 0.35 for a simple or body centered cubic 3D structure [51], the fitted result of 0.58(8) indicates the quasi-2D AFM character of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇, resulting from its layered structure discussed in Sec. III B 4.

IV. CONCLUSION

In the paramagnetic phase of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$, the fieldinduced magnetization was precisely refined from polarized neutron diffraction measurements. The results indicate no clear anisotropy in the local susceptibility tensor, which is in agreement with the expectation from electron spin resonance [17] and our magnetization measurements.

For the low-temperature magnetoelectric state, highquality structural parameters of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ are reported. No evidence for a structural phase transition upon the magnetic phase transition at about 4 K was observed by neutron diffraction and the crystal structure at 2.5 K is found to correspond well to that at 10 K.

In addition, the magnetic structure of Ba₂MnGe₂O₇ at 2.5 K was accurately refined based on the single-crystal neutron diffraction data and magnetic symmetry analysis. The results indicate the orthorhombic symmetry of the magnetic structure with either C_cmc2_1 or $P_c2_12_1_2$ magnetic space group, which is impossible to distinguish with unpolarized neutron diffraction because of the equally populated 90° -type magnetic domains. In both models, the spin pattern shows a square-lattice in-plane AFM order along the [110] (MSG C_cmc2_1) or [100] (MSG $P_c2_12_12_1$) crystallographic directions. At zero magnetic field the magnitude of the averaged ordered magnetic moment of Mn^{2+} ions is found to be about 3.24 µ_B. Small canting (minor antiferromagnetic component) in the ab plane perpendicular to the primary AFM moment is allowed by symmetry and found to be relatively small.

The detailed structural parameters (both for the nuclear and magnetic order) and the magnetic phase diagram of $Ba_2MnGe_2O_7$ reported here can serve as a profound exper-

imental basis to develop microscopic models describing the multiferroic nature and the peculiar magnetoelectric phenomena in melilites. Uniaxial or spherical neutron polarization analysis on the sample with an imbalance of the 90° magnetic domains would help to distinguish between two magnetic models presented here and thus provide further insights into the nature of the magnetic phases of Co- and Mn-based melilites.

- [1] H. T. Yi, Y. J. Choi, S. Lee, and S.-W. Cheong, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92, 212904 (2008).
- [2] M. Akaki, J. Tozawa, D. Akahoshi, and H. Kuwahara, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 150, 042001 (2009).
- [3] M. Akaki, H. Iwamoto, T. Kihara, M. Tokunaga, and H. Kuwahara, Phys. Rev. B 86, 060413(R) (2012).
- [4] H. Murakawa, Y. Onose, S. Miyahara, N. Furukawa, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 85, 174106 (2012).
- [5] I. Kézsmárki, D. Szaller, S. Bordács, V. Kocsis, Y. Tokunaga, Y. Taguchi, H. Murakawa, Y. Tokura, H. Engelkamp, T. Rõõm, and U. Nagel, Nat. Commun. 5, 3203 (2014).
- [6] S. Bordacs, I. Kezsmarki, D. Szaller, L. Demko, N. Kida, H. Murakawa, Y. Onose, R. Shimano, T. Rõõm, U. Nagel, S. Miyahara, N. Furukawa, and Y. Tokura, Nat. Phys. 8, 734 (2012).
- [7] I. Kézsmárki, N. Kida, H. Murakawa, S. Bordács, Y. Onose, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 057403 (2011).
- [8] I. Dzyaloshinsky, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 4, 241 (1958).
- [9] T. Moriya, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4, 228 (1960).
- [10] H. Thoma, V. Hutanu, R. Dutta, A. Gukasov, V. Kocsis, Y. Tokunaga, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, I. Kezsmarki, G. Roth, and M. Angst, IEEE Trans. Magn. 58, 6400305 (2022).
- [11] R. Dutta, H. Thoma, I. Radelytskyi, A. Schneidewind, V. Kocsis, Y. Tokunaga, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, and V. Hutanu, Phys. Rev. B 104, L020403 (2021).
- [12] R. Dutta, H. Thoma, A. Sazonov, B. Náfrádi, M. Meven, A. Gukasov, V. Kocsis, U. Zeitler, A. Puri, Y. Tokunaga, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, S. Bordács, I. Kézsmárki, and V. Hutanu, Phys. Rev. B **107**, 014420 (2023).
- [13] A. Zheludev, T. Sato, T. Masuda, K. Uchinokura, G. Shirane, and B. Roessli, Phys. Rev. B 68, 024428 (2003).
- [14] V. Hutanu, A. Sazonov, M. Meven, H. Murakawa, Y. Tokura, S. Bordács, I. Kézsmárki, and B. Náfrádi, Phys. Rev. B 86, 104401 (2012).
- [15] A. Sazonov, V. Hutanu, M. Meven, G. Roth, H. Murakawa, Y. Tokura, V. K. Guduru, L. C. J. M. Peters, U. Zeitler, L. F. Kiss, D. Szaller, B. Náfrádi, and I. Kézsmárki, Phys. Rev. B 95, 174431 (2017).
- [16] M. Soda, S. Hayashida, T. Yoshida, M. Akaki, M. Hagiwara, M. Avdeev, O. Zaharko, and T. Masuda, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 86, 064703 (2017).
- [17] T. Masuda, S. Kitaoka, S. Takamizawa, N. Metoki, K. Kaneko, K. C. Rule, K. Kiefer, H. Manaka, and H. Nojiri, Phys. Rev. B 81, 100402(R) (2010).
- [18] A. Zheludev, S. Maslov, G. Shirane, Y. Sasago, N. Koide, and K. Uchinokura, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4857 (1997).
- [19] A. N. Bogdanov, U. K. Rößler, M. Wolf, and K.-H. Müller, Phys. Rev. B 66, 214410 (2002).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was partly supported by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under Contract No. 05K13PA3. Part of the work is based upon experiments performed at the HEiDi instrument which is operated by RWTH Aachen University and Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH (Jülich Aachen Research Alliance JARA).

- [20] M. Akaki, J. Tozawa, D. Akahoshi, and H. Kuwahara, Appl. Phys. Lett. 94, 212904 (2009).
- [21] D. Szaller, S. Bordács, V. Kocsis, T. Rõõm, U. Nagel, and I. Kézsmárki, Phys. Rev. B 89, 184419 (2014).
- [22] A. Sazonov, M. Meven, G. Roth, R. Georgii, I. Kézsmárki, V. Kocsis, Y. Tokunaga, Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, and V. Hutanu, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 49, 556 (2016).
- [23] V. Hutanu, A. P. Sazonov, M. Meven, G. Roth, A. Gukasov, H. Murakawa, Y. Tokura, D. Szaller, S. Bordács, I. Kézsmárki, V. K. Guduru, L. C. J. M. Peters, U. Zeitler, J. Romhányi, and B. Náfrádi, Phys. Rev. B 89, 064403 (2014).
- [24] K. Kusaka, K. Hagiya, M. Ohmasa, Y. Okano, M. Mukai, K. Iishi, and N. Haga, Phys. Chem. Miner. 28, 150 (2001).
- [25] K. Hagiya, K. Kusaka, M. Ohmasa, and K. Iishi, Acta Crystallogr. B 57, 271 (2001).
- [26] H. Böhm, M. Riester, and V. Petricek, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 215, 102 (2000).
- [27] A. Sazonov, V. Hutanu, M. Meven, G. Roth, I. Kézsmárki, H. Murakawa, Y. Tokura, and B. Náfrádi, Acta Crystallogr. B 72, 126 (2016).
- [28] J. M. Perez-Mato and J. L. Ribeiro, Acta Crystallogr. A 67, 264 (2011).
- [29] S. Hasegawa, S. Hayashida, S. Asai, M. Matsuura, Z. Igor, and T. Masuda, Phys. Rev. Res. 3, L032023 (2021).
- [30] A. Sazonov, V. Hutanu, M. Meven, G. Roth, R. Georgii, T. Masuda, and B. Náfrádi, Inorg. Chem. 57, 5089 (2018).
- [31] R. Dutta, H. Thoma, D. Chernyshov, B. Nafradi, T. Masuda, A. Kriele, and V. Hutanu, IEEE Trans. Magn. 58, 2500206 (2022).
- [32] V. Granata, A. Ubaldini, R. Fittipaldi, L. Rocco, S. Pace, and A. Vecchione, J. Cryst. Growth 457, 128 (2017).
- [33] M. Meven and A. Sazonov, J. Large-Scale Res. Facilities 1, A7 (2015).
- [34] A. P. Sazonov, Davinci: Software for visualization and processing of single-crystal diffraction data measured with a point detector, http://davinci.sazonov.org.
- [35] M. S. Lehmann and F. K. Larsen, Acta Crystallogr. A 30, 580 (1974).
- [36] V. Petříček, M. Dušek, and L. Palatinus, Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 229, 345 (2014).
- [37] A. Gukasov, S. Rodrigues, J.-L. Meuriot, T. Robillard, A. Sazonov, B. Gillon, A. Laverdunt, F. Prunes, and F. Coneggo, Phys. Procedia 42, 150 (2013).
- [38] N. Qureshi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 52, 175 (2019).
- [39] The refinement quality of *m* parameters from the polarized neutron diffraction data of *n* reflections is given by the reduced chi-squared value of $\chi_r^2 = 1/(n-m)\sum (A_{obs} A_{calc})^2/dA_{obs}^2$. The asymmetry *A* is related to the classical FR value *R* by A = (R-1)/(R+1).

- [40] The accuracy of the averaged intensities is estimated from the spread of the individual measurements of equivalent reflections by $R_{\text{int}} = \sum |F_{\text{obs}}^2 \langle F_{\text{obs}}^2 \rangle| / \sum F_{\text{obs}}^2$.
- [41] Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (ICSD), CSD No. 432983, http://www.fiz-karlsruhe.de.
- [42] J. M. Perez-Mato, S. V. Gallego, E. S. Tasci, L. Elcoro, G. de la Flor, and M. I. Aroyo, Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 45, 217 (2015).
- [43] The accuracy of the averaged intensities is estimated from the spread of the individual measurements of equivalent reflections by $R_{\rm int} = \sum |F_{\rm obs}^2 \langle F_{\rm obs}^2 \rangle| / \sum F_{\rm obs}^2$.
- [44] T. Chatterji, *Neutron Scattering from Magnetic Materials* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006), p. 572.

- [45] E. E. Rodriguez, C. Stock, K. L. Krycka, C. F. Majkrzak, P. Zajdel, K. Kirshenbaum, N. P. Butch, S. R. Saha, J. Paglione, and M. A. Green, Phys. Rev. B 83, 134438 (2011).
- [46] M. Greven, R. J. Birgeneau, Y. Endoh, M. A. Kastner, B. Keimer, M. Matsuda, G. Shirane, and T. R. Thurston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1096 (1994).
- [47] S. T. Bramwell and P. C. W. Holdsworth, Phys. Rev. B 49, 8811 (1994).
- [48] M. Greven, R. J. Birgeneau, Y. Endoh, M. A. Kastner, M. Matsuda, and G. Shirane, Z. Phys. B 96, 465 (1995).
- [49] M. Renninger, Z. Phys. 106, 141 (1937).
- [50] E. Rossmanith, Acta Crystallogr. A 62, 174 (2006).
- [51] A. Bienenstock, J. Appl. Phys. 37, 1459 (1966).