Field-induced effects in the spin liquid candidate PbCuTe₂O₆

P. Eibisch , ¹ C. Thurn, ¹ A. Ata, ¹ Y. Saito, ¹ S. Hartmann, ¹ U. Tutsch, ¹ B. Wolf, ¹ A. T. M. Nazmul Islam, ² S. Chillal, ²

A. R. N. Hanna^(D),^{2,3} B. Lake^(D),^{2,3} and M. Lang¹

¹*Physikalisches Institut, Goethe-Universität,* 60438 *Frankfurt (M), Germany*

²Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, 14109 Berlin, Germany

³Institut für Festkörperforschung, Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

(Received 27 February 2023; revised 9 May 2023; accepted 6 June 2023; published 16 June 2023)

 $PbCuTe_2O_6$ is considered to be one of the rare candidate materials for a three-dimensional quantum spin liquid (QSL). This assessment was based on the results of various magnetic experiments, performed mainly on polycrystalline material. More recent measurements on single crystals revealed an even more exotic behavior, yielding ferroelectric order below $T_{FE} \approx 1$ K, accompanied by distinct lattice distortions, and a somewhat modified magnetic response which is still consistent with a QSL. Here we report on low-temperature measurements of various thermodynamic, magnetic, and dielectric properties of single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ in magnetic fields $B \leq 14.5$ T. The combination of these various probes allows us to construct a detailed B-T phase diagram including a ferroelectric phase for $B \leq 8$ T and a B-induced magnetic phase at $B \geq 11$ T. These phases are preceded by or coincide with a structural transition from a cubic high-temperature phase into a distorted noncubic low-temperature state. The phase diagram discloses a ferroelectric quantum critical point at $B_{c1} = 7.9$ T, where the second-order phase transition line associated with ferroelectric order is suppressed to zero. In addition, a magnetic quantum phase transition is revealed at $B_{c2} = 11$ T. The corresponding phase transition to a fieldinduced magnetic order at $B > B_{c2}$ is likely to be of first order. Field-induced lattice distortions, observed in the state at T > 1 K and which are assigned to the effect of spin-orbit interaction of the Cu²⁺ ions, are considered as the key mechanism by which the magnetic field couples to the dielectric degrees of freedom in this material.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.235133

I. INTRODUCTION

The compound PbCuTe₂O₆ has attracted considerable interest as one of the rare candidates for a three-dimensional (3D) quantum spin liquid (QSL); see Refs. [1,2] for recent reviews on QSLs. In this material, which crystallizes in a noncentrosymmetric cubic structure, the Cu^{2+} (S = 1/2) spins are coupled by isotropic antiferromagnetic interactions, $J_1, J_2, J_3, J_4 > 0$ [3]. Density functional theory calculations, based on room-temperature structural data, reveal, for the first- and second-nearest-neighbor interaction, $J_1 = 1.13$ meV and $J_2 = 1.07$ meV, respectively. Whereas J_1 forms isolated equilateral triangles, J_2 forms a strongly frustrated 3D network of corner-sharing triangles known as a hyperkagome lattice. The combination of J_1 and J_2 leads to a special lattice where each Cu^{2+} is involved in three different, corner-sharing triangles [3]. The weaker third- and fourthnearest-neighbor interactions of, respectively, $J_3 = 0.59$ meV and $J_4 = 0.12$ meV couple the spins into chains. See Appendix C for details of the structure, including the magnetic units and their couplings.

Previous magnetic investigations, performed by using pressed-powder or polycrystalline samples, revealed no indications for long-range magnetic order down to temperatures as low as 0.02 K [4]. In addition, the magnetic spectrum obtained by inelastic neutron scattering experiments revealed diffuse continua, consistent with fractional spinon excitations [3] as expected for a QSL. More recently, thanks to the availability of high-quality single crystals of this material [5],

the discussion has taken a new twist. It was found that in these single crystals-as opposed to the polycrystalline or powder materials studied until then [3,4,6]—a phase transition into ferroelectric order shows up at $T_{\rm FE} \approx 1$ K [7], which is accompanied by pronounced lattice distortions. Moreover, a somewhat modified magnetic response was observed, which indicates the absence of magnetic order and is still consistent with a QSL, but with clear indications for quantum critical behavior [7]. It was argued in Ref. [7], and subsequently demonstrated in Ref. [5], that the occurrence of ferroelectric order critically depends on the size of the single-crystalline grains in the material, reminiscent of observations made in ferroelectric ceramics; see, e.g., Ref. [8]. For PbCuTe₂O₆, these studies revealed that the phase transition anomaly to ferroelectric order becomes drastically reduced for grain sizes of about 30 µm and completely vanishes for crystallites with diameter below 10 µm [5]. This finding provided a rationale for the absence of ferroelectric order in the pressed-powder samples of PbCuTe₂O₆ studied in Refs. [3,4,6]; see Ref. [7] for details.

The observation of ferroelectric order accompanied by pronounced lattice distortions and a modified magnetic response in single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ [7] highlights a distinct coupling between dielectric, lattice, and magnetic degrees of freedom in this material. For a deeper understanding of this coupling and its implications for the material's ground state, here we present a detailed investigation of the low-temperature thermodynamic, magnetic, and dielectric properties of single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ in magnetic fields $B \leq 14.5$ T. The focus of our experimental investigations lies on the field orientation parallel to [110]. Along this direction, the anomalies in the coefficient of thermal expansion and in the dielectric constant were found to be significantly stronger as compared to those along the [100] direction (see the supplemental information of Ref. [7]). In fact, the high sensitivity of the [110] axis to changes in the dielectric degrees of freedom can be rationalized by considering the crystal structure [9], providing channels along [110], in which the lone pairs of the Pb²⁺ ions may protrude. Our study reveals a rich phase diagram, including a ferroelectric phase at zero to moderately strong magnetic fields and a high-field-induced magnetically ordered phase, both of which are preceded by or coincide with a phase transition which is likely of a structural nature. We discuss the character of the various phases and a possible mechanism by which the magnetic field couples to the dielectric degrees of freedom.

II. EXPERIMENT

The single crystals that were investigated were prepared by using either a top-seeded-solution-growth (TSSG) method or a traveling-solvent-floating-zone (TSFZ) technique. For details on the growth technique and the sample characterization, see Ref. [5]. According to these characterization studies, the crystals grown by the TSFZ method may contain a nonmagnetic foreign phase of up to 8% volume fraction. In contrast, no such foreign phase was observed for crystals grown by the TSSG method. For both types of crystals, a cubic structure with space group $P4_132$ and lattice parameter a = 12.497 Å for the TSSG crystals and a = 12.502 Å for the TSFZ crystals was found at room temperature, consistent with earlier reports [6].

The labeling of the single crystals (sc) investigated here is identical to that used in Ref. [7] and consists of a batch number followed by a letter to identify individual samples. The samples sc #5(b) and sc #5(c) were grown using the TSSG method, while samples sc #2 and sc #1(a) were synthesized via the TSFZ technique.

For measurements of the specific heat, C(T), a homemade calorimeter was used, which is thermally coupled to the mixing chamber of a bottom-loading ³He-⁴He dilution refrigerator. Measurements were carried out by employing either a thermal-relaxation method or a continuous-heating method. See Appendix A for details on the data analysis.

The setup for the specific-heat measurement was also used for continuous measurements of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_B = 1/T \cdot (\partial T/\partial B)_S$. For these experiments, the external field was ramped in a certain field window, while the temperature of the mixing chamber was slowly varied to match the sample temperature, thereby minimizing the parasitic heat flow, resulting in almost ideal adiabatic conditions. To check for the adiabatic character of these experiments, discrete measurements of Γ_B were performed for selected fields and temperatures. These measurements were conducted by applying a small field step ΔB while measuring the accompanied temperature change ΔT . See the supplemental material from [7] and Appendix B for details about the data processing.

Measurements of the coefficient of linear thermal expansion, $\alpha(T) = L^{-1} \partial_T \Delta L(T)$, were performed by using two

different homemade capacitive dilatometers, depending on the temperature range being investigated. Here, relative length changes $\Delta L(T)/L$ were determined via changes of the capacitance, where $\Delta L(T) = L(T) - L(T_0)$ denotes the length change and T_0 the starting temperature of the experiment. For details of the measurement technique, we refer to Refs. [7,10]. A bottom-loading ³He-⁴He dilution refrigerator was employed for investigations of $\alpha(T)$ at low temperatures, $0.05 \leq$ $T \leq 1.7$ K, whereas a conventional ⁴He-bath cryostat was used for temperatures $1.5 \leq T \leq 10$ K. In the dilatometer cells used here, the spring leafs exert a force on the crystal, corresponding to a uniaxial pressure along the measuring direction, depending on the sample's dimensions and the starting capacitance used. The applied uniaxial pressure acting along the measuring direction was estimated to $p = (4.9 \pm$ 0.4) MPa for single crystal sc #5(c), $p = (0.9 \pm 0.1)$ MPa for sc #2, and $p = (0.7 \pm 0.1)$ MPa for sc #1(a).

Magnetostriction experiments were carried out using the same setup as for the thermal expansion measurements. The magnetostriction coefficient $\lambda(B) = L^{-1}\partial_B[\Delta L(B)]_T$ was determined by slowly ramping the field at T = const conditions, while simultaneously recording the accompanied relative length change $\Delta L(B) = L(B) - L(0)$.

For the experimental investigation of the dielectric permittivity, $\varepsilon'(T)$, two electrodes were established via silver paste on opposite parallel surfaces of the single-crystalline samples. The capacitance of this plate capacitor geometry was determined using a LCR meter (Agilent E4980) for different frequencies between 20 Hz and 2 MHz. The dielectric constant was then calculated from the measured capacitance and the sample geometry. Measurements were performed by using a top-loading ³He-⁴He dilution refrigerator.

The AC magnetic susceptibility, $\chi_{AC}(T)$, was measured by using a homemade AC susceptometer adapted to a top-loading ³He-⁴He dilution refrigerator. Measurements were carried out at a constant frequency of 81 Hz. To calibrate the setup, magnetization measurements at the highest accessible temperatures of 1.8 K were compared (by extrapolation) to data taken by using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS) operating down to 2 K.

III. RESULTS

A. Temperature-dependent experiments

The full set of data obtained by the various temperaturedependent measurements performed in this study can be divided into three field regions, including zero-to-moderate fields $B \leq 6$ T (Fig. 1), intermediate fields 6 T $< B \leq 10$ T (Fig. 2), and high magnetic fields B > 10 T (Fig. 3).

The specific-heat data for $B \leq 6$ T are shown in Fig. 1(a). The B = 0 data, already presented in Ref. [7], display a sharp lambda-shape anomaly slightly below 1 K, which was attributed to a second-order phase transition to a ferroelectrically ordered state. The phase-transition anomaly lies on top of a broad maximum which was assigned to the low-energy excitations of the frustrated quantum spin system [7], consistent with the observations made in other frustrated quantum magnets [11–13]. For small fields $B \leq 2$ T, no significant change can be observed, whereas at higher fields of 4 T,

T [K]





FIG. 1. Experimental results on single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ obtained at magnetic fields $B \leq 6$ T applied parallel to [110]. Temperature dependence of (a) specific heat C(T); (b) coefficient of thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$ along [110]; (c) real part of the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon'(T)$ taken at a constant frequency of 23 kHz; and (d) real part of the AC susceptibility $\chi'(T)$. The inset of (c) represents a zoom-in of the peak anomaly in $\varepsilon'(T)$. Arrows mark specific anomalies for clarification.

the lambda-shape anomaly somewhat broadens, shrinks in size, and slightly shifts to higher temperatures. In these fields $B \leq 4$ T, there is no discernible effect of B on the broad maximum in C(T). In a field of 6 T, however, a qualitative

FIG. 2. Experimental results on single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ acquired at various magnetic fields $5 \le B \le 10$ T applied parallel to [110]. (a) Specific heat C(T); (b) coefficient of thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$ along [110]; (c) real part of the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon'(T)$ taken at a constant frequency of 23 kHz; and (d) real part of the AC susceptibility $\chi'(T)$. A zoom-in on the small peak anomaly in $\varepsilon'(T)$ is displayed in the inset of (c). Arrows indicate the position of selected anomalies.

change becomes obvious as the data reveal indications for two small, closely spaced features around $T_{c1} \approx 0.9$ K and $T_{c2} \approx 1.1$ K [cf. arrows in Fig. 1(a)] and indications for small changes of the C(T) maximum. In the coefficient of thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$ [Fig. 1(b)], a sharp negative anomaly in zero



FIG. 3. Compilation of experimental results on single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ obtained at varying magnetic fields $10 \le B \le 14.5$ T applied parallel to the [110] direction. Temperature dependence of (a) specific heat C(T); (b) thermal expansion coefficient $\alpha(T)$ along [110]; and (c) real part of the AC susceptibility $\chi'(T)$. The inset of (c) shows the imaginary part $\chi''(T)$. A small peak for B = 11.7 T is marked by an arrow.

field around $T \approx 1.0$ K is observed which is superimposed to a broad maximum; cf. Ref. [7]. As revealed for the specific heat, the application of small fields $B \leq 2$ T leaves the phase transition anomaly in $\alpha(T)$ essentially unchanged, whereas a broadening of the negative anomaly and a shift to higher temperatures become evident at B = 4 T and 6 T. A comparison of the 6 T data with the results of C(T, B = 6 T)in Fig. 1(a) indicates that the pronounced drop in $\alpha(T)$ [up arrow in Fig. 1(b)] can be assigned to the transition at T_{c2} . In contrast, there is only a small feature-a break in the slope in $\alpha(T)$ —visible at T_{c1} [down arrow in Fig. 1(b); see, also, Fig. 2(b)]. Figure 1(c) represents the real part of the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon'(T)$. The sharp peak in $\varepsilon'(T)$ at $T_{\text{FE}} \approx 1$ K, signaling the ferroelectric order [7], is progressively suppressed in size with increasing field, yielding only a small feature at 6 T [see inset of Fig. 1(c)]. The peak position, which remains unaffected on increasing the field to B = 1 T, is slightly shifted to higher temperatures for B = 3 T before becoming reduced in height for B = 6 T [inset of Fig. 1(c)]. The real part of the AC susceptibility $\chi'(T)$ is shown in Fig. 1(d) for fields $B \leq 5$ T. The data lack any indication for a phase transition in this temperature range and field range, consistent with the absence of long-range magnetic order. Yet, the data disclose some variations with field, yielding a broad maximum around 0.9 K for B = 0.1 T and 1 T, which becomes suppressed for B = 3 T. At B = 5 T, the data reveal a pronounced reduction of $\chi'(T)$ with increasing temperature, indicating a Curie-Weiss-like behavior.

Figure 2(a) illustrates the specific-heat data for intermediate fields of $6 \le B \le 10$ T. For B = 8 T and 10 T, no sharp phase-transition anomaly can be resolved anymore. Instead, C(T) shows a rounded maximum, the height of which first increases slightly on going from B = 6 T to 8 T. At B =10 T, it becomes reduced in size and slightly shifted to lower temperature. More strongly pronounced anomalies in this intermediate-field range were found in the coefficient of thermal expansion [Fig. 2(b)]. Upon increasing the field from B =6 T to 7 T, the negative anomaly in $\alpha(T)$ around T = 1 K increases in size, giving rise to a rounded minimum, whereas the positive contribution at lower temperatures is almost unaffected. On further increasing the field to 8 T and 10 T, however, this positive contribution becomes removed, leaving behind a large negative anomaly in $\alpha(T)$, characterized by a slightly rounded steplike change on its high-temperature flank around $T \sim 1.1$ K, reminiscent of a broadened mean-fieldtype phase transition. As becomes evident from Fig. 2(c), this pronounced feature in $\alpha(T)$ at B = 8 T has no corresponding signature in the dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon'(T)$, reflecting a complete suppression of the peak anomaly, revealed at lower fields [inset of Fig. 2(c)]. No further anomaly could be observed in ε' in the temperature window investigated for fields $B \leq$ 10 T. In contrast, some indications for anomalous behavior are revealed in this intermediate-field range in the magnetic susceptibility $\chi'(T)$ [Fig. 2(d)]. This anomaly evolves from a small dip slightly above 0.9 K in the data taken at B = 6 T. Upon increasing the field to 7 T and 7.5 T, the anomaly grows in size, broadens, and becomes shifted to lower temperatures. On further increasing the field to 8 T and 10 T, this anomaly disappears and no other anomalous feature can be resolved in the temperature window that is investigated. We note that in the field range $5 \leq B \leq 10$ T, no corresponding anomaly could be observed in the imaginary part $\chi''(T)$ (not shown) within the experimental resolution.

Another drastic change in the material's thermodynamic and magnetic properties becomes apparent on further increasing the field to above B = 10 T (Fig. 3). While the rounded maximum of C(T) revealed at 10 T continues to further shrink in size and to shift to lower temperatures with increasing the field to 12 T, a pronounced phase-transition anomaly becomes visible for fields $B \ge 11.5$ T [Fig. 3(a)]. This anomaly continuously shifts to higher temperatures upon increasing the field from 11.5 to 12 and 13.2 T, while growing in size. A large corresponding phase-transition anomaly is also revealed in the coefficient of thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$, manifesting itself in a pronounced positive peak [Fig. 3(b)]. This phase-transition anomaly sits on top of a negative contribution, resembling the



FIG. 4. Compilation of experimental results on single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ obtained by sweeping the magnetic field $B \parallel [110]$ in the range $1 \le B \le 13$ T. (a) Magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_B(B)$ for field-up measurements as a function of field B. As explained in the text, the stated temperature represents an average value at which individual field sweeps were performed. In addition, discrete data points of $\Gamma_B(B)$ are shown for selected temperatures and fields. The corresponding error bars are within symbol size. (b) Magnetostriction coefficient $\lambda(B)$ along [110] vs B for field-up measurements at different temperatures. The inset shows a section of the corresponding relative length change $\Delta L(B)/L$ for a representative measurement at T = 0.5 K.

anomaly revealed at B = 10 T. For fields around B = 13 T, it develops a substructure, indicating a split into two features, i.e., a rather sharp peak on the low-temperature side and a kink followed by a drop on the high-temperature side. On further increasing the field to 14.5 T, a single strongly pronounced sharp anomaly is observed. Figure 3(c) illustrates the magnetic susceptibility $\chi'(T)$ in this high-field region. The data reveal a sharp peak anomaly which starts to become visible at B = 11.5 T, and grows significantly in size on increasing the field to 11.7 T and 11.9 T. This growth is accompanied by a shift of the peak position to higher temperatures. As demonstrated in the inset of Fig. 3(c), a corresponding anomaly is also revealed in the imaginary part of the magnetic susceptibility $\chi''(T)$.

B. Field-dependent experiments

The temperature-dependent studies (Figs. 1–3) were complemented by experiments performed under variation of the magnetic field. In Fig. 4(a), we show the results obtained from continuous measurements of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_B(B)$ taken at varying temperatures $T \approx \text{const.}$ For these experiments, $\Gamma_B(B)$ is derived from continuous sweeps over narrow field intervals. Since this technique implies some deviations from ideal T = const conditions, the stated temperatures represent averaged values (see Appendix B for details). In order to check for the adiabatic character of these experiments, additional data points, acquired via discrete measurements, are also plotted for selected temperatures and magnetic fields in Fig. 4(a). The good agreement of both data sets indicates sufficiently good adiabatic conditions in the continuous experiments. The data for $\Gamma_B(B)$ taken at a temperature of $T \approx 0.73$ K show a flat, almost *B*-independent behavior for B < 6 T. Upon further increasing the magnetic field, an S-shaped anomaly, i.e., a minimum-maximum structure for $6 \leq B \leq 8$ T, emerges, which is accompanied by a change of sign around $B_{c1} =$ 7.4 T. The peaks become more pronounced upon decreasing the temperature down to 0.3 K, while the sign change slightly shifts to higher fields [see inset to Fig. 4(a)]. A small hysteresis between field-up and field-down measurements (not shown) can be observed for this feature. Since no indications for latent heat could be revealed in any of the temperaturedependent experiments in the corresponding *B*-*T* parameter range, we refrain from attributing the hysteresis to a firstorder phase transition. Rather, we assign this observation to nonequilibrium, i.e., thermalization effects. Further experiments to be performed by systematically varying the field rates are necessary to clarify the character of the transition. Above B = 11 T, yet another change of sign is observed followed by a sharp jumplike increase in $\Gamma_B(B)$ at $B_{c2} = 11.7$ T for $T \approx 0.73$ K. Upon decreasing temperature, the position of this sign change is reduced, reaching 11.2 T at $T \approx 0.3$ K, while the size of the peak grows significantly. Note that a clear identification of potential hysteretic behavior in this field range is hampered due to extrinsic factors as discussed in Appendix **B**.

In Fig. 4(b), we show results of magnetostriction measurements $\lambda(B, T \approx \text{const})$ performed along the [110] direction. In the main panel, only data taken upon increasing the field are shown for clarity. Whereas a smooth variation of $\lambda(B)$, yielding a broad maximum around 8 T, is revealed for the highest temperatures of the experiment of $T \approx 0.95$ K and 1.05 K, peaklike anomalies become visible at lower temperatures $T \leq 0.8$ K. These include a maximum between B =6 T and B = 8 T, depending on the temperature, and a sharp negative peak slightly above B = 11 T. Both features grow in size for decreasing temperatures. This growth is accompanied by a shift to higher fields for the maximum around 7 T and a small decrease in the position of the high-field anomaly. The data taken upon decreasing the field reveal a small hysteresis for the broad maximum around B = 7 T. In contrast, no clear indications for such a hysteresis could be observed for the high-field anomaly at B = 11 T [see inset of Fig. 4(b) for the raw data at 0.5 K]. A closer look at the raw data showing the relative length change $\Delta L(B)/L$ in the inset of Fig. 4(b) discloses yet another feature, besides the main steplike change in $\Delta L(B)/L$ around 11 T. At around 13.5 T, another slightly broadened steplike anomaly is observed which shows a clear hysteresis for field-up and field-down measurements.



FIG. 5. *B*-*T* phase diagram of single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ for $B \mid\mid [110]$. The boundaries for the ferroelectrically-ordered phase $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$, the structurally-distorted state $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$, and magnetically long-range-ordered phase $T_{\text{M}}(B)$ are derived from the field and temperature positions of the different anomalies in the various quantities as indicated in Figs. 1–4. The precursor for the lattice distortion, setting in at $T \leq T_{\text{onset}}(B)$, is derived from the point below which the $\alpha(T)$ curves for different orientations start to differ; cf. Fig. 6 for data at B = 0. Except for the *B*-induced magnetically-ordered state above 11 T, the data revealed here are consistent with a spin liquid state, which has been proposed based on experiments at B = 0 [3]. Representative errors are estimated from the width of the corresponding anomalies or of the width of a possible hysteresis. If not indicated otherwise, the characteristic errors are within symbol size. For further details, refer to the text.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Tracing the various phase-transition lines

The various anomalies revealed in the thermodynamic, dielectric, and magnetic properties by means of temperatureand field-dependent investigations have been used to construct a B-T phase diagram (Fig. 5). Three different phase-transition lines can be distinguished in the field range and temperature range covered here. See the discussion in Sec. IV B below for the assignment of the various phases.

These include the following:

(1) The phase transition into ferroelectric (FE) order for $T \leq T_{\text{FE}}$. The corresponding phase-transition line $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$ is derived from the peak position of the λ -shape anomalies in C(T) and $\varepsilon'(T)$ for fields $B \leq 7$ T. For the determination of the phase boundary at fields $6 \leq B \leq 8$ T, where $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$ strongly changes with *B*, we also include the positions of phase-transition anomalies revealed in field-dependent measurements, i.e., the sign change in $\Gamma_B(B)$ and the position of the maximum in $\lambda(B)$.

(2) The phase transition into the FE state around 1 K is accompanied by a sharp negative anomaly in the coefficient

of thermal expansion $\alpha(T)$, indicating a structurally-distorted low-temperature state. By identifying the point of maximum slope in α with the phase-transition temperature T_{struc} , we find coinciding $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ and $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$ at low fields $B \leq 4$ T. At higher fields B > 4 T, however, $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ clearly splits off [cf. the features at T_{c1} and $T_{c2} = T_{\text{struc}}$ in C(T, B = const) at B = 6 T in Fig. 1(a)] and becomes even slightly enhanced upon increasing the field to about 10 T. The phase diagram in Fig. 5 suggests the possibility of a bicritical point around 1 K and 4 T. However, since the phase transitions associated with $T_{\rm FE}$ and $T_{\rm struc}$ for B > 4 T are of second order, such a scenario would imply a single first-order transition line for B < 4 T. This is not supported by our experiments, where indications were found neither for latent heat nor for discontinuous length changes. For fields in excess of 10 T, $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ becomes strongly reduced, suggesting a full suppression for fields in the range of about 18 T. Note that for fields B > 6 T, the thermal expansion anomaly at $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ coincides with the position of the rounded peak in C(T).

(3) At high fields of $B \ge 11$ T, another phase-transition anomaly is observed, which is assigned to a transition into long-range magnetic order; see below. The corresponding phase boundary $T_M(B)$ is derived by using the peak position of the pronounced phase-transition anomalies in C(T), $\chi'(T)$, and $\alpha(T)$. For fields around 11 T where the phase boundary is flat, the phase-transition line $T_M(B)$ also includes the position of the sign change in $\Gamma_B(B)$ as well as the sharp negative peak in $\lambda(B)$ for $B \ge 11$ T.

B. Assignment of the various phases

Ferroelectric order. The assignment of the state below $T_{\rm FE}(B)$ to ferroelectric order is based on detailed investigations performed at B = 0 in Ref. [7] of the dielectric constant $\varepsilon'(T)$ and electric polarization P(T). There it was shown via measurements of the pyrocurrent that the peak in $\varepsilon'(T)$ coincides with the formation of a macroscopic electric polarization P, which can be switched depending on the applied electrical field [7,14]. Furthermore, from frequency-dependent measurements, the ferroelectric transition was found to be of the order-disorder type [7], with small sample-to-sample variations in the height of the peak in ε' . By following this peak in $\varepsilon'(T, B = \text{const})$, we find that the ferroelectric state is also stable in finite fields, albeit with diminishing signature in $\varepsilon'(T)$ and $\varepsilon''(T)$ (not shown) on increasing the magnetic field. No indications for irreversible effects depending on the field history were found. The phase diagram in Fig. 5 suggests a full suppression of $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$ to T = 0, i.e., a field-induced ferroelectric quantum critical point, for fields around $B_{c1} = 7.9$ T. The notion of a quantum critical point at B_{c1} implies a secondorder phase transition at $T_{\rm FE}$. In fact, the results obtained in B = 0 in Ref. [7] and those in finite fields presented here all are consistent with a second-order phase transition at $T_{\rm FE}$. This notion also includes the weak asymmetry revealed for Γ_B around B_{c1} [inset of Fig. 4(a)], as also observed for other quantum critical systems [15]. Note that a fully symmetric behavior for Γ_B is expected only for Ising symmetry [16], which is not realized here. To fully characterize the quantum critical point at B_{c1} , further experiments are necessary which also enable a scaling analysis of Γ_B to be performed. The

emergence of a quantum critical point (QCP) is generally associated with the suppression of a characteristic energy scale at the QCP [17]. Since the ferroelectric transition is accompanied by significant distortions of the crystal lattice, as observed by $\lambda(B)$ [see Fig. 4(b)], this energy scale is likely to be assigned to a combination of dielectric and lattice degrees of freedom. In the high-field region of the ferroelectric state, i.e., for fields $5 \le B \le 7.9$ T, we find that crossing the phase boundary $T_{\rm FE}(B)$ is accompanied by significant changes in the crystal lattice [compare Figs. 2(b) and 4(b)]. In light of the material's considerably strong magnetoelastic coupling (see discussion below), we expect that these changes are responsible for the signatures revealed in the magnetic susceptibility in this field range [Fig. 2(d)]. This notion is consistent with the absence of any feature in χ'' on crossing $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$, as opposed to the appearance of peak anomalies in χ'' on crossing $T_M(B)$ for $B \ge 11$ T [see Fig. 3(c)].

Field-induced magnetic order. The assignment of the high-field phase for $B \ge 11$ T and $T \le T_M$ to long-range magnetic order is based on the pronounced peaks revealed in the magnetic susceptibility $\chi'(T, B = \text{const})$. In addition, the large anomalies observed in the specific heat, corresponding to the release of a significant amount of entropy of $\Delta S \approx 0.07 \cdot \text{Rln}(2)$ within a narrow temperature interval of ~0.15 K around T_M , are consistent with the ordering of magnetic degrees of freedom. The fact that density functional theory (DFT) calculations show exclusively antiferromagnetic interactions [3] suggests the magnetic order to be of an antiferromagnetic nature. In contrast to the ferroelectric transition, which is of second order, the B-induced magnetic transition shows indications of a first-order transition. This refers to the discontinuous change revealed in the magnetostriction [inset of Fig. 4(b)] and the pronounced asymmetry of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter at the critical field; see Ref. [18]. As a consequence, we refer to the point where $T_{\rm M}$ is suppressed to zero as a quantum phase transition. In this context, we assign the anomaly in $\Delta L(B)/L$ around 13.5 T at T = 0.5 K [inset of Fig. 4(b)] together with the substructure in $\alpha(T)$ around 0.6 K for B = 13.2 T [Fig. 3(b)], both of which fall into the region of the magnetically ordered phase, to spin reorientation transitions. The phase diagram in Fig. 5 indicates a field-induced magnetic quantum phase transition at $B_{c2} = 11$ T.

Structurally-distorted phase. The regions of ferroelectric order and magnetic order are bounded by the transition into the structurally-distorted noncubic phase. This assignment is based on observations made in thermal expansion measurements performed on single-crystalline samples along two different directions, identified as [100] and [110], at room temperature; see Fig. 6 and Ref. [7]. Whereas the two data sets coincide at higher temperatures down to about 1.75 K, consistent with cubic symmetry, it is found that upon further cooling to temperatures below $T_{onset} = 1.75$ K, the system develops an anisotropic lattice distortion, reflecting deviations from a cubic symmetry. As discussed in detail in Ref. [7], this deviation from cubic symmetry implies the formation of structural domains which can be influenced by the uniaxial pressure exerted by the dilatometer cell. We interpret the growth in $\alpha(T)$ below about 1.75 K and the accompanying anisotropic lattice distortion as a precursor of the structural transition at T_{struc} around 1 K. This interpretation is



FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the thermal expansion coefficient of PbCuTe₂O₆ in zero field measured on a single crystal sc #5(c) along [110] and on sc #1(a) along [100]. The arrow marks the temperature T_{onset} below which both data sets start to split, signaling the onset of anisotropic lattice distortions.

corroborated by following the onset of anisotropic behavior at $T \leq T_{\text{onset}}(B)$ in finite fields; cf. the black squares in Fig. 5. The so-derived $T_{\text{onset}}(B)$ appears to preempt the evolution of $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ in the *B*-*T* range that is investigated, in support of the above interpretation. The significant reduction of both $T_{\text{onset}}(B)$ and $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ for a field of 12 T signals the presence of a significant magnetoelastic coupling in this material; see Sec. IV C below.

Ouantum-disordered, potentially quantum spin liquid state. According to the magnetic measurements performed in the present study and in Ref. [7], single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ lacks any indication for long-range magnetic order at fields B < 11 T and temperatures down to T = 0.04 K. Detailed investigations of the magnetization M(T) for these single crystals in Ref. [7] showed a mild reduction with decreasing temperatures below about 0.8 K; see, also, the susceptibility data shown in Fig. 2(d). This contrasts with the slight increase in M(T) upon cooling below 1 K revealed for the pressed-powder samples [4]. This slightly modified magnetic response for the single crystals, which is still consistent with a gapless OSL, has been assigned to the lattice distortions accompanying the ferroelectric order. These lattice distortions may in turn alter the magnetic interactions between the Cu^{2+} (S = 1/2) ions. See Appendix C for more details on this aspect. Interestingly, by studying the magnetic Grüneisen parameter as a function of temperature, indications for quantum critical behavior were revealed for the single crystals [7], whereas noncritical behavior characterizes the pressedpowder material. Based on measurements in small magnetic fields of $B \sim 100$ mT, it was concluded that single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ for $T \rightarrow 0$ approaches a quantum critical point which is sensitive to magnetic fields [7].

C. Effect of magnetic field on ferroelectric order

The observation of ferroelectric order in PbCuTe₂O₆ which can be suppressed by sufficiently strong magnetic fields raises the question of how the magnetic field couples to the dielectric degrees of freedom in this system. To shed light on the nature of the coupling at work here, it is instructive to



FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of the thermal expansion coefficient of single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ along [110] in varying fields $B \mid\mid$ [110].

study the material's elastic properties at temperatures $T \ge 1.7$ K and how these elastic properties respond to a magnetic field.

To this end, we show, in Fig. 7, data for the coefficient of linear thermal expansion for temperatures $1.5 \le T \le 10$ K taken at varying fields $B \leq 10$ T. The $\alpha(T)$ data along [110] reveal a considerable field dependence for B > 4 T, which becomes particularly pronounced for temperatures $T \leq 5$ K. The data indicate that at these temperatures, where the material is still in its nondistorted cubic phase at $T > T_{onset}$, the application of a sufficiently strong magnetic field gives rise to significant changes in the material's lattice parameter. Pronounced magnetoelastic effects in the paramagnetic regime of Cu²⁺-containing materials are known (see, e.g., Refs. [19,20]), reflecting a considerably strong spin-orbit interaction of the Cu ions in these systems. As a result, cooling the system in the presence of a magnetic field may give rise to some lattice strain. According to the data in Fig. 7, this effect is minor at fields $B \leq 4$ T, consistent with the almost vertical slope of $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$ in Fig. 5. However, on increasing the field to 6 T and above, the progressive suppression of $\alpha(T)$ at these fields indicates significant magnetoelastic effects. At around the same fields where these magnetostrictive effects arise, the ferroelectric transition at $T_{\rm FE}(B)$ and the structural transition at $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ start to separate (cf. Fig. 5), suggesting that the B-induced lattice distortions act differently on $T_{\text{struc}}(B)$ and $T_{\text{FE}}(B)$: whereas fields in the range $4 \leq B \leq 8$ T appear to be advantageous for the structural transition, they are detrimental for the ferroelectric transition. In the supplemental information of Ref. [7], it was suggested that out of the two nonequivalent Pb ions (Pb1 and Pb2) (see Appendix C for details) characterizing this structure, the Pb2 ions are the ones which are responsible for the ferroelectric ordering. It was argued that atomic displacements which lower the symmetry of the oxygen coordination of these Pb2 ions are key for the formation of lone pairs and thus ferroelectric order. As shown in detail in Appendix C, the oxygen O1 atoms represent the link between the stereochemically active Pb2 ions and the magnetic Cu^{2+} ions. We therefore speculate that the B-induced lattice distortions are such that they counteract the lone pair formation.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, the application of a variety of thermodynamic, magnetic, and dielectric probes to single-crystalline PbCuTe₂O₆ in magnetic fields $B \leq 14.5$ T reveals a rich *B-T* phase diagram at low temperatures $T \leq 1.8$ K. The observed phases include ferroelectric order at zero to moderately strong magnetic fields, $B \leq 8$ T, and a field-induced magnetically ordered state at high fields, $B \ge 11$ T. These phases are preceded by or coincide with a structural transition from a high-temperature cubic structure to a distorted noncubic low-temperature state. Our study provides evidence for a ferroelectric quantum critical point at $B_{c1} = 7.9$ T, where the second-order phase-transition line associated with ferroelectric order is suppressed to zero. In addition, we find indications for a magnetic quantum phase transition at $B_{c2} =$ 11 T. Detailed structure investigations in zero and finite fields are needed to identify the symmetry of the low-temperature phase in PbCuTe₂O₆ and to elucidate the accompanying changes in the atomic positions. Of particular interest are changes in the local environment of the material's polar building blocks, i.e., the Te⁴⁺ ions in the oxotellurate tetrahedra and the two inequivalent Pb²⁺ ions, to clarify their role in the formation of the electric dipoles and to understand the mechanism by which the magnetic field couples to the dielectric and magnetic degrees of freedom in this material. In lacking such detailed microscopic structural information, indications for the nature of the coupling between the magnetic field and the dielectric degrees of freedom at work here were found in thermal expansion measurements, yielding pronounced B-induced lattice distortions at temperatures preceding the symmetry-broken low-temperature phases. These magnetostrictive effects were attributed to the spin-orbit interaction of the Cu²⁺ ions and the effect these local lattice distortions may have on the environment of the Pb2 ions in the material. An interesting consequence of the lattice distortions being coupled to both the magnetic field and the dielectric degrees of freedom would be a finite magnetoelectric (ME) effect. This exciting possibility of a strain-mediated ME effect in PbCuTe₂O₆ should be explored in further experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work done at Goethe-University Frankfurt was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Project No. A06 of SFB/TR 288 (ID 422213477). B.L. acknowledges the support of Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Project No. B06 of SFB 1143: Correlated Magnetism: From Frustration To Topology (ID 247310070). The crystal growth took place at the Core Lab Quantum Materials, Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie, Germany.

APPENDIX A: DETAILS OF THE DATA EVALUATION OF C(T)

The acquired specific-heat data consist, in principle, of three different contributions resulting from the crystal lattice, the magnetic moments of the nuclei with nonzero spin, and the magnetic moments of the 3d electrons of Cu²⁺ ions. The contribution from the crystal lattice is, according to Ref. [6],

smaller than 1% of the total specific heat for temperatures $T \leq 1.6$ K and can thus be neglected. The contributions from the nuclear magnetic moments can be calculated as Schottky anomalies due to the Zeeman interaction of the ²⁰⁷Pb, ¹²⁵Te, ⁶³Cu, and ⁶⁵Cu nuclear spins with the applied external magnetic field and are only dominant at lowest temperature $T \leq 0.2$ K for the field range investigated here. Special care has to be taken for the Cu isotopes since the effective field at the position of the nuclei is a combination of the external field and the field due to the hyperfine interaction of the electron spin and the nuclear spin, which can be determined from the zero-field data for $T \leq 0.1$ K to $B_e \approx 10.2$ T. For further details, see the supplemental information of Ref. [7].

APPENDIX B: DETAILS OF THE DATA PROCESSING AND EVALUATION OF $\Gamma_B(B)$

The experimental data of magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_B(B)$ were acquired via adiabatic field sweeps where the temperature of the sample, T(B), changes continuously as a function of magnetic field B. $\Gamma_B(B)$ can then be directly calculated from the measured sample temperature via $\Gamma_B(B) =$ $1/T \cdot (\partial T/\partial B)_{S}$. Since the sample temperature can easily double for a field sweep spanning the complete accessible field range, the influence of the temperature on $\Gamma_B(B)$ cannot be directly determined from such a measurement. Therefore, multiple overlapping field sweeps over narrow field windows of 2 T width were combined to produce one single data set. This procedure enables $\Gamma_B(B)$ to be continuously measured within a temperature window of 50 mK. To check for possible effects of nonadiabaticity, the results of these continuous measurements have been compared to results of discrete measurements of Γ_B [see Fig. 4(a)]. A special situation was encountered for the field region B > 11 T, where $\Gamma_B(B)$ is quite large and a field change of $\Delta B = 1$ T can lead to a temperature change of roughly $\Delta T = 150$ mK. Additionally, the large absolute value of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter in the field region of B > 11 T can produce a systematic error in the corresponding measurements of $\Gamma_B(B)$. For these measurements, the large magnetic Grüneisen parameter will generally lead to a rapid change of the sample temperature in a narrow field region, which can in turn lead to a poor temperature control and therefore to deviations of ideal adiabatic conditions. In addition, eddy-current heating in the metallic parts of the sample holder has a stronger influence at lower temperature and high magnetic field where the specific heat of the sample is small and can thus cause artificial hysteresis loops between field-up and field-down measurements.

APPENDIX C: FUNCTIONAL UNITS OF PbCuTe₂O₆ AND THEIR MUTUAL INTERACTION

The spin liquid candidate PbCuTe₂O₆ features two types of functional units, i.e., magnetic and dielectric. These functional units form a noncentrosymmetric cubic structure at high temperatures. The magnetic units are built out of planar fourfold oxygen-coordinated Cu²⁺ ions with bond lengths of Cu-O1 = 1.911 Å and Cu-O2 = 1.919 Å. These magnetic units form a highly frustrated hyperkagome lattice of edgesharing triangles, as indicated in Fig. 8(a). The triangles,



FIG. 8. The (a) magnetic and (b) dielectric functional units of the spin liquid candidate $PbCuTe_2O_6$ and (c) their coupling in the lattice structure. For clarity, several atoms are omitted in this representation (see text for more details). (a) View of selected magnetic units along the [111] direction. The planar oxygen-coordinated Cu²⁺ ions have no direct orbital overlap with each other. The Cu²⁺ ions form a highly frustrated hyperkagome lattice of edge-sharing triangles characterized by the two dominant coupling constants J_1 (blue triangle) and J_2 (yellow triangle). (b) The dielectric units are oriented along all threefold rotation axes of the noncentrosymmetric cubic structure. These units are built out of three Pb²⁺ ions (two Pb1 ions and one Pb2 ion) on different crystallographic positions. The Pb2 ion (light gray) is symmetrically coordinated by six O1 ions. (c) Two adjacent dielectric units together with the planar oxygen-coordinated Cu²⁺ ions along the [111] direction. The green arrows indicate threefold rotation axes and the blue and yellow triangles represent the nearest-neighbor $[J_1 (blue)]$ and next-nearest-neighbor $[J_2 (yel$ low)] magnetic interactions forming a 3D network of corner-sharing triangles known as a hyperkagome lattice. Both dielectric units are coupled with each other via the magnetic units by their O1 ions. The magnetic exchange path which leads to the coupling constant J_1 (blue triangle) is given by Cu-O1-Pb2-O1-Cu, whereas J₂ (yellow triangle) is given by Cu-O2-Te-O1-Cu.

formed by three Cu^{2+} ions at the corners, are characterized by the two dominant magnetic coupling constants J_1 (blue triangle) and J_2 (yellow triangle). Important for the understanding of the material's magnetic properties and the interaction between the magnetic and dielectric units is the fact that there is no direct orbital overlap between the planar oxygen-coordinated Cu^{2+} ions. It was demonstrated in Ref. [20] that Cu^{2+} ions with S = 1/2 in a square-planar coordination have a significant spin-orbit coupling which leads to a single-ion magnetic easy-plane anisotropy and a considerably strong spin-phonon interaction.

The dielectric units are oriented along all threefold axes of the noncentrosymmetric cubic structure. These units are built out of $(TeO_3)^{2-}$ tetrahedra, where the Te atoms are covalently bonded to O1, O2, and O3 atoms, along with three Pb²⁺ ions (two Pb1 and one Pb2) in different local coordinations. The $(TeO_3)^{2-}$ tetrahedra are also expected to exhibit a permanent electric dipole moment at high temperatures due to the lone pair of the Te⁴⁺ valence electrons. These Te-derived electric dipoles are considered to be the main source of the enhanced dielectric constant reported in Ref. [7]. Further dielectric building blocks contain Pb²⁺ ions and their oxygen coordination, displayed in Fig. 8(b), consisting of two Pb1 ions (dark gray) and one Pb2 ion (light gray). The Pb1 ions are asymmetrically coordinated with three short bonds to the $(TeO_3)^{2-}$ tetrahedra via the O3 atoms with Pb1-O3 = 2.431 Å and three long bonds between Pb1 and O1 of Pb1-O1 = 2.904 Å. In contrast, the Pb2 ion is symmetrically coordinated by six O1 ions with a bond length of Pb2-O1 =2.531 Å. This means that these Pb2 ions have the potential to form stereochemically active lone pairs. This, however, requires the symmetry of their local environment to be reduced [21]. Based on the results of thermal expansion measurements

- L. Savary and L. Balents, Quantum spin liquids: A review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 016502 (2017).
- [2] C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, Quantum spin liquids, Science 367, eaay0668 (2020).
- [3] S. Chillal, Y. Iqbal, H. O. Jeschke, J. A. Rodriguez-Rivera, R. Bewley, P. Manuel, D. Khalyavin, P. Steffens, R. Thomale, A. T. M. N. Islam, J. Reuther, and B. Lake, Evidence for a threedimensional quantum spin liquid in PbCuTe₂O₆, Nat. Commun. 11, 2348 (2020).
- [4] P. Khuntia, F. Bert, P. Mendels, B. Koteswararao, A. V. Mahajan, M. Baenitz, F. C. Chou, C. Baines, A. Amato, and Y. Furukawa, Spin Liquid State in the 3D Frustrated Antiferromagnet PbCuTe₂O₆ : NMR and Muon Spin Relaxation Studies, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 107203 (2016).
- [5] A. R. N. Hanna, A. T. M. N. Islam, R. Feyerherm, K. Siemensmeyer, K. Karmakar, S. Chillal, and B. Lake, Crystal growth, characterization, and phase transition of PbCuTe₂O₆, Phys. Rev. Mater. 5, 113401 (2021).
- [6] B. Koteswararao, R. Kumar, P. Khuntia, S. Bhowal, S. K. Panda, M. R. Rahman, A. V. Mahajan, I. Dasgupta, M. Baenitz, K. H. Kim, and F. C. Chou, Magnetic properties and heat capacity of the three-dimensional frustrated S = ¹/₂ antiferromagnet PbCuTe₂O₆, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 035141 (2014).
- [7] C. Thurn, P. Eibisch, A. Ata, M. Winkler, P. Lunkenheimer, I. Kézsmárki, U. Tutsch, Y. Saito, S. Hartmann, J. Zimmermann, A. R. N. Hanna, A. T. M. N. Islam, S. Chillal, B. Lake, B. Wolf, and M. Lang, Spin liquid and ferroelectricity close to a quantum critical point in PbCuTe₂O₆, npj Quantum Mater. 6, 95 (2021).
- [8] Z. Zhao, V. Buscaglia, M. Viviani, M. T. Buscaglia, L. Mitoseriu, A. Testino, M. Nygren, M. Johnsson, and P. Nanni,

(Figs. 1 and 7), where we observe a structural instability to a noncubic low-T state with a precursor setting in below about 1.75 K, we assume that these lattice distortions are such that they form an asymmetric Pb2-O1 coordination and thus a lone pair. The electric dipoles generated this way are considered to give rise to the observed ferroelectric order in this material around 1 K [7].

To rationalize the interplay between the dielectric and the magnetic units, we show in Fig. 8(c) two adjacent dielectric units together with the planar oxygen-coordinated Cu^{2+} ions along the [111] direction. A common element shared by both the dielectric units and the magnetic units is the O1 ions. According to the above-sketched scenario, these O1 ions and their displacements are key for the ferroelectric order via the formation of stereochemically active lone pairs. At the same time, the O1 ions are involved in the dominant magnetic exchange paths, albeit in different ways. Whereas the magnetic exchange path giving rise to the coupling constant J_1 (blue triangle) is via Cu-O1-Pb2-O1-Cu, the coupling J_2 (yellow triangle) is mediated by Cu-O2-Te-O1-Cu. As a result, the structural distortions accompanying the formation of ferroelectric order are expected to change the ratio J_1/J_2 , i.e., the degree of frustration, consistent with the observations made in Ref. [7].

Grain-size effects on the ferroelectric behavior of dense nanocrystalline BaTiO₃ ceramics, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 024107 (2004).

- [9] M. Weil, M. Shirkhanlou, and T. Stürzer, Phase formation studies of lead(II) copper(II) oxotellurates: The crystal structures of dimorphic PbCuTe₂O₅, PbCuTe₂O₆ and [Pb₂Cu₂Te₄(O₁₁)](NO₃)₂ phase formation studies of lead(II) copper(II) oxotellurates: The crystal structures of dimorphic PbCuTe₂O₅, PbCuTe₂O₆ and [Pb₂Cu₂Te₄(O₁₁)](NO₃)₂, Z. Anorg, Allg, Chem. **645**, 347 (2019).
- [10] R. Pott and R. Schefzyk, Apparatus for measuring the thermal expansion of solids between 1.5 and 380 K, J. Phys. E 16, 444 (1983).
- [11] Y. Okamoto, M. Nohara, H. Aruga-Katori, and H. Takagi, Spin-Liquid State in the S = 1/2 Hyperkagome Antiferromagnet Na₄Ir₃O₈, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 137207 (2007).
- [12] Y. Singh, Y. Tokiwa, J. Dong, and P. Gegenwart, Spin liquid close to a quantum critical point in Na₄Ir₃O₈, Phys. Rev. B 88, 220413(R) (2013).
- [13] S. Nakatsuji, Y. Nambu, H. Tonomura, O. Sakai, S. Jonas, C. Broholm, H. Tsunetsugu, Y. Qiu, and Y. Maeno, Spin disorder on a triangular lattice, Science **309**, 1697 (2005).
- [14] H. H. Wieder, Electrical behavior of barium titanage single crystals at low temperatures, Phys. Rev. 99, 1161 (1955).
- [15] B. Wolf, Y. Tsui, D. Jaiswal-Nagar, U. Tutsch, A. Honecker, K. Remović-Langer, G. Hofmann, A. Prokofiev, W. Assmus, G. Donath, and M. Lang, Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic cooling near a field-induced quantum-critical point, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108, 6862 (2011).
- [16] F. Weickert, M. Brando, F. Steglich, P. Gegenwart, and M. Garst, Universal signatures of the metamagnetic quantum critical endpoint: Application to CeRu₂Si₂, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134438 (2010).

- [17] L. Zhu, M. Garst, A. Rosch, and Q. Si, Universally Diverging Grüneisen Parameter and the Magnetocaloric Effect Close to Quantum Critical Points, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 066404 (2003).
- [18] Y. Tokiwa, M. Garst, P. Gegenwart, S. L. Bud'ko, and P. C. Canfield, Quantum Bicriticality in the Heavy-Fermion Metamagnet YbAgGe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 116401 (2013).
- [19] P. T. Cong, B. Wolf, R. S. Manna, U. Tutsch, M. de Souza, A. Brühl, and M. Lang, Magnetoelastic couplings in the distorted

diamond-chain compound azurite, Phys. Rev. B 89, 174427 (2014).

- [20] J. Liu, H. J. Koo, H. Xiang, R. K. Kremer, and M. H. Whangbo, Most spin-1/2 transition-metal ions do have single ion anisotropy, J. Chem. Phys. 141, 124113 (2014).
- [21] A. Walsh, D. J. Payne, R. G. Egdell, and G. W. Watson, Stereochemistry of post-transition metal oxides: Revision of the classical lone pair model, Chem. Soc. Rev. 40, 4455 (2011).