Creating a three-dimensional intrinsic electric dipole on rotated CrI₃ bilayers

Shiva P. Poudel⁰,¹ Juan M. Marmolejo-Tejada⁰,² Joseph E. Roll,¹ Martín A. Mosquera⁰,² and Salvador Barraza-Lopez¹

¹Department of Physics, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA

and MonArk NSF Quantum Foundry, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701, USA

²Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA

and MonArk NSF Quantum Foundry, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana 59717, USA

(Received 30 March 2023; revised 30 April 2023; accepted 1 May 2023; published 15 May 2023)

Two-dimensional (2D) materials are being explored as a novel multiferroic platform. One of the most studied magnetoelectric multiferroic 2D materials are antiferromagnetically coupled (AFM) CrI_3 bilayers. Neglecting magnetism, those bilayers possess a crystalline point of inversion, which is only removed by the antiparallel spin configuration among its two constituent monolayers. The resulting intrinsic electric dipole on those bilayers has a magnitude no larger than 0.04 pC/m, it points out of plane, and it reverts direction when the Ising-like-chromium spins are flipped (toward opposite layers *versus* away from opposite layers). The combined presence of antiferromagnetism and a weak intrinsic electric dipole makes this material a two-dimensional magnetoelectric multiferroic. Here, we remove the crystalline center of inversion of the bilayer by a relative 60° rotation of its constituent monolayers. This process *enhances* the out-of-plane intrinsic electric dipole tenfold with respect to its magnitude in the nonrotated AFM bilayer and also creates an even stronger and switchable in-plane intrinsic electric dipole. The ability to create a three-dimensional electric dipole is important because it enhances the magnetoelectric coupling on this experimentally accessible 2D material, which is explicitly calculated here as well.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.195128

I. INTRODUCTION

Ferroicity is a symmetry-breaking quantum phenomenon [1] that gives rise to domain physics. Magnetoelectric multiferroics [2,3] lack spatial and time-inversion symmetries [4–12], and the experimental exfoliation of an atomically thin material (graphene) [13–15] is now evolving into a field aiming to deliver advanced functional multiferroic materials at the atomic-thickness limit.

Effects from coupled magnetic and electric fields have been studied for over a century: Röntgen recorded magnetic fields when dielectric objects move within a constant electric field [16], Curie concluded that magnetoelectric effects are due to broken symmetries [1], and Debye coined the term "magnetoelectric directional effect" [17]. The first multiferroic material was grown in 1959 [18], and the demonstration of intrinsic magnetoelectric coupling in antiferromagnetically coupled Cr_2O_3 was rationalized by Dzyaloshinskii [19] and experimentally demonstrated by Astrov [5]. The main crystallographic families for bulk multiferroiss [6,7,9–11,20–36] are perovskites [2,37], compounds with hexagonal structure [38], boracites [39], and BaMF₄ compounds [40,41].

Initial forays into multiferroics within the 2D materials community are studies of quantum paraelastic/ferromagnetic SnO monolayers [42–44], and of ferroelastic/ferroelectric (experimentally available) group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers [45–49]. Magnetoelectric couplings induced by the proximal placement of ferroelectric and ferromagnetic 2D materials have been discussed too [50–53], along with theoretical and experimental reports on the change of magnetic ordering by charge doping [54,55], and

proposals for atomistic defects as sources of multiferroic behavior [56]. Analytical models exploring magnetoelectric couplings in 2D materials exist as well [57,58]. Notably, layered multiferroic NiI₂ was experimentally demonstrated recently [59,60].

A recent and comprehensive study of ferroelectricity on bilayers based on an analysis of *nonmagnetic groups* appeared recently [61]. Nevertheless, being one of the most studied magnetoelectric bilayer systems, the electric control of magnetization on AFM-coupled CrI₃ bilayers [62–66] (that is, their *magnetoelectric coupling*) was experimentally demonstrated in 2019 through second harmonic generation [67]. The coupling relies on the broken inversion symmetry induced by the alternating (*Ising*-like) out-of-plane magnetization at opposite monolayers [67,68], which is furnished when considering the full magnetic group. The magnetic ordering of monolayers [69] and bilayers [70] can also be tuned by uniaxial strain.

Here, we optimize the intrinsic electric dipole moment \mathbf{P} of a CrI₃ bilayer by a relative 60° rotation among layers (a concept recently applied to MnSe bilayers [71]). This procedure results in a tenfold increase of the out-of-plane intrinsic electric dipole and it gives rise to an even larger in-plane intrinsic electric dipole [61]. This effect is unlike what is reported on MnSe bilayers, which *only develop an out-of-plane intrinsic polarization enhances* the magnetoelectric coupling of CrI₃ bilayers, which is calculated here explicitly.

The paper is organized as follows: The numerical methods are described in Sec. II. The results and discussion are provided in Sec. III. Conclusions can be found in Sec. IV.



FIG. 1. (a) CrI_3 monolayer. (b) CrI_3 bilayer on its AB FM (*uuuu*) configuration prior to the relative rotation: FM coupling prevents the removal of a center of inversion and the development of a net intrinsic electric dipole; see Table I. (c) CrI_3 bilayer with AB' stacking. The crystalline point of inversion—not considering the magnetic configuration—is shown in subplots (b) and (c).

II. METHODS

This paper is based on density functional theory with the PBE approximation for exchange-correlation [72] as implemented in the VASP package [73–75]. The implementation of spin-orbit coupling (SOC) is inconsistent with the use of ab initio van der Waals corrections on this code, and empirical van der Waals corrections due to Grimme et al. [76] were utilized for that reason (see Fig. S1 and Table SI in the Supplemental Material [77] for an extended discussion of structure versus exchange-correlation functionals). Structural optimizations were performed using a $15 \times 15 \times 1 k$ – point mesh. We used an energy cutoff of 500 eV for the expansion of electronic states in terms of plane waves, an energy convergence criteria of 10^{-6} eV, and a force convergence limit of 10^{-4} eV/Å. Structural optimizations were performed in configurations whereby the angle among in-plane lattice vectors \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 was kept fixed at 120° [see Fig. 1(a)]. All calculations included dipole corrections, and the lattice constant parallel to the two-dimensional material's normal was set to $\mathbf{a}_3 = 50$ Å to further reduce out-of-plane interactions among periodic copies (see Fig. S2(a) in Ref. [77] for an energy convergence test *versus* a_3). To treat the strong on-site Coulomb interaction of Cr d orbitals, we used the DFT+U method introduced by Dudarev et al. [78], with an effective value of U equal to 3 eV (J = 0) [79]. Structural optimizations were originally carried without SOC, which was turned on for

structures at local minima and to compute one-dimensional cuts of the energy landscape.

Having a well-converged electronic density is crucial to determine the intrinsic electric dipole moment **P**, which was calculated using the Berry phase theory of polarization [80]. **P** remained unchanged on a denser, $71 \times 71 \times 1$ k-point mesh. In addition, the convergence of **P** against the magnitude of **a**₃ was guaranteed as well (see Fig. S2(b) for a test of P_z versus a_3 [77]).

Phonon dispersion calculations were performed with the PHONOPY tool [81] on a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell. Atomic displacements were set at 0.005 Å. In those calculations, the k-point grid was set to $5 \times 5 \times 1$. Cutoff energy and energy convergence criteria remained at 500 eV and 10^{-4} eV/Å, respectively. The force constant (Hessian) matrix at the Γ point was obtained as well; eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this Hessian were utilized to calculate the magnetoelectric coupling tensor α using the method developed by Íñiguez [82].

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. AB and AB' CrI₃ bilayers

The CrI₃ monolayers [62,83] depicted in Fig. 1(a) are one of many available two-dimensional ferromagnets and antiferromagnets [84–89]. Crucial for an eventual creation of an in-plane electric dipole moment are the voids within star-of-David patterns on this structure. No such voids are present on

hexagonal boron nitride nor transition metal dichalcogenide (TMDC) monolayers, for which no in-plane intrinsic electric dipoles occur on their (non-moiré, rotated by 60°) ferroelectric bilayer configurations [90–96]. This monolayer belongs to the crystalline space group $P\overline{3}1m$ (space group No. 162) [97]. (A listing of all its potential magnetic groups can be found in Table SII [77].)

When analyzing CrI₃ bilayers, previous studies explore two stable structures (labeled AB and AB') that are formed by relative translations between layers [62–64,70,97,98]: Starting with an AA structure in which the two monolayers sit atop one another, translating the top layer by $2\mathbf{a}_1/3 + \mathbf{a}_2/3$ yields the AB structure displayed in Fig. 1(b). Not considering magnetism, it belongs to space group $P\overline{3}$ (space group No. 147). (Possible magnetic groups are listed in Table SII [77].) If one translates the lower monolayer originally set on the AB conformation by $\mathbf{a}_1/3$, the resultant structure is AB' [Fig. 1(c)], which belongs to space group C2/m (12) [97] without the inclusion of magnetism (see Table SII for potential magnetic groups [77]).

The AB bilayer is observed in low-temperature samples (T < 210 K) [99] and has the magnetic spins of Cr atoms ferromagnetically (FM) aligned in an Ising-like (out-of-plane) configuration. To set ideas, each monolayer has two Cr atoms on its unit cell (navy blue dots in Fig. 1(a)), and each Cr atom contributes a spin magnetic dipole moment μ of about 3 Bohr magnetons ($\mu_B = 9.274 \times 10^{-24} \text{ J/T}$) along the z axis. Without loss of generality, we set each of the four Cr atoms on the unit cell (u.c.) to $\mu = (0, 0, +3\mu_B)$, and label such FM setting uuuu (for up-up-up), where the first two labels refer to the Cr atoms in the lower monolayer and the remaining two labels indicate the direction of spin on the two atoms at the upper monolayer. A displacement of the lower monolayer by either $\mathbf{a}_1/3$ or $\mathbf{a}_2/3$ takes the structure shown into the widely studied AB' configuration [65,67,70,79,83,97,98,100], with a preferred AFM configuration explicitly labeled uudd in which Cr atoms at each monolayer have out-of-plane magnetic dipoles pointing toward the opposite monolayer (d stands for spin down in this context). Without considering their magnetic moment, antiferromagnetically coupled (AB') CrI₃ bilayers stem from a bulk monoclinic phase and belong to space group C2/m (space group No. 12). All possible magnetic groups with an AB' configuration are listed in Table SII [77].

The lack of a center of inversion on AFM AB' bilayers was experimentally verified through second-harmonic generation and it is driven by their magnetic configuration [65,67,83]. In other words, its nonzero magnetoelectric coupling [67] originates from the removal of a center of inversion by an antiparallel spin. Theory indicates a FM coupling among CrI₃ monolayers in the AB (ground state) configuration [70,79,97,98], which is inconsistent with experiment, where an AFM coupling is observed. That theoretical result is independent of the exchange-correlation functional, the van der Waals correction scheme, and the Hubbard (U) term on the Cr atoms with and without SOC [79]. The ground-state structure at bilayer thickness is still a matter of debate-it has been suggested that the AFM AB' structure is created at room temperature and trapped by the extra layers used to create experimental devices [70,97,98]. Nevertheless, switch-

TABLE I. Intrinsic electric dipole moments per u.c. area for CrI_3 bilayers at AB and AB' configurations. FM configurations have all spins pointing up (*uuuu*) or down (*dddd*), while the studied AFM configurations were *uudd* and *dduu*. Digits within parenthesis indicate the precision of calculations.

Configuration	P (pC/m)		
AB FM (uuuu)	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
AB FM $(dddd)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
$AB'_1 FM$ (<i>uuuu</i>)	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
$AB'_1 FM (dddd)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
AB' ₂ FM (uuuu)	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
$AB'_2 FM (dddd)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(1))		
AB AFM (uudd)	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(3))		
AB AFM (dduu)	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), +0.0(2))		
$AB'_1 AFM (uudd)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(4))		
$AB'_1 AFM (dduu)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), +0.0(2))		
$AB'_2 AFM (uudd)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(0), -0.0(4))		
$AB'_2 AFM (dduu)$	(-0.0(1), 0.0(1), +0.0(2))		

ing among AFM and FM magnetic configurations can be experimentally performed at will [62–64].

We report the magnitude of the intrinsic electric dipoles for the AB and AB' bilayers in Table I. For context, electric dipole moments as large as 5 pC/m have been recently reported experimentally [101].

Table I conveys the following information: (i) FM-coupled AB and AB' bilayers display negligible intrinsic electric dipole moments. Since there is a center of inversion in those structures, the reported **P** for the FM-coupled structures conveys the numerical precision achieved here, which is of the order of ± 0.01 pC/m.

On the other hand, the lack of a center of inversion facilitated by AFM magnetic configurations gives rise to an expected net P_7 [65,67,83] in Table I. In AFM structures, P_z does not change signs in going from structure AB onto any of the two energy-degenerate structures AB', which are arrived at by a displacement of the upper monolayer by $\mathbf{a}_1/3$ (for a structure labeled AB'_1) or $a_2/3$ (AB'_2). The two structures AB'_1 and AB'_2 were optimized independently, hence providing a cross check of numerical results. In short, FM AB and AB' configurations have a center of inversion and do not develop an intrinsic electric dipole; AFM AB and AB' configurations, on the other hand, break inversion symmetry due to their spin configuration and give rise to an out-of-plane net intrinsic electric dipole moment (P_z) that swaps signs upon spin reversal and regardless of the (AB or AB') atomistic configuration. Table I shows the magnitude of the intrinsic electric dipole on experimentally available CrI₃ bilayer configurations.

B. Rotated CrI₃ bilayers $(s_1, s_{2,1}, and s_{2,2})$

While the electric control of magnetism in CrI_3 bilayers reported in the recent past [62–64] relies on an external electric field, one precept of this paper is to engineer an intrinsic electric dipole by a relative rotation [61] to enhance the *multiferroic* properties of those bilayers; such rotations are a handle



FIG. 2. (a) CrI₃ bilayer at a local minimum after a 60° rotation with respect to the axis shown. This bilayer structure is dubbed s_1 . The removal of a crystalline inversion center is made evident in the lowermost side views. P_z can be reverted by sliding the lower monolayer by (b) about $2\mathbf{a}_1/3$ on a bilayer structure labeled $s_{2,1}$ or (c) by about $2\mathbf{a}_2/3$, on a structure labeled $s_{2,2}$. The different orientations of the mirror plane for structures $s_{2,1}$ and $s_{2,2}$ are highlighted.

for magnetoelectric multiferroic behavior that is not available on nonlayered multiferroics [2,6,7,9–11,20–41].

Following a concept introduced by Wu and coworkers [102,103] (and experimentally verified for hexagonal boron nitride and TMDC bilayers [90–95]), we rotated the upper monolayer on the AB structure by 60° . This removes the bilayer's crystalline center of inversion and induces an intrinsic electric dipole. The rotated bilayer structure—which we labeled s_1 (for *structure 1*)—is displayed in Fig. 2(a) and belongs to the crystalline space group Cm (space group No. 8) (see Table SII for its possible magnetic groups). The group Cm does not forbid the creation of an intrinsic *in-plane* electric dipole that is parallel to the mirror plane depicted in Fig. 2(a) [61].

An additional displacement of the lower monolayer in bilayer s_1 [Fig. 2(a)] by about $2a_1/3$ [as explicitly displayed in Fig. 2(b)] or by about $2a_2/3$ [Fig. 2(c)] still results in a structure belonging to crystalline space group *Cm* (8). We label $s_{2,1}$ the structure seen in Fig. 2(b)—in which the lower monolayer was originally displaced by $2a_1/3$ —to tell it apart from the bilayer $s_{2,2}$ shown in Fig. 2(c), in which the lower monolayer was initially displaced by $about 2a_2/3$ instead.

A comparison among Figs. 2(a) and 2(b) shows that the mirror plane of bilayer $s_{2,1}$ remains parallel to that seen on bilayer s_1 . Thus, besides the natural creation of an out-of-plane intrinsic electric polarization by the 60° relative rotation, this

mirror plane allows for a non-zero *in-plane* intrinsic electric dipole parallel to \mathbf{a}_1 on bilayer $s_{2,1}$ as well. Nevertheless, the mirror plane turns out to be parallel to the *x* axis (that is, parallel to $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$) for the $s_{2,2}$ bilayer [Fig. 2(c)], allowing for a possible in-plane intrinsic electric dipole along the *x* axis on the $s_{2,2}$ bilayer. This way, the rotated CrI₃ bilayers may develop an in-plane component of the intrinsic electric dipole that can be controlled by sliding. The creation of an *in-plane* intrinsic electric dipole through a relative 60° rotation among monolayers lends additional handles for the engineering of magnetoelectric couplings by geometrical/mechanical means.

Figure 3 shows the total energy and global minima for the AB and (rotated) s_1 FM bilayers, and for three additional AFM configurations (*uudd*, *udud*, and *uddu*) for both AB and s_1 structures. The energetics of the AB bilayer are consistent with previous results [70,97]. The numerical results reported in Fig. 3 were obtained without SOC. (Electronic band structures for AB and s_1 bilayers show similar band gaps; those can be found in Fig. S3 [77].) Note that—though the s_1 structure sits higher in energy than the AB bilayer on Fig. 3(c)—falling back into the AB structure requires a macroscopic rotation of the entire upper layer in unison, an event that is statistically rare. In other words, structure s_1 sits at a stable local energy minimum.

Figure 4(a) displays the energy landscape and the optimal relative height among monolayers upon relative sliding, on



FIG. 3. Side views illustrating four possible (Ising-like) magnetic configurations for (a) the AB CrI₃ bilayer and (b) the rotated bilayer on the s_1 configuration. The FM configuration is labeled *uuuu*, and three AFM configurations (*uudd*, *udud*, and *uddu*) were considered as well. (c) Total structural energy around global (AB) and local (s_1) minima for CrI₃ bilayers as a function of the lattice parameter a_0 and magnetic configuration, without SOC. The s_1 local minima on the FM configuration sits 139 K/u.c. above the global minima, while the AFM s_1 structure with a *uudd* magnetic configuration sits 203 K/u.c. above that global minima (see Table SIII for numerical details [77]).

the bilayer configuration in which the upper monolayer was rotated by 60°. This was calculated for FM (*uuuu*) and AFM (*uudd*) magnetic configurations without SOC. The point at which lattice vectors \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 join in Fig. 4(a) provides the energy and separation among monolayers for structure s_1 in Figs. 2(a) and 3(c).

Working with a primitive u.c., the energy and structural sampling was carried out by displacing the upper layer by $na_1/12 + ma_2/12 - n$ and *m* ran from 0 to 11–with respect to structure s_1 . So that bilayers do not fall back into local minima while computing the landscape, the atomic in-plane coordinates were kept fixed, and only the out-of-plane components were allowed to relax. The landscape and height profiles depicted upon multiple u.c.'s in Fig. 4(a) rely on periodicity, and the energies were expressed with respect to that obtained for the AB FM configuration (see Fig. 3(c) and Table SIII [77]).

A more detailed calculation was performed for onedimensional cuts along \mathbf{a}_1 or \mathbf{a}_2 [Fig. 4(b)]. In those calculations, all atoms were allowed to move around the local minima, and SOC was turned on. Unlike the energy landscape of rotated hBN or TMDC bilayers, which have identical maximum energies as the layers move either along the \mathbf{a}_1 or \mathbf{a}_2 axes (see, e.g., Refs. [96,104]), the rotated CrI₃ bilayer displays barriers of dissimilar height, with the largest height assigned to a displacement along \mathbf{a}_1 . This anisotropy was not discussed in Ref. [61]. The identical barriers observed in rotated hBN and TMDC bilayers [96,104] guarantees that the local minima be exactly at a distance $2\mathbf{a}_1/3$ or $2\mathbf{a}_2/3$. To the contrary, and as seen in Fig. 4(b), the dissimilar heights of the barriers make the $s_{2,1}$ structure be located at 0.6389 \mathbf{a}_1 instead, while the $s_{2,2}$ structure sits at $0.6806a_2$, and justifies our choice of words ("about 2/3") made earlier on.

TABLE II. Intrinsic electric dipole moments per u.c. area for CrI₃ bilayers at the s_1 , $s_{2,1}$, and $s_{2,2}$ configurations. Digits within parenthesis indicate the precision of calculations. The removal of a center of inversion upon rotation makes P_z increase an order of magnitude with respect to its value on AFM AB and AB' configurations (Table I). In addition, P_z does not change signs upon reversal of antiferromagnetic polarization (*uudd versus dduu*) but only upon relative displacements among monolayers. A larger in-plane intrinsic electric dipole swapping direction at $s_{2,1}$ and $s_{2,2}$ is now created.

Configuration	P (pC/m)
<i>s</i> ¹ FM (<i>uuuu</i>)	(+0.9(3), -1.6(0), +0.2(5))
$s_1 \operatorname{FM} (dddd)$	(+0.9(3), -1.6(0), +0.3(0))
<i>s</i> _{2,1} FM (<i>uuuu</i>)	(+0.9(4), -1.6(0), -0.2(5))
$s_{2,1}$ FM (dddd)	(+0.9(4), -1.6(1), -0.3(1))
<i>s</i> _{2,2} FM (<i>uuuu</i>)	(-1.8(4), +0.0(1), -0.2(5))
$s_{2,2}$ FM (dddd)	(-1.8(7), +0.0(1), -0.3(0))
s_1 AFM (uudd)	(+0.8(0), -1.3(7), +0.2(5))
$s_1 \text{ AFM} (dduu)$	(+0.8(0), -1.3(7), +0.2(5))
$s_{2,1}$ AFM (uudd)	(+0.8(0), -1.3(7), -0.2(5))
$s_{2,1}$ AFM (dduu)	(+0.8(0), -1.3(7), -0.2(5))
$s_{2,2}$ AFM (uudd)	(-1.6(1), +0.0(1), -0.2(5))
$s_{2,2}$ AFM ($dduu$)	(-1.6(1), +0.0(1), -0.2(5))

Calculations in which SOC is turned on require copious additional computational resources, and those were only pursued for structures along the one-dimensional cuts of the landscape in Fig. 4(b) for that reason. Surprisingly, SOC did not break the energy degeneracy of the minima s_1 and $s_{2,1}$ significantly upon inversion of the magnetic moment, as the total energy difference upon a magnetic swap from *uuuu* to *dddd* FM configurations was only 0.15 K/u.c. Calculations of magnetic anisotropy barriers can be found in Fig. S4 [77].

The structural stability of bilayer s_1 alluded to earlier is established with the vibrational spectra shown in Fig. 4(c), which lacks imaginary modes. In addition, and in preparation for the calculation of the magnetoelectric coupling tensor, we also considered the bilayer structure situated at the (unstable) saddle point *p* in the landscape (see imaginary modes), for which both FM and AFM magnetic configurations turn degenerate. Point *p* is shown in Fig. 4(b). Figure 4(d) shows **P** as the structure is turned from $s_{2,1}$ to s_1 along **a**₁. For comparison, the reported magnitudes of the out-of-plane intrinsic electric dipole are 1.0 pC/m for a MoS₂ bilayer [102], 0.5 pC/m for a WSe₂ bilayer [95], and 0.4 pC/m for a WTe₂ bilayer [103,105].

C. Three-dimensional intrinsic electric polarization of rotated CrI₃ bilayers

While P_z swaps signs as the bilayer evolves from structure s_1 into $s_{2,1}$ or $s_{2,2}$ as expected [102,103], *there is an in-plane intrinsic electric dipole moment* in Fig. 4(d). In the remainder of this paper, we will (i) justify this observation, (ii) argue that it can be switched, and (iii) calculate the magnetoelectric coupling of the rotated CrI₃ bilayer.

The effectiveness of the relative 60° rotation procedure in enhancing P_z is demonstrated in Table II, where a tenfold increase with respect to the experimentally available AB' AFM



FIG. 4. (a) Energy landscape as a function of sliding among CrI_3 monolayers for FM (*uuuu*) and AFM (*uudd*) magnetic configurations (without SOC), and corresponding optimal vertical separation among monolayers *D* (see Fig. 1(b)). Structure s_1 sits at the point from which lattice vectors \mathbf{a}_1 and \mathbf{a}_2 join, and it is periodic upon lattice translations. Structure $s_{2,1}$ ($s_{2,2}$) sits 0.6389 \mathbf{a}_1 (0.6806 \mathbf{a}_2) away from s_1 locations. (b) One-dimensional cuts of the energy landscape along (i) \mathbf{a}_1 and (ii) \mathbf{a}_2 with and without SOC turned on. (c) Structural stability of s_1 bilayer, and vibrational spectra at saddle point *p* displaying a few imaginary modes. (d) Induced intrinsic three-dimensional electric dipole along the straight path joining structures s_1 and $s_{2,1}$. The inset shows the change in magnetization at a nearly degenerate crossover among AFM and FM configurations at point *p*.

bilayer (see Table I) is registered. Rounding up the reported values to 0.3 pm/C (a value close to the 0.2 pm/C reported in Ref. [106]), and dividing by $\simeq 12$ Å—which would correspond to the thickness of a u.c. in a bulk 3D stack—one gets 0.025 µC/cm², which sits halfway between the values reported in Refs. [61] and [107] and may be mostly due to the different exchange-correlation functionals employed in our works. Furthermore, switching of P_z is achieved by sliding from structure s_1 into either $s_{2,1}$ or $s_{2,2}$ [102,103]. A more remarkable result is the creation of *an even larger* inplane intrinsic electric dipole like the one present in group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers [47,49,108].

We explain the existence of an in-plane intrinsic electric dipole (which provides vistas for magnetoelectric couplings in this unique multiferroic) by recourse to symmetry: $s_1, s_{2,1}$, and $s_{2,2}$ CrI₃ bilayers all belong to crystalline space group *Cm.* Nevertheless, while the s_1 and $s_{2,1}$ bilayers have a mirror plane parallel to $\mathbf{a}_1 = a_0(1/2, -\sqrt{3}/2, 0)$ [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)], bilayer $s_{2,2}$ has a mirror plane parallel to $\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2$ (x axis in Fig. 2(c)). Any in-plane dipole for structures s_1 through $s_{2,1}$, if it is to exist, must be parallel to \mathbf{a}_1 [and this is the case for P_x and P_y in Fig. 4(d)]. In other words, its magnitude must satisfy the ratio $-\sqrt{3} \simeq -1.73$. This is precisely documented in Table III. As for structure $s_{2,2}$, the in-plane electric dipole can only be parallel to the x axis, which is what one sees in Table II. Furthermore, the magnitude of the in-plane dipole is nearly identical at s_1 , $s_{2,1}$, and $s_{2,2}$, and it must switch in going from structure $s_{2,1}$ to structure $s_{2,2}$ through s_1 .

The second check to justify the creation of in-plane components of intrinsic electric polarization is numerical: adding up all vectors that can be built from all four Cr atoms to the nearest I atoms on the opposite monolayer within the u.c. $(\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z)$, one gets the results listed in Table III. A top view of the atoms involved is displayed in Fig. 5 for bilayers AB, AB'₁, s_1 , and $s_{2,1}$. The observed relative displacements indeed respect the crystalline symmetry of group *Cm*: they are parallel to the mirror planes.

D. Calculation of the magnetoelectric coupling at point *p* within the energy landscape

We end this paper with a calculation of the magnetoelectric tensor for the rotated CrI_3 bilayer. Following Íñiguez [82], we

TABLE III. Sum of vectors pointing from Cr atoms to the firstand second-nearest I neighbors located in the opposite monolayer. This sum is carried out over all Cr atoms in the u.c. P_y/P_x as reported in Table II correlates with Δ_y/Δ_x , and hence justifies the existence of a nonzero in-plane intrinsic dipole moments on rotated CrI₃ bilayers. $(a_{1,y}/a_{1,x} = -\sqrt{3} \simeq -1.73.)$

Configuration	$(\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z)$ (Å/u.c.)	P_y/P_x	Δ_y/Δ_x
AB FM (uuuu)	(0.000,0.000,0.000)		
AB AFM (uudd)	(0.000, 0.000, 0.000)		
s ₁ FM (uuuu)	(+0.216, -0.388, +10.372)	-1.79	-1.72
$s_1 \text{ AFM } (uudd)$	(+0.216, -0.382, +10.374)	-1.77	-1.72
s ₂₁ FM (<i>uuuu</i>)	(+0.221, -0.410, -10.369)	-1.70	-1.85
s_{21} AFM (uudd)	(+0.247, -0.423, -10.306)	-1.71	-1.71
s ₂₂ FM (<i>uuuu</i>)	(-0.453, -0.021, -10.373)	0.00	+0.05
s_{22} AFM ($uudd$)	(-0.453, -0.021, -10.373)	0.00	+0.05



FIG. 5. Schematic top views of Cr atoms and nearest I atoms on the opposite monolayer; vectors joining those atoms are utilized to determine $(\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z)$ in Table III.

seek a point in the landscape for which both intrinsic electric and magnetic dipoles are zero. As seen in Fig. 4, the in-plane components of the intrinsic polarization remain constant, and so we used the *change* of \mathbf{P} ($\Delta \mathbf{P}$) away from the polarization at point *p* here. The eigenvalues C_n of the bilayer's Hessian at *p* listed in Table IV range in between -0.011 and 13.556 eV/Å, which compare well with Ref. [82]. We calculated the intrinsic electric dipole for eigenvalues greater than zero (IR modes get revealed by giving nonzero net electric polarizations), and set all associated eigenvectors to be u = 0.1 Å in magnitude.

Since μ changes stepwise from (0,0,0) to (0,0,12 μ_B) away from *p*, we used a value for the magnetic polarity equal to $\mathbf{p}^m = (0, 0, \frac{12\mu_B\mu_0}{u})$, where $\mu_0 = 1.2566 \times 10^{-6} \text{ N/A}^2$ is the permeability of vacuum. This way, our expression for the magnetoelectric tensor takes the form (SI units)

$$\alpha_{3,j} = \alpha_{j,3} = \frac{12\mu_B\mu_0}{0.01\text{\AA}^2} \sum_{n=5}^{48} \frac{\Delta P_{n,j}}{C_n}$$

= (-31.7, 6.7, -4.3) × 10⁻²⁰ s, (1)

where the lack of 1/m reflects the fact that **P** is reported in units of charge per length here. Dividing by the bilayer thickness ($\simeq 10$ Å) and multiplying by the speed of light [109], one gets

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0.00 & 0.00 & -9.30 \\ 0.00 & 0.00 & 2.01 \\ -9.30 & 2.01 & -1.29 \end{pmatrix} \times 10^{-2}$$
(2)

in Gaussian units. This way, α here is one order of magnitude smaller than the values reported for Cr₂O₃ by Íñiguez.

CrI₃ bilayers are experimentally known to become paramagnetic at a few Kelvin. AB' bilayers will lose their ferroelectric properties at that point, while rotated s_1 , $s_{2,1}$, or $s_{2,2}$ bilayers may still maintain their ferroelectric properties

TABLE IV. Hessian eigenvalues for rotated CrI_3 bilayer at point p (eV/Å).

-0.011	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.007	0.263
0.917	1.022	1.088	1.110	1.456	1.482
2.413	2.457	2.457	2.483	2.497	2.524
2.714	2.731	3.552	3.614	3.629	3.672
4.002	4.089	4.138	4.183	4.831	4.900
4.935	4.963	6.137	6.177	6.203	6.267
9.319	9.356	9.830	9.917	9.935	10.047
10.836	10.944	10.971	11.098	13.556	13.556

at temperatures well above those for which their intrinsic magnetism is lost.

The magnetoelectric tensor thus found implies that the out-of-plane magnetic moment can be most easily switched by applying an electric field along the *x* direction, or that the inplane *x* component of the intrinsic electric dipole will switch directions most easily as the Ising (out-of-plane) spin swaps signs. The explicit calculation of α brings the engineering of two-dimensional magnetoelectric multiferroics on rotated magnetic bilayers onto a more quantitative footing.

IV. CONCLUSION

Seeking to enhance the out-of-plane intrinsic electric dipole of CrI_3 bilayers by a relative rotation, we discovered that those bilayers develop an unexpected and sizable inplane intrinsic electric dipole moment as well. This is due to the unique atomistic structure of those magnetic materials that does not forbid the creation of in-plane intrinsic electric dipoles. The magnetoelectric coupling tensor α was estimated explicitly as well. Those results enhance our understanding and provide vistas into magnetoelectric multiferroics on two-dimensional material platforms.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Calculations from the Arkansas team were performed at Cori at NERSC, a DOE facility funded under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, and at the University of Arkansas' Pinnacle supercomputer, funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation, the Arkansas Economic Development Commission, and the Office of the Vice Provost for Research and Innovation. J.M.M.T. and M.A.M. thank Montana State University, Bozeman, for startup support and computational resources within the Tempest Research Cluster. All authors acknowledge financial support from the MonArk NSF Quantum Foundry, supported by the National Science Foundation Q-AMASE-i program under NSF Award No. DMR-1906383.

- M. P. Curie, Sur la symétrie dans les phénomènes physiques, symétrie d'un champ électrique et d'un champ magnétique, J. Phys. Theor. Appl. 3, 393 (1894).
- [2] G. A. Smolenskii and I. E. Chupis, Ferroelectromagnets, Sov. Phys. Usp. 25, 475 (1982).
- [3] M. Fiebig, V. Eremenko, and I. Chupis, *Magnetoelectric Interaction Phenomena in Crystals*, NATO Science Series II:

Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry (Springer, Netherlands, 2013)

- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of Continu*ous Media (Pergamon, New York, 1984).
- [5] D. N. Astrov, The magnetoelectric effect in antiferromagnets, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 38, 984 (1960).

- [6] T. Kimura, T. Goto, H. Shintani, K. Ishizaka, T. Arima, and Y. Tokura, Magnetic control of ferroelectric polarization, Nature (London) 426, 55 (2003).
- [7] T. Kimura, G. Lawes, T. Goto, Y. Tokura, and A. P. Ramirez, Magnetoelectric phase diagrams of orthorhombic RMnO₃ (R=Gd, Tb, and Dy), Phys. Rev. B 71, 224425 (2005).
- [8] W. Eerenstein, N. D. Mathur, and J. F. Scott, Multiferroic and magnetoelectric materials, Nature (London) 442, 759 (2006).
- [9] M. Fiebig, Revival of the magnetoelectric effect, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 38, R123 (2005).
- [10] N. A. Hill, Why are there so few magnetic ferroelectrics?, J. Phys. Chem. B 104, 6694 (2000).
- [11] B. B. Van Aken, T. T. Palstra, A. Filippetti, and N. A. Spaldin, The origin of ferroelectricity in magnetoelectric YMnO₃, Nat. Mater. 3, 164 (2004).
- [12] J. T. Heron, M. Trassin, K. Ashraf, M. Gajek, Q. He, S. Y. Yang, D. E. Nikonov, Y.-H. Chu, S. Salahuddin, and R. Ramesh, Electric-Field-Induced Magnetization Reversal in a Ferromagnet-Multiferroic Heterostructure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 217202 (2011).
- [13] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Two-dimensional gas of massless Dirac fermions in graphene, Nature (London) 438, 197 (2005).
- [14] Y. Zhang, Y.-W. Tan, H. L. Stormer, and P. Kim, Experimental observation of the quantum Hall effect and Berry's phase in graphene, Nature (London) 438, 201 (2005).
- [15] M. I. Katsnelson, *Graphene: Carbon in Two Dimensions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. 2012).
- [16] W. C. Röntgen, Ueber die durch bewegung eines im homogenen electrischen felde befindlichen dielectricums hervorgerufene electrodynamische kraft, Ann. Phys. Chem. 271, 264 (1888).
- [17] P. Debye, Bemerkung zu einigen neuen versuchen über einen magneto-elektrischen richteffekt, Z. Phys. 36, 300 (1926).
- [18] G. A. Smolenskii and A. I. Agranovskaya, Dielectric polarization of a number of complex compounds, Sov. Phys., Solid State 1, 1429 (1960).
- [19] I. E. Dzyaloshinskii, On the magneto-electrical effects in antiferromagnets, J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 10, 628 (1959).
- [20] J. Wang, J. B. Neaton, H. Zheng, V. Nagarajan, S. B. Ogale, B. Liu, D. Viehland, V. Vaithyanathan, D. G. Schlom, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, K. M. Rabe, M. Wuttig, and R. Ramesh, Epitaxial BiFeO₃ multiferroic thin film heterostructures, Science **299**, 1719 (2003).
- [21] J. B. Neaton, C. Ederer, U. V. Waghmare, N. A. Spaldin, and K. M. Rabe, First-principles study of spontaneous polarization in multiferroic BiFeO₃, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 014113 (2005).
- [22] N. A. Spaldin and M. Fiebig, The renaissance of magnetoelectric multiferroics, Science 309, 391 (2005).
- [23] C.-G. Duan, S. S. Jaswal, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Predicted Magnetoelectric Effect in Fe/BaTiO₃ Multilayers: Ferroelectric Control of Magnetism, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 047201 (2006).
- [24] C. J. Fennie and K. M. Rabe, Magnetic and Electric Phase Control in Epitaxial EuTiO₃ from First Principles, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 267602 (2006).
- [25] I. A. Sergienko and E. Dagotto, Role of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in multiferroic perovskites, Phys. Rev. B 73, 094434 (2006).

- [26] S. Picozzi, K. Yamauchi, B. Sanyal, I. A. Sergienko, and E. Dagotto, Dual Nature of Improper Ferroelectricity in a Magnetoelectric Multiferroic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 227201 (2007).
- [27] E. Y. Tsymbal, E. R. A. Dagotto, C.-B. Eom, and R. Ramesh, eds., *Multifunctional Oxide Heterostructures* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, U.K. 2012).
- [28] J. H. Lee and K. M. Rabe, Coupled Magnetic-Ferroelectric Metal-Insulator Transition in Epitaxially Strained SrCoO₃ from First Principles, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 067601 (2011).
- [29] S. Valencia, A. Crassous, L. Bocher, V. Garcia, X. Moya, R. O. Cherifi, C. Deranlot, K. Bouzehouane, S. Fusil, A. Zobelli, A. Gloter, N. D. Mathur, A. Gaupp, R. Abrudan, F. Radu, A. Barthélémy, and M. Bibes, Interface-induced roomtemperature multiferroicity in BaTiO₃, Nat. Mater. **10**, 753 (2011).
- [30] E. Y. Tsymbal, A. Gruverman, V. Garcia, M. Bibes, and A. Barthélémy, Ferroelectric and multiferroic tunnel junctions, MRS Bull. 37, 138 (2012).
- [31] H. J. Zhao, W. Ren, Y. Yang, J. Iñiguez, X. M. Chen, and L. Bellaiche, Near room-temperature multiferroic materials with tunable ferromagnetic and electrical properties, Nat. Commun. 5, 4021 (2014).
- [32] N. Spaldin and R. Ramesh, Advances in magnetoelectric multiferroics, Nat. Mater. 18, 203 (2019).
- [33] Y.-L. Huang, D. Nikonov, C. Addiego, R. V. Chopdekar, B. Prasad, L. Zhang, J. Chatterjee, H.-J. Liu, A. Farhan, Y.-H. Chu, M. Yang, M. Ramesh, Z. Q. Qiu, B. D. Huey, C.-C. Lin, T. Gosavi, J. Iñiguez, J. Bokor, X. Pan, I. Young *et al.*, Manipulating magnetoelectric energy landscape in multiferroics, Nat. Commun. **11**, 2836 (2020).
- [34] L. Ponet, S. Artyukhin, T. Kain, J. Wettstein, A. Pimenov, A. Shuvaev, X. Wang, S.-W. Cheong, M. Mostovoy, and A. Pimenov, Topologically protected magnetoelectric switching in a multiferroic, Nature (London) 607, 81 (2022).
- [35] P. Li, X. Zhou, and Z. Guo, Intriguing magnetoelectric effect in two-dimensional ferromagnetic/perovskite oxide ferroelectric heterostructure, npj Comput. Mater. 8, 20 (2022).
- [36] X. Shen, F. Wang, X. Lu, and J. Zhang, Two-dimensional multiferroics with intrinsic magnetoelectric coupling in A-Site ordered perovskite monolayers, Nano Lett. 23, 735 (2023).
- [37] H. Schmid, On a magnetoelectric classification of materials, Int. J. Magnetism 4, 337 (1973).
- [38] H. Sugie, N. Iwata, and K. Kohn, Magnetic ordering of rare earth ions and magnetic-electric interaction of hexagonal RMnO₃ (R=Ho, Er, Yb or Lu), J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **71**, 1558 (2002).
- [39] H. Schmid, H. Rieder, and E. Ascher, Magnetic susceptibilities of some 3d transition metal boracites, Solid State Commun. 3, 327 (1965).
- [40] J. F. Scott, Spectroscopy of incommensurate ferroelectrics, Ferroelectrics 24, 127 (1980).
- [41] K. Aizu, Possible species of ferromagnetic, ferroelectric, and ferroelastic crystals, Phys. Rev. B 2, 754 (1970).
- [42] L. Seixas, A. S. Rodin, A. Carvalho, and A. H. Castro Neto, Multiferroic Two-Dimensional Materials, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 206803 (2016).
- [43] T. B. Bishop, E. E. Farmer, A. Sharmin, A. Pacheco-Sanjuan, P. Darancet, and S. Barraza-Lopez, Quantum Paraelastic Two-Dimensional Materials, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 015703 (2019).

- [44] A. Pacheco-Sanjuan, T. B. Bishop, E. E. Farmer, P. Kumar, and S. Barraza-Lopez, Evolution of elastic moduli through a two-dimensional structural transformation, Phys. Rev. B 99, 104108 (2019).
- [45] M. Mehboudi, A. M. Dorio, W. Zhu, A. van der Zande, H. O. H. Churchill, A. A. Pacheco-Sanjuan, E. O. Harriss, P. Kumar, and S. Barraza-Lopez, Two-dimensional disorder in black phosphorus and monochalcogenide monolayers, Nano Lett. 16, 1704 (2016).
- [46] H. Wang and X. Qian, Two-dimensional multiferroics in monolayer group IV monochalcogenides, 2D Mater. 4, 015042 (2017).
- [47] K. Chang, J. Liu, H. Lin, N. Wang, K. Zhao, A. Zhang, F. Jin, Y. Zhong, X. Hu, W. Duan, Q. Zhang, L. Fu, Q.-K. Xue, X. Chen, and S.-H. Ji, Discovery of robust in-plane ferroelectricity in atomic-thick SnTe, Science 353, 274 (2016).
- [48] S. Barraza-Lopez, T. P. Kaloni, S. P. Poudel, and P. Kumar, Tuning the ferroelectric-to-paraelectric transition temperature and dipole orientation of group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers, Phys. Rev. B 97, 024110 (2018).
- [49] S. Barraza-Lopez, B. M. Fregoso, J. W. Villanova, S. S. P. Parkin, and K. Chang, Colloquium: Physical properties of group-IV monochalcogenide monolayers, Rev. Mod. Phys. 93, 011001 (2021).
- [50] H.-X. Cheng, J. Zhou, C. Wang, W. Ji, and Y.-N. Zhang, Nonvolatile electric field control of magnetism in bilayer CrI₃ on monolayer In₂Se₃, Phys. Rev. B **104**, 064443 (2021).
- [51] C. Hu, J. Chen, E. Du, W. Ju, Y. An, and S.-J. Gong, Ferroelectric control of band alignments and magnetic properties in the two-dimensional multiferroic VSe₂/In₂Se₃, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 34, 425801 (2022).
- [52] H. Zhu, Y. Gao, Y. Hou, Z. Gui, and L. Huang, Tunable magnetic anisotropy in two-dimensional CrX₃/AlN (X=I, Br, Cl) heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B 106, 134412 (2022).
- [53] C. Gong, E. M. Kim, Y. Wang, G. Lee, and X. Zhang, Multiferroicity in atomic van der Waals heterostructures, Nat. Commun. 10, 2657 (2019).
- [54] Y. Lu, H. Wang, L. Wang, and L. Yang, Mechanism of carrier doping induced magnetic phase transitions in two-dimensional materials, Phys. Rev. B 106, 205403 (2022).
- [55] I. A. Verzhbitskiy, H. Kurebayashi, H. Cheng, J. Zhou, S. Khan, Y. P. Feng, and G. Eda, Controlling the magnetic anisotropy in Cr₂Ge₂Te₆ by electrostatic gating, Nat. Electron. 3, 460 (2020).
- [56] Y. Gao, M. Gao, and Y. Lu, Two-dimensional multiferroics, Nanoscale 13, 19324 (2021).
- [57] Y. Yang and U. Zülicke, Magnetoelectricity in two-dimensional materials, Adv. Phys.: X 7, 2032343 (2022).
- [58] A. O. Fumega and J. L. Lado, Moiré-driven multiferroic order in twisted CrCl₃, CrBr₃ and CrI₃ bilayers, 2D Mater. 10, 025026 (2023).
- [59] H. Ju, Y. Lee, K.-T. Kim, I. H. Choi, C. J. Roh, S. Son, P. Park, J. H. Kim, T. S. Jung, J. H. Kim, K. H. Kim, J.-G. Park, and J. S. Lee, Possible persistence of multiferroic order down to bilayer limit of van der Waals material NiI₂, Nano Lett. **21**, 5126 (2021).
- [60] Q. Song, C. A. Occhialini, E. Ergeçen, B. Ilyas, D. Amoroso, P. Barone, J. Kapeghian, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. S. Botana, S. Picozzi, N. Gedik, and R. Comin, Evidence for a

single-layer van der Waals multiferroic, Nature (London) **602**, 601 (2022).

- [61] J. Ji, G. Yu, C. Xu, and H. J. Xiang, General Theory for Bilayer Stacking Ferroelectricity, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 146801 (2023).
- [62] B. Huang, G. Clark, D. R. Klein, D. MacNeill, E. Navarro-Moratalla, K. L. Seyler, N. Wilson, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, D. Xiao, W. Yao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, Electrical control of 2D magnetism in bilayer CrI₃, Nat. Nanotechnol. **13**, 544 (2018).
- [63] S. Jiang, L. Li, Z. Wang, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Controlling magnetism in 2D CrI₃ by electrostatic doping, Nat. Nanotechnol. 13, 549 (2018).
- [64] S. Jiang, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Electric-field switching of two-dimensional van der Waals magnets, Nat. Mater. 17, 406 (2018).
- [65] K. F. Mak, J. Shan, and D. Ralph, Probing and controlling magnetic states in 2D layered magnetic materials, Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 646 (2019).
- [66] E. Suárez Morell, A. León, R. Hiroki Miwa, and P. Vargas, Control of magnetism in bilayer CrI₃ by an external electric field, 2D Mater. 6, 025020 (2019).
- [67] Z. Sun, Y. Yi, T. Song, G. Clark, B. Huang, Y. Shan, S. Wu, D. Huang, C. Gao, Z. Chen, M. McGuire, T. Cao, D. Xiao, W.-T. Liu, W. Yao, X. Xu, and S. Wu, Giant nonreciprocal second-harmonic generation from antiferromagnetic bilayer CrI₃, Nature (London) **572**, 497 (2019).
- [68] N. Sivadas, S. Okamoto, and D. Xiao, Gate-Controllable Magneto-Optic Kerr Effect in Layered Collinear Antiferromagnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 267203 (2016).
- [69] L. Webster and J.-A. Yan, Strain-tunable magnetic anisotropy in monolayer CrCl₃, CrBr₃, and CrI₃, Phys. Rev. B 98, 144411 (2018).
- [70] A. M. León, J. W. González, J. Mejía-López, F. Crasto de Lima, and E. Suárez Morell, Strain-induced phase transition in CrI₃ bilayers, 2D Mater. 7, 035008 (2020).
- [71] K. Liu, X. Ma, S. Xu, Y. Li, and M. Zhao, Tunable sliding ferroelectricity and magnetoelectric coupling in two-dimensional multiferroic MnSe materials, npj Comput. Mater. 9, 16 (2023).
- [72] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- [73] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Ab initio* molecular dynamics for liquid metals, Phys. Rev. B 47, 558 (1993).
- [74] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Ab initio* molecular-dynamics simulation of the liquid-metal–amorphous-semiconductor transition in germanium, Phys. Rev. B 49, 14251 (1994).
- [75] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for *ab initio* total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [76] S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich, and H. Krieg, A consistent and accurate *ab initio* parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 154104 (2010).
- [77] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevB.107.195128 for (i) an analysis of structural parameters against exchange correlation functionals and SOC, (ii) a table of possible magnetic groups, (iii) tests of energy and intrinsic electric dipole convergence against the vacuum in the unit cell and k—point meshes, (iv) values of lattice parameters and energies at global and

PHYSICAL REVIEW B 107, 195128 (2023)

local minima, (v) electronic band structures for AB and s_1 bilayers, and (vi) a calculation of magnetic anisotropy barriers.

- [78] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study, Phys. Rev. B 57, 1505 (1998).
- [79] P. Jiang, C. Wang, D. Chen, Z. Zhong, Z. Yuan, Z.-Y. Lu, and W. Ji, Stacking tunable interlayer magnetism in bilayer CrI₃, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 144401 (2019).
- [80] D. Vanderbilt, Berry Phases in Electronic Structure Theory: Electric Polarization, Orbital Magnetization and Topological Insulators (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. 2018).
- [81] A. Togo and I. Tanaka, First principles phonon calculations in materials science, Scr. Mater. 108, 1 (2015).
- [82] J. Íñiguez, First-Principles Approach to Lattice-Mediated Magnetoelectric Effects, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 117201 (2008).
- [83] B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein, R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, W. Yao, D. Xiao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and X. Xu, Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit, Nature (London) 546, 270 (2017).
- [84] C. Gong, L. Li, Z. Li, H. Ji, A. Stern, Y. Xia, T. Cao, W. Bao, C. Wang, Y. Wang, Z. Q. Qiu, R. J. Cava, S. G. Louie, J. Xia, and X. Zhang, Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in twodimensional van der Waals crystals, Nature (London) 546, 265 (2017).
- [85] M. Kim, P. Kumaravadivel, J. Birkbeck, W. Kuang, S. G. Xu, D. G. Hopkinson, J. Knolle, P. A. McClarty, A. I. Berdyugin, M. Ben Shalom, R. V. Gorbachev, S. J. Haigh, S. Liu, J. H. Edgar, K. S. Novoselov, I. V. Grigorieva, and A. K. Geim, Micromagnetometry of two-dimensional ferromagnets, Nat. Electron. 2, 457 (2019).
- [86] X. Cai, T. Song, N. P. Wilson, G. Clark, M. He, X. Zhang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, W. Yao, D. Xiao, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, and X. Xu, Atomically thin CrCl₃: An inplane layered antiferromagnetic insulator, Nano Lett. **19**, 3993 (2019).
- [87] D. R. Klein, D. MacNeill, Q. Song, D. T. Larson, S. Fang, M. Xu, R. A. Ribeiro, P. C. Canfield, E. Kaxiras, R. Comin, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Enhancement of interlayer exchange in an ultrathin two-dimensional magnet, Nat. Phys. 15, 1255 (2019).
- [88] A. Bedoya-Pinto, J.-R. Ji, A. K. Pandeya, P. Gargiani, M. Valvidares, P. Sessi, J. M. Taylor, F. Radu, K. Chang, and S. S. P. Parkin, Intrinsic 2D-XY ferromagnetism in a van der Waals monolayer, Science 374, 616 (2021).
- [89] D. Ghazaryan, M. T. Greenaway, Z. Wang, V. H. Guarochico-Moreira, I. J. Vera-Marun, J. Yin, Y. Liao, S. V. Morozov, O. Kristanovski, A. I. Lichtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, F. Withers, A. Mishchenko, L. Eaves, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, and A. Misra, Magnon-assisted tunnelling in van der Waals heterostructures based on CrBr₃, Nat. Electron. 1, 344 (2018).
- [90] M. Vizner Stern, Y. Waschitz, W. Cao, I. Nevo, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Sela, M. Urbakh, O. Hod, and M. Ben Shalom, Interfacial ferroelectricity by van der Waals sliding, Science 372, 1462 (2021).
- [91] C. R. Woods, P. Ares, H. Nevison-Andrews, M. J. Holwill, R. Fabregas, F. Guinea, A. K. Geim, K. S. Novoselov, N. R.

Walet, and L. Fumagalli, Charge-polarized interfacial superlattices in marginally twisted hexagonal boron nitride, Nat. Commun. **12**, 347 (2021).

- [92] S. L. Moore, C. J. Ciccarino, D. Halbertal, L. J. McGilly, N. R. Finney, K. Yao, Y. Shao, G. Ni, A. Sternbach, E. J. Telford, B. S. Kim, S. E. Rossi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. N. Pasupathy, C. R. Dean, J. Hone, P. J. Schuck, P. Narang, and D. N. Basov, Nanoscale lattice dynamics in hexagonal boron nitride moiré superlattices, Nat. Commun. 12, 5741 (2021).
- [93] A. Weston, E. G. Castanon, V. Enaldiev, F. Ferreira, S. Bhattacharjee, S. Xu, H. Corte-León, Z. Wu, N. Clark, A. Summerfield, T. Hashimoto, Y. Gao, W. Wang, M. Hamer, H. Read, L. Fumagalli, A. V. Kretinin, S. J. Haigh, O. Kazakova, A. K. Geim *et al.*, Interfacial ferroelectricity in marginally twisted 2D semiconductors, Nat. Nanotechnol. 17, 390 (2022).
- [94] X. Wang, K. Yasuda, Y. Zhang, S. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, L. Fu, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Interfacial ferroelectricity in rhombohedral-stacked bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, Nat. Nanotechnol. 17, 367 (2022).
- [95] Y. Liu, S. Liu, B. Li, W. J. Yoo, and J. Hone, Identifying the transition order in an artificial ferroelectric van der Waals heterostructure, Nano Lett. 22, 1265 (2022).
- [96] J. M. Marmolejo-Tejada, J. E. Roll, S. P. Poudel, S. Barraza-Lopez, and M. A. Mosquera, Slippery paraelectric transitionmetal dichalcogenide bilayers, Nano Lett. 22, 7984 (2022).
- [97] N. Sivadas, S. Okamoto, X. Xu, C. J. Fennie, and D. Xiao, Stacking-dependent magnetism in bilayer CrI₃, Nano Lett. 18, 7658 (2018).
- [98] D. Wang and B. Sanyal, Systematic study of monolayer to trilayer CrI₃: Stacking sequence dependence of electronic structure and magnetism, J. Phys. Chem. C **125**, 18467 (2021).
- [99] Z. Qiu, M. Holwill, T. Olsen, P. Lyu, J. Li, H. Fang, H. Yang, K. S. Kashchenko, Mikhailand Novoselov, and J. Lu, Visualizing atomic structure and magnetism of 2D magnetic insulators via tunneling through graphene, Nat. Commun. 12, 70 (2021).
- [100] M. A. McGuire, H. Dixit, V. R. Cooper, and B. C. Sales, Coupling of crystal structure and magnetism in the layered, ferromagnetic insulator CrI₃, Chem. Mater. 27, 612 (2015).
- [101] R. Niu, Z. Li, X. Han, Z. Qu, D. Ding, Z. Wang, Q. Liu, T. Liu, C. Han, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. Wu, Q. Ren, X. Wang, J. Hong, J. Mao, Z. Han, K. Liu, Z. Gan, and J. Lu, Giant ferroelectric polarization in a bilayer graphene heterostructure, Nat. Commun. 13, 6241 (2022).
- [102] L. Li and M. Wu, Binary compound bilayer and multilayer with vertical polarizations: Two-dimensional ferroelectrics, multiferroics, and nanogenerators, ACS Nano 11, 6382 (2017).
- [103] Q. Yang, M. Wu, and J. Li, Origin of two-dimensional vertical ferroelectricity in WTe₂ bilayer and multilayer, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 9, 7160 (2018).
- [104] S. Zhou, J. Han, S. Dai, J. Sun, and D. J. Srolovitz, van der Waals bilayer energetics: Generalized stacking-fault energy of graphene, boron nitride, and graphene/boron nitride bilayers, Phys. Rev. B 92, 155438 (2015).
- [105] C. Wang, L. You, D. Cobden, and J. Wang, Towards twodimensional van der Waals ferroelectrics, Nat. Mater. 22, 542 (2023).

- [106] T. Zhong, L. Cheng, Y. Ren, and M. Wu, Theoretical studies of sliding ferroelectricity, magnetoelectric couplings, and piezomultiferroicity in two-dimensional magnetic materials, Chem. Phys. Lett. 818, 140430 (2023).
- [107] M. Wu and J. Li, Sliding ferroelectricity in 2D van der Waals materials: Related physics and future opportunities, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2115703118 (2021).
- [108] K. Chang, F. Küster, B. J. Miller, J.-R. Ji, J.-L. Zhang, P. Sessi, S. Barraza-Lopez, and S. S. P. Parkin, Microscopic manipulation of ferroelectric domains in SnSe monolayers at room temperature, Nano Lett. 20, 6590 (2020).
- [109] J.-P. Rivera, On definitions, units, measurements, tensor forms of the linear magnetoelectric effect and on a new dynamic method applied to Cr-Cl boracite, Ferroelectrics 161, 165 (1994).