Magnetoconductance oscillations in electron-hole hybridization gaps and valley splittings in tetralayer graphene

Illias Klanurak[®],¹ Kenji Watanabe[®],² Takashi Taniguchi,³ Sojiphong Chatraphorn[®],¹ and Thiti Taychatanapat[®],^{*}

¹Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Chulalongkorn University, Patumwan, Bangkok 10330, Thailand

²Research Center for Functional Materials, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan ³International Center for Materials Nanoarchitectonics, National Institute for Materials Science, 1-1 Namiki, Tsukuba 305-0044, Japan

(Received 19 May 2022; revised 31 August 2022; accepted 28 September 2022; published 13 October 2022)

We investigate magnetotransport on Bernal-stacked tetralayer graphene whose band structure consists of two massive subbands with different effective masses. Under a finite displacement field, we observe the valley splitting of Landau levels (LLs) only in the light-mass subband, consistent with a tight-binding model. At low density, we find unexpected magnetoconductance oscillations in bulk gaps which originate from a series of hybridizations between electronlike and holelike LLs due to band inversion in tetralayer graphene. In contrast to a trivial LL quantization gap, these inverted hybridization gaps can lead to a change in the number of edge states which explains the observed oscillations.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L161405

The electronic properties of Bernal-stacked tetralayer graphene (4LG) have been shown to exhibit many intriguing phenomena such as insulating states [1], interlayer interactions [2], tunable Lifshitz transitions [3], helical edge states [4], and unconventional satellite peaks [5]. Its band structure features band inversion as a result of band overlap and hybridization between the two bilayer-graphene-like (BLG-like) subbands [6–8]. Bernal-stacked trilayer graphene (TLG) also possesses a band overlap but it occurs at a narrow density range which is hard to resolve experimentally. In addition, the subbands in TLG only hybridize in the presence of a perpendicular electric field, while those in 4LG always hybridize due to the next-nearest interlayer hopping parameters [8].

The band inversion in 4LG provides a unique platform to study the quantum Hall effect (QHE) in a regime in which both electronlike and holelike states coexist. Previously, the energy inversion of electronlike and holelike Landau levels has been engineered by an electric field or both in-plane and out-of-plane magnetic fields to form helical edge states [4,9,10]. Some of the two-dimensional quantum wells also host an inverted electron-hole system which, under a magnetic field, reveals novel aspects of QHE [11,12] and the quantum spin Hall effect [13–18].

In this Letter, we investigate the magnetotransport properties of 4LG encapsulated by hexagonal boron nitride (hBN). The electronic band structure of 4LG can be characterized by a set of hopping parameters $\gamma_0 - \gamma_5$ [Fig. 1(a)] and an energy imbalance between dimer and nondimer sites δ [8]. The low-energy band structure of 4LG, shown in Fig. 1(b), comprises two BLG-like subbands with different effective masses [8,19,20]. We denote the light-mass subband by b and the heavy-mass subband by B. The presence of skewed lattice sites hopping γ_3 induces trigonal warping. The next-nearest interlayer hopping parameters γ_2 and γ_5 cause the b and B subbands to overlap and hybridize at low energy as shown in Fig. 1(b) [3,8]. The remaining hopping parameters γ_4 and δ generate electron-hole asymmetry in the band structure.

In our devices, we use a dry transfer technique to assemble the heterostructures and etch them in a CHF_3/O_2 plasma. Edge contacts are defined by electron beam lithography and formed by sputtering 80-nm Mo [21]. The standard lock-in technique is used to investigate the magnetotransport properties. All measurements are performed at 2.4 K unless stated otherwise. Figure 1(c) shows an optical image and a schematic diagram of a device.

We first examine two-terminal conductance g as a function of backgate voltage V_{BG} at magnetic field B = 0 [Fig. 1(d), black line]. We find that the conductance curve exhibits multiple local minima, which are associated with band edges and Lifshitz transitions near zero energy in the band structure of 4LG as shown in Fig. 1(b) [3,22]. At finite *B*, we observe conductance oscillations in V_{BG} due to the QHE [Fig. 1(d)]. Our conductance does not develop into well-defined plateaus even at 7 T, likely due to a relatively high contact resistance (~1 k Ω) and geometry effect on two-terminal conductance which leads to the distortion of the QH plateaus [23,24]. At high field, the conductance exhibits a single minimum to which we associate the charge neutrality point (CNP) of the sample. A near-zero gate voltage for the CNP indicates the pristine quality of our samples.

To further investigate the magnetotransport properties of the system, we measure g as a function of V_{BG} and B[Fig. 2(a)]. Charge carrier density n is determined from the period of conductance oscillations at high B. The Landau fan diagram displays rich features associated with LLs from the b and B subbands. To see the oscillations more clearly, we calculate dg/dn [Fig. 2(b)]. The dark lines correspond to energy gaps with associated filling factors ν shown in the figure. Multiple LL crossings are evident. For instance, the

^{*}thiti.t@chula.ac.th



FIG. 1. (a) Crystal structure of 4LG. The purple dashed lines indicate two hopping sites for γ_i parameters. (b) Band structure of 4LG from the TB model. The heavy- and light-mass bands are labeled as B (red) and b (blue), respectively. The lattice constant *a* is 0.246 nm. (c) Left: An optical image of an encapsulated 4LG device. Scale bar, 2 μ m. Right: Schematic diagram illustrating a cross-sectional view of the 4LG device. (d) Two-terminal conductance as a function of *V*_{BG} at 0 (black), 3 (pink), and 7 T (green), respectively.

dark line for $\nu = 12$, seen clearly at 7 T, disappears at about 5 T and reemerges again at 3 T. The absence of the dark line for $\nu = 12$ between 3 and 5 T is the result of the LL crossing.

To gain a better understanding of the Landau fan diagram, we calculate energies of LLs as a function of *B* using the tight-binding (TB) model [Fig. 2(c)]. In this plot, we assume that the potential difference between layers is zero and use $\gamma_0 = 3.1$, $\gamma_1 = 0.39$, $\gamma_2 = -0.022$, $\gamma_3 = 0.315$, $\gamma_4 = 0.12$, $\gamma_5 = 0.018$, and $\delta = 0.020$ eV. These TB parameters are determined by matching the LL crossing positions from the experiment at low density with those from the calculation [25]. We note that our device has a single gate. As we induce a higher carrier density via the backgate, 4LG is inevitably subject to a stronger displacement field which induces a larger potential difference between layers. Therefore, our simulation gives a good agreement with data from low density at which the potential difference is still small.

From the spectra, LLs of 4LG at high *B* can be viewed as a combination of two sets of BLG-like LLs from the b and B subbands [8,26]. At high energy, a LL energy is approximately linear in *B*, as expected from bilayer nature of the subbands. The energy spacing of the LLs from the light-mass band b is larger than that of the heavy-mass band B because the cyclotron frequency is inversely proportional to the effective mass. The mixing between LLs due to γ_2 and γ_5 parameters and the trigonal warping effect from the γ_3 parameter leads to hybridization gaps, more visible at low energy [8]. We label each LL in Fig. 2(c) with two indices, indicating the subband (B or b) and LL index *n* (*n*+ for electronlike and



FIG. 2. (a) Conductance as a function of n and B. (b) dg/dn numerically calculated from data in (a). The numbers at the right border indicate filling factors. (c) LL spectra in 4LG calculated from the TB model. The filling factors associated with some energy gaps are labeled. The labels at the right border denote quantum states for each LL. At high field, LLs from the b and B subbands are in blue and red, respectively. At low field, LLs from both subbands are in black due to LL hybridization. (d) Calculated density of states of 4LG as a function of n and B.



FIG. 3. (a) LL energies of the bulk 4LG as a function of B with a potential difference between adjacent layers of 16 meV. The black and red lines are LLs for K and K', respectively. (b) Calculated density of states of the LLs in (a) as a function of n and B.

n- for holelike LLs). For the zeroth index LL, we label them as (b/B, 0) and (b/B, -1). These two LLs are degenerate in bilayer graphene but the degeneracy is slightly lifted in 4LG with a small energy gap of \sim 2 meV at 7 T. The numbers inside the LL energy gaps in Fig. 2(c) indicate values of ν associated with the gaps. Each LL has fourfold spin and valley degeneracy [8].

To compare our data with the calculation, we simulate the density of states as a function of *B* and *n* from the LL spectra in Fig. 2(c) to obtain the plot in Fig. 2(d). Here, we assume a Lorentzian line shape for each LL with a broadening of 1.5 meV, estimated from a LL gap from the b subband at the onset of the oscillations of 0.5 T [Fig. 2(b)]. The simulation captures the main features of the experimental data in Fig. 2(b) such as the positions of LL crossing at low density and a position of the horizontal line in the electron side which originates from the zeroth LL of the light-mass band.

However, some discrepancies exist between our data and simulation. In our data, some LLs are twofold degenerate. For example, in Fig. 2(b) at 6 T, we observe the filling factor sequences of {8, 10, 12} and {24, 26, 32} which imply LLs with degeneracies of 2 and 6. Comparing the positions of these LLs with the LL spectra in Fig. 2(c), we conclude that each of the fourfold degenerate (b, 0) and (b, 1+) split into two LLs with twofold degenerate. The sixfold degeneracy observed when filling factors change from 26 to 32 is the result of LL crossing between the twofold degenerate (B, 5+).

In 4LG, a twofold degenerate LL can occur by applying a displacement field to generate a potential difference between layers which breaks inversion symmetry and lifts valley degeneracy. To capture the effect of the potential difference on LLs, we simulate LL spectra using a constant value of the potential difference between adjacent layers of 16 meV and the same set of hopping parameters used in Fig. 2(c). We note that, in our measurement, the value of potential difference will vary as we change density (see more details in the Supplemental Material [27]). The black and red lines in Fig. 3(a) represent LLs from the K and K' valleys, respectively. The valley splittings of the LLs from the B subband are much smaller than LL broadening and therefore they continue to appear fourfold degenerate in our measurement. However, the splittings are much more pronounced for LLs from the

b subband, consistent with the data in which the LL splittings are observed in the b subband only. Although we can explain most observed features within a single-electron picture, we cannot completely rule out interaction-induced LL splitting. For example, the (b, 0) and (b, -1) are so close in energy that they should experience a similar value of the potential difference. However, we observe the splitting of (b, 0) but not (b, -1) which may suggest that other symmetry-breaking mechanisms are involved.

A more surprising discrepancy between our data and the simulation occurs at zero filling factor. Figure 4(a) shows a plot of dg/dn at low *n* and *B* while Fig. 4(b) displays *g* at n = 0 as a function of *B* at various temperatures. We observe oscillations in magnetoconductance clearly along the zero density in all three devices we have measured (see data in the Supplemental Material [27]). Typically, the conductance oscillations in QHE occur when the Fermi energy passes through a LL. However, from the calculation of the LL spectra from the TB model [Fig. 4(c), black lines], there is no LL crossing inside $\nu = 0$. As a result, the conductance should exhibit no oscillation in *B* at $\nu = 0$, contradicting our results.

To resolve this discrepancy, we examine the complex nature of low-energy LL spectra. Due to the band inversion in 4LG at zero magnetic field [see Fig. 1(b)], hole states from the b subband reside at higher energy than electron states from the B subband for wave vectors around zero. As B increases, the energies of holelike LLs decrease while those of electronlike LLs increase. The opposite magnetic dependence of LL energies leads to a series of crossings and anticrossings which manifests as three adjacent LLs braided together at low field [see Fig. 4(c), black lines]. These anticrossings, whose energy gaps depend on γ_2 and γ_5 parameters, are the result of hybridization between holelike LLs and electronlike LLs from the b and B subbands, respectively. The braiding of three LLs is a consequence of the trigonal warping effect from the γ_3 parameter. The effect causes the anticrossings to occur when the LL indices of the unperturbed LLs are the same or differ by multiples of 3 [28–31].

To identify the underlying LLs that hybridize into the braided LLs, we calculate LL spectra by setting the mixing terms between the subbands to zero [8]. The result is displayed in Fig. 4(c). The blue and red lines represent LLs of the b and B subbands, respectively. Comparing the LLs with and without the mixing terms, we find that the energy gap at v = 0 originates from two different mechanisms with a crossover at a critical field B_{c1} of ~2.1 T. For $B > B_{c1}$, the v = 0 gap is a trivial LL gap which is always present regardless of LL hybridization. For $B < B_{c1}$, the v = 0 gap emerges from a series of hybridizations between holelike (b, i-) and electronlike (B, i+) for i = 1, 2, ...

Let us focus on a $\nu = 0$ gap at B_{c1} arising from the hybridization between (b, 1–) and (B, 1+). At $B_{c1}^2 < B_{c1}$, the hybridized LLs at higher energy (solid blue star) and lower energy (solid red triangle) in Fig. 4(c) are mostly dominated by holelike (b, 1–) and electronlike (B, 1+), respectively. As we increase *B* beyond B_{c1} , the admixture of each hybridized LL gradually changes and the situation becomes reversed. Now, the higher-energy LL (open red triangle) evolves into the electronlike (B, 1+) while the lower-energy LL (open blue star) turns into the holelike (b, 1–). Therefore, as we increase



FIG. 4. (a) Color map of dg/dn at low *n* and *B*. The integer numbers indicate filling factors of the dark diagonal lines. (b) Conductance oscillations at n = 0 as a function of *B* at various temperatures. The temperature at which the oscillations disappear (~20 K) is consistent with the size of the v = 0 gap. (c) The bulk LL spectra of 4LG (black lines). The blue and red lines are LL spectra of b and B subbands, respectively, calculated without band hybridization. (d) Diagrams of LL edge states at $B_{c1}^{<}$ and $B_{c1}^{>}$, indicated by pink and orange lines in (c). We omit the zeroth LLs (B, 0) and (B, -1) (two red horizontal lines).

B, the characteristic of the higher-energy LL switches from an electronlike to holelike LL while that of the lower-energy LL changes from a holelike to electronlike LL. As a result, the edge states change their behavior significantly across a hybridization gap.

Figure 4(d) illustrates the edge state diagrams at $B_{c1}^{<}$ and $B_{c1}^{>}$, indicated by pink and orange lines in Fig. 4(c). Due to a confining potential, the energy of an electronlike LL will bend up near the edge while that of a holelike LL will bend down. At $B_{c1}^{>}$ [Fig. 4(d), right], an energy ordering of the LLs is a conventional one in which the electronlike (B, 1+) has higher energy than the holelike (b, 1-). In this case, the energies of both LLs will bend away from each other near the edge. Therefore, these two LLs do not contribute any edge state to the system at $\nu = 0$. However, at $B_{c1}^{<}$, we have an inverted energy ordering of the LLs in which the energy of holelike (b, 1-) is higher than that of the electronlike (B, 1+) in the bulk. Near the edge, their energies will bend toward each other [down for (b, 1-) and up for (B, 1+)] [Fig. 4(d), left]. We therefore obtain two counterpropagating edge states even though the filling factor is zero in the bulk. We note that these counterpropagating edge states are likely not helical since they can interact via mixing terms. As a result, we expect an energy gap to open at the crossing.

As we lower B further, we encounter another hybridization gap between (b, 2–) and (B, 2+) at $B_{c2} \sim 1.2$ T [Figs. 4(a)– 4(c)]. With the same argument as the B_{c1} case, the number of edge states will increase from 2 to 4 when B drops below B_{c2} because two holelike (b, 1-) and (b, 2-) now sit at a higher energy than two electronlike (B, 1+) and (B, 2+). In general, as we move through the hybridization gap between (b, i-) and (B, i+), the number of edge states changes from 2(i-1) to 2*i*. We find that the positions of the conductance peaks at $\nu =$ 0 in Fig. 4(b) are in excellent agreement with the theoretical positions of B_{ci} in Fig. 4(c) which are magnetic fields at which a slope is zero for a hybridized LL separating $\nu = 0$ and 4. For $B > B_{c1}$, the energy gap at v = 0 turns into a trivial LL gap and there is no further inversion of electronlike and holelike LLs for LL indices $|n| \ge 1$. Therefore, the number of edge states stays constant and we no longer observe any oscillation [Fig. 4(b)]. Similarly, following the $\nu = 12$ line in Fig. 4(a), we observe magnetoconductance oscillations when $B \lesssim 1.2$ T even though the v = 12 gap remains finite in the bulk. We find that this v = 12 gap below 1.2 T arises from a series of hybridization gaps between (b, i-) and [B, (i+3)+] while the gap above 1.2 T is a trivial LL gap.

We therefore conclude that the oscillations arise from the change in the number of edge states in a hybridization gap between electronlike and holelike LLs. We emphasize that a hybridization gap between LLs of the same type will not lead to an oscillation because the number of edge states remains unchanged [30,32]. Our results show that it is not sufficient to predict Shubnikov–de Haas oscillations from Landau level spectra only. One needs to consider if a gap is a trivial Landau level gap or a hybridization gap between electronlike and holelike LLs to obtain a complete picture of the oscillations. We expect our result to be useful for understanding Landau level spectra of other few-layer graphene systems because of the band inversion in their band structures.

For helical edge modes, two-terminal conductance in the hybridization gap regime along v = 0 should appear as steps with quantized values of $4Ne^2/h$ where N is the number of edge states and a factor of 4 comes from spin and valley degeneracy [4,9,10]. For instance, when $\nu = 0$ and $B_{c2} < B < 0$ B_{c1} , we expect a conductance of $8e^2/h$ from two counterpropagating edge states from (b, 1-) and (B, 1+) but the measured value is less than $2e^2/h$. A few mechanisms could contribute to the observed low value of conductance. Since the edge states in our system are not helical and they counterpropagate on the same edge, these two states could mix and tunnel to each other. As a result, they form one-dimensional (1D) localized states and conductance is no longer quantized at $4Ne^2/h$ because the edge states do not have a perfect transmission [33]. An interaction between the edge states could induce a small gap at the Fermi energy, reducing conductance further. In addition, our conductance appears oscillatory

- A. L. Grushina, D.-K. Ki, M. Koshino, A. A. L. Nicolet, C. Faugeras, E. McCann, M. Potemski, and A. F. Morpurgo, Insulating state in tetralayers reveals an even-odd interaction effect in multilayer graphene, Nat. Commun. 6, 6419 (2015).
- [2] Z. Wu, Y. Han, J. Lin, W. Zhu, M. He, S. Xu, X. Chen, H. Lu, W. Ye, T. Han, Y. Wu, G. Long, J. Shen, R. Huang, L. Wang, Y. He, Y. Cai, R. Lortz, D. Su, and N. Wang, Detection of interlayer interaction in few-layer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 92, 075408 (2015).
- [3] Y. Shi, S. Che, K. Zhou, S. Ge, Z. Pi, T. Espiritu, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. Barlas, R. Lake *et al.*, Tunable Lifshitz Transitions and Multiband Transport in Tetralayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 096802 (2018).
- [4] S. Che, Y. Shi, J. Yang, H. Tian, R. Chen, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, D. Smirnov, C. N. Lau, E. Shimshoni *et al.*, Helical Edge States and Quantum Phase Transitions in Tetralayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 036803 (2020).
- [5] F. Mukai, K. Horii, R. Ebisuoka, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and R. Yagi, Unconventional satellite resistance peaks in moiré superlattice of h-BN/ AB-stacked tetralayer-graphene heterostructures, Commun. Phys. 4, 109 (2021).
- [6] S. Latil and L. Henrard, Charge Carriers in Few-Layer Graphene Films, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 036803 (2006).
- [7] M. Aoki and H. Amawashi, Dependence of band structures on stacking and field in layered graphene, Solid State Commun. 142, 123 (2007).
- [8] M. Koshino and E. McCann, Landau level spectra and the quantum Hall effect of multilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 83, 165443 (2011).

which is likely due to the geometry effect observed in a long sample for two-terminal measurement [23,24].

In summary, we study the magnetotransport properties of 4LG. We observe LL crossings between the b and B subbands. At finite displacement field, we find that the LLs in the b subband become valley polarized while those in the B subband remain valley degenerate, in agreement with the TB calculation with a finite potential difference. At low n and B, the band inversion gives rise to a series of bulk hybridization gaps between electron- and holelike LLs. As a result, the alternating characteristic of the hybridized LLs between the electron and hole states leads to a change in the number of edge states and manifests as magnetoconductance oscillations in our measurement. Finally, our proposed mechanism for magnetoconductance oscillations should be applicable to other Bernal-stacked multilayer graphenes since they also host a similar band inversion [22,34].

We thank K. Jaruwongrungsee for experimental help and S. Hodak for useful discussion. This research has been primarily supported by the Research Fund for DPST graduate with First Placement (Grant No. 002/2015), the NSRF via the Program Management Unit for Human Resources & Institutional Development, Research and Innovation (Grant No. B05F640152), and National Research Council of Thailand (NRCT) and Chulalongkorn University (Grant No. N42A650266). K.W. and T.T. acknowledge support from JSPS KAKENHI (Grants No. 19H05790, No. 20H00354, and No. 21H05233).

- [9] A. Young, J. Sanchez-Yamagishi, B. Hunt, S. Choi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Tunable symmetry breaking and helical edge transport in a graphene quantum spin Hall state, Nature (London) 505, 528 (2014).
- [10] J. D. Sanchez-Yamagishi, J. Y. Luo, A. F. Young, B. M. Hunt, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, R. C. Ashoori, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Helical edge states and fractional quantum Hall effect in a graphene electron–hole bilayer, Nat. Nanotechnol. 12, 118 (2017).
- [11] K. Suzuki, K. Takashina, S. Miyashita, and Y. Hirayama, Landau-Level Hybridization and the Quantum Hall Effect in InAs/(AlSb)/GaSb Electron-Hole Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 016803 (2004).
- [12] G. M. Gusev, E. B. Olshanetsky, Z. D. Kvon, N. N. Mikhailov, S. A. Dvoretsky, and J. C. Portal, Quantum Hall Effect near the Charge Neutrality Point in a Two-Dimensional Electron-Hole System, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 166401 (2010).
- [13] M. Konig, S. Wiedmann, C. Brüne, A. Roth, H. Buhmann, L. W. Molenkamp, X.-L. Qi, and S.-C. Zhang, Quantum spin Hall insulator state in HgTe quantum wells, Science **318**, 766 (2007).
- [14] L. Du, I. Knez, G. Sullivan, and R.-R. Du, Robust Helical Edge Transport in Gated InAs/GaSb Bilayers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 096802 (2015).
- [15] E. Y. Ma, M. R. Calvo, J. Wang, B. Lian, M. Mühlbauer, C. Brüne, Y.-T. Cui, K. Lai, W. Kundhikanjana, Y. Yang, M. Baenninger, M. König, C. Ames, H. Buhmann, P. Leubner,

L. W. Molenkamp, S.-C. Zhang, D. Goldhaber-Gordon, M. A. Kelly, and Z.-X. Shen, Unexpected edge conduction in mercury telluride quantum wells under broken time-reversal symmetry, Nat. Commun. **6**, 7252 (2015).

- [16] M. Karalic, S. Mueller, C. Mittag, K. Pakrouski, Q. S. Wu, A. A. Soluyanov, M. Troyer, T. Tschirky, W. Wegscheider, K. Ensslin, and T. Ihn, Experimental signatures of the inverted phase in InAs/GaSb coupled quantum wells, Phys. Rev. B 94, 241402(R) (2016).
- [17] I. Yahniuk, S. S. Krishtopenko, G. Grabecki, B. Jouault, C. Consejo, W. Desrat, M. Majewicz, A. M. Kadykov, K. E. Spirin, V. I. Gavrilenko, N. N. Mikhailov, S. A. Dvoretsky, D. B. But, F. Teppe, J. Wróbel, G. Cywiński, S. Kret, T. Dietl, and W. Knap, Magneto-transport in inverted HgTe quantum wells, npj Quantum Mater. 4, 13 (2019).
- [18] Z. Chen and T. K. Ng, Interaction-induced edge states in HgTe/CdTe quantum wells under a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 99, 235157 (2019).
- [19] M. Koshino, Interlayer screening effect in graphene multilayers with *ABA* and *ABC* stacking, Phys. Rev. B **81**, 125304 (2010).
- [20] R. Yagi, T. Hirahara, R. Ebisuoka, T. Nakasuga, S. Tajima, K. Watanabe, and T. Taniguchi, Low-energy band structure and even-odd layer number effect in AB-stacked multilayer graphene, Sci. Rep. 8, 13018 (2018).
- [21] L. Wang, I. Meric, P. Huang, Q. Gao, Y. Gao, H. Tran, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, L. Campos, D. Muller *et al.*, One-dimensional electrical contact to a two-dimensional material, Science **342**, 614 (2013).
- [22] T. Hirahara, R. Ebisuoka, T. Oka, T. Nakasuga, S. Tajima, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and R. Yagi, Multilayer graphene shows intrinsic resistance peaks in the carrier density dependence, Sci. Rep. 8, 13992 (2018).
- [23] D. A. Abanin and L. S. Levitov, Conformal invariance and shape-dependent conductance of graphene samples, Phys. Rev. B 78, 035416 (2008).
- [24] J. R. Williams, D. A. Abanin, L. DiCarlo, L. S. Levitov, and C. M. Marcus, Quantum Hall conductance of two-terminal graphene devices, Phys. Rev. B 80, 045408 (2009).

- [25] T. Taychatanapat, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Quantum Hall effect and Landau-level crossing of Dirac fermions in trilayer graphene, Nat. Phys. 7, 621 (2011).
- [26] L.-J. Yin, K.-K. Bai, W.-X. Wang, S.-Y. Li, Y. Zhang, and L. He, Landau quantization of Dirac fermions in graphene and its multilayers, Front. Phys. 12, 127208 (2017).
- [27] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L161405 for the effect of the potential difference and additional data from other devices.
- [28] M. Serbyn and D. A. Abanin, New Dirac points and multiple Landau level crossings in biased trilayer graphene, Phys. Rev. B 87, 115422 (2013).
- [29] L. C. Campos, T. Taychatanapat, M. Serbyn, K. Surakitbovorn, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. A. Abanin, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Landau Level Splittings, Phase Transitions, and Nonuniform Charge Distribution in Trilayer Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 066601 (2016).
- [30] Y. Shimazaki, T. Yoshizawa, I. V. Borzenets, K. Wang, X. Liu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Kim, M. Yamamoto, and S. Tarucha, Landau level evolution driven by band hybridization in mirror symmetry broken ABA-stacked trilayer graphene, arXiv:1611.02395.
- [31] A. A. Zibrov, P. Rao, C. Kometter, E. M. Spanton, J. I. A. Li, C. R. Dean, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, M. Serbyn, and A. F. Young, Emergent Dirac Gullies and Gully-Symmetry-Breaking Quantum Hall States in *ABA* Trilayer Graphene, *Phys. Rev.* Lett. **121**, 167601 (2018).
- [32] X. C. Zhang, I. Martin, and H. W. Jiang, Landau level anticrossing manifestations in the phase-diagram topology of a two-subband system, Phys. Rev. B 74, 073301 (2006).
- [33] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Disordered electronic systems, Rev. Mod. Phys. 57, 287 (1985).
- [34] K. Horii, T. Nakasuga, T. Hirahara, S. Tajima, R. Ebisuoka, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and R. Yagi, Magnetotransport study of the mini-Dirac cone in AB-stacked four- to six-layer graphene under perpendicular electric field, Phys. Rev. B 100, 245420 (2019).