Effect of magnetic field on the electronic properties of an α -T₃ ring

Mijanur Islam¹,¹ Tutul Biswas¹,^{2,*} and Saurabh Basu¹

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology-Guwahati, Guwahati 781039, India ²Department of Physics, University of North Bengal, Raja Rammohanpur 734013, India

(Received 1 May 2023; accepted 7 August 2023; published 17 August 2023)

We consider a quantum ring of a certain radius R built from a sheet of the α -T₃ lattice and solve for its spectral properties in the presence of an external magnetic field. The energy spectrum consists of a conduction band, a valence band, and a zero-energy flat band, all having a number of discrete levels which can be characterized by the angular momentum quantum number m. The energy levels in the flat band are infinitely degenerate irrespective of the value of α . We reveal a twofold degeneracy of the levels in the conduction band as well as in the valence band for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. However, the m = 0 level for $\alpha = 1$ is an exception. Corresponding to an intermediate value of α , namely, $0 < \alpha < 1$, the energy levels become nondegenerate. The scenario for the degeneracy of the energy levels remains unaltered when the ring is threaded by a magnetic flux which is an integer multiple of the flux quantum. We comment on the energy levels which are relevant for low-energy physics by studying their radius dependence in the presence of a magnetic field. We also calculate the persistent current, which exhibits quantum oscillations as a function of the magnetic field with a period of one flux quantum at a particular Dirac point, which is often referred to as a valley. The total persistent current comprising the contributions from both the valleys is zero in the cases corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$. However, the total current oscillates with a periodicity of one flux quantum for any intermediate value of α . We also explore the effect of a mass term (that breaks the sublattice symmetry) in the Hamiltonian. In the absence of a magnetic field, the energy levels in the flat band become dispersive, except for the m = 0 level in the case of $\alpha = 1$. In the presence of the field, each of the flat band levels becomes dispersive for any $\alpha \neq 0$. Finally, we also see the effect of the mass term on the behavior of the persistent current, which shows a periodicity of one flux quantum, but the total current remains finite for all values of α .

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.108.085423

I. INTRODUCTION

Electronic properties at low dimensions with various geometries have continued to fascinate the scientific community over the years. Among such structures quantum rings (QRs) are widely celebrated due to their peculiar electronic properties. The fabrication of nanoscale quantum rings [1,2] in semiconductor heterostructures has aided in the understanding of the theoretical results on the subject [3,4]. A QR can host persistent current [5] when it is threaded by a magnetic flux. This persistent current is closely related to the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect [6]. A reasonable number of studies [7–15] has been devoted to confirming the existence of the persistent current in ringlike quantum structures. The consideration of spin-orbit interaction of mainly the Rashba type [16] has given rise to various spectacular spin-related phenomena [17–22] in semiconductor QRs.

With the advent of graphene [23–26], there has been immense interest in the different nanostructures, including QRs based on it. QRs fabricated by lithographic techniques [27–29] provide suitable platforms to study the AB effect experimentally. There are a number of numerical [30–40] and analytical [41–45] studies on graphene QRs dealing with both charge and spin persistent currents, valley splitting, etc. It has been demonstrated that graphene QRs have potential applications in future optoelectronic [46] and interferometric [47] devices.

On the other hand, an interesting variant of the honeycomb structure of graphene with T_3 symmetry, usually known as the dice lattice, exists [48,49]. Here, the honeycomb lattice is augmented by an extra site located at the center of each hexagon. Three inequivalent sites in a unit cell effectively introduce an enlarged pseudospin S = 1. It has been argued that a particular arrangement of three counterpropagating pairs of laser beams can produce an optical dice lattice [50] in the cold atomic environment. It has further been proposed that a dice lattice can be fabricated in a heterostructure of cubic lattices, namely, SrTiO₃/SrIrO₃/SrTiO₃ [51]. A more generalized lattice, called the α - T_3 lattice [52], demonstrates a smooth changeover with the variation of the parameter α from graphene ($\alpha = 0$) to the dice lattice ($\alpha = 1$). The electronic dispersion of the α -T₃ lattice with $\alpha = 1/\sqrt{3}$ can be realized in a $Hg_{1-x}Cd_xTe$ quantum well corresponding to a certain critical doping [53]. Within the nearest-neighbor tight-binding framework, the low-energy spectrum of the α -T₃ lattice near a particular valley, governed by the Dirac-Weyl Hamiltonian with an enlarged pseudospin (S > 1/2), consists of three bands, with two dispersive bands which are linear in momentum and a zero-energy flat band. It is well known that the Berry phase in the α - T_3 lattice is a function of α . This variable Berry phase further causes the magnetization

^{*}Corresponding author: tbiswas@nbu.ac.in



FIG. 1. (a) A schematic diagram of the α - T_3 ring of radius R subjected to a transverse magnetic field $B = B_0 \hat{z}$. (b) The structure of the α - T_3 lattice is depicted in the zoomed portion. A, B, and C lattice sites are shown by black, blue, and red dots, respectively. (c) The zero-field bulk band structure consists of dispersive conduction and valence bands and a nondispersive zero-energy band. The conduction band touches the valence band at the Dirac points, known as valleys, in the first Brillouin zone. Around those points, the spectrum becomes linearly dispersive. Here, E, k_x , and k_y are in arbitrary units.

to exhibit a smooth crossover from a diamagnetic ($\alpha = 0$) to a paramagnetic ($\alpha = 1$) behavior across the critical value of α , namely, $\alpha_c = 0.495$ [54]. A plethora of studies were performed in recent years to probe various equilibrium [55–74] and nonequilibrium [75–81] properties of the α - T_3 lattice.

To the best of our knowledge, no attention has been paid to a QR made in the α - T_3 lattice, which we call an α - T_3 ring. Therefore, it would be interesting to study the electronic properties of the α - T_3 ring. Particularly, we intend to see how the energy spectrum evolves as we migrate from graphene ($\alpha = 0$) to the dice lattice ($\alpha = 1$). The inclusion of an external magnetic field would facilitate studies on the evolution of the spectral properties, the persistent current, and the interplay of the AB effect therein. With such a motivation, we consider an α - T_3 ring in the presence of a magnetic field and study various properties as a function of α , which is a parameter in this work. Finally, we also study the effect of a mass term (which is different for different sublattices) on the spectral properties and the corresponding persistent current.

The rest of this paper is organized in the following way. In Sec. II we discuss various properties of the α - T_3 ring, including the energy spectrum and the persistent current. In Sec. III, we discuss the effect of a mass term on the spectrum as well as on the persistent current of the ring. We summarize our results in Sec. IV.

II. THE α -T₃ RING

We consider a ring of radius *R* in the *x*-*y* plane made from the α -*T*₃ lattice, as depicted in Fig. 1(a). The geometric structure of the α -*T*₃ lattice is shown in Fig. 1(b). A unit cell contains three inequivalent lattice sites, namely, *A*, *B*, and *C*. Sites *A* and *B* form the honeycomb structure (graphene) with the nearest-neighbor hopping parameter *t*. The center site *C* is connected to only three surrounding *A* sites with hopping αt , where $0 \le \alpha \le 1$.

Additionally, the ring is also subjected to a perpendicular magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B_0 \hat{z}$, where B_0 is a constant. Before discussing the details of the α - T_3 ring, we briefly talk about the bulk band structure of the α - T_3 lattice.

In the vicinity of a particular valley (*K* or *K'*) characterized by the index $\zeta = \pm 1$, the low-energy excitations in the α -*T*₃ lattice can be described by the following Dirac-Weyl Hamiltonian:

$$H^{\zeta} = v_F(\zeta \pi_x S_x + \pi_y S_y), \tag{1}$$

where v_F is the Fermi velocity and π_x and π_y are the components of the canonical momentum operator defined via $\pi = p + eA$, where *p* denotes the in-plane mechanical momentum operator and *A* is the vector potential. Here, the *x* and *y* components of the pseudospin operator *S* associated with the α - T_3 lattice are given by

$$S_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos\phi & 0\\ \cos\phi & 0 & \sin\phi\\ 0 & \sin\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$S_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\cos\phi & 0\\ i\cos\phi & 0 & -i\sin\phi\\ 0 & i\sin\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively, with $\tan \phi = \alpha$. The *z* component of *S* can be directly obtained from the commutation relation $[S_x, S_y] = iS_z$. The low-energy zero-field bulk band structure of the α -*T*₃ lattice consists of two linearly dispersive bands: $E_k^{\pm} = \pm \hbar v_F k$ and a zero-energy flat band, E = 0, as shown in Fig. 1(c).

In general, the vector potential A corresponding to a uniform magnetic field B is given by $A = \frac{1}{2}(B \times r)$, where r is the position vector. Since $B = B_0 \hat{z}$, we choose A in the symmetric gauge to be $A = B_0/2(-y\hat{x} + x\hat{y})$. For our problem it the more convenient to write A in polar coordinates (r, θ) as $A = \frac{1}{2}rB_0\hat{\theta}$.

Therefore, the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1) can be expressed in polar coordinates as

$$H^{\zeta} = \hbar v_F \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cos \phi \, e^{-i\zeta \theta} \left(-i\zeta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{ieB_0 r}{2\hbar} \right) & 0 \\ \cos \phi \, e^{i\zeta \theta} \left(-i\zeta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{ieB_0 r}{2\hbar} \right) & 0 & \sin \phi \, e^{-i\zeta \theta} \left(-i\zeta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} - \frac{1}{r} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \frac{ieB_0 r}{2\hbar} \right) \\ 0 & \sin \phi \, e^{i\zeta \theta} \left(-i\zeta \, \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} \, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{ieB_0 r}{2\hbar} \right) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(2)$$

The eigenstates of H^{ζ} can be obtained as

$$\psi^{m\zeta}(r,\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(r)e^{i(m-\zeta)\theta} \\ \chi_2(r)e^{im\theta} \\ \chi_3(r)e^{i(m+\zeta)\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

where the integer *m* labels the orbital angular momentum quantum number and χ_i denotes the amplitudes corresponding to the three sublattices. Note that the Hamiltonian H^{ζ} commutes with the *z* component of the total angular momentum

operator defined as $J_z = L_z + S_z$, where $L_z = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$ is the orbital angular momentum operator and S_z is the pseudospin operator. Therefore, Eq. (3) is also the eigenstate of J_z .

Now, we consider a strictly one-dimensional (1D) ring of radius *R* such that the radial part is frozen in the eigensolution [16,18,21,37,41,42]. For the sake of the Hermiticity of the Hamiltonian in ring geometry one should make the replacements $r \rightarrow R$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2R}$. These replacements are also obvious because the radial momentum vanishes in the strict 1D limit (see the Appendix for details). Therefore, the Hamiltonian corresponding to an ideal α - T_3 ring is given by

$$H_{\rm ring}^{\zeta} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{R} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\left(m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\cos\phi & 0\\ i\left(m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\cos\phi & 0 & -i\left(m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\sin\phi\\ 0 & i\left(m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\sin\phi & 0 \end{pmatrix},\tag{4}$$

with $\beta = \Phi/\Phi_0$, where $\Phi = \pi R^2 B_0$ is a magnetic flux through the ring and Φ_0 is the usual flux quantum. We obtain the energy spectrum as

$$E_{\rm FL}^{m\zeta} = 0, \qquad E_{\pm}^{m\zeta} = \pm \frac{\hbar v_F}{R} \Delta_m^{\zeta}(\alpha, \beta). \tag{5}$$

Here, $\Delta_m^{\zeta}(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined as

$$\Delta_m^{\zeta}(\alpha,\beta) = \sqrt{(m+\beta)^2 + \frac{1}{4} - \zeta(m+\beta)\frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2}}.$$
 (6)

The energy spectrum in Eq. (5) for the α - T_3 ring consists of a zero-energy flat band E_{FL} alongside a number of discrete levels in the conduction band (E_+) and the valence band (E_-) .

The normalized wave functions corresponding to $E_{\pm}^{m\zeta}$ in a particular valley are obtained as

$$\Psi_{\pm}^{m\zeta}(R,\theta) = \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\sqrt{2}\Delta_m^{\zeta}} \begin{pmatrix} \mp \left(m + \beta - \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\cos\phi \ e^{-i\zeta\theta} \\ i\Delta_m^{\zeta} \\ \pm \left(m + \beta + \frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\sin\phi \ e^{i\zeta\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (7)

Additionally, we obtain the wave function associated with $E_{\rm FL}^{m\zeta}$ as

$$\Psi_{\rm Fl}^{m\zeta}(R,\theta) = \frac{e^{im\theta}}{\Delta_m^{\zeta}} \begin{pmatrix} (m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2})\sin\phi \ e^{-i\zeta\theta} \\ 0 \\ (m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2})\cos\phi \ e^{i\zeta\theta} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

It is interesting to note that the flat band contains infinitely degenerate levels [see Eq. (8)]; that is, any value of the quantum number *m* yields a zero-energy solution.

A. Discussion on the energy spectrum

The zero-field energy spectra in the *K* valley for different values of α are shown in Fig. 2. One can easily verify the results of the graphene QR by setting $\alpha = 0$ in Eqs. (5) and (6). In this case, Δ_m^{ζ} depends on the valley as $\Delta_m^{\zeta} = |m - \zeta/2|$. In the *K* valley, the energy levels with m = 0 and m = 1 are

degenerate, and so are the levels with m = 2 and m = -1, m = 3 and m = -2, etc. Similarly, the energy levels with m = 0 and m = -1, m = 1 and m = -2, m = 2 and m = -3, etc., are degenerate in the K' valley. However, Δ_m^{ζ} becomes valley independent, as $\Delta_m^{\zeta} = \sqrt{m^2 + 1/4}$, in the other limit corresponding to $\alpha = 1$. Therefore, the energy level with m = 0 is nondegenerate, and the levels corresponding to $m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$ are degenerate in both valleys. At an intermediate value of α ($0 < \alpha < 1$), all the energy levels are nondegenerate. Here, only the m = 0 level is valley independent, while all the other levels depend on the valley in a complicated manner according to Eq. (6).

Now let us discuss the case in which the α - T_3 ring is threaded by a perpendicular magnetic flux. In this case, we



FIG. 2. Zero magnetic field energy levels of the α - T_3 ring as a function of the quantum number *m* for (a) $\alpha = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 0.5$, and (c) $\alpha = 1$ in the *K* valley. Here, we consider R = 50 nm. The $\alpha = 0$ case in (a) represents the quantum ring made of graphene, that is, without an atom sitting in the center of the hexagon. The flat band is missing in this case.



FIG. 3. The energy levels of the α - T_3 ring in the *K* valley as a function of the quantum number *m* for (a) $\alpha = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 0.5$, and (c) $\alpha = 1$ when the magnetic field is such that $\beta = \pm 4$. Here, we consider R = 50 nm. The $\alpha = 0$ case in (a) represents the quantum ring made of graphene, that is, without an atom sitting in the center of the hexagon. Thus, the flat band is missing here.

find $\Delta_m^{\zeta} = |m + \beta - \zeta/2|$ and $\Delta_m^{\zeta} = \sqrt{(m + \beta)^2 + 1/4}$ for $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively. In the case of a graphene ring $(\alpha = 0)$, it is understood that the energy levels with $m = -\beta$ and $m = 1 - \beta$, $m = 2 - \beta$ and $m = -1 - \beta$, $m = 3 - \beta$ and $m = -2 - \beta$, etc., in the *K* valley and those with $m = -\beta$ and $m = -1 - \beta$, $m = 1 - \beta$ and $m = -2 - \beta$, $m = 2 - \beta$ and $m = -2 - \beta$, $m = 2 - \beta$ and $m = -2 - \beta$, $m = 2 - \beta$ and $m = -3 - \beta$, etc., in the *K* valley are degenerate when β is an integer. In the other limiting case, that is, $\alpha = 1$, Δ_m^{ζ} does not depend on the valley index ζ . Here, for integer values of β , the energy level with $m = -\beta$ is nondegenerate, and the energy levels with $m = \pm 1 - \beta, \pm 2 - \beta, \ldots$ are degenerate. For intermediate values of α , no such degeneracy exists. These facts are clearly shown in Fig. 3. We have also verified (not shown here) that when β deviates from an integer value, all the energy levels becomes nondegenerate for all values of α .

Band gap tuning with magnetic field for this type of system can attract a lot of attention in absorption and emission spectra studies, where the lowest energy gap results in a strong signal in experiments. Motivated by this, let us define the energy gap in a particular valley ζ as $\Delta E^{\zeta} = E_{+}^{m\zeta} - E_{-}^{m\zeta}$. Its minimum value in the *K* valley is plotted as a function of β in Fig. 4. ΔE oscillates periodically with β . The period of oscillation is $\beta = 1$. This is because the minimum magnetic field required to transfer an electron from one angular momentum state to the subsequent angular momentum state is such that $\beta = 1$. For $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, ΔE is symmetric about $\beta = 0$. However, ΔE is not symmetric about $\beta = 0$ for an intermediate value, such as $\alpha = 0.5$. Note that the energy gap at $\beta = 0$ (zero magnetic field) is independent of α .

In order to ascertain the size dependence of the spectral properties, let us briefly discuss how the energy levels of the ring depend on the radius *R*. It is clear from Eq. (6) that Δ_m^{ζ} is independent of *R* in the absence of the magnetic field (since *R* enters through the flux, Φ threads the ring), which leads



FIG. 4. The minimum value of the energy gap $\Delta E = E_+^m - E_-^m$ in the *K* valley as a function of β for (a) $\alpha = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 0.5$, and (c) $\alpha = 1$. Here, we consider R = 50 nm.

to the 1/R dependence of the energy levels irrespective of the value of α . The above scenario is altered significantly in the presence of a magnetic field. Figure 5 shows the *R* dependence of a few energy levels for both valleys considering $B_0 = 5$ T and $\alpha = 0.5$. Comparing Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) we conclude that all the energy levels become valley dependent in the presence of the magnetic field. Each of the levels shows a nonmonotonic behavior as a function of the radius *R*. In the limit of small *R*, all the energy levels vary inversely with *R*. On the other hand, the energy scales as $E \sim |ev_F B_0 R|/2$ in the limit of large *R*. However, the criterion of *R* being "large" depends on *m*, which can be understood in the following way.

The energy level attains an extremum (the minimum for the conduction band and the maximum for the valence band) at a particular value of R, namely, $R = R_0$, which can be obtained



FIG. 5. Dependence of energy levels on the radius *R* of the α -*T*₃ ring depicted for both valleys. We consider $B_0 = 5$ T and $\alpha = 0.5$. Note that the valley degeneracy is lifted.



FIG. 6. (a) Dependence of energy levels on the radius R of the α - T_3 ring in the K valley considering large m values. We consider $B_0 = 5$ T and $\alpha = 0.5$. (b) Minimum value of the energy as a function of m considering m > 0. Here, we find $E_{\min} \sim \sqrt{m}$. (c) Minimum value of the energy as a function of m when m < 0. In this case, we obtain $E_{\min} \sim 1/\sqrt{|m|}$.

by setting

$$\left. \frac{dE_{\pm}^{m\zeta}}{dR} \right|_{R=R_0} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Using Eqs. (5) and (9), we find R_0 as

$$R_0 = \sqrt{2}l_0 \left(m^2 - m\zeta \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} + \frac{1}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad (10)$$

where $l_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/(eB_0)}$ is the magnetic length. For a fixed B_0 , R_0 mainly depends on m, ζ , and α . In the two limiting cases, namely, $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, we have $R_0 =$ $l_0\sqrt{2m-\zeta}$ and $R_0 = \sqrt{2}l_0(m^2+1/4)^{1/4}$, respectively. For a given magnetic field, R_0 scales with *m* as $R_0 \propto \sqrt{|m|}$ when *m* is large enough. This feature is shown in Fig. 6(a), where we present the radius dependence of a few positive energy levels with relatively large m, namely, m =-50, -40, -30, -20, -10, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 in the K valley for $\alpha = 0.5$ and $B_0 = 5$ T. It is clear that the condition for which one can consider R to be large depends on m explicitly. More specifically, for an arbitrarily large value of R, we can always find an *m* which corresponds to the energy minimum. The scaling of the minimum energy with m also depends on the sign of *m*. For instance, $E_{\min} \propto 1/\sqrt{|m|}$ when m is negative. On the other hand, for positive m, we have $E_{\rm min} \propto \sqrt{m}$. These scaling features of $E_{\rm min}$ are depicted in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c). Therefore, the low-energy states in the K valley are actually the states characterized by large negative *m* values. It is also worth mentioning here that by reversing the sign of either the valley index ζ or the magnetic field **B**, one can have $E_{\min} \propto 1/\sqrt{m}$ for m > 0 and $E_{\min} \propto \sqrt{|m|}$ for m < 0.

B. Persistent current

Next, we discuss the behavior of the persistent current in the α - T_3 ring. The persistent current is the equilibrium current flowing along the angular direction in a QR when it is threaded by a magnetic flux. Proper knowledge of it aids in quantifying the energy spectrum near the Fermi energy. This current can be calculated using the relation $j_{x(y)} = v_F[\Psi^{\dagger}S_{x(y)}\Psi]$. Using this definition, the radial and angular currents are further obtained as $j_r = v_F[\Psi^{\dagger}S_r\Psi]$ and $j_{\theta} = v_F[\Psi^{\dagger}S_{\theta}\Psi]$, respectively. Here, S_r and S_{θ} are given by $S_r = S_x \cos \theta + S_y \sin \theta$ and $S_{\theta} =$ $-S_x \sin \theta + S_y \cos \theta$. Although the radial current vanishes, we calculate the angular current in a particular valley as

$$j_{\zeta} = \frac{v_F}{2\Delta_m^{\zeta}} \left[2\zeta(m+\beta) - \frac{1-\alpha^2}{1+\alpha^2} \right].$$
(11)

The total angular current is composed of the contributions from the individual valleys as given by $j = j_{K} + j_{K'}$. The expression for the persistent current given in Eq. (11) can also be obtained using the definition $j = -\sum_{m,\zeta} \frac{\partial E}{\partial \Phi}$ within the framework of the linear response theory, where the sum runs over all the occupied states. In Fig. 7, we show the variation of the persistent current with β for different values of α . The persistent current is periodic in β with a period of $\beta = 1$. The oscillation pattern of the current corresponding to $\alpha = 0$ is completely different than that for $\alpha = 1$. Furthermore, the individual contributions arising from different valleys are exactly equal and opposite for both $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, which causes the total persistent current to vanish. The persistent current also vanishes in the individual valleys when $\beta = 0$ for $\alpha = 1$. The case for an intermediate α , that is, $0 < \alpha < 1$, is more interesting. Here, the currents from individual valleys do not compensate each other, which results in a nonvanishing total persistent current. It is also noteworthy that the current in a particular valley does not vanish even when β is equal to zero, which gives rise to a total nonvanishing current corresponding to zero magnetic flux.



FIG. 7. Persistent current as a function of $\beta = \Phi/\Phi_0$ for (a) $\alpha = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 0.5$, and (c) $\alpha = 1$. The first row is for the *K* valley, the second row is for the *K'* valley, and the third one is for the total of the two valleys.

III. MASS TERM

In this section, we are interested to see the effect of a mass term [82,83] on the low-energy spectrum of the α -T₃ ring,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} \delta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -\delta \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

Note that *M* can be thought to break the sublattice symmetry by including a different on-site potential in each of the *A*, *B*, and *C* sublattices. With this, the effective Hamiltonian for the α - T_3 ring in the presence of an external magnetic flux becomes

$$H_{\delta}^{\zeta} = \frac{\hbar v_F}{R} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_0 & -i\left(m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\cos\phi & 0\\ i\left(m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\cos\phi & 0 & -i\left(m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\sin\phi\\ 0 & i\left(m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right)\sin\phi & -\delta_0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(13)

where $\delta_0 = R\delta/(\hbar v_F)$. The energy eigenvalues are obtained as

$$E_{k}^{m\zeta} = 2\sqrt{\frac{P_{m}^{\zeta}}{3}} \cos\left[\frac{1}{3}\cos^{-1}\left(\frac{3Q_{m}^{\zeta}}{2P_{m}^{\zeta}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{P_{m}^{\zeta}}}\right) - \frac{2\pi k}{3}\right],$$
(14)

where k = 0, 1, and 2 are associated with the conduction band, the flat band, and the valence band, respectively. Here,

$$P_m^{\zeta} = \frac{\hbar^2 v_F^2}{R^2} \big(\delta_0^2 + \Delta_m^{\zeta^2}\big),$$

and

$$Q_m^{\zeta} = \delta \frac{\hbar^2 v_F^2}{R^2} \left[\frac{1 - \alpha^2}{1 + \alpha^2} \left\{ (m + \beta)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right\} - \zeta (m + \beta) \right].$$

The normalized wave functions can be written in the form

$$\Psi_{k}^{m\zeta}(R,\theta) = N_{k}^{\zeta} e^{im\theta} \begin{pmatrix} -i\frac{\hbar v_{F}}{R} \left(m+\beta-\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \left(E_{k}^{m\zeta}+\delta\right) \cos\phi e^{-i\zeta\theta} \\ E_{k}^{m\zeta^{2}}-\delta^{2} \\ i\frac{\hbar v_{F}}{R} \left(m+\beta+\frac{\zeta}{2}\right) \left(E_{k}^{m\zeta}-\delta\right) \sin\phi e^{i\zeta\theta} \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$N_{k}^{\zeta} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{\hbar^{2} v_{F}^{2}}{R^{2}} \left[\left(E_{k}^{m\zeta} + \delta \right)^{2} \left(m + \beta - \frac{\zeta}{2} \right)^{2} \cos^{2} \phi + \left(E_{k}^{m\zeta} - \delta \right)^{2} \left(m + \beta + \frac{\zeta}{2} \right)^{2} \sin^{2} \phi \right] + \left(E_{k}^{m\zeta^{2}} - \delta^{2} \right)^{2}}.$$
(15)

A. Discussion of the energy spectrum

It is easy to confirm the results of Zarenia et al. [41] for graphene ($\alpha = 0$) from Eq. (14). Figures 8 and 9 show the radius dependence of the zero-field energy levels in both valleys for $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively. We consider two different values of the mass term, namely, $\delta = 50 \text{ meV}$ and $\delta = 100 \text{ meV}$. The effect of the mass term on the energy spectrum is mainly twofold. First, it introduces gaps in the spectrum, i.e., a gap between the conduction band and the flat band and one between the flat band and the valence band. Second, it makes the flat band (k = 1) dispersive; that is, a nonzero group velocity is associated with each of the levels therein, which will contribute to the transport properties of the system. The energy levels with small *m* values corresponding to k = 0, k = 1, and k = 2 merge to δ , 0, and $-\delta$, respectively, in the limit of large R, as is evident from Figs. 8 and 9. This merging of levels is also true for large m in the case of $\alpha = 1$, which we discuss in the next paragraph. In the small-*R* limit, the energy levels belonging to k = 0 and k = 2

are inversely proportional to R irrespective of α . However, the levels associated with k = 1 deviate significantly from the 1/R dependence for both values of α . For a given k value, the energy levels in the *K* valley are related to those in the *K'* valley as $E_k^{m(+\zeta)} = E_k^{-m(-\zeta)}$. Thus, the scenario is identical in the other valley, except *m* reverses its sign. When $\alpha = 1$ (Fig. 9), we have $E_0^{m\zeta} = -E_2^{-m\zeta}$ in a particular valley ζ , which is in direct contrast to the earlier result corresponding to $\delta = 0$ (see Sec. II A). In the flat band (k = 1), we find that the level with m = 0 remains flat with zero energy and the other levels satisfy $E_1^{m\zeta} = -E_1^{-m\zeta}$. In the case of $\alpha = 0.5$ (Fig. 8), the above-mentioned features are absent. In addition, the m = 0 level in the flat band is no longer flat. It shifts down towards negative energy at small values of R. By inspecting both Figs. 8 and 9, we can also note that all the energy levels are nondegenerate for all values of α except for $\alpha = 0$ [41], which can be attributed to the presence of the mass term δ .

Here, we would like to point out some features of the zero-field energy spectrum in the limit of large m. In



FIG. 8. Zero-field energy levels as a function of radius R for $\alpha = 0.5$ in both valleys considering $\delta = 50$ meV and $\delta = 100$ meV.



FIG. 9. Zero-field energy levels as a function of radius R for $\alpha = 1$ in both valleys considering $\delta = 50$ meV and $\delta = 100$ meV.



FIG. 10. Finite-field energy levels in the *K*-valley as a function of *R* in the presence of the mass term $\delta = 50$ meV for (a) $\alpha = 0.5$ and (b) $\alpha = 1$. Here, we consider $B_0 = 5$ T.

the case of $\alpha = 1$, we have $\Delta_m^{\zeta} \sim m$, $P_m^{\zeta} \sim \hbar^2 v_F^2 m^2 / R^2$, and $Q_m^{\zeta} \sim -\zeta \hbar^2 v_F^2 \delta m / R^2$ when *m* is large. Let us now define

$$I_m^{\zeta} = \frac{3Q_m^{\zeta}}{2P_m^{\zeta}}\sqrt{\frac{3}{P_m^{\zeta}}}.$$

For large *m*, we obtain $I_m^{\zeta} \sim -3\sqrt{3}\zeta R\delta/(2\hbar v_F m^2)$. Considering typical values of the parameters, R = 200 nm, $\delta = 50$ meV, and m = 30, we can verify that $3\sqrt{3}\zeta R\delta/(2\hbar v_F m) < 1$. Therefore, we have $I_m^{\zeta} \to 0$ when *m* is sufficiently large. From Eq. (14), we find the energy levels when *R* is large enough,

$$E_k^{m\zeta} \sim \frac{2\delta}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi}{6} - \frac{2\pi k}{3}\right). \tag{16}$$

It is now obvious from Eq. (16) that $E_0^{m\zeta} \to \delta$, $E_1^{m\zeta} \to 0$, and $E_2^{m\zeta} \to -\delta$. In other words, the levels with large *m* belonging to k = 0, k = 1, and k = 2 also merge with δ , 0, and $-\delta$, respectively, at large *R* when $\alpha = 1$. However, the scenario is different for $\alpha = 0.5$. In this case, we obtain $I_m^{\zeta} \sim 9\sqrt{3R\delta}/(10\hbar v_F m)$ for large *m*. For the parameter values chosen earlier, we have $I_m^{\zeta} < 1$. It is now straightforward to obtain the energy spectrum in limit of large R as

$$E_k^{m\zeta} \sim \frac{2\delta}{\sqrt{3}} \cos\left(\theta_0 - \frac{2\pi k}{3}\right),$$
 (17)

where θ_0 depends on *R*, δ , and *m* explicitly.

In Fig. 10, we show the *R* dependence of the energy levels with small *m* values in the *K* valley in the presence of a magnetic field, where we have chosen, $B_0 = 5$ T. The qualitative features of the energy spectrum deviate significantly from the zero magnetic field case. Here, all the energy levels are nondegenerate for all values of α . Unlike the $B_0 = 0$ case, we can observe that $E_0^m \neq -E_2^{-m}$, $E_1^m \neq -E_1^{-m}$, and there is a distortion of the m = 0 flat-band energy level for $\alpha = 1$ [Fig. 10(b)]. In addition, the levels in the k = 1 band merge with zero energy at large values of α , namely, $\alpha = 0.5$ [Fig. 10(a)], where the levels in the dispersive flat band do not merge to zero energy at large *R*. The energy levels in the conduction band and in the valence band depend on *R* in a fashion similar to that in the case of $\delta = 0$ (see Fig. 5).

B. Persistent current

Here, we also study the effect of the mass term on the variation of the persistent current. The persistent current in a particular valley and in a particular band now acquires the following form:

$$j_{k}^{\zeta} = 2v_{F}\frac{\hbar v_{F}}{R}N_{k}^{\zeta^{2}}\left(E_{k}^{m\zeta^{2}} - \delta^{2}\right)\left[(m+\beta)E_{k}^{m\zeta} + \delta(m+\beta)\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}} - \frac{\zeta\delta}{2} - \frac{\zeta E_{k}^{m\zeta}}{2}\frac{1-\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}}\right].$$
(18)



FIG. 11. Persistent current as a function of $\beta = \Phi/\Phi_0$ for (a) $\alpha = 0$, (b) $\alpha = 0.5$, and (c) $\alpha = 1$. The first row is for the *K* valley, the second row is for the *K'* valley, and the third one is for the total of the two valleys. Here, we have considered the mass term $\delta = 50$ meV and the radius R = 10 nm.

We can verify the results of the persistent current computed in Ref. [41] for graphene from Eq. (18) by setting $\alpha = 0$ and an appropriate value of δ considered there. The persistent current in a particular valley is calculated from the contributions from the conduction band (k = 0) and distorted flat band (k = 1) as $j_{\zeta} = j_{\zeta}^{k=0} + j_{\zeta}^{k=1}$. The total angular current comprising contributions from both the valleys is given by $j = j_{\rm K} + j_{\rm K'}$. It is worth mentioning that the distortion of the energy levels in the flat band gives rise to finite persistent current, unlike in the case with no mass term ($\delta = 0$). In Fig. 11, we show the variation of the persistent current with β considering $\delta = 50$ meV and R = 10 nm. The introduction of the mass term completely changes the oscillation pattern of the persistent current from the case of $\delta = 0$ (see Fig. 7). The currents in different valleys are no longer equal and opposite, which results in a nonvanishing total persistent current for all values of α . Further, the current in a particular valley and the total current oscillate periodically in β with periodicity $\beta = 1$. Here, the total persistent current at $\beta = 0$ (no magnetic field) is zero for all values of $\alpha \neq 0$.

IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In summary, we investigated the electronic properties of the α - T_3 ring analytically within a simple toy model. Particularly, we were interested in the behavior of the energy spectrum, the persistent current, and the size dependences of the spectral features as one interpolates between graphene ($\alpha = 0$) and the dice lattice ($\alpha = 1$). Ignoring the radial dependence of the ring allowed us to overlook the boundary effects. We list a number of observations in the following. Confinement of the carriers in the ring leads to energy quantization characterized by the angular momentum quantum number *m*. As a result, we obtained discrete levels correspond-

ing to both the conduction and valence bands. However, the flat band consists of a huge number of zero-energy degenerate levels which are insensitive to an applied magnetic field too. In the zero-field case, all the energy levels in the conduction or valence band depend inversely on the radius R of the ring independent of values of α . Furthermore, there is a degeneracy in the energy levels corresponding to $\alpha = 0$. However, for an intermediate value of α , namely, $0 < \alpha < 1$, the energy levels become nondegenerate. Interestingly, this degeneracy is restored in the case of $\alpha = 1$ except for the m = 0 level. We also found that the valley degeneracy is broken for all values of α such that $\alpha \neq 1$. When the ring is subjected to a perpendicular magnetic field, the energy levels follow a substantial deviation from their typical 1/R dependence. In the large-*R* limit the energy level scales as $E \sim R$, while at small R, it still behaves as 1/R. The minimum energy gap between the conduction and valence bands oscillates periodically as a function of the magnetic flux Φ with a period equal to one flux quantum Φ_0 . We also calculated the persistent current, which exhibits Φ_0 periodic oscillations in individual valleys, reminiscent of the Aharonov-Bohm oscillations. The total persistent current comprising both valley vanishes for both $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ as a consequence of exact compensation of the contributions from two valleys. But when $0 < \alpha < 1$, the total current is nonzero, and it undergoes Φ_0 -periodic oscillations. Interestingly, we observed a nonzero persistent current at zero magnetic field. We also discussed the effect of an effective mass term on the energy spectra. In the absence of magnetic field, the mass term makes the flat band dispersive in the small-R limit, except for the m = 0 band corresponding to $\alpha = 1$. A magnetic field alters the situation significantly by making all the levels in the flat band dispersive for all values of $\alpha \neq 0$. With the mass term, the persistent current is again periodic with a period of one flux quantum, but the oscillation pattern is completely different from the previous case (zero mass). However, there is a finite contribution from the distorted flat band in the persistent current. Finally, the total current is nonzero for all values of α . As a possible extension of our work, one could consider an α -T₃ ring connected to external leads in order to investigate ballistic transport through the structure. In the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field, the conductance of the ring would exhibit quantum oscillations as the Fermi energy is varied. It would be interesting to see how these oscillations evolve over the entire range of α.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

T.B. sincerely thanks Prof. T. K. Ghosh for fruitful discussions. M.I. is also grateful to the Department of Physics, University of North Bengal, for providing local hospitality during his visit to pursue this work. The authors thank the anonymous referee for useful comments.

APPENDIX: JUSTIFICATION OF THE SUBSTITUTION $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2R}$

The problem of the non-Hermiticity of the quantum ring Hamiltonian appears when the two-dimensional Hamiltonian contains a term linearly proportional to the momentum [16,41,42]. To bypass such ambiguity, the substitution $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2R}$ is necessary, as mentioned earlier [16,41,42]. However, there is a nice argument in support of that substitution. It is based on the appropriate form of the radial momentum operator in two dimensions.

Note that the operator $\mathcal{P}_r = \frac{r \cdot p}{r}$ is not Hermitian, i.e., $\mathcal{P}_r^{\dagger} \neq \mathcal{P}_r$. Therefore, the radial momentum operator can be written in the symmetric form

$$p_r = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{P}_r + \mathcal{P}_r^{\dagger}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{r} \boldsymbol{r} \cdot \boldsymbol{p} + \boldsymbol{p} \cdot \boldsymbol{r} \frac{1}{r} \right).$$
(A1)

- A. Lorke, R. J. Luyken, A. O. Govorov, J. P. Kotthaus, J. M. Garcia, and P. M. Petroff, Spectroscopy of Nanoscopic Semiconductor Rings, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2223 (2000).
- [2] A. Fuhrer, S. Luscher, T. Ihn, T. Heinzel, K. Ensslin, W. Wegscheider, and M. Bichler, Energy spectra of quantum rings, Nature (London) 413, 822 (2001).
- [3] T. Chakraborty and P. Pietiläinen, Electron-electron interaction and the persistent current in a quantum ring, Phys. Rev. B 50, 8460 (1994).
- [4] V. Halonen, P. Pietiläinen, and T. Chakraborty, Opticalabsorption spectra of quantum dots and rings with a repulsive scattering centre, Europhys. Lett. 33, 377 (1996).
- [5] M. Büttiker, Y. Imry, and R. Landauer, Josephson behavior in small normal one-dimensional rings, Phys. Lett. A 96, 365 (1983).
- [6] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in the quantum theory, Phys. Rev. 115, 485 (1959).
- [7] H. F. Cheung, Y. Gefen, E. K. Riedel, and W. H. Shih, Persistent currents in small one-dimensional metal rings, Phys. Rev. B 37, 6050 (1988).
- [8] H. F. Cheung, Y. Gefen, and E. K. Riedel, Isolated rings of mesoscopic dimensions. Quantum coherence and persistent currents, IBM J. Res. Dev. 32, 359 (1988).
- [9] H. F. Cheung, E. K. Riedel, and Y. Gefen, Persistent Currents in Mesoscopic Rings and Cylinders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 587 (1989).
- [10] L. P. Lévy, G. Dolan, J. Dunsmuir, and H. Bouchiat, Magnetization of Mesoscopic Copper Rings: Evidence for Persistent Currents, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2074 (1990).
- [11] G. Montambaux, H. Bouchiat, D. Sigeti, and R. Friesner, Persistent currents in mesoscopic metallic rings: Ensemble average, Phys. Rev. B 42, 7647(R) (1990).
- [12] V. Chandrasekhar, R. A. Webb, M. J. Brady, M. B. Ketchen, W. J. Gallagher, and A. Kleinsasser, Magnetic Response of a Single, Isolated Gold Loop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3578 (1991).
- [13] Y. Avishai, Y. Hatsugai, and M. Kohmoto, Persistent currents and edge states in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 47, 9501 (1993).
- [14] G. Bouzerar, D. Poilblanc, and G. Montambaux, Persistent currents in one-dimensional disordered rings of interacting electrons, Phys. Rev. B 49, 8258 (1994).
- [15] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier, and A. Benoit, Experimental Observation of Persistent Currents in GaAs-AlGaAs Single Loop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2020 (1993).

Let us examine the action of p_r on a differentiable function of r, say, g(r). We obtain

$$p_r g(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{i\hbar}{2} \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial r} + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{rg}{r} \right) \right] = -i\hbar \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2r} \right] g(\mathbf{r}).$$

Therefore, the radial momentum operator in two dimensions is identified as

$$p_r = -i\hbar \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{2r}\right). \tag{A2}$$

For the case of a 1D quantum ring, the radial motion is essentially frozen, that is, $p_r = 0$. Therefore, the substitution $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2R}$ is justified.

- [16] F. E. Meijer, A. F. Morpurgo, and T. M. Klapwijk, Onedimensional ring in the presence of Rashba spin-orbit interaction: Derivation of the correct Hamiltonian, Phys. Rev. B 66, 033107 (2002).
- [17] J. Nitta, F. E. Meijer, and H. Takayanagi, Spin-interference device, Appl. Phys. Lett. 75, 695 (1999).
- [18] B. Molnar, F. M. Peeters, and P. Vasilopoulos, Spin-dependent magnetotransport through a ring due to spin-orbit interaction, Phys. Rev. B 69, 155335 (2004).
- [19] D. Frustaglia and K. Richter, Spin interference effects in ring conductors subject to Rashba coupling, Phys. Rev. B 69, 235310 (2004).
- [20] P. Földi, B. Molnar, M. G. Benedict, and F. M. Peeters, Spintronic single-qubit gate based on a quantum ring with spin-orbit interaction, Phys. Rev. B 71, 033309 (2005).
- [21] P. Földi, O. Kalman, M. G. Benedict, and F. M. Peeters, Quantum rings as electron spin beam splitters, Phys. Rev. B 73, 155325 (2006).
- [22] B. Berche, C. Chatelain, and E. Medina, Mesoscopic rings with spin-orbit interactions, Eur. J. Phys. 31, 1267 (2010).
- [23] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. A. Firsov, Electric field effect in atomically thin carbon films, Science 306, 666 (2004).
- [24] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene, Nature (London) 438, 197 (2005).
- [25] Y. Zhang, Y. W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene, Nature (London) 438, 201 (2005).
- [26] V. P. Gusynin and S. G. Sharapov, Unconventional Integer Quantum Hall Effect in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 146801 (2005).
- [27] S. Russo, J. B. Oostinga, D. Wehenkel, H. B. Heersche, S. S. Sobhani, L. M. K. Vandersypen, and A. F. Morpurgo, Observation of Aharonov-Bohm conductance oscillations in a graphene ring, Phys. Rev. B 77, 085413 (2008).
- [28] M. Huefner, F. Molitor, A. Jacobsen, A. Pioda, C. Stampfer, K. Ensslin, and T. Ihn, Investigation of the Aharonov-Bohm effect in a gated graphene ring, Phys. Status Solidi B 246, 2756 (2009).

- [29] M. Huefner, F. Molitor, A. Jacobsen, A. Pioda, C. Stampfer, K. Ensslin, and T. Ihn, The Aharonov-Bohm effect in a side-gated graphene ring, New J. Phys. 12, 043054 (2010).
- [30] P. Recher, B. Trauzettel, A. Rycerz, Ya. M. Blanter, C. W. J. Beenakker, and A. F. Morpurgo, Aharonov-Bohm effect and broken valley degeneracy in graphene rings, Phys. Rev. B 76, 235404 (2007).
- [31] D. S. L. Abergel, V. M. Apalkov, and T. Chakraborty, Interplay between valley polarization and electron-electron interaction in a graphene ring, Phys. Rev. B 78, 193405 (2008).
- [32] M. M. Ma, J. W. Ding, and N. Xu, Odd-even width effect on persistent current in zigzag hexagonal graphene rings, Nanoscale 1, 387 (2009).
- [33] T. Luo, A. P. Iyengar, H. A. Fertig, and L. Brey, Effective timereversal symmetry breaking and energy spectra of graphene armchair rings, Phys. Rev. B 80, 165310 (2009).
- [34] J. Wurm, M. Wimmer, H. U. Baranger, and K. Richter, Graphene rings in magnetic fields: Aharonov-Bohm effect and valley splitting, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 25, 034003 (2010).
- [35] C. H. Yan and L. F. Wei, Size effects in Aharonov-Bohm graphene rings, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 22, 295503 (2010).
- [36] B.-L. Huang, M.-C. Chang, and C.-Y. Mou, Persistent currents in a graphene ring with armchair edges, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 24, 245304 (2012).
- [37] D. R. da Costa, A. Chaves, M. Zarenia, J. M. Pereira, Jr., G. A. Farias, and F. M. Peeters, Geometry and edge effects on the energy levels of graphene quantum rings: A comparison between tight-binding and simplified Dirac models, Phys. Rev. B 89, 075418 (2014).
- [38] A. López, N. Bolívar, E. Medina, and B. Berche, Equilibrium currents in a Corbino graphene ring, Condens. Matter Phys. 17, 33803 (2014).
- [39] M. Omidi and E. Faizabadi, Energy spectrum and persistent current in an armchair hexagonal graphene ring in the presence of vacancies, Rashba and Zeeman interactions, Eur. Phys. J. B 88, 30 (2015).
- [40] F. R. V. Araújo, D. R. da Costa, A. J. C. Chaves, F. E. B. de Sousa, and J. M. Pereira, Jr., Modulation of persistent current in graphene quantum rings, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 34, 125503 (2022).
- [41] M. Zarenia, J. M. Pereira, A. Chaves, F. M. Peeters, and G. A. Farias, Simplified model for the energy levels of quantum rings in single layer and bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 81, 045431 (2010).
- [42] M. Zarenia, J. M. Pereira, A. Chaves, F. M. Peeters, and G. A. Farias, Erratum: Simplified model for the energy levels of quantum rings in single layer and bilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 82, 119906(E) (2010).
- [43] M. Zarenia, J. M. Pereira, Jr., F. M. Peeters, and G. A. Farias, Electrostatically confined quantum rings in bilayer graphene, Nano Lett. 9, 4088 (2009).
- [44] N. Bolívar, E. Medina, and B. Berche, Persistent charge and spin currents in the long-wavelength regime for graphene rings, Phys. Rev. B 89, 125413 (2014).
- [45] M. Mirzakhani, D. R. da Costa, and F. M. Peeters, Isolated and hybrid bilayer graphene quantum rings, Phys. Rev. B 105, 115430 (2022).
- [46] M. Samal, N. Barange, D.-H. Ko, and K. Yun, Graphene quantum rings doped PEDOT:PSS based composite layer for

efficient performance of optoelectronic devices, J. Phys. Chem. C **119**, 19619 (2015).

- [47] D. J. P. de Sousa, A. Chaves, J. M. Pereira, Jr., and G. A. Farias, Interferometry of Klein tunnelling electrons in graphene quantum rings, J. Appl. Phys. **121**, 024302 (2017).
- [48] B. Sutherland, Localization of electronic wave functions due to local topology, Phys. Rev. B 34, 5208 (1986).
- [49] J. Vidal, R. Mosseri, and B. Doucot, Aharonov-Bohm Cages in Two-Dimensional Structures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5888 (1998).
- [50] D. Bercioux, D. F. Urban, H. Grabert, and W. Hausler, Massless Dirac-Weyl fermions in a T_3 optical lattice, Phys. Rev. A **80**, 063603 (2009).
- [51] F. Wang and Y. Ran, Nearly flat band with Chern number C = 2 on the dice lattice, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 241103(R) (2011).
- [52] E. Illes, Properties of the α -T₃ model, Ph.D. thesis, University of Guelph, 2017.
- [53] J. D. Malcolm and E. J. Nicol, Magneto-optics of massless Kane fermions: Role of the flat band and unusual Berry phase, Phys. Rev. B 92, 035118 (2015).
- [54] A. Raoux, M. Morigi, J. N. Fuchs, F. Piechon, and G. Montambaux, From Dia- to Paramagnetic Orbital Susceptibility of Massless Fermions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 026402 (2014).
- [55] E. Illes, J. P. Carbotte, and E. J. Nicol, Hall quantization and optical conductivity evolution with variable Berry phase in the α - T_3 model, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 245410 (2015).
- [56] T. Biswas and T. K. Ghosh, Magnetotransport properties of the α - T_3 model, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **28**, 495302 (2016).
- [57] D. F. Urban, D. Bercioux, M. Wimmer, and W. Hausler, Barrier transmission of Dirac-like pseudospin-one particles, Phys. Rev. B 84, 115136 (2011).
- [58] E. Illes and E. J. Nicol, Klein tunneling in the α - T_3 model, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 235432 (2017).
- [59] S. K. F. Islam and P. Dutta, Valley-polarized magnetoconductivity and particle-hole symmetry breaking in a periodically modulated α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 045418 (2017).
- [60] T. Biswas and T. K. Ghosh, Dynamics of a quasiparticle in the α - T_3 model: Role of pseudospin polarization and transverse magnetic field on *zitterbewegung*, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **30**, 075301 (2018).
- [61] J. D. Malcolm and E. J. Nicol, Frequency-dependent polarizability, plasmons, and screening in the two-dimensional pseudospin-1 dice lattice, Phys. Rev. B 93, 165433 (2016).
- [62] A. Balassis, D. Dahal, G. Gumbs, A. Iurov, D. Huang, and O. Roslyak, Magnetoplasmons for the α - T_3 model with filled Landau levels, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **32**, 485301 (2020).
- [63] A. Iurov, G. Gumbs, and D. Huang, Many-body effects and optical properties of single and double layer α - T_3 lattices, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **32**, 415303 (2020).
- [64] A. Iurov, L. Zhemchuzhna, G. Gumbs, D. Huang, D. Dahal, and Y. Abranyos, Finite-temperature plasmons, damping, and collective behavior in the α - T_3 model, Phys. Rev. B **105**, 245414 (2022).
- [65] E. Illes and E. J. Nicol, Magnetic properties of the α - T_3 model: Magneto-optical conductivity and the Hofstadter butterfly, Phys. Rev. B **94**, 125435 (2016).
- [66] A. D. Kovacs, G. David, B. Dora, and J. Cserti, Frequencydependent magneto-optical conductivity in the generalized α - T_3 model, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 035414 (2017).

- [67] Y. R. Chen, Y. Xu, J. Wang, J. F. Liu, and Z. Ma, Enhanced magneto-optical response due to the flat band in nanoribbons made from the α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 045420 (2019).
- [68] L. Chen, J. Zuber, Z. Ma, and C. Zhang, Nonlinear optical response of the α - T_3 model due to the nontrivial topology of the band dispersion, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 035440 (2019).
- [69] D. O. Oriekhov and V. P. Gusynin, RKKY interaction in a doped pseudospin-1 fermion system at finite temperature, Phys. Rev. B 101, 235162 (2020).
- [70] O. Roslyak, G. Gumbs, A. Balassis, and H. Elsayed, Effect of magnetic field and chemical potential on the RKKY interaction in the α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 075418 (2021).
- [71] J. Wang, J. F. Liu, and C. S. Ting, Recovered minimal conductivity in the α - T_3 model, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 205420 (2020).
- [72] B. Dey, P. Kapri, O. Pal, and T. K. Ghosh, Unconventional phases in a Haldane model of dice lattice, Phys. Rev. B 101, 235406 (2020).
- [73] J. Wang and J. F. Liu, Quantum spin Hall phase transition in the α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **103**, 075419 (2021).
- [74] M. Islam and P. Kapri, Electrical and thermal transport through α - T_3 NIS junction, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **35**, 105301 (2023).
- [75] B. Dey and T. K. Ghosh, Photoinduced valley and electron-hole symmetry breaking in α - T_3 lattice: The role of a variable Berry phase, Phys. Rev. B **98**, 075422 (2018).

- [76] B. Dey and T. K. Ghosh, Floquet topological transition in the α - \mathcal{T}_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 205429 (2019).
- [77] A. Iurov, G. Gumbs, and D. Huang, Peculiar electronic states, symmetries, and Berry phases in irradiated α - T_3 materials, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 205135 (2019).
- [78] M. A. Mojarro, V. G. Ibarra-Sierra, J. C. Sandoval-Santana, R. Carrillo-Bastos, and G. G. Naumis, Electron transitions for Dirac Hamiltonians with flat bands under electromagnetic radiation: Application to the α - T_3 graphene model, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 165305 (2020).
- [79] L. Tamang, T. Nag, and T. Biswas, Floquet engineering of lowenergy dispersions and dynamical localization in a periodically kicked three-band system, Phys. Rev. B 104, 174308 (2021).
- [80] Z. P. Niu and S. J. Wang, Valley polarized transport and negative differential resistance in an irradiated α-T₃ lattice, J. Phys. D 55, 255303 (2022).
- [81] L. Tamang and T. Biswas, Probing topological signatures in an optically driven α - T_3 lattice, Phys. Rev. B **107**, 085408 (2023).
- [82] E. V. Gorbar, V. P. Gusynin, and D. O. Oriekhov, Electron states for gapped pseudospin-1 fermions in the field of a charged impurity, Phys. Rev. B 99, 155124 (2019).
- [83] X. Ye, S. S. Ke, X. W. Du, Y. Guo, and H. F. Lu, Quantum tunneling in the α - T_3 model with an effective mass term, J. Low Temp. Phys. **199**, 1332 (2020).