Temperature-dependent resistivity in the doped two-dimensional metallic phase of mTMD bilayers

Seongjin Ahn and Sankar Das Sarma

Condensed Matter Theory Center and Joint Quantum Institute, Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742, USA

(Received 27 June 2022; revised 24 August 2022; accepted 24 August 2022; published 26 September 2022)

Two recent experiments from Cornell University and Columbia University have reported insulator-to-metal transitions in two-dimensional (2D) moiré transition metal dichalcogenides (mTMDs) induced by doping around half filling, where the system is a Mott insulator. In this Letter, we consider the temperature-dependent resistivity of this metallic phase in the doped situation away from half filling, arguing that it arises from the strongly temperature-dependent 2D Friedel oscillations (i.e., finite momentum screening) associated with random quenched charged impurities, leading to the observed strongly increasing linear-in-*T* resistivity for doping around half filling of the effective moiré TMD band, showing that temperature-dependent screened Coulomb disorder is an essential ingredient of doped 2D mTMD physics.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L121404

Introduction. The Mott insulator (MI) is a strongly correlated electronic phase, where a half-filled (generally, narrow) band, instead of being a metal as simple electron counting implies, is rendered an insulator because of strong interactions suppressing the itinerant electronic hopping so as to minimize interaction effects. This happens when the dimensionless parameter $U/t \gg 1$, where U(t) is the effective interaction (hopping) strength, and narrowband systems with small tare the prime candidates for the MI phase. Understanding all aspects of MI physics is one of the major thrusts in condensed matter physics, and has been that way for more than 50 years, but the problem is a difficult nonperturbative strong-coupling many-electron problem not amenable to easy theoretical (or even numerical) solutions. Recent experiments in moiré homo- and heterobilayer transition metal dichalcogenide (mTMD) structures have reported the observation of strongly correlated insulating phases at various rational fillings including not only half filling (i.e., one spinful electron per lattice site), but also 1/4, 2/3, etc., rational fillings [1-5]. These observations have been theoretically interpreted as the manifestation of MI-like correlated insulator phases which also naturally manifest charge density and spin density waves [6–11]. The current theoretical work is focused not on the half-filled MI phase, but on the situation where the mTMD system is doped slightly away from half filling where it should be a metal [10]. In general, a doped MI should always be a metal, superficially similar to a doped semiconductor although the origin of the undoped MI phase is qualitatively different from the single-particle band picture applicable to undoped semiconductors.

Recent experiments from Cornell University [1] and Columbia University [2] report the observations of twodimensional (2D) metal-insulator transitions (MITs), where doping the half-filled correlated MI state in both homobilayer [2] and heterobilayer [1] mTMD systems eventually converts the system at a critical doping to a metal with a finite T = 0conductivity (of course, the MI by definition has zero T = 0conductivity). This doping-induced MIT around half filling is the main subject of the current theoretical Letter. In particular, the experiments report a strong linear-in-T resistivity (with resistivity increasing linearly with increasing T) in the metallic phase above the critical doping in contrast to their observed T^2 resistivity [1,2] in the metallic phase at half filling (obtained by tuning an applied external electric field presumably by tuning the effective U/t so as to induce the Mott transition; we do not discuss the strong correlation-driven MIT at half filling since it is outside the scope of our theory). Presumably the T^2 metallic temperature dependence at half filling beyond the MIT arises from the usual Fermi-liquid behavior of umklapp electron-electron scattering, but the linear-in-Ttemperature dependence of the doping-induced metallic state above the critical doping is anomalous since the expectation is that this T dependence should also be the usual Fermi-liquid T^2 behavior as the finite-doping metal slightly away from half filling should be a normal metal. Based on this observed linear-in-T resistivity behavior, the experimental finite-doping metallic phase around half filling has been claimed to be a putative non-Fermi liquid arising from some type of a continuous quantum critical MIT, which generally should not happen in 2D in the presence of any disorder by virtue of the scaling theory of localization. We mention that there is no known (or proposed) quantum critical point in the doped system around half filling leading to a linear-in-T resistivity. In the current Letter, we provide a physically motivated explanation for the linearly temperature-dependent resistivity in the doped metallic case, arising from screened Coulomb disorder due to the inevitable presence of unintentional quenched random charged impurities in the TMD environment. This same Coulomb disorder is also responsible for producing the apparent 2D MIT crossover behavior itself (i.e., producing the localized insulating phase around half filling) in the doped samples as we have argued recently [12].

Theory. The resistivity can be calculated using the usual Drude formula

$$\rho(T) = \frac{m}{ne^2\tau(T)},\tag{1}$$

where m = 0.45 (in units of free-electron mass) is the appropriate effective mass [13] and *n* is the mobile carrier density. Since the mTMD systems are slightly doped away from half filling, only the fraction of electrons occupying the upper Hubbard band can participate in the metallic transport, and thus throughout this Letter we set $n = n_{\rm T} - n_{\rm M}$ as the mobile carrier density in the metallic phase, where $n_{\rm T}$ is the total carrier density, and $n_{\rm M} = 5 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ is the moiré density corresponding to half filling. $\tau(T)$ is the temperature-dependent scattering time within the Boltzmann transport theory given by

$$\tau(T) = \frac{\int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \tau(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}) \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \left(-\frac{\partial f(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)}{\int d\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \left(-\frac{\partial f(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})}{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}}\right)},$$
(2)

where $\tau(\varepsilon_k)$ denotes the zero-temperature transport time limited by screened charged disorder, which we calculate using the leading-order Boltzmann transport theory,

$$\frac{1}{\tau(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}})} = \frac{2\pi n_{\mathbf{i}}}{\hbar} \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \left| u_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') \right|^2 (1 - \cos\theta) \delta(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}'}), \quad (3)$$

where n_i is the background unintentional random charged impurity density, $u_i(q) = 2\pi e^2/\kappa q \epsilon(q, T)$ is the screened Coulomb scattering potential between a charged impurity and an electron with $\epsilon(q, T) = 1 + (2\pi e^2/\kappa q)\Pi(q, T)$ denoting the random phase approximation (RPA) finite-temperature and finite-momentum dielectric function, and $\kappa = 5$ the background lattice dielectric constant for mTMD. RPA is a reasonable screening approximation since the effective interaction parameter ($r_s \sim 1$) is rather small here and the theory is applied entirely in the metallic regime. The finite-temperature polarizability $\Pi(q, T)$ can be expressed as an integral of the zero-temperature polarizability,

$$\Pi(q,T) = \int_0^\infty d\epsilon \frac{\Pi(q,T=0)|_{\epsilon_{\rm F}=\epsilon}}{4k_{\rm B}T\cosh^2\frac{\epsilon-\mu(T)}{2k_{\rm B}T}},\tag{4}$$

where

$$\Pi(q, T = 0) = \frac{m}{\pi \hbar^2} \left[1 - \Theta(q - 2k_{\rm F}) \frac{\sqrt{q^2 - 4k_{\rm F}^2}}{q} \right]$$
(5)

is the zero-temperature 2D polarizability [14]. Here, $\mu(T)$ and $k_{\rm F}$ are the chemical potential and the Fermi wave vector, respectively. With Eqs. (1)–(5), the low- and high-temperature resistivity can be asymptotically expanded as [15]

$$\rho(T \ll T_{\rm F}) \approx \rho_0 \left[\frac{2x}{1+x} \left(\frac{T}{T_{\rm F}} \right) + \frac{2.646x}{(1+x)^2} \left(\frac{T}{T_{\rm F}} \right)^{3/2} \right],$$

$$\rho(T \gg T_{\rm F}) \approx \rho_1 \left(\frac{T_{\rm F}}{T} \right) \left[1 - \frac{3\sqrt{x}}{4} \left(\frac{T_{\rm F}}{T} \right)^{3/2} \right], \tag{6}$$

where $q_{\rm TF} = 2me^2/\kappa\hbar^2$ is the Thomas-Fermi wave vector, $\rho_0 = \rho(T = 0)$ is the zero-temperature residual resistivity induced by impurity scattering, $\rho_1 = (h/e^2)(n_i/n)(\pi x^2/2)$, and $x = q_{\text{TF}}/2k_{\text{F}}$. We note and emphasize that the temperaturedependent resistivity explicitly analytically follows the low-*T* behavior $\rho(T)/\rho_0 = 1 + A(T/T_{\text{F}})$, where *A* is a dopingdependent constant, precisely as experiments observe [1,2]. This linearity, which violates the well-known Sommerfeld expansion, arises from the $2k_{\text{F}}$ anomaly of the 2D screening function, where the temperature effect in suppressing $2k_{\text{F}}$ screening is strong going as $O(T^{1/2})$ rather than the exponentially weak *T* dependence manifesting at long wavelength screening [15]. Thus, the linear-in-*T* behavior ultimately arises from the anomalous *T* dependence of 2D Friedel oscillations, leading to the nonanalytic *T* dependence of screening at $2k_{\text{F}}$. This physics could qualitatively be construed as the high-temperature analog of the 2D Altshuler-Aronov effect.

We emphasize that this linearly T-dependent metallic resistivity in our theory (and presumably as observed experimentally in Refs. [1,2]) does not arise from any T =0 quantum criticality and does not imply any non-Fermiliquid behavior. It is simply a nonanalytic anomalous finite-Tproperty of the 2D Fermi surface, where the temperature dependence deviates qualitatively from that given by the Sommerfeld expansion arising from the $2k_{\rm F}$ anomaly [16–19]. We also note that any phonon scattering-induced T dependence is irrelevant in the temperature range of interest, because the electron-phonon coupling is very weak in TMD materials [13] and also because the phonon-induced temperature dependence is negligible, going as T^4 in this low-temperature range well below the Bloch-Grüneisen temperature. Using the known deformation potential coupling [20] constant ($\sim 1 \text{ eV}$) in TMD materials, we estimate the linear-in-T contribution to the resistivity coming from intrinsic electron-phonon scattering to be $\sim 0.1 \Omega/K$, which is negligible (by three to four orders of magnitude) compared with our results in Fig. 1 (and the experimental results of Refs. [1,2]).

We present in the next section our numerical results for the full transport theory using mTMD parameters from Refs. [1,2]. We note that, while ρ_0 depends on the impurity parameters, the temperature dependence itself is independent of disorder details in the metallic phase.

Results. In Fig. 1 we show the calculated T dependence of the doped metallic mTMD resistivity based on our screened disorder scattering as described above. The results shown in Fig. 1 are in good qualitative and semiquantitative agreement with the experimental results of Refs. [1,2,21]. In particular, the three most significant salient features of the experimental results are reproduced well in the theory: (1) a linear T dependence in the resistivity in the leading order at the lowest temperatures; (2) the T dependence becoming stronger with decreasing doping density approaching the MIT critical density from the metallic side; and (3) the T dependence could be by as much as a factor of 2-6 for a modest temperature increase of ~ 20 K as observed experimentally. We emphasize that our Boltzmann theory-based transport theory becomes progressively worse quantitatively as the MIT is approached, remaining qualitatively (but not quantitatively valid) near the effective MIT itself. We do not provide a direct comparison with the actual experimental data because it is not meaningful to do so because of (1) the approximate zeroth-order nature of our theory, and (2) the experimental results show



FIG. 1. Numerically calculated resistivity (solid lines) as a function of T along with the analytical asymptotic results (dashed lines) for doped metallic mTMD samples from the (a), (b) Cornell and (c), (d) Columbia groups. Each resistivity curve in a different color corresponds to a different filling factor, which is indicated by a colored number in the figure. The insets present a zoom-in of the lowtemperature regime, where the full numerical and analytical results are in good agreement exhibiting a linear T dependence at $T \ll T_{\rm F}$. For calculations, we set the impurity densities (a) $n_i = 8.71$, (b) 10.1, (c) 6.2, and (d) 7.8×10^{10} cm⁻², which are estimated by fitting the lowest-temperature measured resistivity [1,2] to the leading-order Boltzmann theory as a function of carrier density [12]. The estimated impurity density is reasonable for TMD materials [13]. The Fermi temperature $T_{\rm F}$ in each figure is given by $T_{\rm F} = |f - 1|308.7$ K for (a) and (b), and $T_{\rm F} = |f + 1|308.7$ K for (c) and (d), where f is the filling factor.

significant sample-to-sample variations, implying that only a semiquantitative and qualitative comparison between theory and experiment is sensible at this point. The most significant success of the theory is in providing a natural explanation for the linear-in-T behavior and its doping dependence and the fact that the required impurity density, the only free parameter in the theory providing the correct quantitative resistivity scale agreeing with the experiment, is reasonable.

We must emphasize that the linear-in-T resistivity extending to low temperatures in our theory is not connected with any non-Fermi-liquid behavior whatsoever. The metallic system remains a Fermi liquid here everywhere since the linear-in-T transport scattering rate is simply a momentum relaxation rate relevant for current relaxation, and has nothing to do with any dynamical imaginary self-energy varying as linear in T. Any non-Fermi-liquid behavior requires the inelastic scattering rate to go as (at least) linear in T and the Tdependence of the momentum relaxation by itself is irrelevant.

In Fig. 2 we plot the calculated finite-*T* scattering rate, defined by $\hbar/\tau(T)$ where $1/\tau(T) = m[\rho(T) - \rho(T = 0)]/(ne^2)$, as a function of *T* for different carrier densities *n*, in order to emphasize the "Planckian" nature [22,23] of transport in doped mTMD layers, although the Planckian behavior here is not associated with any non-Fermi-liquid property or hidden quantum criticality, arising specifically from the 2D Fermi-liquid $2k_F$ anomaly. The fact that $\hbar/\tau > T$ in Fig. 2(b) indicates the strange metallic super-Planckian behavior for the



FIG. 2. The calculated scattering rate $\Gamma = \hbar/\tau$ scaled by temperature as a function of $T/T_{\rm F}$ for the results of Fig. 1, indicating the super-Planckian ($\Gamma/k_BT > 1$) in (b) arising from temperaturedependent screened disorder scattering. Note that this figure shows only the *T*-dependent scattering subtracting out the T = 0 disorder scattering rate.

Cornell doped hole data, whereas the other three sets of data are sub-Planckian.

For the sake of completeness, we have also calculated the carrier density-dependent mobility μ , indicating the sample quality for both Cornell and Columbia doped samples using the one-parameter impurity density fit, which is fixed by comparing the measured resistivity with the theory just at one doping density at the lowest temperature. The mobility μ is defined by

$$\mu = \frac{1}{ne\rho},\tag{7}$$

where ρ is the resistivity at the lowest temperature (i.e., ρ_0 in the theory). These calculated results as well as the power-law fits to $\mu \sim n^{\alpha}$, where α is the density exponent of $\mu(n)$, are shown in Fig. 3 for the Cornell and Columbia experiments, respectively, both on the electron and hole doping sides. For these calculations, we set the impurity densities $n_i = 8.71$, 10.1, 6.2, and 7.8×10^{10} cm⁻² for Figs. 3(a)-3(d), respectively, which are estimated by fitting the density-dependent experimental resistivity to the leading-order Boltzmann theory [12]. We note that the best-fit impurity density in all cases is $n_{\rm i} \sim 5 \times 10^{10}$ cm⁻², which is consistent with the known quality of these TMD materials [13]. There is a small difference between the impurity content on the electron/hole doping sides consistent with the experimentally observed asymmetry in the experiments on the two doping sides. Our calculated mobility and its density dependence both agree well with the experiments. The fact that our theory accounts for the measured sample mobility and its doping dependence naturally with very reasonable choices for the sample disorder provide strong support for our disorder model of the mTMD transport physics in doped samples.

We emphasize that the increasing mobility with increasing doping is a direct manifestation of the effect of screening of the Coulomb disorder by the doped carriers, and cannot be explained by the Mott-Hubbard type strong correlation model



FIG. 3. Calculated mobility for each mTMD sample obtained by fitting the experimental resistivity measured at the lowest temperature to the leading-order Boltzmann theory [12]. The insets show the density-dependent power-law exponent as a function of *n* calculated numerically using $\alpha = \partial \ln \mu / \partial \ln n$. We note that the mobilities ($\sim 10^3$ cm²/V s) are rather low, indicating the dominant role of disorder, and the exponent α being small ($\ll 1$) indicates the strong role of screening.

at all. In particular, the limiting theoretical values of α can be deduced from our theory analytically with $\alpha(x \ll 1) = 1.5$ as appropriate for unscreened disorder and $\alpha(x \gg 1) = 0$ as appropriate for totally screened short-range disorder (with $x = q_{\rm TF}/2k_{\rm F}$) [24]. The fact that $\alpha < 1$ throughout in Fig. 3 indicates that mTMD systems are strong screening systems (by virtue of its large effective mass), and the low mobility value ($\sim 10^3 \text{ cm}^2/\text{V}$ s) is simply a manifestation of the highly disordered nature of the current mTMD samples. Improvement in sample quality should lead to much wider metallic regimes on both electron/hole sides of future mTMD samples [25].

Conclusion. We provide a plausible theory for the strong temperature dependence of the reported 2D metallic resistivity of mTMD bilayers doped away from half filling. Our theory explains the observed strong temperature dependence of the doped metal as arising from the screened Coulomb disorder, and not from any unknown quantum criticality or mysterious non-Fermi-liquid properties. Our microscopic disorder-based transport theory is in agreement with the experimental finding of a linear-in-T resistivity down to the lowest experimental temperatures as well as the strong T dependence, along with a Planckian behavior with the scattering rate exceeding temperature itself. One obvious implication of our disorder-based theory is that cleaner (dirtier) systems with less (more) disorder should manifest a larger (smaller) metallic regime with a smaller (larger) critical doping density for the observed 2D MIT. Our work suggests that doping-induced effective MIT in mTMD bilayers may be arising from Coulomb disorder and not from strong correlation.

Acknowledgments. We thank K. Mak, C. Dean, and A. Pasupathy for helpful discussions on the experimental data and for providing us unpublished results [21]. This work is supported by the Laboratory for Physical Sciences.

- [1] T. Li, S. Jiang, L. Li, Y. Zhang, K. Kang, J. Zhu, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, D. Chowdhury, L. Fu, J. Shan, and K. F. Mak, Continuous Mott transition in semiconductor moiré superlattices, Nature (London) 597, 350 (2021).
- [2] A. Ghiotto, E.-M. Shih, G. S. S. G. Pereira, D. A. Rhodes, B. Kim, J. Zang, A. J. Millis, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. C. Hone, L. Wang, C. R. Dean, and A. N. Pasupathy, Quantum criticality in twisted transition metal dichalcogenides, Nature (London) 597, 345 (2021).
- [3] Y. Xu, S. Liu, D. A. Rhodes, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, V. Elser, K. F. Mak, and J. Shan, Correlated insulating states at fractional fillings of moiré superlattices, Nature (London) 587, 214 (2020).
- [4] X. Huang, T. Wang, S. Miao, C. Wang, Z. Li, Z. Lian, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, S. Okamoto, D. Xiao, S.-F. Shi, and Y.-T. Cui, Correlated insulating states at fractional fillings of the WS₂/WSe₂ moiré lattice, Nat. Phys. 17, 715 (2021).
- [5] L. Wang, E.-M. Shih, A. Ghiotto, L. Xian, D. A. Rhodes, C. Tan, M. Claassen, D. M. Kennes, Y. Bai, B. Kim, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, X. Zhu, J. Hone, A. Rubio, A. N. Pasupathy, and C. R. Dean, Correlated electronic phases in twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, Nat. Mater. 19, 861 (2020).
- [6] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, and A. H. MacDonald, Hubbard Model Physics in Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Moiré Bands, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 026402 (2018).

- [7] F. Wu, T. Lovorn, E. Tutuc, I. Martin, and A. H. MacDonald, Topological Insulators in Twisted Transition Metal Dichalcogenide Homobilayers, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 086402 (2019).
- [8] H. Pan, F. Wu, and S. Das Sarma, Quantum phase diagram of a Moiré-Hubbard model, Phys. Rev. B 102, 201104(R) (2020).
- [9] H. Pan, F. Wu, and S. Das Sarma, Band topology, Hubbard model, Heisenberg model, and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction in twisted bilayer WSe₂, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033087 (2020).
- [10] H. Pan and S. Das Sarma, Interaction-Driven Filling-Induced Metal-Insulator Transitions in 2D Moiré Lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 096802 (2021).
- [11] H. Pan and S. Das Sarma, Interaction range and temperature dependence of symmetry breaking in strongly correlated twodimensional moiré transition metal dichalcogenide bilayers, Phys. Rev. B 105, 041109 (2022).
- [12] S. Ahn and S. Das Sarma, Disorder-induced two-dimensional metal-insulator transition in moiré transition metal dichalcogenide multilayers, Phys. Rev. B 105, 115114 (2022).
- [13] K. Mak (private communication).
- [14] F. Stern, Polarizability of a Two-Dimensional Electron Gas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 546 (1967).
- [15] S. Das Sarma and E. H. Hwang, Screening and transport in 2D semiconductor systems at low temperatures, Sci. Rep. 5, 16655 (2015).

- [16] F. Stern, Calculated Temperature Dependence of Mobility in Silicon Inversion Layers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1469 (1980).
- [17] S. Das Sarma, Theory of finite-temperature screening in a disordered two-dimensional electron gas, Phys. Rev. B 33, 5401 (1986).
- [18] G. Zala, B. N. Narozhny, and I. L. Aleiner, Interaction corrections at intermediate temperatures: Longitudinal conductivity and kinetic equation, Phys. Rev. B 64, 214204 (2001).
- [19] D. Buterakos, D. Vu, J. Yu, and S. Das Sarma, Presence versus absence of two-dimensional Fermi surface anomalies, Phys. Rev. B 103, 205154 (2021).
- [20] Z. Jin, X. Li, J. T. Mullen, and K. W. Kim, Intrinsic transport properties of electrons and holes in monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides, Phys. Rev. B 90, 045422 (2014).

- [21] T. Li, J. Shan, and K. Mak (private communication and unpublished).
- [22] S. A. Hartnoll and A. P. Mackenzie, Planckian dissipation in metals, arXiv:2107.07802.
- [23] S. Ahn and S. D. Sarma, Planckian properties of 2D semiconductor systems, arXiv:2204.02982.
- [24] S. Das Sarma and E. H. Hwang, Universal density scaling of disorder-limited low-temperature conductivity in highmobility two-dimensional systems, Phys. Rev. B 88, 035439 (2013).
- [25] We have been informed by A. Pasupathy that indeed recent experiments at Columbia University using purer samples observe a larger metallic regime, and we predict that the reverse would happen in dirtier samples.