Stacking-induced Chern insulator

Marwa Mannaï[®],¹ Jean-Noël Fuchs[®],^{2,*} Frédéric Piéchon[®],^{3,†} and Sonia Haddad^{®1,4,‡}

¹Laboratoire de Physique de la Matière Condensée, Faculté des Sciences de Tunis, Université Tunis El Manar,

Campus Universitaire 1060 Tunis, Tunisia

²Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique Théorique de la Matière Condensée, LPTMC, 75005 Paris, France

³Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique des Solides, 91405 Orsay, France

⁴Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan

(Received 22 August 2022; revised 27 November 2022; accepted 3 January 2023; published 12 January 2023)

Graphene can be turned into a semimetal with broken time-reversal symmetry by adding a valley-dependent pseudoscalar potential that shifts the Dirac point energies in opposite directions, as in the modified Haldane model. We consider a bilayer obtained by stacking two time-reversed copies of the modified Haldane model, where conduction and valence bands cross to give rise to a nodal line in each valley. In the AB stacking, the interlayer hopping lifts the degeneracy of the nodal lines and induces a band repulsion, leading surprisingly to a chiral insulator with a Chern number $C = \pm 2$. As a consequence, a pair of chiral edge states appears at the boundaries of a ribbon bilayer geometry. In contrast, the AA stacking does not show nontrivial topological phases. We discuss possible experimental implementations of our results.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.045117

I. INTRODUCTION

A Chern insulator [1-3] is a two-dimensional (2D) topological insulator with broken time-reversal symmetry (TRS), where chiral edge states emerge in a ribbon geometry with counterpropagating directions at the opposite boundaries of the strip. These edge states are the hallmark of the bulk topological properties described by a topological invariant, the Chern number *C*, indicating the number of chiral edge channels. A Chern insulator exhibits the quantum anomalous Hall effect (QAHE) [4–14] introduced by Haldane in his seminal paper [15].

The Haldane model [15] (HM) describes a honeycomb lattice with complex hopping integrals between next nearest neighbors (NNNs), creating staggered magnetic fluxes, which break TRS. The NNN hopping terms are characterized by a complex phase Φ which has the same sign in the two sublattices of the honeycomb lattice.

A modified Haldane model (mHM) has been proposed in Ref. [16] where TRS is broken by a valley-dependent pseudoscalar potential that shifts, oppositely, the energies of the Dirac points in the two valleys. The potential is generated by the sign flip of the complex phase Φ in one of the honeycomb sublattices. The system turns into a semimetal with a Fermi surface consisting, at half-filling, of a hole pocket, in a valley, and an equal-sized electron pocket in the opposite valley [Fig. 1(a)]. The so-called antichiral edge states [16] are expected to emerge in zigzag nanoribbons described by the mHM: they are unidirectional gapless edge modes that copropagate at the opposite ribbon boundaries and are counterbalanced by bulk states.

Imagine, now, a bilayer structure of the HM where the layers, indexed by l = 1, 2, host Haldane phases labeled by the Chern numbers C_l . A naive intuition tells us that the total Chern number is $C = C_1 + C_2$, as reported in Ref. [17] for the AA bilayer. In particular, a vanishing Chern number C = 0 is expected if the layers have opposite chiralities resulting from oppositely broken TRS [17] (see Appendix A). This expectation is at the heart of the Kane and Mele [18] idea, where the layer index is replaced by the spin projection, and the two opposite TRS copies of the spin-polarized HM give rise to a vanishing (\mathbb{Z}) Chern number. The latter leaves room for another (\mathbb{Z}_2) TRS protected topological invariant corresponding to a quantized spin Hall insulator.

In this paper, we consider two stacked layers of the mHM, where the semimetallic layers break TRS in opposite ways (Fig. 1) and have undefined Chern number $C_l = \emptyset$ (l = 1, 2). The resulting bilayer structure shows counterintuitive behaviors. Depending on the precise stacking order (AA, AB, or BA), we find that the resulting system may be gapless (AA) with $C = \emptyset$ or topologically gapped with a Chern number $C \neq C_1 + C_2$ but $C = \pm 2$ (AB/BA). In other words, and in contrast with the HM bilayer, the stacking order in the mHM bilayer is a key parameter controlling the gap opening and the emergence of chirality. Understanding the origin of the topological gapped phases and the corresponding chirality is the main objective of the present work.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we derive the Bloch Hamiltonian of a generic AB (BA) bilayer structure where the layers are time-reversed copies of the mHM. We show that the system turns, under a finite interlayer hopping, to an insulator belonging to the symmetry class A [19]. In Sec. III, we derive, based on a perturbative approach in the

^{*}fuchs@lptmc.jussieu.fr

[†]frederic.piechon@universite-paris-saclay.fr

^{*}sonia.haddad@fst.utm.tn



FIG. 1. (a) Fermi surface of the monolayer mHM at half-filling. A valley-dependent scalar potential shifts, oppositely, the energies of the Dirac cones. The Fermi surface consists of an electron pocket (blue line) in one valley and a hole pocket (red line) in the opposite valley. (b),(c) Nodal lines (red and blue lines) of the mHM on uncoupled bilayers where the intralayer pseudoscalar potential has opposite signs corresponding (b) to $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$, and (c) to phases of opposite signs and unequal magnitudes ($\Phi_1 \Phi_2 < 0$), where Φ_l (l = 1, 2) is the intralayer complex phase of the NNN hopping integrals.

large interlayer coupling limit, the analytical expression of the Chern number *C* of this insulating phase, which is found to be $C = \pm 2$, in agreement with our numerical calculations. To highlight the presence of the chiral edge states of the $C = \pm 2$ phase, we present, in Sec. IV, numerical electronic band structures calculated in a ribbon geometry of mHM bilayers. In Sec. V, a heuristic argument is presented to explain the emergence of chirality in the mHM AB (BA) bilayer, and its absence in the AA bilayer. In Sec. VI, we discuss possible experimental realizations of our findings in real and artificial materials. The concluding Sec. VII summarizes our results. The paper also contains five appendices providing detailed numerical and analytical results.

II. BILAYER MODIFIED HALDANE MODEL

We start with the HM on a honeycomb lattice with a unit cell containing two different types of atoms denoted A and B. The corresponding spinless fermionic Hamiltonian is [15]

$$H_{\rm H} = t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + t_2 \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} e^{-i\Phi_{ij}} c_i^{\dagger} c_j + \sum_i M_i c_i^{\dagger} c_i, \quad (1)$$

where *t* (t_2) is the hopping integral to first (second) nearest neighbors, c_i annihilate a spinless fermion on atom (*i*), $\Phi_{ij} = v_{ij}\Phi$ is the complex phase of NNN hopping integrals, and $v_{ij} = \pm 1$ according to the pattern given in Fig. 2(b). The last term describes the Semenoff masses where $M_i = M$ (-M) for A (B) atoms.

In the sublattice pseudospin basis, the HM Bloch Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_{\rm H}(\mathbf{k}) = a_{\mathbf{k}}^0 \sigma_0 + b_{\mathbf{k}} \sigma_x + c_{\mathbf{k}} \sigma_y + (a_{\mathbf{k}} + M) \sigma_z, \qquad (2)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ and σ_0 are, respectively, the sublattice Pauli and 2×2 identity matrices, $b_{\mathbf{k}} = \operatorname{Re}(f_{\mathbf{k}})$, $c_{\mathbf{k}} = -\operatorname{Im}(f_{\mathbf{k}})$, $f_{\mathbf{k}} = t \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\boldsymbol{\delta}_i}$, $a_{\mathbf{k}}^0 = 2t_2 \cos \Phi \sum_{i=1}^{3} \cos(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}_i)$, and $a_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t_2 \sin \Phi \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}_i)$ is the Haldane mass. The vectors $\boldsymbol{\delta}_i$ (i = 1, 2, 3) connect an atom to its first neighbors, and $(\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2)$ is the Bravais lattice basis given by [Fig. 2(a)] $\mathbf{a}_1 = \sqrt{3}a\mathbf{e}_x$, $\mathbf{a}_2 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}a\mathbf{e}_x + a\frac{3}{2}\mathbf{e}_y$, where *a* is the distance between nearest neighbors. We also define $\mathbf{a}_3 = -(\mathbf{a}_1 + \mathbf{a}_2)$ (see Appendix A).

The modified Haldane model can be derived from the HM [Eq. (2)] by flipping the sign of the phase Φ on one sublattice, as shown in Fig. 2(c). The corresponding Hamiltonian [20] can be deduced from Eq. (2) by changing $a_k \sigma_z$ by $a_k \sigma_0$,

$$H_{\rm mH}(\mathbf{k}) = \left(a_{\mathbf{k}} + a_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}\right)\sigma_{0} + b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{x} + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{y} + M\sigma_{z},\qquad(3)$$

which describes a semimetal (due to band overlap) for $|M| \leq M_c \equiv 3\sqrt{3}t_2 \sin \Phi$, and a trivial insulator otherwise. In the gapless phase, antichiral edge states emerge at the boundaries of a ribbon structure [16].

Since $a_{\mathbf{k}} = -a_{-\mathbf{k}}$ for $\Phi \neq 0 \mod [\pi]$, the HM and the mHM break TRS as $\mathcal{T}^{\dagger}H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{T} \neq H_{\alpha}(-\mathbf{k})$, where $\alpha =$ HM, mHM, $\mathcal{T} = K$, and K denotes complex conjugation. Charge conjugation $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z K$ and the sublattice chiral symmetries $\mathcal{S} = \sigma_z$ are also broken as $\mathcal{C}^{\dagger}H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{C} \neq -H_{\alpha}(-\mathbf{k})$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\dagger}H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{S} \neq -H_{\alpha}(\mathbf{k})$. The HM belongs to the A class of topological insulators [19] characterized by a \mathbb{Z} invariant (Chern number) [21], while the mHM is a semimetal or a trivial insulator as stated before.

As the AA-stacked mHM bilayer does not show nontrivial topological behavior (see Appendix B), we consider the AB-stacked bilayer and denote by Φ_l (l = 1, 2) the complex phase in layer (l) (see Appendix C). The mHM in the Bernal bilayer is described by the following Bloch Hamiltonian written, in the basis of the four orbitals forming the unit cell



FIG. 2. (a) Modified Haldane model on AB stacked bilayer. The monolayer unit-cell atoms are denoted by A_l and B_l , where l = 1, 2 is the layer index. δ_i (i = 1, 2, 3) are the vectors connecting nearest-neighboring (NN) atoms, ($\mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{a}_2$) is the lattice basis, and Φ is the phase of the complex NNN hoppings. The solid (dashed) arrows indicate the pattern of the complex NNN hopping terms in the sublattice A (B). The blue and orange lines correspond, respectively, to layers 1 and 2. (b) NNN hopping in the Haldane model and (c) in the modified Haldane model.

(A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2) [Fig. 2(a)], as

$$H_{\rm B}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{1,\mathbf{k}} + M_1 & f_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & 2t_{\perp} \\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & A_{1,\mathbf{k}} - M_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_{2,\mathbf{k}} + M_2 & f_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 2t_{\perp} & 0 & f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & A_{2,\mathbf{k}} - M_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}$$

where we only considered the interlayer coupling $2t_{\perp}$ between dimer sites (A_1, B_2) . Here M_l (l = 1, 2) is the layer Semenoff mass, and $A_{l,\mathbf{k}} = a_{l,\mathbf{k}} + a_{l,\mathbf{k}}^0$, where

$$a_{l,\mathbf{k}} = -2t_2 \sin \Phi_l \sum_{i=1}^3 \sin (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i),$$

$$a_{l,\mathbf{k}}^0 = 2t_2 \cos \Phi_l \sum_{i=1}^3 \cos (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{a}_i).$$
 (5)

Introducing the layer pseudospin τ Pauli matrices and the corresponding identity matrix τ_0 , $H_B(\mathbf{k})$ [Eq. (4)] reduces to

$$H_{B}(\mathbf{k}) = (b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{x} + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{y})\tau_{0} + 2t_{\perp}(\sigma_{+}\tau_{+} + \sigma_{-}\tau_{-}) + \frac{1}{2}(A_{1} + A_{2})\sigma_{0}\tau_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(A_{1} - A_{2})\sigma_{0}\tau_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} + M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} - M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{z}, \quad (6)$$

where $\sigma_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)$ and $\tau_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\tau_x \pm i\tau_y)$.

This Hamiltonian has some similarity with the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (1) in Ref. [22], where the authors have studied a modified Kane and Mele [18] model of a graphene layer on a substrate. The Hamiltonian of Ref. [22] belongs to the class AII [19,23] characterized by a \mathbb{Z}_2 invariant, whereas the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (6) belongs to class A [19,23] labeled by a \mathbb{Z} invariant. Indeed, since $a_{l,-\mathbf{k}} = -a_{l,\mathbf{k}}$ [Eq. (5)], the system breaks TRS, $\mathcal{T} = K$, the charge conjugation represented by $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z \tau_0 K$, with $\mathcal{C}^2 = 1$ and the chirality $\mathcal{S} = \tau_0 \sigma_z$ [24].

To discuss the topological class of the system, one needs to analyze the presence of gaps in the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian $H_B(\mathbf{k})$ [Eq. (6)] and, in particular, for vanishing Semenoff masses ($M_l = 0$, l = 1, 2) where both layers are semimetals.

We consider, for simplicity, the case in which $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ to drop the energy shift term $a_{l,\mathbf{k}}^0$ [Eq. (5)], which does not affect the band topology. In this case, the energy spectrum of $H_B(\mathbf{k})$ [Eq. (6)] shows a particle-hole symmetry [25] and is given by

$$E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha_1 \sqrt{A_{\mathbf{k}} + \alpha_2 B_{\mathbf{k}}},\tag{7}$$

where $\alpha_i = \pm 1$ and

$$A_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + 2t_{\perp}^2, \ B_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\sqrt{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 (a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + t_{\perp}^2) + t_{\perp}^4}.$$
 (8)

The eigenenergies given by Eq. (7) obey the inequalities $E_{-,+}(\mathbf{k}) \leq E_{-,-}(\mathbf{k}) \leq E_{+,-}(\mathbf{k}) \leq E_{+,+}(\mathbf{k})$, and the gap separating the energy bands around the zero energy is $\Delta = \min_{\mathbf{k}} (\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}) = 2\sqrt{A_{\mathbf{k}} - B_{\mathbf{k}}}$. The gap closing condition is

$$\left(|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 - a_{\mathbf{k}}^2\right)^2 = -4a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 t_{\perp}^2,\tag{9}$$

which can be satisfied in two cases: (i) for $a_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ ($t_2 = 0$), which corresponds to an AB graphene bilayer with only

nearest-neighboring (NN) hopping terms and a quadratic contact point between the bands at zero energy [26]; and (ii) for uncoupled layers ($t_{\perp} = 0$) where Eq. (9) reduces to $|a_{\mathbf{k}}| =$ $|f_{\mathbf{k}}|$, which defines two nonintersecting closed loops in the Brillouin zone (BZ) and results in two valley closed Fermi lines, originating from two overlapping bands (Fig. 1). The gap [Eq. (9)] is therefore finite as soon as t_{\perp} and t_2 are nonzero [27].

At this point, a question arises: what are the possible values of the Chern number *C* of the gapped phases resulting from the instability of the Fermi lines? Numerically, we find that $C = \pm 2$ when the two phases $\Phi_l \in [-\pi, \pi]$ (l = 1, 2) are of opposite signs $(\Phi_1 \Phi_2 < 0)$ and that the system is gapless when they are of the same sign.

To understand these findings, we proceed in two steps. We first derive an effective 2×2 Hamiltonian in the limit of large interlayer coupling ($t_2 \ll t_{\perp}$) at which it is simple to get an analytical expression of the Chern number of the highest filled energy band, denoted $E_{-,-}(\mathbf{k})$ [Eq. (7)] around half-filling. Restricting the analytical calculations to this limit is fully justified in the case of vanishing Semenoff masses since the topology of the system is unchanged when the ratio t_2/t_{\perp} crosses from $t_2/t_{\perp} \ll 1$ to the opposite limit $t_2/t_{\perp} \gg 1$. The reason is that the gap does not close as soon as $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ or/and t_{\perp} are turned on [Eq. (9)], which prevents any topological phase transition.

In a second step, we calculate the energy-spectrum of the mHM on AB-stacked nanoribbons to bring out the signature of the chiral edge states corresponding to the bulk Chern insulating phase.

III. EFFECTIVE TWO-BAND MODEL

In the limit of large interlayer coupling $(t_2 \ll t_{\perp})$, the energy bands corresponding to the dimer (A_1, B_2) , coupled by t_{\perp} , are pushed away from zero energy. The lowest energy bands around half-filling can then be described by an effective 2×2 model written in the subspace of the uncoupled orbitals A_2 and B_1 .

To derive the low-energy Hamiltonian, we use the Löwdin partitioning method [26,28]. The effective 2×2 Hamiltonian reduces to (see Appendix D)

$$H_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{k}) = -2t_{\perp} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}^2}{X^2} \sigma_+ - 2t_{\perp} \frac{f_{\mathbf{k}}^{*2}}{X^2} \sigma_- + \left[\frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_2) - a_{\mathbf{k}} \left(1 - \frac{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{X^2}\right)\right] \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2}(M_2 - M_1)\sigma_0, \quad (10)$$

where the σ Pauli matrices are now written in the (A_2, B_1) basis and $X^2 = (a_k + M_1)(a_k + M_2) + 4t_1^2$. For $M_1 = M_2 = 0$, the eigenenergies are $E_{\text{eff},\pm}(\mathbf{k}) = \pm \sqrt{a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 (1 - \frac{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2}{X^2})^2 + 4t_{\perp}^2 \frac{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^4}{X^4}}$. $E_{\text{eff},-}$ is equal, to the leading order in $\frac{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|}{t_{\perp}}$, to $E_{--}(\mathbf{k})$ [Eqs. (7) and (8)].

To characterize the topology of the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (10), we consider the limit M_l , $t_2 \ll t_{\perp}$ (l = 1, 2) and expand H_{eff} around the Dirac points $\xi \mathbf{K}$, where $\xi = \pm$ is the valley index, so that Eq. (10) becomes (see Appendix D)

$$H_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{q}) = -\frac{\hbar^2 v^2}{t_\perp} (q_x^2 - q_y^2) \sigma_x + 2\tau_z \frac{\hbar^2 v^2}{t_\perp} q_x q_y \sigma_y + \left[\frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_2) - \operatorname{sgn}(\Phi_1) 3\sqrt{3}t_2 \tau_z\right] \sigma_z + \frac{1}{2}(M_2 - M_1)\sigma_0,$$
(11)

where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{k} - \xi \mathbf{K}$ and $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$. The two first terms in Eq. (11) describe the low-energy Hamiltonian of a Bernal bilayer graphene [26], while the σ_z term contains both a Haldane $a_{\mathbf{k}} \sim 3\sqrt{3}t_2\xi$ and a Semenoff $\frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_2)$ mass term.

The Chern number associated with the lowest band of this two-band Hamiltonian is [29]

$$C = \sum_{\xi} \frac{1}{2} \chi \operatorname{sgn}(m_{\xi}), \qquad (12)$$

where $m_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2}(M_1 + M_2) - \text{sgn}(\Phi_1)3\sqrt{3}t_2\xi$ is the total mass and $\chi = -2\xi$ is the chirality of the quadratic band contact point [26].

For vanishing Semenoff masses $(M_l = 0)$ where topological phase transitions are prohibited, $\operatorname{sgn}(m_{\xi}) = -\operatorname{sgn}(\Phi_1)\xi$, which gives $C = \operatorname{sgn}(\Phi_1)2$. This result is in agreement with our numerical calculations of the Chern number *C* of the four energy bands $E_{\alpha_1,\alpha_2}(\mathbf{k})$ [Eqs. (7) and (8)]: $C_{-,+} = 0$, $C_{-,-} = \pm 2$, $C_{+,-} = \mp 2$, and $C_{+,+} = 0$, giving rise, for the occupied bands, to a total Chern number C = 2(-2) for $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}(-\frac{\pi}{2})$.

The chiral insulating phases occur in the case in which the complex phases Φ_1 and Φ_2 have opposite signs and the Chern number of the lowest energy band is defined as far as the system is gapped (see Appendix E).

IV. ZIGZAG AND ARMCHAIR RIBBONS

Figure 3 shows the band structure of AB stacked nanoribbons with zigzag boundaries described by the mHM with complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. For a nonvanishing interlayer coupling t_{\perp} , a gap opens at half-filling and two pairs of chiral edge states emerge.

The corresponding probabilities are depicted in Fig. 3(d), indicating that the two edge modes, appearing at the left side of the ribbon, have roughly equal weight on the two layers and have the same velocity. Similarly, the right boundary also supports two edge modes, but counterpropagating with the left side channels. This feature confirms that the coupled semimetal ribbons turn into a topological Chern insulator with a Chern number C = 2. By flipping the signs of the complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, the direction of propagation of the chiral edge states at each boundary is reversed, which results in a Chern number C = -2.



FIG. 3. Band structure of an AB bilayer mHM on zigzag nanoribbons of a width W = 60 atoms. Calculations are done for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $M_1 = M_2 = 0$. The interlayer hopping is (a) $t_{\perp} = 0$, (b) $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, and (c) $t_{\perp} = 0.8t$. (d) Probability distributions of the edge states denoted by A, B, C, and D in (c) located at the energy indicated by the dashed line. Sites numbered 1–60 (61–120) belong to the first (second) layer.

In Fig. 4, we represent the band structure of the mHM bilayer ribbons with armchair boundaries in the case of $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and for vanishing Semenoff masses. Under the interlayer coupling, the system becomes a C = 2 Chern insulator.

The analytical expression of the Chern number [Eq. (12)] is derived in the limit M_l , $t_2 \ll t_{\perp}$. To go beyond this limit, we perform numerical calculations for different values of M_l , Φ_l , t_2 , and t_{\perp} (see Appendix E).

For uncoupled layers, the closed Fermi lines survive if the layers remain semimetallic, which is the case for $|M_l| \le M_{lc} \equiv 3\sqrt{3}t_2 |\sin \Phi_l|$, (l = 1, 2), where the critical mass M_{lc} marks the transition between the semimetallic phase $(M_l \le M_{lc})$ to the gapped phase $(M_l > M_{lc})$ of the monolayer mHM. By turning on the interlayer coupling, our numerical



FIG. 4. (a) Band structure of an AB bilayer mHM on armchair nanoribbons of a width W = 70 atoms and for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $t_{\perp} = 0.8t$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$, and $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. (b) Corresponding probability distributions of the edge states denoted by A, B, C, and D in (a). Sites numbered 1–70 (71–140) belong to the first (second) layer.



FIG. 5. Schematic interpretation of the stacking-dependent chirality of the mHM bilayers in the case of opposite complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$. (a) In the AB stacking, the interplane hopping t_{\perp} couples the fluxes of A_1 and B_2 sublattices flowing in the same direction, giving rise to a dominant clockwise chirality and a pair of chiral edge states in each layer. (b) In the AA stacked layers, the A_1 (B_1) and the A_2 (B_2) sublattices have opposite fluxes with no dominant chirality.

calculations show that, for realistic hopping integrals $(t_{\perp} \sim t_2)$ the system is gapped and becomes a Chern insulator. The corresponding Chern number is $C = \pm 2$ regarding the presence of two channels of chiral edge states at each boundary of a bilayer strip. If the Semenoff mass overcomes the Haldane mass $|M_{lc}| < |M_l|$, the monolayers are trivial insulators and the interlayer hopping t_{\perp} brings the mHM bilayer to a trivial gapped phase with a vanishing Chern number C = 0, regardless of the stacking order (AA, AB, or BA) (see Appendix E).

In the case of the AA bilayer mHM, the system turns into a semimetal (trivial insulator) in the absence (presence) of Semenoff mass terms, regardless of the nature of the ribbon's boundaries (see Appendix B).

V. STACKING-INDUCED CHIRALITY

We now explain how the chirality emerges due to the stacking order of the layers. This feature can be understood from the schematic representation of the interlayer hopping illustrated in Fig. 5, where we consider the case of opposite complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$. Imagine a situation of finite t_2 and of slowly turning on t_{\perp} in order to see the emergence of a Chern (trivial) insulator in the case of AB (AA) stacking. In AB-stacked bilayers, the interlayer hopping couples the sublattice A_1 and B_2 where the fluxes flow in the same direction [see Fig. 5(a)]. Consequently, the dimer chirality dominates and gives rise to a pair of chiral edge states at each layer end. If the interlayer hopping concerns the B_1 and A_2 atoms (BA stacking), the flux flows are flipped in comparison with the case in which the dimer is (A_1, B_2) and the system gains an opposite chirality.

However, in the AA stacked bilayer mHM, the A_1 and A_2 sublattices have opposite fluxes, which cannot result in a dominant chirality [see Fig. 5(b)], and the system, if gapped, cannot support chiral edge states (see Appendixes A and B).

When stacking a layer with its time-reversed copy, the intuition is that time-reversal symmetry should be restored and therefore the bilayer should not be chiral. This is indeed what occurs for AA stacking (see Appendix B). However, AB/BA stacking favors one chirality by explicitly breaking the symmetry between the two layers.

One could also imagine a situation in which AA stacking becomes unstable and spontaneously chooses between AB and BA stacking. A possible mechanism would be similar to a Peierls instability, in which a loss in elastic (lattice deformation) energy is compensated by a gap opening leading to a gain in electronic energy. The net result would be a spontaneous time-reversal symmetry breaking and the spontaneous emergence of a Chern insulator (see, e.g., Ref. [30] for a similar idea). We leave such a study to future work.

VI. POSSIBLE EXPERIMENTAL REALIZATIONS

How do we implement experimentally the stackinginduced $C = \pm 2$ Chern insulator in a bilayer of mHM? The experimental realization of such a phase depends on the stateof-the-art of the implementation of the monolayer mHM in real systems.

As shown in Fig. 6, the realization of this intriguing Chern insulator requires complex phases with opposite signs $(\Phi_1\Phi_2 < 0)$ and not necessarily $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pi/2$, which we have considered in our calculations for the sake of simplicity (Fig. 12).

A first implementation of such a phase could be achieved in electric circuits [31,32] and photonic crystals [33,34] where two layers of artificial mHM, with complex phases of opposite signs, are coupled by an interlayer tunneling.

It is worth noting that the monolayer mHM has not yet been realized in real materials. Colomés and Franz [16] proposed the hexagonal transition-metal dichalcogenide (TMD) monolayers, and in particular WSe₂, as excellent candidates to realize the mHM.

Based on this idea, we propose that a Bernal bilayer of WSe₂ may be a platform to realize the $C = \pm 2$ stacking-induced Chern insulator.

To have opposite signs for the complex phases in both layers ($\Phi_1 \Phi_2 < 0$), we propose that one layer should be hole-doped while the other electron-doped. This requirement could be understood from Fig. 4(a) of Ref. [16] showing the band structure of WSe₂ nanoribbon where the edge states in the valence band (VB) and the conduction band (CB) have opposite group velocities. If the Fermi level crosses the edge state of the VB (CB), the system may mimic a monolayer mHM with a positive (negative) complex phase Φ (Fig. 12) (see Appendix E).

We then expect to realize the Chern $C = \pm 2$ gapped phase in an AB stacked bilayer of a hole-doped *h*-WSe₂ and an electron-doped *e*-WSe₂. The electron and hole doping of WSe₂ have already been achieved using substitutional doping [35] and field-induced electron doping [36].



FIG. 6. Summary of the stacking-dependent properties of the Haldane (HM) and the modified Haldane (mHM) models in bilayer honeycomb lattices. Φ_l (l = 1, 2) is the complex phase of the NNN hopping term in layer l, C_l is the corresponding Chern number, while *C* is the total Chern number of the lowest occupied bands at half-filling. $C = \emptyset$ means an undefined Chern number. The yellow cells indicate the case showing unexpected topological behavior (i.e., $C \neq C_1 + C_2$), which has been studied in the main text. The other cases are discussed in Appendixes A, B, and E.

What are the experimental fingerprints of the $C = \pm 2$ Chern phase in bilayer stacked mHM?

The Hall resistance is expected to be quantized as $R_{xy} = \pm 2 \frac{h}{e^2}$ [11]. Dissipationless transport properties of the chiral edge states could also be used to probe the emergence of the chiral modes [37].

Scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) has been widely used to map the gapless edge states of topological materials [38]. Within this technique, the differential tunneling conductance $\frac{dI}{dV}$, which measures the local density of states, is expected to show a pronounced step edge within the gap of the h-WSe₂/e-WSe₂ AB-bilayer, indicating the presence of chiral edge states crossing the Chern gap [38]. Moreover, STM in a finite magnetic field [39] can also be used to uncover the topological nature of the gapped phase of h-WSe₂/e-WSe₂ AB-bilayer and the corresponding Chern number based on the Landau fan diagram. Local compressibility measurements using a scanning single-electron transistor [40] are a powerful probe to detect the incompressible chiral edge states and to encode their Chern number indexation. Atomic force microscopy [41] and angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy [42] are also possible techniques to reveal the presence of the chiral edge states.

VII. CONCLUSION

We discussed the topological properties of the modified Haldane model [16] in a bilayer of a honeycomb lattice where the TRS is broken, oppositely, in the uncoupled semimetallic layers. We found that, in the AB stacked bilayer, the interlayer hopping drives the system into a topological insulator, with a Chern number $C = \pm 2$. The smoking gun of this insulating phase is the systematic emergence of two channels of chiral edge states at the boundaries of the AB bilayer ribbons, regardless of the boundary nature (zigzag/armchair) of the ribbons [43,44]. However, the modified Haldane model in the AA stacked bilayer is found to be a semimetal or a trivial insulator depending on the value of the Semenoff masses. Our results are schematically summarized in Fig. 6, where we also give the behavior of the HM in AA and AB stacked bilayers. This stacking-induced Chern insulator could be readily realized in bilayers of electrical circuits [31] and photonic crystals [33] by coupling two time-reversal copies of the mHM, which was already implemented in a microwave-scale gyromagnetic photonic crystal [34] and in electrical circuits [32]. An experimental implementation with real material can be achieved in the hexagonal WSe₂, where the Dirac cone shift is due to spinorbit coupling [16]. We propose that a Chern insulator with $C = \pm 2$ can be hosted by a Bernal bilayer of WSe₂ where one layer is hole-doped while the other is electron-doped. The present work could be extended to multilayer structures of semimetals with broken TRS, opening the way to tunable Chern insulators, as realized with heterostructures of topological insulators [45]. We also expect a twist-induced Chern insulator [46] in a moiré superlattice of twisted honeycomb bilayers [47], where AA stacked domains form a triangular lattice alternating with AB and BA regions. A gapless (or a gapped trivial insulating) state, emerging in the AA domains, may coexist with Chern insulating phases in the AB and the BA regions with, respectively, a Chern number $C = \pm 2$ and **∓**2 **[46]**.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Tunisian Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research. S.H. acknowledges the LPS in Orsay for financial support and kind hospitality. J.N.F. acknowledges financial support from Institut de Science des Matériaux (iMAT) at Sorbonne Université. We thank A. Meszaros for fruitful discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript. We also acknowledge B. Zhang, Y. Chong, and Y. Yang for discussions about the experimental implementation of our results.

APPENDIX A: AA AND AB BILAYER HALDANE MODEL

We consider a HM bilayer with AB or AA stackings, where the layers are assumed to have complex NNN phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, to drop the global energy shift $a_k^0 = 0$ [Eq. (5) of the main text], which does not affect the topology of the system. On the basis of the four orbitals forming the unit



FIG. 7. Tight-binding calculations of the electronic band structure of an AB bilayer HM on zigzag nanoribbons of a width W =60 atoms. The interlayer hopping is (a) $t_{\perp} = 0$, (b) $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, and (c) $t_{\perp} = 0.8t$. Calculations are done for $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = M_2 =$ 0, and $t_2 = 0.1t$, where t is the NN hopping integral.

cell (A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2) , the corresponding Hamiltonians can be written as

$$H_{\text{AA-HM}}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_{1} & f_{\mathbf{k}} & 2t_{\perp} & 0\\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & -a_{\mathbf{k}} - M_{1} & 0 & 2t_{\perp}\\ 2t_{\perp} & 0 & -a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_{2} & f_{\mathbf{k}}\\ 0 & 2t_{\perp} & f_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & a_{\mathbf{k} - M_{2}} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_{\text{AB-HM}}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_{1} & f_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & 2t_{\perp}\\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & -a_{\mathbf{k}} - M_{1} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_{2} & f_{\mathbf{k}}\\ 2t_{\perp} & 0 & f_{\mathbf{k}}^{*} & a_{\mathbf{k} - M_{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(A2)

These Hamiltonians can be expressed, using the sublattice and the layer pseudospin matrices σ and τ , as

$$H_{\text{AA-HM}}(\mathbf{k}) = (b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{x} + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{y})\tau_{0} + 2t_{\perp}\sigma_{0}\tau_{x} + a_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{z}\tau_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} + M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} - M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{z}, \quad (A3)$$
$$H_{\text{AB-HM}}(\mathbf{k}) = (b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{x} + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{y})\tau_{0} + t_{\perp}(\sigma_{x}\tau_{x} - \sigma_{y}\tau_{y}) + a_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_{z}\tau_{z} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} + M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{0} + \frac{1}{2}(M_{1} - M_{2})\sigma_{z}\tau_{z}, \quad (A4)$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by Eq. (5) in the main text.

 H_{AA-HM} (H_{AB-HM}) breaks TRS $\mathcal{T} = K$, the charge conjugation, represented by $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z \tau_z K$ ($\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z \tau_0 K$) with $\mathcal{C}^2 = \mathbb{1}$, and the chirality $\mathcal{S} = \tau_z \sigma_z$ ($\mathcal{S} = \tau_0 \sigma_z$).

In the following, we will show, based on numerical bandstructure calculations on bilayer ribbons, that coupling two HM with opposite chiralities ($C_1 = -C_2$), resulting from oppositely broken TRS ($\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$), gives rise, as expected, to a trivial Chern insulator with $C = C_1 + C_2 = 0$. We will discuss the stacking order, the nature of the ribbon edges (zigzag or armchair), and the effect of the intralayer Semenoff masses M_l , where l = 1, 2 is the layer index. The case of AA stacking was discussed in Ref. [17] for a fixed value of the mass term M_l .

Figure 7 shows the band structure of the AB bilayer HM on zigzag ribbons for $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$, and at different values of the interlayer hopping t_{\perp} . Starting from uncoupled ($t_{\perp} = 0$) chiral layers, with equal Chern number $C_1 = C_2 = \pm 1$, the system turns, under the interlayer coupling, into a Chern insulator with a Chern number $C = \pm 2$ characterized by a pair of chiral edge states propagating at the boundaries of each layer as shown in Fig. 6 of the main text.



FIG. 8. Electronic band structure of an AB bilayer HM on zigzag nanoribbons of a width W = 60 atoms. Calculations are done for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$ and for (a) $M_1 = M_2 = 0$, (b) $M_1 = M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, (c) $M_1 = -M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, (d) $M_1 = 0, M_2 = 3\sqrt{3}t_2$, (e) $M_1 = 0, M_2 = 5\sqrt{3}t_2$, and (f) $M_1 = 5\sqrt{3}t_2, M_2 = 5\sqrt{3}t_2$.

As shown in Fig. 8, the $C = \pm 2$ Chern insulating phase occurs as far as the Semenoff mass $|M_l| < |M_{lc}|$, where

$$M_{lc} = 3\sqrt{3t_2}\sin\Phi_l. \tag{A5}$$

 M_{lc} is the critical mass at which the transition from a topological phase ($C_l = \pm 1$) to a trivial gapped phase ($C_l = 0$) takes place in the monolayer HM [15].

This behavior is independent of the nature (zigzag or armchair) of the ribbon boundaries as shown in Fig. 9.

Regardless of the stacking type (AB or AA), the bilayer HM is [17] (i) a trivial insulator if the layers have opposite Chern numbers $C_1 = -C_2$; (ii) a topological chiral insulator with $C = \pm 2$ if the layers have the same chirality ($C_1 = C_2$); and (iii) a Chern insulator with $C = \pm 1$ if one layer has a nonvanishing Chern number $C_1 = \pm 1$ and the other layer is a trivial insulator $C_2 = 0$, as depicted in Fig. 10 showing the band structure of an AA bilayer HM on zigzag ribbons.

APPENDIX B: MODIFIED HALDANE MODEL IN THE AA BILAYER

Figure 11 shows the band structure of the mHM in AA stacked ribbons with zigzag and armchair boundaries in the case of opposite complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$. In the absence



FIG. 9. Electronic band structure of an AB bilayer HM on (a) zigzag and (b) armchair nanoribbons of a width W = 60 atoms. Calculations are done for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, and $M_1 = M_2 = 0$.



FIG. 10. Electronic band structure of an AA bilayer HM on zigzag nanoribbons of a width W = 60 atoms for $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$: (a) $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$; (b) $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$; (c) $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 5\sqrt{3}t_2$; and (d) $\Phi_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $\Phi_2 = 0$, $M_1 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, $M_2 = 0$. Calculations are done for $t_2 = 0.1t$ in (a), (b), and (d) and $t_2 = 0.2t$ in (c).

of the Semenoff masses ($M_1 = M_2 = 0$), the system remains gapless under the interlayer coupling. However, it becomes a trivial insulator if the layers have Semenoff mass terms.

Therefore, in the absence of the Semenoff masses, the Fermi surface (Fig. 1) of the mHM in AA stacked bilayer



FIG. 11. Band structure of the mHM on AA nanoribbons of a width of W = 60 atoms with (a),(c),(d) zigzag and (b) armchair boundaries. Calculations are done for (a) $t_2 = 0.1t$, $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, (b) $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$; while in (c) $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = -M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, and (d) $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$.

is, contrary to the AB stacking, stable against the interlayer hopping, which cannot induce a gap opening.

To understand the Fermi surface stability, we start by writing the corresponding Hamiltonian on the basis of the four orbitals forming the unit cell (A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2) , and we consider, for simplicity, the case of opposite complex NNN phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ to have a vanishing global energy shift $[a_k^0 = 0, \text{Eq. } (5) \text{ of the main text}]$

$$H_{\text{AA-mHM}}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} & f_{\mathbf{k}} & 2t_{\perp} & 0\\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & a_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & 2t_{\perp}\\ 2t_{\perp} & 0 & -a_{\mathbf{k}} & f_{\mathbf{k}}\\ 0 & 2t_{\perp} & f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & -a_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (B1)

This Hamiltonian can be written, using the sublattice and the layer pseudospin matrices σ and τ , as

$$H_{\text{AA-mHM}}(\mathbf{k}) = (b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_x + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_y)\tau_0 + 2t_{\perp}\sigma_0\tau_x + a_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_0\tau_z, \quad (B2)$$

where $a_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by Eq. (5) in the main text.

The Hamiltonian of Eq. (B2) breaks TRS, $\mathcal{T} = K\tau_x$, the charge conjugation, represented by $\mathcal{C} = \sigma_z \tau_z K$ with $\mathcal{C}^2 = \mathbb{1}$, and the chirality $\mathcal{S} = \tau_z \sigma_z$.

The gap separating the two bands, $E_{-,-}(\mathbf{k})$ and $E_{+,-}(\mathbf{k})$, around the zero energy is $\Delta = \min_{\mathbf{k}}(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}})$, where

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\sqrt{A_{\mathbf{k}} - B_{\mathbf{k}}}, \ A_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} + 4t_{\perp}^{2},$$
$$B_{\mathbf{k}} = 2|f_{\mathbf{k}}|\sqrt{a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + 4t_{\perp}^{2}}.$$
(B3)

 $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ leads to

$$|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 = a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + 4t_{\perp}^2, \tag{B4}$$

which defines a closed Fermi line.

For $a_k = 0$, Eq. (B4) corresponds to the Fermi line of the AA graphene bilayer in the absence of NNN hopping terms. For $t_{\perp} = 0$, Eq. (B4) describes the mHM in AA bilayer with a particle-hole Fermi line obeying to $|f_k| = |a_k|$.

By turning on t_{\perp} , this Fermi line is simply shifted but it cannot be gapped [Eq. (B4)]. The mHM on AA bilayer remains, then, metallic for vanishing Semenoff masses.

APPENDIX C: PHASE TRANSITION FROM THE AB TO THE BA BILAYER OF THE MODIFIED HALDANE MODEL

We consider a generic Hamiltonian that, continuously, interpolates between AB ($\theta = 0$) and BA ($\theta = \pi/2$) stackings of two single graphene layers described by the mHM. The interlayer hopping term, for a given value of θ between these limits, does not represent physical coupling. We take, for simplicity, vanishing Semenoff masses and in-plane complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The Bloch Hamiltonian written in the basis (A_1, B_1, A_2, B_2) is

$$H_B(\mathbf{k},\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} & f_{\mathbf{k}} & 0 & 2t_{\perp}c_{\theta} \\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & a_{\mathbf{k}} & 2t_{\perp}s_{\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 2t_{\perp}s_{\theta} & -a_{\mathbf{k}} & f_{\mathbf{k}} \\ 2t_{\perp}c_{\theta} & 0 & f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & -a_{\mathbf{k}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (C1)$$

where $f_{\mathbf{k}} = t \sum_{i=1}^{3} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\delta_i}$ and $a_{\mathbf{k}} = -2t_2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \sin(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{a}_i)$. The AB (BA) stacking corresponds to $c_{\theta} \equiv \cos\theta = 1$ and $s_{\theta} \equiv \sin\theta = 0$ ($c_{\theta} = 0, s_{\theta} = 1$).



FIG. 12. Band structure of the mHM in AB stacked bilayer ribbons of a width W = 60 atoms, for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, $M_1 = M_2 = 0$; (a) $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\Phi_1 = \frac{\pi}{3}$, $\Phi_2 = -\frac{\pi}{4}$; and (c) $\Phi_1 = 0$, $\Phi_2 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$.

By varying θ , the system can be interpolated between the two stacking configurations, without going through the AA stacking. Using the intralayer and interlayer pseudospin matrices σ and τ , the Bloch Hamiltonian of Eq. (C1) becomes

$$H_B(\mathbf{k},\theta) = (b_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_x + c_{\mathbf{k}}\sigma_y)\tau_0 + 2t_{\perp}[(c_{\theta}\sigma_+ + s_{\theta}\sigma_-)\tau_+ + 2t_{\perp}(c_{\theta}\sigma_- + s_{\theta}\sigma_+)\tau_-],$$
(C2)

where $b_{\mathbf{k}}$, $c_{\mathbf{k}}$, σ_{\pm} , and τ_{\pm} are given in the main text [Eqs. (2) and (6)].

The Hamiltonian of Eq. (C2) breaks TRS, chirality, but is invariant under charge-conjugation and inversion since $M_l = 0$ and $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$. The corresponding energy spectrum is expressed, as in Eq. (7) of the main text, with

$$A_{\mathbf{k}} = a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2} + 2t_{\perp}^{2},$$

$$B_{\mathbf{k}} = 2\sqrt{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|^{2}(a_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} + t_{\perp}^{2}) + c_{2\theta}^{2}t_{\perp}^{4} + s_{2\theta}t_{\perp}^{2}(b_{\mathbf{k}}^{2} - c_{\mathbf{k}}^{2})}.$$
 (C3)

The gap separating the lowest energy band around zero energy is $\Delta = \min_{\mathbf{k}}(\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}) = 2\sqrt{A_{\mathbf{k}} - B_{\mathbf{k}}}$.

 $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ closes, for uncoupled layers $(t_{\perp} = 0)$, for $A_{\mathbf{k}} = B_{\mathbf{k}}$ which defines, as we have seen in the main text, two nonintersecting closed Fermi lines. For a nonvanishing interlayer hopping t_{\perp} , $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ can be expressed as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 2 \frac{\sqrt{A_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - B_{\mathbf{k}}^2}}{A_{\mathbf{k}} + B_{\mathbf{k}}} \sim \frac{2t_{\perp}}{|f_{\mathbf{k}}|} \sqrt{(1 - s_{2\theta})b_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + (\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^2 - c_{2\theta}^2)t_{\perp}^2},$$
(C4)

where we introduced the parameter $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined as

$$a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 = |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2 + (2\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} - 1)t_{\perp}^2.$$
 (C5)

In Eq. (C4), the numerator is given by its exact expression, whereas the denominator has been approximated to zeroth

order in t_{\perp} . According to Eq. (C4), $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ cannot vanish for $\theta \neq \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$. For $\theta = \pi/4$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ if $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$, which gives rise, according to Eq. (C5), to a closed loop defined by $a_{\mathbf{k}}^2 + t_{\perp}^2 = |f_{\mathbf{k}}|^2$. For $\theta = 3\pi/4$, the closing of the gap $\Delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ requires $\lambda_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$ and $b_{\mathbf{k}} = 0$.

The critical values $\theta = \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$ correspond to the semimetallic phase marking the transition from the topological Chern insulator phase C = 2, occurring for $0 \le \theta < \pi/4$, to the Chern insulator phase C = -2, associated with $3\pi/4 < \theta \le \pi$. At this topological phase transition, the gap closes at the four Dirac points of the bilayer system where, right after the transition, the signs of the Dirac masses flip [see Eq. (12)], inducing a variation $\Delta C = -4$ of the Chern number.

The flipping of the Chern number sign, at the crossing from the AB to the BA stacking, could be understood from Fig. 5 of the main text. Such crossing can be regarded as a sign change of the complex phases: since the in-plane sublattices have opposite fluxes, the AB stacking corresponds to the dimer (A_1, B_2) for which the complex phases are $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pi/2$ while the BA stacking is ascribed to the dimer (B_1, A_2) with $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = -\pi/2$ (Fig. 5 of the main text).

APPENDIX D: EFFECTIVE TWO-BAND MODEL FOR THE MODIFIED HALDANE MODEL IN THE AB BILAYER

To derive the low-energy Hamiltonian given by Eq. (10) in the main text, we use the Löwdin partitioning method [26,28] in the case of bilayer graphene. For simplicity, we consider the case $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ to remove the energy-shift terms $a_{l,k}^0$ [Eq. (5)]. We rewrite the full Hamiltonian [Eq. (4) of the main text] in the basis (A_2, B_1, A_1, B_2) as

$$H_B(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{\alpha\alpha} & H_{\alpha\beta} \\ H_{\beta\alpha} & H_{\beta\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{D1}$$



FIG. 13. Band structure of the mHM in monolayer graphene nanoribbon with zigzag boundaries and a width W = 60 atoms. Calculations are done for $t_2 = 0.1t$, $\Phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$; (a) M = 0; (b) $M = 0.1t_2$; (c) $M = M_c \equiv 3\sqrt{3}t_2$; and (d) $M_2 = 4\sqrt{3}t_2$.



FIG. 14. Band structure of the mHM in AB stacked bilayer zigzag ribbons of a width W = 60 atoms with $t_2 = 0.1t$, $t_{\perp} = 0.5t$, $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, (a) $M_1 = M_2 = 0$, (b) $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = t_2$, (c) $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 3\sqrt{3}t_2$ (d) $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 6\sqrt{3}t_2$, (e) $M_1 = M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, (f) $M_1 = -M_2 = \sqrt{3}t_2$, (g) $M_1 = M_2 = 3\sqrt{3}t_2$, (h) $M_1 = M_2 = 4\sqrt{3}t_2$.

where

$$H_{\alpha\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} -a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_2 & 0\\ 0 & a_{\mathbf{k}} - M_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$H_{\alpha\beta} = H_{\beta\alpha} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & f_{\mathbf{k}} \\ f_{\mathbf{k}}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ H_{\beta\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_1 & t_{\perp} \\ t_{\perp} & -a_{\mathbf{k}} - M_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(D2)

The corresponding effective Hamiltonian is [26]

$$H_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{k}, E) = H_{\alpha\alpha} + H_{\alpha\beta}(E - H_{\beta\beta})^{-1}H_{\beta\alpha}, \qquad (D3)$$

which reduces in the limit $M_l \sim t_2 \ll t_{\perp}$ (l = 1, 2), and for $E \sim 0$, to

$$H_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{k}, E=0) \equiv H_{\rm eff}(\mathbf{k}) \sim H_{\alpha\alpha} - \frac{1}{X^2} H_{\alpha\beta} H_{\beta\beta} H_{\beta\alpha},$$
(D4)

where $X^2 = (a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_1)(a_{\mathbf{k}} + M_2) + 4t_{\perp}^2$.

Assuming $M_l \frac{|f_k|^2}{X^2} \ll M_{l'} \sim t_2 \ (l, l' = 1, 2)$, the corresponding effective Hamiltonian gives rise to Eq. (10) of the main text.

- [1] M. Z. Hasan and C. L. Kane, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 3045 (2010).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [3] A. Bansil, H. Lin, and T. Das, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 021004 (2016).
- [4] K. He, Y. Wang, and Q.-K. Xue, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 9, 329 (2018).
- [5] Z. Yang, F. Gao, X. Shi, X. Lin, Z. Gao, Y. Chong, and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 114301 (2015).
- [6] A. Khanikaev, R. Fleury, S. Mousavi, and A. Alu, Nat. Commun. 6, 8260 (2015).
- [7] X. Ni, C. He, X.-C. Sun, X.-P. Liu, M.-H. Lu, L. Feng, and Y.-F. Chen, New J. Phys. 17, 053016 (2015).
- [8] G. Jotzu, M. Messer, R. Desbuquois, M. Lebrat, T. Uehlinger, D. Greif, and T. Esslinger, Nature (London) 515, 237 (2014).
- [9] H.-S. Kim and H.-Y. Kee, npj Quantum Mater. 2, 20 (2017).

APPENDIX E: EFFECT OF COMPLEX PHASES AND OF SEMENOFF MASSES ON THE AB BILAYER OF THE MODIFIED HALDANE MODEL

It is noteworthy that the induced Chern insulator in the AB bilayer mHM occurs as far as Φ_1 and Φ_2 have opposite signs and not only in the case in which $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, which we considered to obtain simple analytical expressions. This feature is shown in Fig. 12.

The effect of the Semenoff masses on the mHM in monolayer graphene nanoribbon is represented in Fig. 13 showing that the mass term lifts the degeneracy of the antichiral edge modes, which survive as far as $M < M_c \equiv 3\sqrt{3}t_2 \sin \Phi$.

In Fig. 14, we plot the band structure of the mHM on AB bilayer honeycomb lattices with different choices of intralayer Semenoff masses. Figure 14 shows that, in AB bilayer mHM, the chiral edge states emerge as far as $M_l < M_{lc}$ [Eq. (A5)], for which the nodal lines, originating from the overlap of the two layer bands, can occur, as discussed in the main text (Fig. 1).

- [10] Y. Ding, Y. Peng, Y. Zhu, X. Fan, J. Yang, B. Liang, X. Zhu, X. Wan, and J. Cheng, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 014302 (2019).
- [11] M. Serlin, C. L. Tschirhart, H. Polshyn, Y. Zhang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, L. Balents, and A. F. Young, Science 367, 900 (2020).
- [12] C. L. Tschirhart, M. Serlin, H. Polshyn, A. Shragai, Z. Xia, J. Zhu, Y. Zhang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, M. E. Huber, and A. F. Young, Science **372**, 1323 (2021).
- [13] Y. Okazaki, T. Oe, M., Kawamura, R. Yoshimi, S. Nakamura, S. Takada, M. Mogi, K. S. Takahashi, A. Tsukazaki, M. Kawasaki, Y. Tokura, N.-H. Kaneko *et al.*, Nat. Phys. **18**, 25 (2022).
- [14] Z. Li, Y. Han, and Z. Qiao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 036801 (2022).
- [15] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2015 (1988).
- [16] E. Colomés and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 086603 (2018).

- [17] S. Bhattacharjee, S. Bandyopadhyay, D. Sen, and A. Dutta, Phys. Rev. B 103, 224304 (2021).
- [18] C. L. Kane and E. J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 226801 (2005); 95, 146802 (2005).
- [19] A. Altland and M. R. Zirnbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [20] The system breaks TRS and parity \mathcal{P} even for M = 0 and it is invariant under \mathcal{TP} transformation for M = 0. For $\Phi \equiv \frac{\pi}{2}$ mod $[\pi]$ and M = 0, $H_{mH}(\mathbf{k})$ the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (3) conserves charge-conjugation symmetry as the HM.
- [21] For $\Phi \equiv \frac{\pi}{2} \mod [\pi]$ and M = 0, the HM switches to the D class [19,23] where the charge-conjugation symmetry is conserved. Moreover, in the absence of Semenoff mass, the HM conserves parity $\mathcal{P} = \sigma_x$: $\mathcal{P}^{\dagger}H_H(\mathbf{k})\mathcal{P} = H_H(-\mathbf{k})$ but is not invariant under \mathcal{TP} transformation.
- [22] T. Frank, P. Högl, M. Gmitra, D. Kochan, and J. Fabian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 156402 (2018).
- [23] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035005 (2016).
- [24] In the case of opposite complex phases $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2$ and masses $M_1 = -M_2$, the system is invariant under inversion $\mathcal{P} = \sigma_x \tau_x$. Moreover, in the absence of Semenoff masses and for $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, the energy-shift terms $(a_{l,\mathbf{k}}^0 = 0$ [Eq. (5)]) vanish, and the system becomes invariant under charge conjugation, which results in a $\mathcal{CP} = \tau_x \sigma_y K$ symmetry.
- [25] In the presence of the Semenoff masses, the energy spectrum no longer has particle-hole symmetry since the charge-conjugation symmetry is broken. However, it keeps the inversion symmetry for $M_1 = -M_2$.
- [26] E. McCann and V. I. Fal'ko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 086805 (2006).
- [27] Taking into account the Semenoff masses, a simple analytical expression of the energy spectrum can be derived for $\Phi_1 = -\Phi_2 = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $M_1 = M_2 = M$, where the charge-conjugation and the parity symmetries are broken. The A_k and B_k terms given by Eq. (8) become $A_k = a_k^2 + |f_k|^2 + 2t_\perp^2 + M^2$ and $B_k = 2\sqrt{|f_k|^2}(a_k^2 + t_\perp^2) + t_\perp^4 + a_k^2M^2 + 2a_kt_\perp^2M$.
- [28] P.-O. Löwdin, J. Chem. Phys. 19, 1396 (1951).
- [29] D. Sticlet, F. Piéchon, J.-N. Fuchs, P. Kalugin, and P. Simon, Phys. Rev. B 85, 165456 (2012).
- [30] N. Batra, S. Nayak, and S. Kumar, Phys. Rev. B 100, 214517 (2019).
- [31] Y. Chong and Y. Yang (private communication).
- [32] Y. Yang, D. Zhu, Z. Hang, and Y. Chong, Sci. China Phys. Mech. Astron. 64, 257011 (2021).

- [33] B. Zhang (private communication).
- [34] P. Zhou, G.-G. Liu, Y. Yang, Y.-H. Hu, S. Ma, H. Xue, Q. Wang, and L. Deng, and B. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 263603 (2020).
- [35] R. Mukherjee, H. J. Chuang, M. R. Koehler, N. Combs, A. Patchen, Z. X. Zhou, and D. Mandrus, Phys. Rev. Appl. 7, 034011 (2017).
- [36] K. Chen, D. Kiriya, M. Hettick, M. Tosun, T.-J. Ha, S. Madhvapathy, S. Desai, A. Sachid, and A. Javey, APL Mater. 2, 092504 (2014).
- [37] Z. Ying, S. Zhang, B. Chen, B. Jia, F. Fei, M. Zhang, H. Zhang, X. Wang, and F. Song, Phys. Rev. B 105, 085412 (2022).
- [38] J-X. Yin, S. H. Pan, and M. Z. Hasan, Nat. Rev. Phys. 3, 249 (2021).
- [39] Y. Choi, H. Kim, Y. Peng, A. Thomson, C. Lewandowski, R. Polski, Y. Zhang, H. S. Arora, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Alicea and S. Nadj-Perge, Nature (London) 589, 536 (2021).
- [40] A. T. Pierce, Y. Xie, J. M. Park, E. Khalaf, S. H. Lee, Y. Cao, D. E. Parker, P. R. Forrester, S. Chen, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Vishwanath, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and A. Yacoby, Nat. Phys. 17, 1210 (2021).
- [41] S. Kim, J. Schwenk, D. Walkup, Y. Zeng, F. Ghahari, S. T. Le, M. R. Slot, J. Berwanger, S. R. Blankenship, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, F. J. Giessibl, N. B. Zhitenev, C. R. Dean, and J. A. Stroscio, Nat. Commun. 12, 2852 (2021).
- [42] N. B. M. Schröter, S. Stolz, K. Manna, F. de Juan, M. G. Vergniory, J. A. Krieger, D. Pei, T. Schmitt, P. Dudin, T. K. Kim, C. Cacho, B. Bradlyn, H. Borrmann, M. Schmidt, R. Widmer, V. N. Strocov, and C. Felser, Science 369, 179 (2020).
- [43] Stacking-induced Chern insulator is expected to occur in mHM on AB bilayer ribbons with bearded edges regarding the presence of zero-energy states as in the zigzag ribbons. However, we did not focus on this type of ribbons since they are less stable compared to the zigzag and the armchair ones [44].
- [44] M. Kohmoto and Y. Hasegawa, Phys. Rev. B 76, 205402 (2007).
- [45] Y.-F. Zhao, R. Zhang, R. Mei, L.-J. Zhou, H. Yi, Y.-Q. Zhang, J. Yu, R. Xiao, K. Wang, N. Samarth, M. H. W. Chan, C.-X. Liu, and C.-Z. Chang, Nature (London) 588, 419 (2020).
- [46] M. Mannaï, G. Trambly de laissardière, S. Haddad, J.-N. Fuchs, and F. Piéchon (unpublished).
- [47] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Nature (London) 556, 43 (2018).