# **Semiclassical dynamics of electrons in a space-time crystal: Magnetization, polarization, and current response**

Qiang Gao  $\bullet$ <sup>[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6150-8797)</sup> and Qian Niu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Physics, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, USA* <sup>2</sup>*ICQD*/*HFNL and School of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, China*

(Received 28 September 2022; revised 14 November 2022; accepted 16 December 2022; published 28 December 2022)

A space-time crystal is defined as a quantum-mechanical system with both spatial and temporal periodicity. Such a system can be described by the Floquet-Bloch (FB) theory. We first formulate a semiclassical theory by constructing a wave packet through the superposition of the FB wave functions, and we derive the equations of motion of FB electrons subjected to slowly varying external fields (not to be confused with the fast-changing Floquet drive), revealing behaviors similar to ordinary Bloch electrons but with quantities modified in the Floquet context. Specifically, we study the local magnetic moment due to the self-rotation of the wave packet, a contribution to total magnetization from the Berry curvature in **k**-space, and the polarization of a fully occupied FB band. Based on the semiclassical theory, we can also show the fingerprint of the energy flow in such an energy-nonconserved system. We then discuss the density matrix of a FB system attached to a thermal bath, which allows us to investigate quantities involving many electrons in the noninteracting limit. As an application, we calculate the intrinsic current response in an oblique space-time metal showing the nonequilibrium nature of the FB system. The current response can also be related to the acoustoelectric effect. Overall, we develop a systematic approach for studying space-time crystals, and we provide a powerful tool to explore the electronic properties of this exotic system with coupled space and time.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.106.224311](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.224311)

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Periodically driven systems have long been intensively studied given their great controllability and potential to realize many exotic phases of matter or to achieve great performance in various applications, such as a Floquet topological insulator  $[1-3]$ , a (space-)time crystal  $[4-10]$ , a Floquet engineered moiré system [\[11\]](#page-18-0), and even quantum computation  $[12,13]$ . The physics behind the periodically driven systems can be well-described by the Floquet(-Bloch) theory [\[8,14–](#page-18-0) [16\]](#page-18-0), which is generally nonperturbative and thus is useful for cases with a strong field driven [\[16,17\]](#page-18-0). Given the strongly driven nature of those systems, there arises a natural question: how does the electron behave, or what kind of unique transport property can the periodic drive bring us? This question has been answered by many physicists from different aspects. To put it simply, the answer is that the electronic dynamics are largely modified by time variations and so is the electron population (density), which then leads to various unique transport phenomena: shift current  $[16,18]$ , the light-induced Hall effect  $[19,20]$ , and the acoustic (spin)-Hall effect  $[21-23]$ .

Among various periodically driven systems, those having periodic structures in spatial directions, also known as Floquet-Bloch systems or space-time crystals, have attracted more attention as more degrees of freedom are introduced to their Bloch counterpart [\[24,25\]](#page-18-0). Depending on how the temporal periodicity is related to the spatial periodicity, the space-time crystals can be roughly classified into two categories: rectangular and oblique space-time crystals [\[7\]](#page-18-0).

The former can often be seen in systems driven by light [\[16,18–20\]](#page-18-0) where the periodicity is either purely in time or purely in space, while the latter can be realized as Bloch systems driven by phonons  $[8,10]$  that have non-negligible momentum leading to a purely temporal periodicity and other periodicities tilted in space-time (see Refs. [\[21–23\]](#page-18-0) for recent advances in the phonon-driven Bloch systems). For a better understanding and further exploring the unique physics in those systems, it becomes increasingly important to know the electron dynamics and its responses to external fields such as static electric or magnetic fields (not to be confused with the time-periodic Floquet driving field). The responses can in general be categorized into equilibrium responses (e.g., magnetization and polarization) and nonequilibrium responses (transport phenomena such as charge or spin current responses) [\[26\]](#page-18-0). One could imagine that those fairly familiar concepts in ordinary Bloch systems would become much more different and hard to reach in the context of the Floquet system due to its distinct nature. Efforts have been given to some of those aspects, for example Floquet transport [\[27–29\]](#page-18-0) and orbital magnetization in the Floquet-Bloch system [\[30\]](#page-18-0).

Our goal in this work is to establish a systematic framework for studying the electronic dynamics and responses to external fields in space-time crystals by directly extending the semiclassical wave-packet formalism developed for Bloch systems  $[26,31]$ . The general philosophy is that given a space-time crystal, either rectangular or oblique, we can construct a wave packet as the superposition of the

<span id="page-1-0"></span>Floquet-Bloch wave functions and then treat the external slowly varying fields (such as static electric or magnetic fields) as weak inhomogeneity in space and time, which can be felt by the wave packet. Thus, a set of semiclassical equations of motions can be derived characterizing the electronic dynamics in the space-time crystal. Our scope is then extended to discussions of the physical phenomena involving many yet noninteracting electrons. By using density matrix analysis, we are able to see the nonequilibrium nature of the Floquet systems in the current response of an ensemble of electrons.

In particular, we find that the behaviors of Floquet-Bloch electrons are similar to ordinary Bloch electrons but with quantities modified in the Floquet context, for example the Berry curvatures and the energy. We are specifically interested in the local magnetic moment caused by the self-rotation of the wave packet in the presence of an external magnetic field, the magnetization from the Berry curvature, and the polarization of a fully occupied Floquet-Bloch band, which has two contributions: one is the Bloch polarization modified by the Floquet drive, and the other is the Floquet polarization purely from the time variations. The theory also shows the fingerprint of the energy flow in such an energy-nonconserved system. We then discuss the density matrix of a Floquet-Bloch system connected to a heat bath, which allows us to investigate quantities involving many electrons in the noninteracting limit. The density matrix is in general nondiagonal and time-dependent, which indicates the inapplicability of the semiclassical scheme to the damped systems, while it becomes diagonal and timeindependent when the connection (or the damping) is turned off. As an application of our theory, we calculate the intrinsic current response in an oblique space-time metal showing the nonequilibrium nature of the Floquet-Bloch system, which can also be interpreted as the acoustoelectric effect.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we start with an introduction to the Floquet-Bloch theory for periodically driven Bloch systems and the two-timescales scheme used throughout our work. The eigen-wave-functions of the Floquet-Bloch system are discussed together with its orthonormal conditions. Then, based on the eigen-wavefunctions, we construct the wave packet and derive the equations of motions of Floquet-Bloch electrons subjected to slowly varying external potentials in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) with more detailed discussions about the magnetization and polarization in that section. In particular, we discuss the energy flow between the Floquet drive and the Bloch system. We also discuss the density matrix of a Floquet-Bloch system attached to a thermal bath in Sec. [IV](#page-7-0) to investigate the electronic populations given that such a driven system is indeed a nonequilibrium system. This then allows us to calculate quantities involving many electrons in the noninteracting limit. Having the semiclassical theory established, we proceed in Sec. [V](#page-8-0) to apply it to cases with oblique space-time structures where nontrivial responses are expected. One specific physical quantity we are interested in is the intrinsic dc current response, which shows the nonequilibrium nature of the Floquet-Bloch systems. Finally, several concluding remarks are given in Sec. [VI.](#page-10-0)

## **II. FLOQUET-BLOCH HAMILTONIAN, WAVE FUNCTIONS, AND THEIR PROPERTIES**

### **A. Space-time lattice and multiband Floquet-Bloch system**

Here we give some basic information on the Floquet-Bloch formalism and related properties that are useful for our discussions. Our starting point is to construct a Floquet system by time-periodically perturbing a Bloch system. When there is no perturbation, we have the corresponding static system, which is basically a multiband Bloch system:

$$
H_B(r)|\varphi_k^{\alpha}(r)\rangle = E^{\alpha}(k)|\varphi_k^{\alpha}(r)\rangle, \qquad (1)
$$

where  $H_B(r) = H_B(r + R)$  is the Hamiltonian for the static Bloch system with  $N_B$  multiple bands,  $\alpha = \{1, 2, ..., N_B\}$ stands for the Bloch band index with  $\alpha = 1$  being the ground state, and *k* stands for lattice momentum. From Bloch's theorem, the wave function can be expressed as a plane wave multiplied with a space periodic function:  $|\varphi_k^{\alpha}(r)\rangle =$  $e^{ik \cdot r} |u_k^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\rangle$ , where  $|u_k^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R})\rangle = |u_k^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\rangle$ .

Now, we can introduce the time-periodic perturbation to the Bloch system, which makes  $H_B \to H(r, t)$  with periodicity in time  $H(r, t) = H(r, t + T)$ , and the Schrodinger equation becomes time-dependent:

$$
H(r,t)|\Psi(r,t)\rangle = i\hbar \partial_t |\Psi(r,t)\rangle.
$$
 (2)

Normally, the new Hamiltonian should inherit the spatial periodicity from the static Bloch Hamiltonian that  $H(r, t) =$  $H(r + R, t)$ . However, in this paper we are going to discuss a more general scenario in which the spatial periodicity can be partly broken by the time variation ending up with a coupled periodicity in both the temporal and spatial direction:  $H(r, t) = H(r + \mathbf{R}, t + \frac{\kappa \cdot R}{\Omega})$ , where  $\Omega = 2\pi/T$  and  $\kappa$  are the energy and momentum introduced by the time variation. We call a system with a zero *κ* the rectangular space-time crystal, and a system with a nonzero  $\kappa$  the oblique space-time crystal. We want to emphasize that, for systems considered in this work, the temporal periodicity  $H(\mathbf{r}, t + T) = H(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is exact regardless of  $\kappa$  being zero or nonzero. In Fig. [1\(a\),](#page-2-0) we show those two types of space-time lattices in both the real space (space-time) and the reciprocal space (energy-momentum). We note here that the space-time we used adopts a signature  $(-t_{i, \omega}, +x_{i,k})$ , so that  $\mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{b}_i = \pm 2\pi \delta_{i,i}$  holds.

Then, as an illustration, we consider a simple  $(1 + 1)D$ Hamiltonian  $H(x, t) = \frac{-\hbar^2}{2m} \partial_x^2 + V(x, t)$  with a space-time potential

$$
V(x,t) = -V_0 \sum_{l} e^{-[x-x_l(t)]^2/\sigma}, \qquad (3)
$$

where  $V_0$  is the potential constant,  $\sigma$  controls the width of the potential, and  $x_l(t) \equiv lR - C \cos(\kappa lR - \Omega t)$  is the position of the *l*th ion. This specific ion position profile describes a sound wave with mode  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  and amplitude *C* propagating through the one-dimensional (1D) lattice (which serves as the fast-varying Floquet driving field). We note that setting  $C = 0$ reduces the system to its corresponding static Bloch system. We can check that the space-time potential satisfies the correct periodicity:  $V(x, t + T) = V(x, t)$  and  $V(x + R, t + T)$  $\frac{kR}{Q}$ ) = *V*(*x*,*t*). We plot the space-time potential for two special cases:  $(\Omega, \kappa) = (2\pi/T, 0)$  corresponding to a rectangular

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

FIG. 1. (a) The illustration of two types of simple space-time lattices in  $(1 + 1)D$ : the rectangular space-time lattice (left two panels) and the oblique space-time lattice (right two panels) in both real  $(t, x)$  space (top two panels) and reciprocal  $(\omega, k)$  space (bottom two panels). (b),(c) The space-time potential following Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) with  $V_0 = 1$ ,  $C = 0.2R$ , and  $\sigma = 0.2R^2$  for rectangular (b) and oblique (c) space-time crystals. The red dots highlight the underlying spacetime lattice structures.

space-time lattice [Fig. 1(b)] and  $(\Omega, \kappa) = (2\pi/T, 2\pi/\sqrt{2R})$ [\[32\]](#page-18-0) corresponding to an oblique space-time lattice [Fig. 1(c)].

Now, we have gained some basic knowledge about the space-time crystalline structure. Let us then move to a discussion about its wave functions. Analogous to the Bloch crystal where the Bloch wave functions are eigenstates of both the Hamiltonian and the translation operators, here we should have the Floquet-Bloch wave function as the eigenstate of both the Floquet-Bloch Hamiltonian and its corresponding translation operators. Thus, for a Floquet-Bloch eigenstate labeled by energy-momentum  $\boldsymbol{\xi} \equiv (\omega, \mathbf{k})$ , the following equations should be satisfied:

$$
\hat{T}_{\mathbf{a}_1}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = |\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t+T)\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= e^{i\mathbf{a}_1\cdot\xi}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega T}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle,
$$
\n
$$
\hat{T}_{\mathbf{a}_2}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = |\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{R},t+\frac{\kappa\cdot\mathbf{R}}{\Omega})\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= e^{i\mathbf{a}_2\cdot\xi}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega\frac{\kappa\mathbf{R}}{\Omega}+i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}}|\Psi_{\xi}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle, (4)
$$

where  $\hat{T}_{a_1}$  and  $\hat{T}_{a_2}$  are two translation operators along two translation symmetric directions:  $\mathbf{a}_1 = (T, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{a}_2 =$  $(\frac{\kappa \cdot \mathbf{R}}{\Omega}, \mathbf{R})$  [also shown in Fig. 1(a)]. We can construct a set of basis wave functions  $[8,10]$  that satisfy the above equations, which read

$$
|\Psi_{n,\xi}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle=e^{-i\omega t}|\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(r,t)\rangle\equiv e^{-i(\omega+n\Omega)t}|\varphi_{k+nk}^{\alpha}(r)\rangle, \quad (5)
$$

which is merely the original Bloch wave function shifted both in energy and momentum by an integer multiple of  $(\Omega, \kappa)$ . Here *n* is the so-called Floquet band index. Thus, true eigenstates can be expressed as linear combinations of those basis wave functions,

$$
|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = \sum_{n,\alpha} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha} |\Psi_{n,\mathbf{\xi}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  

$$
= e^{-i\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})t} \sum_{n,\alpha} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha} |\Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  

$$
\equiv e^{-i\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})t} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} |\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}\rangle, \tag{6}
$$

where we have added the Floquet band index  $\mu$  and denoted the quasienergy  $\omega_{\mu}$ ,  $|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle$  refers to the Floquet-Bloch periodic function that has the same periodicity as the Hamiltonian, and the coefficient  $f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}$  satisfies the following eigenequation:  $\sum_{n,\beta} H_{m,n;\alpha,\beta}(\boldsymbol{k}) f_{n,k}^{\mu,\beta} = \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k}) f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}$ , with the kernel matrix defined as

$$
H_{m,n;\alpha,\beta}(\boldsymbol{k}) \equiv \langle \langle \Phi_{m,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{r},t) | H(\boldsymbol{r},t) - i\hbar \partial_t | \Phi_{n,\boldsymbol{k}}^{\beta}(\boldsymbol{r},t) \rangle \rangle. \quad (7)
$$

There are a few things that need to be clarified: the inner product now also takes the time dimension into account, which is defined as  $\langle \langle \cdot \rangle \rangle \equiv 1/T \int_0^T \langle \cdot \rangle dt$ ; the quasienergy  $\omega_\mu$ inherits the Bloch band index, so that in principle we will have  $N_B$  different quasienergy bands  $\{\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_{N_B}\}$  within a Floquet-Bloch zone; the wave vector *k* is now only conserved up to a change of integer multiples of *κ* so that we can refer to it as a quasimomentum. The kernel matrix  $H_{m,n;\alpha,\beta}$  is actually a direct product of the Bloch system and the Floquet degrees of freedom.

In this work, we will keep using the following convention for notions:

> $\{\mu, \nu\}$  label Floquet bands,  $\{\alpha, \beta\}$  label original Bloch bands,  ${n, m, l, \ldots}$  label Floquet band replicas. (8)

For more than two Floquet (Bloch) bands involved, we use primed symbols to distinguish different states:  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\mu'$ ,  $\nu'$ , ...  $(\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta', \dots)$ . Additionally, the periodic part of the Floquet-Bloch wave unction will also have a tilde on top of it:  $|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle$ , as compared to that of the Bloch wave function that has no tilde:  $|u_k^{\alpha}\rangle$ .

One of the reasons to choose the extended Floquet-Bloch basis is that we can utilize some properties of the corresponding Bloch system directly. For example, one can obtain the following orthonormal conditions for the wave function and constructing basis:

$$
\langle \Psi_k^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|\Psi_{k'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'+\mathbf{G}),\qquad(9)
$$

where **G** is the reciprocal vector of the corresponding static Bloch lattice. We note that the above relation is true given the <span id="page-3-0"></span>following relation (see Appendix [A\)](#page-10-0):

$$
\sum_{n,\beta} \left( f_{n+m,k-mk}^{\mu,\beta} \right)^* f_{n,k+G}^{\nu,\beta} = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta_{m,0},\tag{10}
$$

which follows from the orthogonality of the eigenvector of the kernel matrix in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0). We also utilized the fact that the coefficients also inherit the Bloch periodicity:  $f_{n, k+G}^{\nu, \alpha} = f_{n, k}^{\nu, \alpha}$ <br>[\[33\]](#page-18-0). In the remainder of this work, we shall restrict the quasimomentum **k** in our discussion to the first Brillouin zone of the corresponding static Bloch lattice. Then, we have the following completeness relation for the Floquet-Bloch states:

$$
\mathcal{I} = \sum_{\mu} \int d\mathbf{k} |\Psi_k^{\mu}(r, t)\rangle \langle \Psi_k^{\mu}(r, t)|
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{\mu} \sum_{\alpha\beta, mn} \int d\mathbf{k} |\Phi_{m, \mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\rangle f_{m, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha} (f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \beta})^* \langle \Phi_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r})|, \quad (11)
$$

or at a specific **k**, the completeness relation for the periodic part of the Floquet-Bloch wave function:

$$
\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mu} |\tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu}\rangle \langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu}|. \tag{12}
$$

#### **B. Two Timescales**

In the last section, we primarily consider Floquet systems with only one fast-varying driving field (light or sound wave), which introduces a typical timescale  $T = 2\pi/\Omega$ . However, in many cases, those systems are also subjected to other external fields with a much slower timescale. Such a separation in timescales allows us to treat those two types of driving fields differently: the Floquet Hamiltonian with fast-changing fields as the basis, and the slowly varying fields as perturbations. In this work, we will consider an extended system by adding an external field **A** to the Hamiltonian in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0), and to further distinguish the two timescales, we explicitly write the extended Hamiltonian as

$$
H(\mathbf{r},t;\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)) \equiv H(\mathbf{r},\tau_f;\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},\tau_s)),\tag{13}
$$

where the fast time evolution denoted by  $\tau_f$  is the Floquet oscillation [for example, the space-time potential introduced in Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0), which can be recast as  $V(x, \tau_f)$  using the notation defined here], and the slow time evolution denoted by  $\tau_s$  is characterized by the external field  $A(r, \tau_s)$ (such as static electromagnetic fields *E*, *B*), which can be viewed as the envelope function over the fast-changing part. Although two different labels have been used to distinguish two timescales, they are essentially the same (any time-dependent operator acts on both of them). The two-timescale method has been adopted for studying the transition behaviors of time periodically driven systems before [\[34,35\]](#page-18-0).

However, this work focuses on the effect of applying slowly varying external fields. A common technique is to average out the fast oscillating part of the system and what is left is the slow-time evolving part in which we are interested. By averaging out the fast-time variations, we end up with the following approximation:

$$
\langle g(\tau_f, \tau_s) \rangle_T \approx \bar{g}(\tau_s) \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_s + T} g(\tau_f, \tau_{s0}) d\tau_f,
$$
  

$$
\langle \partial_{\tau_s} g(\tau_f, \tau_s) \rangle_T \approx \partial_{\tau_s} \bar{g}(\tau_s),
$$
  

$$
\langle \partial_t g(\tau_f, \tau_s) \rangle_T \approx \langle \partial_{\tau_f} g(\tau_f, \tau_{s0}) \rangle_T,
$$
  

$$
\int dt g(\tau_f, \tau_s) \approx \int d\tau_s \bar{g}(\tau_s),
$$
 (14)

where  $g(\tau_f, \tau_s)$  is an arbitrary function having two timescales as described before, and  $\langle \cdot \rangle_T \equiv \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_s+T} dt$  is to average over a Floquet period. One should notice that the only approximation made in the above equations is that we keep the slowly varying part unchanged (by setting  $\tau_s = \tau_{s0} \in [\tau_s, \tau_s + T]$ ) during the time integration, which is the essence of slow evolution.

We have to emphasize that the main technique used in this work is that we separate the timescale into fast and slowly changing parts, and we assign two different and independent time variables ( $\tau_f$  and  $\tau_s$ ) to describe those two evolutions. The independence between *t* and  $\tau_s$  here is really an assumption that when we integrate out or differentiate the fast time variable *t*, those quantities slowly depending on time characterized by  $\tau_s$  are thought to be unchanged. In the cases in which we need to count all time variations, we shall denote the total time derivative as

$$
\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{d}{d\tau_f} + \frac{d}{d\tau_s}.\tag{15}
$$

## **III. WAVE-PACKET DYNAMICS FOR FLOQUET-BLOCH ELECTRONS**

#### **A. Construction of a wave packet**

In this section, following the semiclassical theory developed for the Bloch system, we construct a wave-packet based on the Floquet-Bloch wave functions, and thus we obtain the effective Lagrangian. The wave packet is essentially a superposition of waveforms with a broadened distribution in wave vector, based on which the wave packet for the electron on a specific Floquet-Bloch band  $\omega_{\mu}$  can be constructed as below:

$$
|W_{\mu}\rangle \equiv \int dk \, a(k, \tau_s) \sum_{n, \alpha} f_{n,k}^{\mu, \alpha}(\mathbf{r}_c) | \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c, \tau_f) \rangle
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{n, \alpha} e^{-in\Omega \tau_f} \int dk \, a(k, \tau_s) f_{n,k}^{\mu, \alpha}(\mathbf{r}_c) | \varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c) \rangle, \quad (16)
$$

where  $|\varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)| \equiv e^{i(k+n\kappa)\cdot \mathbf{r}} |u_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)|$  is the Bloch wave function for the corresponding static system with lattice momentum  $k_n \equiv k + n\kappa$  at the Bloch band  $E^\alpha$ , and  $a(k, \tau_s) \equiv$  $|a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)}$  is the distribution function in  $\mathbf{k}$  space. Notice that we put explicitly the packet center  $\mathbf{r}_c$  in the wave function to illustrate its extra dependence on the spatial variation of the external field, whose evaluation is presented in Appendix [B.](#page-11-0) One of the most important arguments or assumptions we made in the construction of the above wave packet is that the distribution  $a(k, \tau_s)$  is purely dependent on the quasimomentum  $k$  and the slow timescale  $\tau_s$ . Later we will see that this slow time dependence gives rise to the semiclassical <span id="page-4-0"></span>dynamics on a large timescale. The normalization of the constructed wave packet requires that  $\int d\mathbf{k} |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2 = 1$ , and in the sense of a wave packet, we also need the *k* distribution to be narrow:  $|a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2 \sim \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_c)$  with

$$
\boldsymbol{k}_c(\tau_s) \equiv \int d\boldsymbol{k} \, \boldsymbol{k} |a(\boldsymbol{k}, \tau_s)|^2 \tag{17}
$$

being the so-called momentum center of the wave packet. Again, as we can see,  $k_c$  only has a slow-time dependence.

Here are some remarks on the construction of the wave packet using Floquet-Bloch wave functions: (i) Given a Floquet-Bloch system, there will be an infinite number of energy bands in the frequency domain. So, we have to choose one set of Floquet-Bloch bands within the Floquet Brillouin zone, and all others are just replicas labeled by different Floquet index *n*. (ii) Similar to the Bloch system, we need adiabaticity for the wave packet to be well defined, which means that the external slowly varying fields should have energy scales much smaller than the Floquet gap (which will be defined in the later discussions).

#### **B. Effective Lagrangian and equations of motion**

The effective action for the wave packet is given by  $[31,36]$ 

$$
S = \int dt \langle W_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) | i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) | W_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\approx \int d\tau_s \langle \langle W_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) | i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r}, t) | W_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) \rangle \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv \int d\tau_s \mathcal{L}_{eff}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s), \qquad (18)
$$

where we have implicitly integrated out the fast-time variation, and the slow-time dependence  $\tau_s$  is left. The fast spatial variation has been taken care of by the inner product between the wave packets [\[31\]](#page-18-0). Here,  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$  is defined as the effective Lagrangian, which has only slow space and time variations. One can also think of the effective Lagrangian as the operator *ihd*<sub>t</sub>  $-\hat{H}$  evaluated under the wave packet over a space-time unit cell. In Appendixes [C](#page-11-0) and [D,](#page-12-0) we perform detailed calculations for both  $\langle \langle W_\mu | i \hbar d_t | W_\mu \rangle \rangle$  and  $\langle \langle \hat{W}_\mu | \hat{H} | W_\mu \rangle \rangle$ . Thus, we have

$$
\mathcal{L}_{eff} = -\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mu} - \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k_{c}}^{\mu,\alpha}|^{2} n \Omega\right) + \hbar \mathbf{k}_{c} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} \n+ \langle\langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i\hbar \partial_{\tau_{s}} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}} + \hbar \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} \cdot \langle\langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i\partial_{r_{c}} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}} \n+ \hbar \dot{\mathbf{k}}_{c} \cdot \langle\langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i\partial_{k} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle\rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}},
$$
\n(19)

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu} \equiv \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \hat{H}(\hat{r}, t) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle$  is the energy of the wave packet and  $|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)\rangle = \sum_{n} \int_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha} (\mathbf{r}_c) e^{-in\Omega \tau_f} e^{in\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} |u_{n,k}^{\alpha}\rangle$  is the periodic part of the Floquet-Bloch wave function. Note that all fast-time dependencies have been integrated out leaving only slow-time variations. So, here the dot on top of variables  $\mathbf{O} \equiv d\mathbf{O}/d\tau_s$  denotes slow time derivative.

Now, by the Euler-Lagrange equation, we can obtain the equations of motion for Floquet-Bloch electrons:

$$
\hbar \dot{\mathbf{r}}_c = \frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu}}{\partial \mathbf{k}_c} - \left( \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_c \right) + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\tau_s, \mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu},
$$
\n
$$
\hbar \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c = -\frac{\partial \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} + \left( \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{r}_c, \mathbf{r}_c}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_c + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{r}_c, \mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c \right) - \mathbf{\Omega}_{\tau_s, \mathbf{r}_c}^{\mu}, \quad (20)
$$

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mu} \equiv \mathcal{E}_{\mu} - \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 n\Omega = \hbar \omega_{\mu} + \Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$  is the electron energy, with  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu}$  being the gradient correction to the energy due to the finite size of the wave packet (see Appendix **[D](#page-12-0)** for more details), and

$$
\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{\Omega}^{\mu}_{\xi,\xi'})_{p,q} &\equiv \Omega^{\mu}_{\xi_p,\xi'_q} \equiv i[\langle \langle \partial_{\xi_p} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_k | \partial_{\xi'_q} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_k \rangle \rangle - \text{H.c.}],\\ (\mathbf{\Omega}^{\mu}_{\tau_s,\xi})_p &\equiv \Omega^{\mu}_{\tau_s,\xi_p} \equiv i[\langle \langle \partial_{\tau_s} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_k | \partial_{\xi_p} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_k \rangle \rangle - \text{H.c.}], \end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

where  $\xi = \mathbf{k}_c$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_c$  are the Berry curvatures defined in the parameter space  $(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$ .

Now, we have obtained the equation of motion for the Floquet-Bloch electron subjected to slowly varying external potentials. Those equations have exactly the same form as those for the static Bloch crystal  $[31]$ , except that the quantities involved are modified in the Floquet context, for example the Berry curvatures that include the time variations in them. In the next few subsections, we are going to discuss some specific physical observables for which one can also find correspondences in the static Bloch crystal together with some implications that are unique to Floquet systems.

#### **C. Electromagnetic responses of Floquet-Bloch electrons**

One of the most important responses studied in condensedmatter physics is the electromagnetic response. In this section, we want to specify the external slow-varying field to be the electromagnetic field, which can be introduced through gauge potentials  $[\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t), \phi(\mathbf{r}, t)]$ :

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0[\mathbf{k} + e\mathbf{A}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, t)] - e\phi(\hat{\mathbf{r}}, t),
$$
 (22)

which gives  $\hat{H}_c = \hat{H}_0[\mathbf{k} + e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)] - e\phi(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$ . Note that the gauge potentials only introduce slow time and space variations, so that only  $(r_c, \tau_s)$  enters the argument. Let us use the gauge momentum center  $\mathbf{q}_c = \mathbf{k}_c + e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$ , which includes the variation of the position center and the momentum center:  $\partial_{\mathbf{r}_c} = (\partial_{\mathbf{r}_c} e \mathbf{A}) \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{q}_c}$  and  $\partial_{\mathbf{k}_c} = \partial_{\mathbf{q}_c}$ . Plugging this substitution, we have the electron energy as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{0}(\mathbf{q}_{c}) - e\phi(\mathbf{r}_{c}, \tau_{s}) + \Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu}, \tag{23}
$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{0}(\mathbf{q}_c) = \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \hat{H}_0(\mathbf{q}_c) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle$  and  $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu} = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}$  (see detailed derivations in Appendix [D\)](#page-12-0), where **B** =  $\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_c}$  ×  $A(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$  is the magnetic field and

$$
\mathbf{m} = \frac{e}{2\hbar} \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{q}_c} \right| \times \left[ \hat{H}^F(\mathbf{q}_c) - \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_c) \right] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{q}_c} \right\rangle \right\rangle (24)
$$

is the local magnetic moment of the Floquet-Bloch wave packet due to its self-rotation in the magnetic field. Finally, the Lagrangian becomes

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}^{\text{EM}} = -\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{M} + e\phi(\mathbf{r}_{c}, \tau_{s}) + \hbar \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} \cdot [\mathbf{q}_{c} - e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_{c}, \tau_{s})] + \hbar \dot{\mathbf{q}}_{c} \cdot \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_{c}}^{\mu} | i \partial_{\mathbf{q}_{c}} | \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_{c}}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle, \tag{25}
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>where  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{M} \equiv \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{q}_c) - \mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{B}$  is the electron energy including the magnetization, and the last term is equal to the last three terms in Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-0) written compactly. The equations of motion become simply

$$
\dot{\mathbf{r}}_c = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}^M}{\hbar \mathbf{q}_c} - \dot{\mathbf{q}}_c \times \mathbf{\Omega}, \quad \dot{\mathbf{q}}_c = -\frac{e\mathbf{E}}{\hbar} - e\dot{\mathbf{r}}_c \times \mathbf{B}, \qquad (26)
$$

where  $(\mathbf{\Omega})_p = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \Omega_{q_{cj}, q_{ck}}$  is the pseudovector form of the Berry curvature, and  $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_c} \phi(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s) - \partial_{\tau_s} \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$  is the electric field.

The electromagnetic fields introduced here should be slowly varying in both space and time. This is important since, in some cases, the Floquet system is actually driven by electromagnetic fields, which introduce the fast time variations [\[16,18\]](#page-18-0). One has to distinguish those fields by their timescales. The general argument here is still the separation of timescales.

#### **D. Berry curvature contribution to the magnetization**

Apart from the local magnetic moment of the wave packet as written in Eq.  $(24)$ , there is another contribution to the overall magnetization originating from the Berry curvature. The Bloch counterpart can be found in Ref. [\[26\]](#page-18-0). Similarly, it can be seen from the current response of the Floquet-Bloch system that

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{\mu} = -e \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} (1 + \text{Tr} \ \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}r}^{\mu}) -\mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}r}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} - \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}k}^{\mu} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{k}}_{c} + \mathbf{\Omega}_{\tau_{s}k}^{\mu} \right],
$$
\n(27)

where for simplicity we set  $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F \equiv 1$ , i.e., a fully occupied band, and Tr  $\Omega_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{r}}^{\mu}$  is a correction to the **k**-space measure  $d\mathbf{k}$ (or the density of states) due to the noncanonical form of the equations of motion  $[26,37]$ . In this case, we also consider no external slow time variations:  $\mathbf{\Omega}_{\tau_{s}}^{\mu} = 0$ , and  $\mathbf{k}_{c} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}}$ ,  $\mathbf{r}_c = \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_\mu}{\partial \mathbf{k}_c}$  (notice that any higher orders in the Berry curvatures have been ignored). Thus, the current can be rewritten as (by discarding terms that are total derivatives of momentum **k**)

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{\mu} = -\frac{e}{\hbar} \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \bigg[ -\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \mathbf{\Omega}_{k_{i}r_{i}}^{\mu} + \frac{\partial}{\partial k_{i}} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}r_{i}}^{\mu} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}k_{i}}^{\mu} \bigg] -\frac{e}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{i}} \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \mathbf{\Omega}_{\mathbf{k}k_{i}}^{\mu} = \nabla \times \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} (-\frac{e}{\hbar}) \mathcal{E}_{\mu} \mathbf{\Omega}^{\mu},
$$
\n(28)

where the repeated subscript "*i*" should be summed over (no summation for index  $\mu$ ), and we have recognized that the first line is exactly the Bianchi identity, which is zero (one can also show explicitly that those terms cancel each other exactly). Here  $\Omega^{\mu}$  is again the pseudovector form of the Berry curvature. Finally, the current can be cast into a curl of something that has the meaning of magnetization. Therefore, we conclude that there is a contribution from the Berry curvature to the total magnetization, which reads

$$
-\frac{e}{\hbar}\mathcal{E}_{\mu}\mathbf{\Omega}^{\mu} = e\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})\mathrm{Im}\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{k}}\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right| \times \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial\mathbf{k}}\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right\rangle \right\rangle. \tag{29}
$$

Together with the local magnetic moment [\(24\)](#page-4-0), the magnetization at given **k**-state becomes (promoting **q***<sup>c</sup>* to general **k** here)

$$
\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{e}{2\hbar} \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right| \times \left[ \hat{H}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) + \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) \right] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right\rangle \rangle \tag{30}
$$

Some remarks need to be given regarding the above derivations. First, the procedure for getting the Berry curvature contribution to the total magnetization is general for any systems that have the current response as described in Eq. (27). So, the obtained magnetization in Eq. (30) is also true for static Bloch systems at zero temperature (because we set  $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F \equiv 1$ ) [\[37,38\]](#page-18-0), but modifications in energy have to be made:  $H \to H - \mu$  and  $\hbar \omega \to \hbar \omega - \mu$  to account for the chemical potential  $[39]$ . In this section, we set simply the distribution function to be constant, which ignores the effect of the **k**-dependence of the Floquet-Bloch density of states (as we will discuss later). Such a contribution from the nonuniform distribution function can be found quite easily in Bloch systems [\[26\]](#page-18-0), but it becomes complicated in the Floquet system due to its nonequilibrium nature, which is beyond our scope in this work. However, efforts have been made to address that issue using perturbation theory [\[30\]](#page-18-0).

#### **E. Effective Hamiltonian and the averaged energy**

Here we want to provide some insights into the semiclassical dynamics derived above. Given a system with two timescales—fast periodic time evolution and external slow-time evolution—we separate those two by imposing independence between them, and we average out the fast time ending up with only the dynamics of slowly varying quantities  $(r_c, k_c, \tau_s; E, B, \dots)$ . On the large timescale, we can define the effective Hamiltonian based on only those slowly varying variables. First, from Lagrangian Eq. [\(19\)](#page-4-0), we have the canonical conjugate variables:

$$
\Pi_{r_c} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{r}_c} = \hbar k_c + \hbar \langle \vert \tilde{u}_{k_c}^{\mu} \vert i \partial_{r_c} \vert \tilde{u}_{k_c}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle, \n\Pi_{k_c} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \dot{k}_c} = \hbar \langle \vert \tilde{u}_{k_c}^{\mu} \vert i \partial_{k_c} \vert \tilde{u}_{k_c}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle.
$$
\n(31)

Then, the effective Hamiltonian is obtained through Legendre transformation:

$$
\mathcal{H}_{eff}(\mathbf{r}_c, \mathbf{k}_c, \, \Pi_{\mathbf{r}_c}, \, \Pi_{k_c}, \, \tau_s) \n= \dot{\mathbf{r}}_c \cdot \Pi_{\mathbf{r}_c} + \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c \cdot \Pi_{k_c} - \mathcal{L}_{eff} \n= \mathcal{E}_{\mu} - \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} |f^{\mu,\alpha}_{n,k_c}|^2 n\Omega - \hbar \langle \langle \tilde{u}^{\mu}_{k_c} | i \partial_{\tau_s} | \tilde{u}^{\mu}_{k_c} \rangle \rangle.
$$
\n(32)

As we can see, the effective Hamiltonian is generically time  $(\tau_s)$  -dependent due to the last term induced by the external slow time-dependent field. Let us consider the simplest case in which there is no external field. The Hamiltonian becomes  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \langle \langle H_0^F \rangle \rangle - \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 n\Omega$ , which is invariant under time  $(\tau_s)$  translation:  $\frac{d}{d\tau_s} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_s} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = 0$ . In the case in which there is no external field, we have  $\dot{\mathbf{k}}_c = 0$  and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} f_{n,\mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu,\alpha} =$ 0, which leads to  $\frac{d}{d\tau_s} |f_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 = (\dot{\boldsymbol{r}}_c \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_c} + \dot{\boldsymbol{k}}_c \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{k}_c}) |f_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 = 0.$ 

Together, we have the conserved energy:

$$
\frac{d}{d\tau_s} \langle H_0^F \rangle = 0 \tag{33}
$$

in the sense of expectation energy averaged over a Floquet period. Here  $H_0^F$  stands for the Floquet-Bloch system without an external field. Its expectation value has been evaluated in Eq. [\(D3\)](#page-12-0), which contains two terms: the electron quasienergy and the additional energy related to the Floquet drive with frequency  $\Omega$ . The latter can be viewed as the driving field quanta  $(n\hbar\Omega)$  coherently coupled to the electron. For example, if the system is driven by coherent light, then the additional energy will be the energy of the photon coupled to the electron. The average number of the photon coupled to the electron at state  $\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$  is  $\sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 n$ .

More intriguingly, if we consider an external field with only space variation such as electric field:  $H = H_0(k, t)$  –  $e\phi(r)$ , then the effective Hamiltonian is still conserved but now becomes

$$
\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \langle H_0^F \rangle - e\phi(\mathbf{r}_c) - \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 n\Omega. \tag{34}
$$

Therefore, we have the energy conversion between three sources:

$$
0 = \frac{d}{d\tau_s} \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} \equiv \frac{d}{d\tau_s} \langle H_0^F \rangle + \frac{d}{d\tau_s} E_{\text{pot}} + \frac{d}{d\tau_s} N(\tau_s) \hbar \Omega, \quad (35)
$$

where  $\frac{d}{dt_s}N(\tau_s) \equiv -\frac{d}{d\tau_s}\sum_{n,\alpha}n|f_{n,k_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2$ . Thus, we can identify the following:

(i)  $\langle \langle H_0^F \rangle \rangle$  is the Floquet-Bloch energy of electron defined as the averaged energy without external potential. It contains the energy of the electron and the energy of a Floquet coherent driven field (such as the photon,  $\hbar\Omega$ ).

(ii)  $E_{pot} \equiv -e\phi(r_c)$  is the potential energy of the electron subjected to the external field.

(iii)  $N(\tau_s) \hbar \Omega$  is the energy of the driven source of the Floquet system.

We have recognized the last changing term  $N(\tau_s) \hbar \Omega$  as the driven source term because, as defined in Eq. (35),  $-\frac{d}{dt_s}N(\tau_s) = \frac{d}{d\tau_s}\sum_{n,\alpha} n|f_{n,k_c}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2$ , the  $N(\tau_s)$  is to exactly compensate the change in the number of driving field quanta that are coherently coupled to the electron.

We want to remark here that the effective Hamiltonian suggests that we construct an energetic conserved quantity for Floquet systems, and it allows us to discuss the role of the Floquet driving field (not to be confused with the external field) in energy conversion processes.

#### **F. Polarization of a fully occupied Floquet-Bloch band**

In this section, we want to have a general discussion on the polarization of a space-time crystal. To have a well-defined polarization, we have to consider a space-time insulator or a fully occupied Floquet-Bloch band with electron distribution:  $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k},t) = 1$  for all **k**. For the equations of motion given by Eq. [\(20\)](#page-4-0), we can find the polarization of the space-time crystal through adiabatic current [\[40\]](#page-18-0), i.e., letting  $\hat{\Omega}_{kr}^{\mu} = 0$  and  $\hat{k}_c =$ **0** in Eq. [\(27\)](#page-5-0), so that the current reduces to (from now on, we omit the electric charge  $-e$  and set  $\hbar = 1$  for simplicity)

$$
\boldsymbol{j}_{\mu} = \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\mu}}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} + \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\tau_{s} \mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right) = \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\tau_{s} \mathbf{k}}^{\mu}, \qquad (36)
$$

where we utilized the periodicity of  $\mathcal{E}_{\mu}$ . Therefore, the polarization due to the current accumulation is

$$
\Delta P_{\mu} = \int d\tau_s \, j_{\mu} = \int d\tau_s \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \, \Omega_{\tau_s \mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \n= \int d\tau_s \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} [\partial_{\tau_s} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} - \partial_{\mathbf{k}_c} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau_s}^{\mu}] = \left[ \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \right]_{\tau_{s_1}}^{\tau_{s_2}},
$$
\n(37)

where  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{{\bf k}(\tau_s)}^{\mu} = \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{{\bf k}}^{\mu} | i \partial_{{\bf k}(\tau_s)} | \tilde{u}_{{\bf k}}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle$  and we have chosen a periodic gauge for  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\tau_s}^{\mu}$ . Accordingly, we can define the adiabatic polarization as

$$
\boldsymbol{P}_{\mu} = \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}}.
$$
 (38)

Explicitly, we have

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} = \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} | i \partial_{\mathbf{k}} | \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle
$$
\n
$$
\equiv \sum_{n} \left[ \sum_{\alpha, \beta} (f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha})^* f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \beta} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k} + n\kappa}^{\alpha \beta} + \sum_{\alpha} i (f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha})^* \partial_{\mathbf{k}} f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha} \right],
$$
\n(39)

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\beta}$  is the interband Berry connection for the original Bloch crystal. Thus, the polarization will have two parts:

$$
P = \sum_{n} \int_{BZ} d\mathbf{k} \left[ \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha\beta} + \sum_{\alpha} i (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \partial_{\mathbf{k}} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha} \right]
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{n} P_{n}, \tag{40}
$$

where the first term mainly originates from Bloch contribution but is modified by the time perturbation [weighted by the factor  $(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n,k}^{\mu,\beta}$ , while the second term is the purely timeperiodic effect. Let us call the first term the Bloch contribution and the second term the Floquet contribution. Here, we denote *P<sup>n</sup>* as the partial polarization at the *n*th Floquet subband (or replica).

It is important that the physical quantities or observables should be gauge-invariant. Consider the following gauge transformation:  $|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle \mapsto e^{-i\theta_k^{\mu}}|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle$  or equivalently  $f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha} \mapsto$  $e^{-i\theta_k^{\mu}} f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}$ . The polarization *P* will gain an extra term  $\int_{BZ} d\mathbf{k} \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \theta_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}$ , which vanishes if we impose periodic boundary conditions for the wave function. However, the partial polarization will become gauge-dependent, thus it cannot be a measurable quantity.

Before we close this section, we would like to discuss a special symmetry constraint that will force the Floquet contribution to be zero. For oblique space-time crystals with  $\kappa \neq 0$ , we have that the parity and time-reversal symmetry are both broken by the Floquet driven, which selects unique directions <span id="page-7-0"></span>in both space and time. However, the system can still preserve the joint spatial and temporal reversal symmetry called the  $PT$  symmetry, which requires that the Hamiltonian is invariant under the joint action of reversing both space and time. Typically, we should have a Hamiltonian in the following form:

$$
\hat{H}^F(\mathbf{r},t) = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\partial_{\mathbf{r}}^2 + V_B(\mathbf{r}) + V_F(\mathbf{r},t) - i\hbar\partial_t, \qquad (41)
$$

where  $V_B(\mathbf{r})$  is the periodic potential of the original Bloch crystal, and  $V_F(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the Floquet drive. Those two potentials are all real-valued. Under the  $PT$  action, we have

$$
\mathcal{PT}\hat{H}^F(\mathbf{r},t)(\mathcal{PT})^{-1} = \hat{H}^{F*}(-\mathbf{r},-t) = \hat{H}^F(\mathbf{r},t),\qquad(42)
$$

which requires that  $V_B(\mathbf{r}) = V_B(-\mathbf{r})$  [this implies that the periodic part of the Bloch wave function can be chosen to be  $|u_k^{\alpha}(r)\rangle = |u_k^{\alpha}(-r)\rangle$  and  $V_F(\mathbf{r}, t) = V_F(-\mathbf{r}, -t)$ . Notice that  $P\overline{T}$ **k**( $\overline{PT}$ )<sup>-1</sup> = **k**, thus the extended Floquet-Bloch basis in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) is also  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetric:

$$
\mathcal{PT}|\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = |\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(-\mathbf{r},-t)\rangle^* = |\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle. \tag{43}
$$

Then, for the Floquet-Bloch eigenstate to be  $PT$  symmetric, we require that the coefficient  $f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}$  be real for all *n*,  $\alpha$  up to an overall gauge choice. One should see that the contribution in the polarization from the Floquet variation vanishes identically due to the fact that  $\sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 = 1$ . However, the modified Bloch contribution to the polarization may still survive under the  $\mathcal{PT}$  symmetry.

### **IV. FLOQUET QUASIEQUILIBRIUM**

In the previous section, we developed the single-electron dynamics in Floquet-Bloch systems, which show similar behavior to that of the Bloch systems but are modified by Floquet time variation. The next step is naturally to consider an ensemble of electrons (but still noninteracting for simpleness). However, such a consideration turns out to be difficult, given that the Floquet-Bloch systems are essentially out-of-equilibrium. There have been many efforts dedicated to exploring the nonequilibrium behavior of electrons in Floquet systems [\[19,](#page-18-0)[41–44\]](#page-19-0). However, we can still bypass the difficulty of dealing with nonequilibrium ensembles by considering a special Floquet quasiequilibrium ensemble [\[16\]](#page-18-0), where the density matrix becomes time-independent and diagonal under the Floquet-Bloch eigenbasis just resembling a Bloch equilibrium ensemble. In this section, we follow the formalism introduced in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-18-0), but we extend it to the case of finite  $\kappa$ , i.e., the oblique space-time crystal.

#### **A. General formalism**

To know the physical quantities contributed by many (noninteracting) electrons, we need to know the population or density of electrons at different states. We start with the Liouville equation

$$
i\partial_t \hat{\rho} = [\hat{H}, \hat{\rho}] + i[\hat{D}, \hat{\rho}], \qquad (44)
$$

where  $\hat{\rho}$  is the density operator of the Floquet-Bloch system, and  $\ddot{D}$  characterizes the damping. Under the relaxation-time approximation and assuming noninteracting electrons, we can write the damping term as [\[16\]](#page-18-0)

$$
[\hat{D}, \hat{\rho}] = -\Gamma(\hat{\rho} - \hat{\rho}^{B, \text{eq}}),\tag{45}
$$

where  $\Gamma = 1/\tau_{s_r}$  is the damping constant, with  $\tau_{s_r}$  being the relaxation time and  $\hat{\rho}^{B,eq}$  is the equilibrium density operator of the corresponding static Bloch system. Although the damping term introduced above is oversimplified so that some interacting or correlation features of electrons are ignored, we believe that such a simple setting can already give the physics that we want to address in this work, and further treatment is certainly needed when including electron-electron interactions. For the rest of the discussion, we assume that the density operator of the Floquet-Bloch system is quasimomentum-diagonal if the system is in a steady state. However, the oblique space-time crystal  $(\kappa \neq 0)$  is essentially different from the rectangular space-time crystal  $(\kappa = 0)$ , given that the quasimomentum in oblique space-time crystals is only conserved up to an integer multiple of  $\kappa$ , i.e., the electron can acquire momenta from the Floquet drive. In this case, the best we can do is to define the density operator in the following way:

$$
\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\mu,n,\nu,m} \int' d\mathbf{k} \rho^F_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}(\mathbf{k},t) |\Psi^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \langle \Psi^{\nu}_{\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}(\mathbf{r},t)|,
$$
\n(46)

where  $\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^F(\mathbf{k},t) \equiv \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) | \hat{\rho} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle$ . One has to be very careful about the summation over indices *n*, *m* and the integration over the quasimomentum **k** to avoid repeated counting for the same states. A detailed discussion regarding the integration and summation can be found in Appendix [E.](#page-16-0) For the static Bloch equilibrium density operator, we want to keep it in general, which reads

$$
\hat{\rho}^{B, \text{eq}} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' \rho_{\alpha, \beta}^{B, \text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') |\varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})\rangle \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r})|, \qquad (47)
$$

where  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}') \equiv \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}) | \hat{\rho}^{B,\text{eq}} | \varphi_{\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$  is the density matrix of the static Bloch equilibrium under the Bloch eigenbasis.

By casting Eq. (44) into the Floquet-Bloch basis, after some algebra (see Appendix  $F$ ) we can obtain

$$
\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^{F,l}(\mathbf{k}) = i\Gamma\delta_{n-m,l}\sum_{\alpha\beta,p}\frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}+n\kappa+p\kappa)(f_{p,\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu,\alpha})^*f_{p+l,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}^n(\mathbf{k})-\omega_{\nu}^m(\mathbf{k})-i\Gamma}.
$$
\n(48)

Here  $\omega_{\mu}^{n}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k} + l\mathbf{k}) - n\Omega$  corresponds to the  $\mu$ th Floquet-Bloch band at the *n*th Floquet replica. One can think about this as an extended zero picture in the frequency domain [\[8\]](#page-18-0). Notice that we have  $\lim_{\Gamma \to 0} \frac{(-i\Gamma)}{[\omega_\mu^n(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_\nu^m(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_\nu^m(\mathbf{k})]}$  $i\Gamma$  =  $\delta_{\mu,\nu}\delta_{n,m}$  if the system is gapped. Then when the thermal contact is gradually turned off ( $\Gamma \rightarrow 0$ ), the density matrix becomes

$$
\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^F(\mathbf{k},t)
$$
\n
$$
= \delta_{\mu,\nu}\delta_{n,m} \sum_{\alpha\beta,p} \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa + p\kappa) (f_{p,\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{p,\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu,\beta},
$$
\n(49)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>which is diagonal and time-independent. Thus, we would like to call it the Floquet quasiequilibrium state to emphasize the diagonal and time-independent density matrix under the Floquet-Bloch eigenbasis. The density operator can then be written as

$$
\hat{\rho} = \sum_{\mu,n} \int' d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\mu,n\}}^F(\mathbf{k},t) |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{\mu} \int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|, \tag{50}
$$

where the change of the integration has been discussed in Appendix [E,](#page-16-0) and

$$
\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) = \rho_{\{\mu,n=0\},\{\mu,n=0\}}^F(\mathbf{k})
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{\alpha\beta,p} \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{\beta,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k} + p\kappa) (f_{p,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{p,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta}.
$$
 (51)

From the above density matrix element, we can find that it is not easy to form a Floquet-Bloch insulator. One of the possible ways to do that is to let the original Bloch bands involved in forming the Floquet-Bloch bands all be occupied, namely  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,eq}(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ , thus we can have  $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) = 1$ .

Now, given an observable  $\hat{O}$ , we can say that the ensemble average of the expectation value is simply

$$
\langle\langle \hat{O} \rangle\rangle = \text{Tr}^F[\hat{\rho}\hat{O}] = \sum_{\mu} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^F \langle\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle\rangle, \tag{52}
$$

where  $\text{Tr}^F[\hat{O}] \equiv \sum_{\mu} \langle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle \rangle$  is the spacetime trace under the Floquet-Bloch eigenbasis. We want to emphasize here that we only consider the intrinsic contribution of the density matrix, i.e., at the condition where  $\Gamma \to 0$ . For finite  $\Gamma$ , we shall expect off-diagonal (or extrinsic) contributions. We want to emphasize here that Eq.  $(52)$  is the key to why the semiclassical analysis works for Floquet-Bloch systems.

Finally, we would like to point out that the analysis in this section represents a special initialization protocol (connecting the Floquet-Bloch system to a heat bath and then gradually turning off the connection), following which the Floquet-Bloch system can be prepared into such a state with the density matrix in Eq.  $(51)$ .

#### **B. Finite damping: A discussion**

There arises a natural question when damping is finite: does the semiclassical scheme developed for intrinsic Floquet-Bloch systems still work? To answer this question, one should be clear about what is needed to validate the semiclassical theory. An observation is that the semiclassical formalism discussed in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) only involves single-electron states, while the many-electron effects are restored by simply multiplying the single-electron dynamics by their densities or populations. This then requires that the density operator of the system (without any scattering or interactions) should be diagonal in the basis on which the wave packet is constructed. Moreover, for Floquet-Bloch systems, the density matrix also needs to be fast-time-independent as we have already averaged over fasttime variations of the single-electron dynamics [\[45\]](#page-19-0). Having the above criteria in mind, we will show that it is impossible to apply our semiclassical theory to damping Floquet-Bloch systems, and that further development is needed.

First of all, it is easy to see why the semiclassical formalism fails when  $\kappa \neq 0$ . In Sec. [IV,](#page-7-0) we have shown that the off-diagonal term in the density matrix for nonzero damping involves different states with different quasimomenta  $\mathbf{k} + n\kappa$ and  $\mathbf{k} + m\kappa$  ( $n \neq m$ ), which violates the assumption used for constructing the wave packet in Eq.  $(16)$  where only one specific quasimomentum is involved. This is a unique reason for the oblique space-time crystal that does not hold for the rectangular space-time crystal where  $\kappa = 0$ . However, for  $\kappa = 0$ , we can show that the density matrix can be written in a diagonal form (see Appendix [G\)](#page-17-0):

$$
\rho_{\mu,\nu}^F(\mathbf{k},t;\Gamma) = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \left[ \rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) + i \Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \sum_{l\neq 0} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n+l,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta}}{l\Omega + i \Gamma} e^{-il\Omega t} \right],\tag{53}
$$

which will always contain fast-time-dependent parts. In general, there is no such orthonormal basis that can make the density matrix diagonal and time-independent simultaneously for a nonzero  $\Gamma$ . As we discussed at the beginning of this section, for density matrices having fast-time variations, the semiclassical analysis fails, given that Eq. (52) does not hold anymore.

Before the end of Sec. [IV,](#page-7-0) we want to make the following comment. Although the damping profile introduced to study the density matrix is oversimplified as a single relaxation time approximation where many other correlated effects have been ignored, we believe that the arguments stated in this section work for general damped Floquet-Bloch systems, especially when the damping term is sufficiently small. The key ingredient of our analyses (both the semiclassical theory and the density matrix we investigated here) is the assumption of

noninteracting electrons, which may not be true in strongly correlated systems. Special care has to be taken to incorporate the electron interactions or correlations into the theory.

So far, we have derived single electron behavior and also the electronic density in a Floquet-Bloch band to show how those two combined together in studying the response of such a system. In the next section, we will study a simple but physically meaningful example, showcasing its unique current responses.

## **V. INTRINSIC DC CURRENT RESPONSE IN OBLIQUE SPACE-TIME CRYSTAL**

After obtaining the density matrix of the Floquet quasiequilibrium, we are now able to discuss many responses <span id="page-9-0"></span>in such equilibrium:

$$
\chi[\hat{O}] = \sum_{\mu} \int d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) \langle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) | \hat{O} | \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t) \rangle \rangle, \quad (54)
$$

where the operator  $\hat{O}$  can have various possibilities. For example, we can calculate the charge current for  $\hat{O} = -e\hat{v}$ , the spin current for  $\hat{O} = \sigma \hat{v}$ , and/or the magnetization for  $\hat{O} = -e\hat{\mathbf{r}} \times \hat{\mathbf{v}}$ . The semiclassical theory developed in Sec. [III](#page-3-0) provides a systematic way of evaluating the expectation value  $\langle \langle \hat{O} \rangle \rangle$  even in the presence of external slowly varying fields. In this section, we consider the intrinsic charge current response in oblique space-time crystals as a simple application, while more complicated cases are left to future works.

Recall the general form of the current response in Eq.  $(27)$ . Without any external field, we can simplify it to be

$$
J^{\text{In}} = -e \sum_{\mu} \int d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c}
$$

$$
= -e \sum_{\mu} \int d\mathbf{k} \rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) \frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})}{\partial \mathbf{k}}.
$$
(55)

This expression, although very simple, is highly nontrivial in an oblique space-time crystal. In an ordinary Bloch system reaching a thermal equilibrium state, the intrinsic current must vanish for two reasons: the distribution function must be a function of the energy, and the energy is a periodic function of momentum **k**, which does not necessarily hold true for Floquet-Bloch systems given that they are essentially nonequilibrium. However, we will need more conditions for getting nonzero intrinsic current in a Floquet-Bloch system, and this is why we consider an oblique space-time crystal.

In general, for this current to be nonzero, we require the following: (i) the inversion symmetry and the time-reversal symmetry have to be broken, otherwise the contributions from **k** and −**k** will cancel each other exactly; (ii) more importantly, the electron population  $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k})$  cannot be an analytical function of the energy  $\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})$ , otherwise the integration will always produce zero due to the periodicity of the spectrum. We shall see later that those requirements are naturally fulfilled by an oblique space-time crystal, thus rendering a nonzero intrinsic current.

We consider a simple toy model in  $(1 + 1)D$  where an effective single-band Bloch system is subjected to a traveling wave potential. Since this is a toy model, we will not discuss its physical realization. Our starting point will be the kernel matrix defined in Eq. [\(7\)](#page-2-0) with no freedom in index  $\alpha/\beta$  given that the corresponding static Bloch system has only one band. We naively choose the matrix elements to be

$$
H_{m,n}(k) = E \cos[(k + n\kappa)a]\delta_{m,n} + \Delta_1 \delta_{m,n+1} + \Delta_1^* \delta_{m,n-1} + \Delta_2 \delta_{m,n+2} + \Delta_2^* \delta_{m,n-2} - \hbar n \Omega \delta_{m,n},
$$
 (56)

where  $\Delta_{1,2}$  are the simplified hopping parameters in the frequency domain. Here, the corresponding static Bloch system has energy of the form  $E_B(k) = E \cos(ka)$  with  $E > 0$ . We then consider a Fermi-Dirac distribution for the Bloch thermal equilibrium:  $\rho^{B,eq}(k) = \frac{1}{e^{[E_B(k) - \mu_C]/K_B T} + 1}$  with  $\mu_C$  being the chemical potential.

In Fig. 2, we plot the Bloch band and corresponding Floquet-Bloch band after turning on the Floquet drive, where



FIG. 2. The electron populations in (a) the original Bloch band at the thermal equilibrium with  $k_B T = 0.1$ ,  $\mu_C = 0$ , and (b) the Floquet-Bloch band after turning on the Floquet drive with  $\Delta_1 =$ 0.3,  $\Delta_2 = 0.1$ . Here  $E_B = 0.8$  and  $a = 2$ . The color indicates the occupation number of each state. The dashed curves are the original Bloch band in (a) shifted by integer multiples of the phonon mode  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  in energy and momentum.

the population of each state is indicated by different colors. One should see clearly that the Floquet-Bloch band is no longer symmetric, and its electronic density at each state is no longer a function of the quasienergy, since we see that different *k*-states with the same quasienergy have different colors (i.e., different populations). We claim that the inversion symmetry and the time-reversal symmetry are naturally broken in the oblique space-time crystal since a specific driving mode  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  is chosen that selects unique directions in both space and time [\[46\]](#page-19-0). Thus, we expect a nonzero intrinsic current in an oblique space-time crystal.

In Fig.  $3(a)$ , the intrinsic current responses of the oblique space-time at different driving modes  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  and fixed coupling strength are shown. It can be seen that there is a clear pattern for the current response in the parameter space. In Appendix [H,](#page-17-0) we show that such a pattern resembles that of the Floquet direct band gap in the same parameter space, from which we can conclude that a smaller Floquet gap in general gives a higher intrinsic current. For example, we plot in Fig.  $3(b)$  the band structure of the Floquet-Bloch system corresponding to the parameters marked by the red star in Fig.  $3(a)$  that gives the maximal intrinsic current. One should notice that such intrinsic dc current is purely a consequence of



FIG. 3. (a) The intrinsic current of the oblique space-time crystal with different Floquet driving modes  $(\Omega, \kappa)$ , where the specific point in such parameter space giving the maximal current is marked by a red star. The color indicates the value of the dc current achieved at each  $(\Omega, \kappa)$ . (b) The Floquet-Bloch band structure corresponding to the red star in (a). The dashed curves are the original Bloch band shifted by integer multiples of the phonon mode  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  in energy and momentum. The other parameters used in this plot are the same as in Fig. 2.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>the combination of the oblique Floquet drive ( $\kappa \neq 0$ ) and the out-of-equilibrium electron populations ( $\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F$  is not a function of quasienergy). In some cases, such oblique Floquet drive can be realized by coherent acoustic waves [\[8\]](#page-18-0), therefore the intrinsic current can also be concluded as an acoustoelectric effect but in the strongly driven regime.

## **VI. CONCLUSION AND REMARKS**

In the first half of this work, we developed a semiclassical theory for the electrons in space-time crystals or Floquet-Bloch systems. The equations of motion of a single Floquet-Bloch electron under external slowly varying fields are derived, which shows similar behavior to that of the ordinary Bloch electrons but with modified quantities in the Floquet context. We then discussed the response of the Floquet-Bloch system to electromagnetic fields, and we found the intrinsic magnetization of the wave packet due to its self-rotation in a magnetic field. Furthermore, we looked at the polarization of a fully occupied Floquet band, which has two contributions: one is the original Bloch polarization modified by the Floquet coefficients, and the other comes purely from the time variations. The latter contribution can be shown to vanish exactly under the  $PT$  symmetry. It is worth noting that a totally different approach to constructing the semiclassical theory for Floquet-Bloch electrons can be found in Ref. [\[47\]](#page-19-0), which gives qualitatively the same results. In the second half, we investigated the density matrix of the Floquet-Bloch system attached to a heat bath, which shows the essential distinction between a periodically driven Bloch system and a static one. The density matrix is shown to be generally nondiagonal and time-dependent for nonzero damping, while it becomes diagonal and time-independent when the damping is turned off. By using the diagonal density matrix, we can calculate the intrinsic dc current, which is nonzero indicating the nonequilibrium nature of the Floquet-Bloch system. We further discussed the implications when finite damping is included, and we found that the semiclassical scheme developed in this work cannot be applied to the damping Floquet-Bloch system. Further developments are certainly needed to overcome this inapplicability. In the rest of this

section, a few remarks are given emphasizing the potential of this semiclassical method combined with the Floquet-Bloch systems.

First, we want to comment on the nonequilibrium nature of the Floquet-Bloch system, which leads to strangely behaved electron densities at different eigenstates. As we have already mentioned in Sec. [V,](#page-8-0) the electron populations in the steady state are no longer a function of the quasienergy. For example, the relation  $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \mathbf{k}} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \omega} \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \mathbf{k}}$  does not hold in general. In contrast to the equilibrium electron distribution that cares only about the energy of the electron, the nonequilibrium distribution discussed in this work has also a strong momentum dependence. A direct consequence of such a momentum dependence is the intrinsic nonzero dc current. We expect more physical implications of such non-energy-dependent distribution to occur in higher dimensions.

Secondly, we emphasize that the semiclassical theory developed and applied in this work only deals with dc responses (slow time variations) of the Floquet-Bloch systems, given that the oscillating part (fast time variations) has been averaged. However, in periodically driven systems, there are still physical observables sensitive to the fast time variations, such as the higher harmonic generations in strong light-matter interactions [\[48\]](#page-19-0). Even for dc responses, sometimes there could be cases in which we can get contributions from the high harmonics, as one can see from the fact that  $\langle \langle \rho \hat{O} \rangle \rangle \neq \langle \langle \rho \rangle \rangle \langle \langle \hat{O} \rangle \rangle$ if  $\rho$  is nondiagonal and/or contains fast time variations. In those cases (for example, systems with finite damping), careful evaluations of  $\langle \langle \rho \hat{O} \rangle \rangle$  are needed.

Lastly, in this work our scope is mostly limited to one spatial dimension in the applications, where only a few physics can be studied. Moreover, the spin degrees of freedom and other possible internal degrees of freedom such as the valley or pseudospins are completely ignored in our consideration. We expect that, in future developments, the semiclassical formalism can be used to discuss more exotic physics with more degrees of freedom and in higher dimensions, for example the spin-polarized photocurrents [\[25\]](#page-18-0), the Hall effect in periodically driven systems [\[19\]](#page-18-0), the light-induced magnetization [\[49\]](#page-19-0), and/or the electronic transport in Floquet topological insulators [\[1,](#page-18-0)[50\]](#page-19-0).

## **APPENDIX A: ORTHONORMAL CONDITION FOR THE FLOQUET-BLOCH WAVE FUNCTION**

Given the Floquet-Bloch eigen-wave-function:

we have

$$
|\Psi_k^{\mu}(r,t)\rangle = \sum_{n,\beta} f_{n,k}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-in\Omega t} |\varphi_{k+nk}^{\alpha}(r)\rangle,
$$
\n(A1)

$$
\langle \Psi_{k}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|\Psi_{k'}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle = \sum_{n,m} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* e^{in\Omega t} \langle \varphi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r})|\varphi_{\mathbf{k'}+m\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r})\rangle e^{-im\Omega t} f_{m,\mathbf{k'}}^{\nu,\beta}
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \sum_{n,m} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} e^{i(n-m)\Omega t} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{m,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\beta} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} \delta(\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k'}-m\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \sum_{q} e^{-iq\Omega t} \left[ \sum_{n,\alpha} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n+q,\mathbf{k}-q\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}}^{\mu,\alpha} \right] \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k'}-q\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \sum_{q} e^{-iq\Omega t} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta_{q,0} \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k'}-q\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{G}) = \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k'}+\mathbf{G}), \tag{A2}
$$

## **APPENDIX B: THE POSITION CENTER OF THE WAVE PACKET**

<span id="page-11-0"></span>The position center of a Floquet-Bloch wave packet is given by the expectation value of the position operator evaluated under the wave-packet wave function,

$$
\mathbf{r}_c(\tau_s) \equiv \langle \langle W_\mu | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | W_\mu \rangle \rangle = \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_s + T} d\tau_f e^{-i(n'-n)\Omega \tau_f} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha})^*
$$
  
 
$$
\times \langle \varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \varphi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta} \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}', \tau_s)} |a(\mathbf{k}', \tau_s)|,
$$
(B1)

where the position operator  $\hat{r}$  sandwiched by the Bloch states can be evaluated as [\[31,](#page-18-0)[51\]](#page-19-0)

$$
\langle \varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}|\hat{\mathbf{r}}|\varphi_{n',k'}^{\beta}\rangle = [i\partial_{\mathbf{k}_n}\delta_{\alpha,\beta} + \langle u_{n,k}^{\alpha}|i\partial_{\mathbf{k}'_{n'}}|u_{n',k'}^{\beta}\rangle]\delta(\mathbf{k}_n - \mathbf{k}'_{n'}),
$$
(B2)

with  $\mathbf{k}_n \equiv \mathbf{k} + n\kappa$ . Notice that we can first integrate out the fast time dependence part:  $\frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_s + T} e^{-i(n'-n)\Omega \tau_f} d\tau_f = \delta_{n,n'}$ , thus the expression for the position center becomes

$$
\mathbf{r}_{c}(\tau_{s}) = \sum_{n,\alpha\beta} \int dk \, |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})|^{2} \{ \delta_{\alpha,\beta} [(\mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s}) + i(\mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} ] + \langle u_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} | i \partial_{\mathbf{k}'_{n}} | u_{n,\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta} \rangle (\mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} \} + \sum_{n,\alpha} \int dk \, |f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha}|^{2} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| \partial_{\mathbf{k}} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})|.
$$
\n(B3)

The last term actually vanishes given that  $\sum_{n,\alpha} |f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha}|^2 = 1$  and  $\int d\mathbf{k} \partial_k |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2 = 0$ , which follows from the fact that  $|a(k, \tau_s)|^2 \sim \delta(k - k_c(\tau_s))$ . And we also have for an arbitrary function *f* depending slowly on the quasimomentum **k**:  $\int d\mathbf{k} |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2 f(\mathbf{k}) \approx f(\mathbf{k}_c(\tau_s))$ . So, the position center can be evaluated as

$$
\boldsymbol{r}_{c}(\tau_{s}) \approx \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}_{c}} \gamma(\boldsymbol{k}_{c},\tau_{s}) + \sum_{n,\alpha} \left[ i(\boldsymbol{f}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}_{c}} \boldsymbol{f}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}^{\mu,\alpha} + \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{A}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}^{\alpha,\beta} (\boldsymbol{f}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \boldsymbol{f}_{n,\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}^{\mu,\beta} \right] \equiv \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}_{c}} \gamma(\boldsymbol{k}_{c},\tau_{s}) + \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mu} | i \partial_{\boldsymbol{k}} | \tilde{u}_{\boldsymbol{k}}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle |_{\boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{k}_{c}}, \tag{B4}
$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{n,k_c}^{\alpha,\beta}$  is the interband Berry connection at  $k_c + n\kappa$  of the corresponding static Bloch system without Floquet drive, and  $|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha} e^{-in\Omega \tau_f} e^{in\kappa \cdot \mathbf{r}} |u_{n,k}^{\alpha}\rangle$  is the periodic part of the Floquet-Bloch wave function.

The position center **r***<sup>c</sup>* in the Floquet context has a special meaning. The fast variations that have been averaged over the Floquet period correspond to oscillating motions of the electron around  $\mathbf{r}_c$ . Thus, one can view  $\mathbf{r}_c$  as the guiding center of the electron, which then can carry dc current.

### **APPENDIX C: THE TIME DERIVATIVE OF THE WAVE PACKET**

Next, let us look at the time derivative of the wave packet, which is the expectation value of the operator *id*/*dt*:

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | i \frac{d}{dt} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \frac{1}{T} \int_{\tau_s}^{\tau_s+T} d\tau_f e^{in\Omega \tau_f} \times \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_s)| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_s)} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \varphi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} | i \left( \frac{d}{d\tau_f} + \frac{d}{d\tau_s} \right) [|\varphi_{n',\mathbf{k'}}^{\beta} \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k'}}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_s)} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_s)| e^{-in'\Omega \tau_f}], \tag{C1}
$$

where we explicitly separate the contributions between slowly varying and fast varying parts. As we can see, the only fast varying part is the time-dependent phase factors  $e^{in\Omega\tau_f}$  and  $e^{-in'\Omega\tau_f}$ , so the fast time dependence can be readily integrated out:

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | i \frac{d}{dt} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \sum_{n, \alpha \beta} \int dk dk' |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)| e^{i \gamma(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)} (f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \alpha})^* \langle \varphi_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\alpha} | \left( n \Omega + i \frac{d}{d \tau_s} \right) | \varphi_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\beta} \rangle f_{n, \mathbf{k}}^{\mu, \beta} e^{-i \gamma(\mathbf{k}', \tau_s)} |a(\mathbf{k}', \tau_s)|. \tag{C2}
$$

Now, we should be careful when counting the slow time dependence of the wave function. First of all, we have an explicit slow time dependence in  $|a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|$  and  $|\gamma(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|$ . The coefficient  $f_{n,k}^{\mu,\beta}(\mathbf{r}_c)$  depending on **k** and the position center  $\mathbf{r}_c$  has a slow time dependence through  $\mathbf{r}_c(\tau_s)$  and will get another time dependence after taking the **k**-integration [getting it from the weight  $|a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|$ . Then the most tricky one is the slow time dependence of the Bloch wave function  $|\varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}\rangle$ , which is obtained through the position center dependence:  $|\varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}\rangle \to |\varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_c)\rangle = e^{i(\mathbf{k}+n\kappa)\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}}|u_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}_c)\rangle$ . Having this extra dependence, we can write

<span id="page-12-0"></span> $d_{\tau_{s}} = \partial_{\tau_{s}} + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{r}_{s}}$ , where  $\dot{\mathbf{O}} \equiv d\mathbf{O}/d\tau_{s}$  means a slow time derivative. Similarly, we have

$$
\langle \varphi_{n,k}^{\alpha} | i \frac{d}{d\tau_s} | \varphi_{n',k'}^{\beta} \rangle = \left[ i \frac{d}{d\tau_s} \delta_{\alpha,\beta} + \langle u_{n,k}^{\alpha} | i \frac{d}{d\tau_s} | u_{n,k}^{\beta} \rangle \right] \delta(\mathbf{k}_n - \mathbf{k}_{n'}'). \tag{C3}
$$

Therefore, by plugging Eq.  $(C3)$  into the time evolution, we get

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | i \frac{d}{dt} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \partial_{\tau_s} \gamma(\mathbf{k}_c, \tau_s) + \sum_{n, \alpha} |f_{n, k_c}^{\mu, \alpha}|^2 n \Omega + \langle \langle \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} | i \partial_{\tau_s} | \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} \rangle \rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_c} + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_c \cdot \langle \langle \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} | i \partial_{\mathbf{r}_c} | \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} \rangle \rangle \Big|_{\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_c}, \tag{C4}
$$

where we have used the fact that  $\partial_{\tau_s} \int d\mathbf{k} |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2 = 0$ . We want to emphasize here that there are three different lengthscales: the lattice constant *a* of the static crystal, the wavelength of the propagating wave  $2\pi/|\kappa|$ , and the typical length of the external field *L*. The change of **r***<sup>c</sup>* should only track the variation of the external field, thus we may require a separation of the external lengthscale from the other two scales. Typically, it will be  $L \gg 2\pi/|\kappa| \sim a$  or  $2\pi/|\kappa| \gg L \gg a$ . Notice that after the momentum integration, all **k**-dependent quantities will become  $\mathbf{k}_c(\tau_s)$ -dependent inherited from the weight function  $|a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_s)|^2$ , which then introduces an extra slow time dependence. It is important to observe that

$$
\partial_{\tau_s} \gamma(\mathbf{k}_c, \tau_s) = \dot{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}_c, \tau_s) - \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c \cdot \partial_{\mathbf{k}_c} \gamma(\mathbf{k}_c, \tau_s) = \dot{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}_c, \tau_s) - \dot{\mathbf{k}}_c \cdot [\mathbf{r}_c - \langle \langle \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} | i \partial_k | \tilde{u}_k^{\mu} \rangle \rangle]_{k=k_c}],
$$
(C5)

based on which we have

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | i \frac{d}{dt} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \dot{\gamma}(\mathbf{k}_{c}, \tau_{s}) - \dot{\mathbf{k}}_{c} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{c} + \sum_{n, \alpha} |f_{n, k_{c}}^{\mu, \alpha}|^{2} n \Omega + \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i \partial_{\tau_{s}} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}} + \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{c} \cdot \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i \partial_{\tau_{c}} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}} + \dot{\mathbf{k}}_{c} \cdot \langle \langle \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} | i \partial_{k} | \tilde{u}_{k}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle \Big|_{k=k_{c}}.
$$
 (C6)

It is important to note that there are no fast-time derivatives in the above equation since the fast-time variations have been integrated by taking the time average over the Floquet period. This is again based on the assumption of two separate timescales.

## **APPENDIX D: ENERGY OF THE WAVE PACKET AND ITS GRADIENT CORRECTION**

The wave packet constructed is essentially an extended object with finite size in both real space and momentum space. Thus, the potential energy of such an extended object will have gradient corrections additional to the energy simply evaluated at the position center **r***c*. The energy of the wave packet can be evaluated as

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mu} \equiv \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \hat{H}(\hat{r}, t) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle \approx \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \hat{H}_{c}(\hat{r}, \tau_{f}; r_{c}, \tau_{s}) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle + \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \Delta \hat{H} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle \equiv \mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{0}(\mathbf{r}_{c}, \mathbf{k}_{c}, \tau_{s}) + \Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu}, \tag{D1}
$$

where the first-order gradient correction to the Hamiltonian reads

$$
\Delta \hat{H} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (\hat{\boldsymbol{r}} - \boldsymbol{r}_c) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{H}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_c} + \frac{\partial \hat{H}_c}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_c} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{r}} - \boldsymbol{r}_c) \right].
$$
\n(D2)

It is easy to show that the leading order reads

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\mu}^{0}(\boldsymbol{r}_{c},\boldsymbol{k}_{c},\tau_{s})=\hbar\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c})+\hbar\sum_{n,\alpha}n\Omega|f_{n,\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu,\alpha}|^{2}.
$$
\n(D3)

Then the gradient correction to the energy is

$$
\Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \langle W_{\mu} | (\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}_{c}) \cdot \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} + \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}_{c}) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \text{Re} \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}_{c}) | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle. \tag{D4}
$$

Evaluating the gradient correction requires some algebra, which will be performed below. Let us first evaluate the following quantity:

$$
\sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} (f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_c}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} | \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} \Bigg[ \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} (f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \hat{H}_c | \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta} \Bigg] - \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} [(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \hat{H}_c | \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta} \Bigg]
$$
\n
$$
- \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} (f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \hat{H}_c \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} [ | \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta}]
$$
\n
$$
= \hbar \frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_c)}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}') - \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \hbar [\omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}',\mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_c) + (n'-n)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_c} [(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | ]] \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta}, \tag{D5}
$$

<span id="page-13-0"></span>where we have utilized the fact that

$$
\hat{H}_c \sum_{n,\alpha} f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha} |\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)\rangle = \sum_{n,\alpha} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_c) + n\Omega] f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha} |\Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)\rangle.
$$
 (D6)

Now, we can proceed to evaluate the energy correction. We have

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{c} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \mathbf{r}_{c} \cdot \langle \langle W_{\mu} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{r}_{c} \cdot \sum_{m',\alpha\beta} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})|
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{r}_{c} \cdot \frac{\partial \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}}, \tag{D7}
$$

where we have used Eq. [\(D5\)](#page-12-0) and assumed that the spatial variation of the external field is small so that the momentum is still preserved, namely

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_c} [(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)]] \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{r}_c} [(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)]] \Phi_{n',k'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{n',k'}^{\nu,\beta} \delta(\mathbf{k}_n-\mathbf{k}'_{n'}).
$$
 (D8)

Then,

$$
\langle\langle W_{\mu}|\frac{\partial\hat{H}_{c}}{\partial\mathbf{r}_{c}}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{r}}|W_{\mu}\rangle\rangle = \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta}\sum_{\nu}\sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'}\int d\mathbf{k}d\mathbf{k'}d\mathbf{k''}|a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})|e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})}(\mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*}\langle\langle\Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})|\frac{\partial\hat{H}_{c}}{\partial\mathbf{r}_{c}}|\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k''}}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})\rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k''}}^{\nu,\alpha'}\rangle
$$

$$
\times (\mathbf{f}_{m',\mathbf{k''}}^{\nu,\beta})^{*}\langle\Phi_{m',\mathbf{k''}}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})|\hat{\mathbf{r}}|\Phi_{n',\mathbf{k'}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})\rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k'}}^{\mu,\beta}e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})}|a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})|,\tag{D9}
$$

where we have inserted an identity operator from the completeness of the Floquet-Bloch basis:

$$
\mathcal{I} = \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha' \beta',mm'} \int d\mathbf{k}'' |\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\alpha'} (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta'})^* \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) |.
$$
 (D10)

Again, using Eq. [\(D5\)](#page-12-0), we have

$$
\langle \langle W_{\mu} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}} | W_{\mu} \rangle \rangle = \left\langle \hbar \sum_{n',\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\beta',m'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k}'' |a(\mathbf{k}, \tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k}, \tau_{s})} \left\{ \frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'') \right. \\ \left. - \sum_{nm,\alpha\alpha'} [\omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}'', \mathbf{r}_{c}) - \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) + (m - n)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} [(\mathbf{f}_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{c})] | \Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\alpha'} \right\} \\ \times (\mathbf{f}_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta})^{*} \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}', \tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}', \tau_{s})| \right\rangle, \tag{D11}
$$

which contains two terms. The first term is

$$
\hbar \sum_{n',\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\beta',m'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k}'' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} \delta_{\mu,\nu} \delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}'')
$$
\n
$$
\times \frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta'})^{*} \langle \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})|
$$
\n
$$
= \hbar \sum_{n',\beta} \sum_{\beta',m'} \int dk dk' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} \frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\mu,\beta'})^{*} \langle \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})|
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{\partial \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_{c},\mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{c}, \tag{D12}
$$

where we have used the definition for the position center **r***c*. The second term is much more complicated, which can be calculated as

$$
\left\langle \hbar \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k'} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}'',\mathbf{r}_{c}) + (n-m)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{c}} [ (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})] ]
$$
\n
$$
\times |\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\alpha'} (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta'})^{*} \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) | \hat{\mathbf{r}} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})| \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \left\langle \hbar \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k'} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}'',\mathbf{r}_{c}) + (n-m)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{c}} [ (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})] ]
$$
\n
$$
\times |\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\alpha'} (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta'})^{*} \{i\partial_{\mathbf{k}'}\delta_{\beta,\beta'} e^{-i(n'-m')\Omega\tau_{f}} \delta(\mathbf{k}_{m'}'' - \mathbf{k}_{n'}')
$$
\n
$$
+ \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) | e^{i\mathbf{k}'_{m'}
$$

where we have used the relation [\[51\]](#page-19-0)

$$
\langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)|\hat{\mathbf{r}}|\Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)\rangle = i\partial_{\mathbf{k}'}\delta_{\beta,\beta'}e^{-i(n'-m')\Omega\tau_{f}}\delta(\mathbf{k}_{m'}''-\mathbf{k}_{n'}') + \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)|e^{i\mathbf{k}_{n'}'}\cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}\partial_{\mathbf{k}'}[e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{n'}'}\cdot \mathbf{r}_{i}|\Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)\rangle],\tag{D14}
$$

which is an extension of Eq. [\(B2\)](#page-11-0). Now, we have to be very careful in evaluating each term in the above expression. The term  $\int$ *i*(*n*)  $\int$ *i*(*n*)  $\int$ *i*(*n*)  $\int$  $\int$ *f*<sub>f</sub> is

$$
\left\langle \hbar \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'} \int dk \, dk' \, |a(k, \tau_s)| e^{iy(k, \tau_s)} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}', \mathbf{r}_c) + (n - m)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} [(\int_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* (\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c))]
$$
\n
$$
\times |\Phi_{m,k'}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)) f_{m,k'}^{\alpha,\alpha} (\int_{m',k'}^{\mu,\beta} \partial_{m,k'}^{\beta,\beta}) e^{-i(n'-m')\Omega \tau_f} \delta(\mathbf{k}_{m'}^{\alpha} - \mathbf{k}'_{n'}) f_{n',k'}^{\mu,\beta} e^{-iy(k',\tau_s)} |a(k',\tau_s)| \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \left\langle \hbar \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha',m l} \int dk \, dk' \, |a(k, \tau_s)| e^{iy(k, \tau_s)} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}' + l\kappa, \mathbf{r}_c) + (n - m)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} [(\int_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* (\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c))]
$$
\n
$$
\times |\Phi_{m,k'+l\kappa}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c)) f_{m,k'+l\kappa}^{\alpha,\alpha'} (\int_{n'-l,\kappa+l\kappa}^{\mu,\beta} \partial_{m,l}^{\beta} e^{-iy(k,\tau_s)} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}' + l\kappa, \mathbf{r}_c) + (n - m)\Omega] \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} [(\int_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* (\Phi_{n,k}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}_c))]
$$
\n
$$
= \left\langle \hbar \sum_{n,\alpha} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha',m l} \int dk \, dk' \, |a(k, \tau_s)| e^{iy(k,\tau_s)} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}' + l\
$$

where we have used Eqs.  $(10)$  and  $(D8)$ . Plugging the above result into Eq.  $(D13)$ , the second term becomes

$$
\left\langle \hbar \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k}'' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_s)| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_s)} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_s)} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_s)| [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_c) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}'',\mathbf{r}_c) + (n-m)\Omega] \right\}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} [(f_{n,k}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)|] |\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\alpha'} (f_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\nu,\beta'})^* \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}''}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | e^{i\mathbf{k}'_{n'}\cdot\mathbf{r}} i \partial_{\mathbf{k}'} [e^{-i\mathbf{k}'_{n'}\cdot\mathbf{r}} f_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\mu,\beta} |\Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}'}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle] \right\rangle
$$

## 224311-15

$$
= \left\langle \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \sum_{\nu} \sum_{\alpha'\beta',mm'} \int dk dk' d\mathbf{k}'' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})|
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{c}} [(f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})|] [\hbar\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + n\hbar\Omega - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})] |\Phi_{m,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle f_{m,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\alpha'} (f_{m,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\beta})^{*}
$$
  
\n
$$
\times \langle \Phi_{m',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta'}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})| e^{i\mathbf{k}'_{n'}\cdot\mathbf{r}} i \partial_{\mathbf{k}'} [e^{-i\mathbf{k}'_{n'}\cdot\mathbf{r}} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} |\Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c}) \rangle] \right\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left\langle \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \int d\mathbf{k} d\mathbf{k}' |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})| e^{i\gamma(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})} e^{-i\gamma(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})} |a(\mathbf{k}',\tau_{s})| \frac{\partial}{\partial r_{c}} [e^{i\mathbf{k}_{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})| ]
$$
  
\n
$$
\times e^{-i\mathbf{k}_{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} [\hbar\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_{c}) + n\hbar\Omega - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_{c})] e^{i\mathbf{k}'_{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} i \partial_{\mathbf{k}'} [e^{-i\mathbf{k}'_{n}\cdot\mathbf{r}} f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta}] \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}
$$

After taking the time average, we will have  $\delta_{n,n'}$  and approximately momentum conservation  $\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$ , which gives

$$
\left\langle \sum_{nn',\alpha\beta} \int d\mathbf{k} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} \left[ e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \right] e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} [\hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_c) + n\hbar \Omega - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)] e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} i \partial_{\mathbf{k}} [e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle ] \right\rangle \n= \left\langle \int d\mathbf{k} |a(\mathbf{k},\tau_{s})|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r_c} \sum_{n,\alpha} \left[ e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* \langle \Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) | \right] e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} [\hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k},\mathbf{r}_c) + i\hbar \partial_{\tau_f} - \hat{H}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c)] e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \right\rangle \n\times i \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \sum_{n',\beta} \left[ e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} f_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} | \Phi_{n',\mathbf{k}}^{\beta}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}_c) \rangle | \right\rangle.
$$
\n(D17)

Recall that the periodic part of the Floquet-Bloch wave function is defined as

$$
|\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}\rangle = \sum_{n,\alpha} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha} e^{-in\Omega \tau_f} e^{in\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} |u_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}\rangle = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \sum_{n,\alpha} f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha} |\Phi_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\alpha}\rangle, \tag{D18}
$$

which satisfies the Schrodinger equation at specific **k**:

$$
[\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) - i\hbar \partial_{\tau_j}]|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle = \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k})|\tilde{u}_k^{\mu}\rangle
$$
\n(D19)

with  $\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}\hat{H}(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$  and also the completeness relation  $\sum_{\mu} |\tilde{u}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}}\rangle \langle \tilde{u}^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}}| = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{k}}$ . Thus, the second term is reduced to

$$
\langle \langle \partial_{\mathbf{r}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu} | \cdot [\hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) - (\hat{H}(\mathbf{k}_c, \mathbf{r}_c) - i \hbar \partial_{\tau_f})] | i \partial_{\mathbf{k}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_c}^{\mu} \rangle \rangle. \tag{D20}
$$

Finally, we obtain the energy correction as

$$
\Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \text{Re}\left\langle \langle W_{\mu} | \frac{\partial \hat{H}_{c}}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}_{c}) | W_{\mu} \rangle \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\partial \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{c} + \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right| \left[ \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) - \left( \hat{H} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) - i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{f}} \right) \right] \right| i \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right\rangle - \frac{\partial \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c})}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{c} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Im}\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right| \left[ \left( \hat{H} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) - i \hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{f}} \right) - \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) \right] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \text{Im}\left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right| \cdot \left[ \hat{H}^{F} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) - \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) \right] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} \right\rangle, \tag{D21}
$$

where  $\hat{H}^F = \hat{H} - i\hbar \partial_{\tau_f}$  is the Floquet Hamiltonian. Here,  $\partial_{\tau_f}$  only acts on the fast-varying time-dependent variables, which is different from ∂τ*<sup>s</sup>* as we explained before. In the case in which there is no external inhomogeneity in time (i.e., no slow time dependence), we may write  $\hat{H}^F = \hat{H} - i\hbar \partial_t$  as shown in Ref. [\[30\]](#page-18-0).

In Sec. [III C,](#page-4-0) we specified the external slowly varying field as the electromagnetic field, thus the energy correction can be written as

$$
\Delta \mathcal{E}_{\mu} = \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} (\mathbf{r}_{c}, \tau_{s}) \middle| [\hat{H}^{F}(\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c}) - \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{k}_{c}, \mathbf{r}_{c})] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{k}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{k}_{c}}^{\mu} (\mathbf{r}_{c}, \tau_{s}) \right\rangle \right\rangle
$$

$$
= \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\partial e \mathbf{A}}{\hbar \partial \mathbf{r}_{c}} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_{c}}^{\mu} \right| \right\rangle \cdot [\hat{H}^{F}(\mathbf{q}_{c}) - \hbar \omega_{\mu} (\mathbf{q}_{c})] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_{c}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_{c}}^{\mu} \right\rangle \right\rangle
$$

$$
= -\frac{e}{2\hbar} (\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_c} \times \mathbf{A}) \text{Im} \left\langle \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_c}^{\mu} \right| \times \left[ \hat{H}^F(\mathbf{q}_c) - \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_c) \right] \right| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_c}^{\mu} \right\rangle \right\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\equiv -\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{m}, \qquad (D22)
$$

<span id="page-16-0"></span>where  $\mathbf{B} = \nabla_{\mathbf{r}_c} \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}_c, \tau_s)$  is the magnetic field, and

$$
\boldsymbol{m} = \frac{e}{2\hbar} \text{Im} \left\langle \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_c}^{\mu} \right| \times \left[ \hat{H}^F(\mathbf{q}_c) - \hbar \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{q}_c) \right] \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{q}_c} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{q}_c}^{\mu} \right| \right\rangle \tag{D23}
$$

is the local magnetic moment of the Floquet-Bloch wave packet due to its self-rotation in the magnetic field.

## **APPENDIX E: THE INTEGRATION AND SUMMATION IN EQ. [\(46\)](#page-7-0)**

The integration over the quasimomentum **k** and summation over the index *n* (and *m*) should make the following equation true:

$$
\sum_{n} \int' d\mathbf{k} |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)|
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\text{BZ}} d\mathbf{k} |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)|,
$$
 (E1)

so that it can run through all possible states in the first Floquet-Bloch Brillouin zone. There are two possible scenarios: commensurate and incommensurate pairs of (*κ*, **G**), where **G** is the reciprocal-lattice vector. Let us use a simple  $(1 + 1)D$  space-time crystal as an example. For the commensurate case, we have  $\kappa = pG/q$  with  $(p, q)$  being coprime numbers, where we should have

$$
\sum_{n} \int' dk \to \sum_{n=0}^{q-1} \int_0^{G/q} dk.
$$
 (E2)

For the incommensurate case, one should expect that  $q \to \infty$ , which reduces the above mapping to simply  $(1/N) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$  with no integration over  $k$  needed (here  $N$  is a proper normalization factor); instead, we need to choose a representative  $k_0$  for the quasimomentum. This is counterintuitive but can be understood in the following way: the set  ${k_0 + nk \mod G | n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a dense cover of the interval [0,  $G$ ] if  $\kappa$  and  $G$  are incommensurate to each other.

## **APPENDIX F: DENSITY MATRIX OF THE FLOQUET-BLOCH SYSTEM WITH DAMPING**

Casting Eq. [\(44\)](#page-7-0) into the Floquet-Bloch basis, we have

$$
\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|i\partial_{t}\hat{\rho}|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= i\partial_{t}\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|\hat{\rho}|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
- \langle i\partial_{t}\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|\hat{\rho}|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
- \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|\hat{\rho}|i\partial_{t}\Psi_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= i\partial_{t}\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^F(\mathbf{k},t) + \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)|[\hat{H},\hat{\rho}]\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
- [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k})] \rho_{\mu,\nu}^F(\mathbf{k},t), \qquad (F1)
$$

and

$$
\langle \Psi^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)|[\hat{D},\hat{\rho}]]\Psi^{\nu}_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
=  $-\Gamma \langle \Psi^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}+n\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)|\hat{\rho}|\Psi^{\nu}_{\mathbf{k}+m\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle$ 

+ 
$$
\Gamma \langle \Psi^{\mu}_{\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}(\mathbf{r},t)|\hat{\rho}^{B,\text{eq}}|\Psi^{\nu}_{\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle
$$
  
\n=  $-\Gamma \rho^F_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}(\mathbf{k},t)$   
\n+  $\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,pq} \rho^B_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}+n\kappa+p\kappa,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa+q\kappa)$   
\n $\times e^{i(p-q)\Omega t} (f^{\mu,\alpha}_{p,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa})^* f^{\nu,\beta}_{q,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}$   
\n=  $-\Gamma \rho^F_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}(\mathbf{k},t)+\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,pl} \rho^B_{\alpha,\beta}(\mathbf{k}+n\kappa+p\kappa)\delta_{n-m,l}$   
\n $\times e^{-i\Omega t} (f^{\mu,\alpha}_{p,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa})^* f^{\nu,\beta}_{p+l,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa},$  (F2)

where  $l \equiv q - p$ , and we have assumed that the Bloch equilibrium is diagonal in momentum **k**:  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,eq}$  (**k**, **k**) =  $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k})\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')$ . Putting this all together, the Liouville equation can be rewritten as

$$
[i\partial_t - \omega_\mu(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa) + \omega_\nu(\mathbf{k} + m\kappa) + i\Gamma]\rho^F_{(\mu, n), \{v, m\}}(\mathbf{k}, t)
$$
  
=  $i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta, pl} \rho^{B, eq}_{\alpha, \beta}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa + p\kappa)\delta_{n-m, l}$   
 $\times e^{-i\Omega t} (f^{\mu, \alpha}_{p, \mathbf{k} + n\kappa})^* f^{\nu, \beta}_{p+l, \mathbf{k} + m\kappa}.$  (F3)

Since we are considering the steady states, the density matrix must also be time-periodic, so that we can write

$$
\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^{F}(\mathbf{k},t) = \sum_{l} \rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^{F,l}(\mathbf{k}) e^{-il\Omega t}.
$$
 (F4)

We can obtain

$$
\rho_{\{\mu,n\},\{\nu,m\}}^{F,l}(\mathbf{k})
$$
\n
$$
= -i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,p} \delta_{n-m,l}
$$
\n
$$
\times \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa + p\kappa) (f_{p,\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{p+l,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa) - n\Omega - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k} + m\kappa) + m\Omega - i\Gamma}
$$
\n
$$
= i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,p} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa + p\kappa) (f_{p,\mathbf{k}+n\kappa}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{p+l,\mathbf{k}+m\kappa}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}^n(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}^m(\mathbf{k}) - i\Gamma} \tag{F5}
$$

Here  $\omega_{\mu}^{n}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k} + l\mathbf{k}) - n\Omega$  is actually corresponding to the μth Floquet-Bloch band at the *n*th Floquet replica. One can think about this as an extended zero picture in the frequency domain [\[8\]](#page-18-0).

## <span id="page-17-0"></span>**APPENDIX G: DENSITY MATRIX FOR** *κ* **= 0 WITH FINITE DAMPING**

Our discussion now will be restricted to the case in which  $\kappa = 0$ . The density matrix then becomes [\[16\]](#page-18-0)

$$
\rho_{\mu,\nu}^{F,l}(\mathbf{k}) = -i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n+l,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) - l\Omega - i\Gamma}, \qquad (G1)
$$

which is clearly nondiagonal and time-dependent for finite damping. From now on, to simplify our discussion, we consider only the case in which the damping is very small such that  $\Gamma \ll \Delta^{FB}$ . Here  $\Delta^{FB} = \min_{\mu, \nu, l, \mathbf{k}} [\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) - l\Omega]$ is the Floquet-Bloch direct band gap. Our first goal is to diagonalize the density matrix in Eq. (G1) up to the first order in  $\Gamma$ , which can be done by properly rotating the nondamped basis  $\{|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle\}$ :

$$
|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)\rangle = |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle + \Gamma \sum_{\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu,\nu}(\mathbf{k},t) |\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t)\rangle + O(\Gamma^{2}).
$$
\n(G2)

One should ensure that the new basis  $\{|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)\rangle\}$  has to be also orthonormalized, namely

$$
\langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)\rangle
$$
  
=\{\delta\_{\mu,\nu} + \Gamma[\mathcal{G}\_{\nu,\mu}(\mathbf{k},t) + \mathcal{G}\_{\mu,\nu}^{\*}(\mathbf{k},t)]\}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') + O(\Gamma^{2})  
=\delta\_{\mu,\nu}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') + O(\Gamma^{2}), \qquad (G3)

which requires that

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\nu,\mu}(\mathbf{k},t) = -\mathcal{G}_{\mu,\nu}^*(\mathbf{k},t). \tag{G4}
$$

Then the density matrix under this new basis becomes

$$
\rho_{\mu,\nu}^{F}(\mathbf{k},t;\Gamma)
$$
\n
$$
= \langle \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\mu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)|\hat{\rho}|\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{\nu}(\mathbf{r},t;\Gamma)\rangle = \rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k})\delta_{\mu,\nu}
$$
\n
$$
-i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,\pi} \sum_{l(\mu,\nu)} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{\beta,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k})(f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^{*} f_{n+l,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) - l\Omega - i\Gamma} e^{-il\Omega t}
$$
\n
$$
+ \Gamma \mathcal{G}_{\nu,\mu}(\mathbf{k},t)[\rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) - \rho_{\nu,\nu}^{F}(\mathbf{k})] + O(\Gamma^{2}), \quad (G5)
$$

where

$$
\rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{\beta,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta} \tag{G6}
$$

is the time-independent and diagonal density matrix in Eq. (G1) when  $\Gamma \to 0$ , and  $\sum_{l(\mu,\nu)}$  stands for a conditional summation over index *l*: summing over all *l* if  $\mu \neq \nu$  or summing over nonzero *l* if  $\mu = \nu$ . Thus, for  $\rho_{\mu,\nu}^F(\mathbf{k}, t; \Gamma)$  to be diagonalized up to the first order in  $\Gamma$ , we have, for  $\mu \neq \nu$ ,

$$
\mathcal{G}_{\nu,\mu}(\mathbf{k},t) = \frac{i}{\rho_{\mu,\mu}^{F}(\mathbf{k}) - \rho_{\nu,\nu}^{F}(\mathbf{k})}
$$
\n
$$
\times \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \sum_{l} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n+l,\mathbf{k}}^{\nu,\beta}}{\omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{\nu}(\mathbf{k}) - l\Omega - i\Gamma} e^{-il\Omega t}.
$$
\n(G7)



FIG. 4. The Floquet direct gap [in logarithm  $\log_{10}(\Delta^{FB})$ ] of the model described in Eq. [\(56\)](#page-9-0) as a function of parameters  $(\Omega, \kappa)$  at fixed coupling strengths.

One can check that Eq. (G4) indeed holds. However, the diagonal terms in  $G$  cannot be determined that are only known to be purely imaginary. The final diagonalized density matrix, therefore, reads

$$
\rho_{\mu,\nu}^F(\mathbf{k},t;\Gamma) = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \left[ \rho_{\mu,\mu}^F(\mathbf{k}) + i\Gamma \sum_{\alpha\beta,n} \sum_{l\neq 0} \frac{\rho_{\alpha,\beta}^{B,\text{eq}}(\mathbf{k}) (f_{n,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\alpha})^* f_{n+l,\mathbf{k}}^{\mu,\beta}}{l\Omega + i\Gamma} e^{-i l \Omega t} \right],
$$
\n(G8)

which will always contain fast-time-dependent parts. The discussion can also be applied to cases with not-so-small damping. In general, there is no such orthonormal basis that can make the density matrix diagonal and time-independent simultaneously for a nonzero  $\Gamma$ .

## **APPENDIX H: FLOQUET GAP OF THE OBLIQUE SPACE-TIME CRYSTAL IN (***, κ***) PARAMETER SPACE**

In this Appendix, we show how the Floquet-Bloch direct band gap changes in the parameter space  $(\Omega, \kappa)$ . The Floquet-Bloch direct band gap is defined as  $\Delta^{FB}$  =  $\min_{\mu, v, n, m, \mathbf{k}} [\omega_{\mu}^{n}(\mathbf{k}) - \omega_{v}^{m}(\mathbf{k})],$  where  $\omega_{\mu}^{n}(\mathbf{k}) \equiv \omega_{\mu}(\mathbf{k} + n\kappa)$  $n\Omega$ . In the case in which only one Floquet-Bloch band is present, the gap is simply  $\Delta^{FB} = \min_{\mathbf{k}}[\omega(\mathbf{k}) - \omega(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}) +$  $\Omega$ ]. As one can see clearly in Fig. 4, the Floquet gap shows a very similar pattern to the intrinsic current behavior discussed in the main text. Moreover, we also observe band-closing curves in the two-dimensional parameter space. It can be shown that the exact band-closing curves are a consequence of choosing  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$  to be real, which makes the Floquet Hamiltonian in Eq. [\(56\)](#page-9-0) a real symmetric matrix.

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>[1] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, Floquet topological [insulator in semiconductor quantum wells,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nphys1926) Nat. Phys. **7**, 490 (2011).
- [2] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, [Photonic floquet topological insulators,](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12066) Nature (London) **496**, 196 (2013).
- [3] R. Fleury, A. B. Khanikaev, and A. Alu, Floquet topological insulators for sound, Nat. Commun. **7**[, 11744 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms11744)
- [4] T. Li, Z.-X. Gong, Z.-Q. Yin, H. T. Quan, X. Yin, P. Zhang, L.-M. Duan, and X. Zhang, Space-Time Crystals of Trapped Ions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**[, 163001 \(2012\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.163001)
- [5] K. Sacha, Modeling spontaneous breaking of time-translation symmetry, Phys. Rev. A **91**[, 033617 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.91.033617)
- [6] D. V. Else, B. Bauer, and C. Nayak, Floquet Time Crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**[, 090402 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.090402)
- [7] S. Xu and C. Wu, Space-Time Crystal and Space-Time Group, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**[, 096401 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.096401)
- [8] Q. Gao and Q. Niu, Floquet-Bloch Oscillations and Intraband [Zener Tunneling in an Oblique Spacetime Crystal,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.036401) Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 036401 (2021).
- [9] G. Žlabys, C.-h. Fan, E. Anisimovas, and K. Sacha, Six[dimensional time-space crystalline structures,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.L100301) Phys. Rev. B **103**, L100301 (2021).
- [10] [Y. Peng, Topological Space-Time Crystal,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.186802) Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 186802 (2022).
- [11] M. Rodriguez-Vega, M. Vogl, and G. A. Fiete, Low-frequency [and moiré–floquet engineering: A review,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2021.168434) Ann. Phys. **435**, 168434 (2021).
- [12] D. E. Liu, A. Levchenko, and H. U. Baranger, Floquet Majorana Fermions for Topological Qubits in Superconducting [Devices and Cold-Atom Systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.047002) Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 047002 (2013).
- [13] R. Weda Bomantara and J. Gong, Quantum computation via floquet topological edge modes, Phys. Rev. B **98**[, 165421 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.98.165421)
- [14] S. R. Barone, M. A. Narcowich, and F. J. Narcowich, Floquet theory and applications, Phys. Rev. A **15**[, 1109 \(1977\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.15.1109)
- [15] A. Gómez-León and G. Platero, Floquet-Bloch Theory and [Topology in Periodically Driven Lattices,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.200403) Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 200403 (2013).
- [16] Q. Gao, Y. Ren, and Q. Niu, Dc current generation and power [feature in strongly driven floquet-bloch systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.4.013216) Phys. Rev. Res. **4**, 013216 (2022).
- [17] T.-S. Ho, K. Wang, and S.-I. Chu, Floquet-liouville supermatrix approach: Time development of density-matrix operator and multiphoton resonance fluorescence spectra in intense laser fields, Phys. Rev. A **33**[, 1798 \(1986\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.33.1798)
- [18] T. Morimoto and N. Nagaosa, Topological nature of nonlinear optical effects in solids, Sci. Adv. **2**[, e1501524 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1501524)
- [19] H. Dehghani, T. Oka, and A. Mitra, Out-of-equilibrium electrons and the hall conductance of a floquet topological insulator, Phys. Rev. B **91**[, 155422 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.155422)
- [20] S. A. Sato, J. W. McIver, M. Nuske, P. Tang, G. Jotzu, B. Schulte, H. Hübener, U. De Giovannini, L. Mathey, M. A. Sentef, A. Cavalleri, and A. Rubio, Microscopic theory for the [light-induced anomalous hall effect in graphene,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.214302) Phys. Rev. B **99**, 214302 (2019).
- [21] T. Kawada, M. Kawaguchi, T. Funato, H. Kohno, and M. Hayashi, Acoustic spin Hall effect in strong spin-orbit metals, Sci. Adv. **7**[, eabd9697 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd9697)
- [22] F. Mahfouzi and N. Kioussis, Elastodynamically Induced Spin [and Charge Pumping in Bulk Heavy Metals,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.215902) Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 215902 (2022).
- [23] P. Zhao, C. H. Sharma, R. Liang, C. Glasenapp, L. Mourokh, V. M. Kovalev, P. Huber, M. Prada, L. Tiemann, and R. H. Blick, Acoustically Induced Giant Synthetic Hall Voltages in Graphene, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**[, 256601 \(2022\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.256601)
- [24] P. Delplace, Á. Gómez-León, and G. Platero, Merging of Dirac points and Floquet topological transitions in ac-driven graphene, Phys. Rev. B **88**[, 245422 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.88.245422)
- [25] M. Berdakin, E. A. Rodríguez-Mena, and L. E. F. Foa Tor[res, Spin-polarized tunable photocurrents,](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c00420) Nano Lett. **21**, 3177 (2021).
- [26] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, Berry phase ef[fects on electronic properties,](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.82.1959) Rev. Mod. Phys. **82**, 1959 (2010).
- [27] S. Kohler, J. Lehmann, and P. Hänggi, Driven quantum transport on the nanoscale, Phys. Rep. **406**[, 379 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2004.11.002)
- [28] M. Genske and A. Rosch, Floquet-Boltzmann equation for [periodically driven Fermi systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.92.062108) Phys. Rev. A **92**, 062108 (2015).
- [29] M. Puviani, F. Manghi, and A. Bertoni, Dynamics and control [of edge states in laser-driven graphene nanoribbons,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.95.235430) Phys. Rev. B **95**, 235430 (2017).
- [30] G. E. Topp, P. Törmä, D. M. Kennes, and A. Mitra, Orbital [magnetization of floquet topological systems,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.105.195426) Phys. Rev. B **105**, 195426 (2022).
- [31] G. Sundaram and Q. Niu, Wave-packet dynamics in slowly perturbed crystals: Gradient corrections and berry-phase effects, Phys. Rev. B **59**[, 14915 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.14915)
- [32] Here, we intentionally choose a specific  $\kappa = 2\pi/\sqrt{2}R$  that is irrationally related to the BZ size  $2\pi/R$ , so that no supercell in space can be chosen to reduce the oblique space-time crystal to a rectangular one.
- [33] This may not be true for topologically nontrivial Floquet-Bloch systems, but in this paper we are focusing on the topological trivial cases. Further care is certainly needed when dealing with the topological Floquet systems.
- [34] H. P. Breuer and M. Holthaus, Quantum phases and landau[zener transitions in oscillating fields,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0375-9601(89)90132-1) Phys. Lett. A **140**, 507 (1989).
- [35] S. C. Althorpe, D. J. Kouri, D. K. Hoffman, and N. Moiseyev, A time-independent wavepacket approach to the (t, t')-method [for treating time-dependent hamiltonian systems,](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0301-0104(97)00062-1) Chem. Phys. **217**, 289 (1997).
- [36] P. Kramer and M. Saraceno, Geometry of the time-dependent variational principle in quantum mechanics, *Lecture Notes in Physics No. 140* (Springer-Verlag, 1981).
- [37] D. Xiao, J. Shi, and Q. Niu, Berry Phase Correction to Elec[tron Density of States in Solids,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.137204) Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 137204 (2005).
- [38] J. Shi, G. Vignale, D. Xiao, and Q. Niu, Quantum Theory of Orbital Magnetization and Its Generalization to Interacting Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**[, 197202 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.197202)
- [39] The chemical potential is only well-defined when the system obeys a grand canonical ensemble, which requires a reachable equilibrium. This is not the case in Floquet systems, for which the notion of chemical potential may not be applicable.
- [40] The adiabaticity here requires that the energy scale of the slow time variations is much smaller than the Floquet band gap.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[41] K. I. Seetharam, C.-E. Bardyn, N. H. Lindner, M. S. Rudner, and G. Refael, Controlled Population of Floquet-Bloch States [via Coupling to Bose and Fermi Baths,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.5.041050) Phys. Rev. X **5**, 041050 (2015).
- [42] T. Iadecola and C. Chamon, Floquet systems coupled to particle reservoirs, Phys. Rev. B **91**[, 184301 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.91.184301)
- [43] T. Shirai, T. Mori, and S. Miyashita, Condition for emergence of the Floquet-Gibbs state in periodically driven open systems, Phys. Rev. E **91**[, 030101\(R\) \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.030101)
- [44] S. A. Sato, U. De Giovannini, S. Aeschlimann, I. Gierz, H. Hübener, and A. Rubio, Floquet states in dissipative open quantum systems, J. Phys. B **53**[, 225601 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6455/abb127)
- [45] This is to say that the density matrix should be independent of the space-time average we did for the wave packet.
- [46] This is different from the symmetry breaking discussed in Ref. [\[16\]](#page-18-0), where the inversion and time-reversal symmetries are bro-

ken already in the original Bloch band before turning on the Floquet drive. Here those two symmetries are broken by the Floquet drive.

- [47] L. Dong, Geometrodynamics in crystals with space-time periodicity and deformation, Ph.D. thesis, UT Austin, 2021.
- [48] J. J. Macklin, J. D. Kmetec, and C. L. Gordon III, High-order [Harmonic Generation using Intense Femtosecond Pulses,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.70.766) Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 766 (1993).
- [49] J. Chovan, E. G. Kavousanaki, and I. E. Perakis, Ultrafast Light-Induced Magnetization Dynamics of Ferromagnetic Semiconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**[, 057402 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.057402)
- [50] M. S. Rudner and N. H. Lindner, Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators, [Nat. Rev. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s42254-020-0170-z) **2**, 229 (2020).
- [51] E. I. Blount, Formalisms of band theory, in *Solid State Physics* (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1962), Vol. 13, pp. 305–373.