Optical conductivity of an anharmonic large polaron gas at weak coupling

Ma[t](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7382-6132)thew Houtput \bullet and Jacques Tempere

Theory of Quantum Systems and Complex Systems, Universiteit Antwerpen, B-2000 Antwerpen, Belgium

(Received 20 October 2022; revised 12 December 2022; accepted 13 December 2022; published 26 December 2022)

In a polar solid, electrons or other charge carriers can interact with the phonons of the ionic lattice, leading to the formation of polaron quasiparticles. The optical conductivity and optical absorption spectrum of a material are affected by this electron-phonon coupling, most notably leading to an absorption peak in the midinfrared region. Recently, a model Hamiltonian for anharmonic electron-phonon coupling was derived [M. Houtput and J. Tempere, Phys. Rev. B **103**[, 184306 \(2021\)\]](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184306) that includes both the conventional Fröhlich interaction as well as an interaction in which an electron interacts with two phonons simultaneously. In this article, we calculate and investigate the optical conductivity of the anharmonic large polaron gas, and we show that an additional characteristic absorption peak appears due to this one-electron–two-phonon interaction. We calculate a semianalytical expression for the optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ at finite temperatures and weak coupling using the Kubo formula. The electronic and phononic contributions can be split and treated separately, such that the many-body effects of the electron gas may be taken into account through the well-known dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$. From the resulting optical conductivity, we calculate the polaron effective mass, an estimate for the electron-phonon scattering times, and the optical absorption spectrum of the anharmonic polaron gas. It is shown that the effects are negligible for four common III-V semiconductors (BN, AlN, BP, AlP) in the zinc-blende structure, which justifies the commonly used harmonic approximation in these materials. We show that alongside the well-known polaron absorption peak at the phonon energy $\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}$, the one-electron–two-phonon interaction leads to an additional absorption peak at $2\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}$. We propose this absorption peak as an experimentally measurable indicator for non-negligible one-electron–two-phonon interaction in a material, since the height of this peak is proportional to the strength of this anharmonic interaction.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.106.214315](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.214315)

I. INTRODUCTION

A free electron moving through a lattice of ions can interact with the phonons of the lattice, for example by Coulomb interaction with these ions. This electron-phonon interaction will cause the electron to become dressed by the phonons, leading to the polaron quasiparticle. The polaron problem is nearly a century old [\[1,2\]](#page-12-0) and has been extensively studied ever since its prediction. Since it is one of the simplest models of an impurity interacting with a bosonic field, many analogies of the polaron exist, including spin polarons [\[3\]](#page-12-0), exciton polarons [\[4\]](#page-12-0), ripplopolarons [\[5\]](#page-12-0), magnetic polarons [\[6\]](#page-12-0), and the Bose [\[7,8\]](#page-12-0) and Fermi [\[9\]](#page-12-0) polaron in ultracold gases.

Many of the properties of a polaron are different from that of a free electron. It is well-known that due to the electronphonon interaction, the polaron has a lower ground-state energy and a higher effective mass [\[10–13\]](#page-12-0). Quite importantly, the response properties of the polaron are also different. Collisions of the polaron with phonons cause the material to have a finite dc conductivity $[14–16]$. Additionally, in the weak electron-phonon coupling limit, the optical absorption spectrum of a polaron has an additional absorption peak in the midinfrared region near the phonon energy, caused by the elementary phonon emission process [\[17–20\]](#page-12-0). Both of these effects are described by the optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$, which describes the response of the polaron with respect to an electric field. It can be calculated using several different methods [\[21–24\]](#page-12-0); calculations based on the Kubo formula are among the most popular methods when calculating the conductivity in the weak-coupling limit [\[18,25–27\]](#page-12-0).

If the material under consideration is a polar semiconductor, and the electron wave function is sufficiently large (a so-called "large" polaron), the electron-phonon coupling is usually well described by the Fröhlich Hamiltonian [\[11\]](#page-12-0). In this Hamiltonian, it is assumed that the electron-phonon coupling is linear, as in Fig. $1(a)$, and the electron only interacts with longitudinal optical (LO) phonons. In recent years, however, it has been shown that in some materials, other interactions play a non-negligible role. Recent work in $SrTiO₃$ [\[28\]](#page-12-0) has shown the importance of an interaction term of the form shown in Fig. $1(c)$, where an electron interacts simultaneously with two transverse optical (TO) phonons [\[29\]](#page-12-0). This interaction has been proposed as a mechanism for superconductivity in SrTiO₃ [$30,31$], and it has been used to explain the anomalous T^2 -behavior of the resistivity at low temperatures [\[32\]](#page-12-0). In several hydrogen-rich materials under extreme pressures [\[33–35\]](#page-12-0) and potentially also metallic hydrogen [\[36–38\]](#page-12-0), phonon-mediated superconductivity is possible at temperatures very close to room temperature. However, since hydrogen-rich materials are strongly anharmonic, interactions between the phonons as in Fig. $1(d)$ and potentially also interactions such as Fig. $1(c)$ must be taken into account. Finally, similar one-electron–two-phonon interactions and three-phonon interactions are also present in

FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams of the different interactions between electrons and phonons that are considered in this article. Solid lines represent electrons, and dashed lines represent phonons. The Fröhlich and Coulomb interactions are considered in the usual large polaron treatment; the novelty of this article is the inclusion of interactions (c) and (d). In the present analysis text, the dashed lines represent LO phonons only.

the ultracold Bose polaron, where they lead to a significant change in the energy [\[39,40\]](#page-12-0).

In a recent paper [\[41\]](#page-12-0), analytical expressions were derived for the interaction strengths of the one-electron–two-LOphonon interaction [Fig. $1(c)$] and the three-LO-phonon interaction [Fig. $1(d)$], suitable under the same conditions that are used for the Fröhlich Hamiltonian. The goal of this article is to calculate the optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ of a polaron where these anharmonic interaction terms are also taken into account. We will calculate the conductivity in the weakcoupling limit using the method proposed in [\[18\]](#page-12-0), which also yields the conductivity of a many-polaron gas without much additional effort. Since the interaction strengths are known analytically just as for the Fröhlich Hamiltonian [\[11,41\]](#page-12-0), the derivation may also proceed analytically.

The structure of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the model Hamiltonian is outlined, and an expression for the conductivity in terms of the dynamical structure factor of the electron gas is obtained. In Sec. [III,](#page-7-0) the various limits of this expression are examined in detail, and the effect of the anharmonic interaction terms is investigated. We conclude in Sec. [IV.](#page-11-0)

II. THEORY

A. Extended Fröhlich Hamiltonian

The Hamiltonian that will be studied in this article is an extension of the well-known Fröhlich Hamiltonian [\[11\]](#page-12-0), which is derived for a polar cubic lattice with two atoms in the primitive unit cell. It must additionally be assumed that the crystal has no inversion symmetry [\[41\]](#page-12-0). Under all these assumptions, the anharmonic polaron Hamiltonian including three-phonon and one-electron–two-phonon interaction is

where the three terms in the Hamiltonian represent the electron Hamiltonian, the phonon Hamiltonian, and the electron-phonon interaction [\[27,41\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\hat{H}_{el} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}'} \sum_{q \neq 0} \mathcal{V}_{q}^{(C)} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+q}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}'-q}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (2)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{H}_{ph} = \sum_{q} \hbar \omega_{q} \left(\hat{b}_{q}^{\dagger} \hat{b}_{q} + \frac{1}{2} \right)
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{q \neq q' \neq 0} \mathcal{V}_{q,q'}^{(0)} (\hat{b}_{-q}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{q}) (\hat{b}_{q-q'}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-q+q'})
$$
\n
$$
\times (\hat{b}_{q'}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-q'}), \qquad (3)
$$
\n
$$
\hat{H}_{el-ph} = \sum_{q \neq 0} \mathcal{V}_{q}^{(F)} (\hat{b}_{q}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-q}) \hat{b}_{-q}
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \neq q' \neq 0} \mathcal{V}_{q,q'}^{(1)} (\hat{b}_{-q}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{q})
$$
\n
$$
\times (\hat{b}_{q'}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-q'}) \hat{b}_{q-q'}.
$$
\n(4)

The sums over \bf{q} and \bf{q}' in (2)–(4) exclude the cases for which $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{0}$, and $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q}'$. It is usually more convenient to take this into account by requiring that $V_0^{(F)} = 0$, $V_{0,\mathbf{q}}^{(n)} = 0$, $V_{q,q}^{(n)} = 0$, and so on. \hat{b}_q^{\dagger} , \hat{b}_q and \hat{c}_k^{\dagger} , \hat{c}_k represent the creation and annihilation operators of the LO phonons and the electrons, respectively, and the electron density operator $\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}$ is given by

$$
\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}'} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{k}'}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{k}'}.
$$
 (5)

As in the Fröhlich model $[11]$, it is assumed that the electrons occupy a single parabolic band described by a band mass m_b , and that the electrons only interact with a single LO-phonon branch, which has a constant frequency ω_{LO} :

$$
\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m_b},\tag{6}
$$

$$
\omega_{\mathbf{q}} = \omega_{\text{LO}}.\tag{7}
$$

The electron Hamiltonian (2) contains the Coulomb interaction of Fig. $1(b)$, the phonon Hamiltonian (3) contains the three-phonon interaction of Fig. $1(d)$, and both the Fröhlich interaction of Fig. $1(a)$ and the one-electron–two-phonon interaction of Fig. $1(c)$ are included in the electron-phonon interaction term (4). In the large polaron limit, the interaction strengths have the following analytical expressions [\[41\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(C)} = \frac{e^2}{V \varepsilon_{\text{vac}} \varepsilon_{\infty}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}|^2},\tag{8}
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}}^{(F)} = \hbar \omega_{\text{LO}} \sqrt{\frac{4\pi\alpha}{V}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m_b\omega_{\text{LO}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{q}|},\tag{9}
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}^{(0)} = -i\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}} \frac{\mathcal{T}_0}{\sqrt{V}} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m_b\omega_{\text{LO}}}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}} |\varepsilon_{ijl}| \frac{q_i(q_j - q'_j)q'_j}{|\mathbf{q}||\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'||\mathbf{q}'|}, (10)
$$

$$
\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'}^{(1)} = -i\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}\frac{\sqrt{4\pi\alpha}\mathcal{T}_1}{V}\frac{\hbar}{2m_b\omega_{\text{LO}}}\left|\varepsilon_{ijl}\right|\frac{q_i(q_j - q'_j)q'_j}{|\mathbf{q}||\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}'|^2|\mathbf{q}|}.
$$
 (11)

In these expressions, ε_{vac} is the vacuum permittivity in SI units, $|\varepsilon_{i,j}|$ is the absolute value of the Levi-Civita tensor, α is the Fröhlich electron-phonon coupling constant [\[11,27\]](#page-12-0), and \mathcal{T}_0 and \mathcal{T}_1 are dimensionless material parameters that characterize the strength of the three-phonon interaction and the one-electron–two-phonon interaction, respectively. All results will be plotted in terms of the coupling constants α , \mathcal{T}_0 , and \mathcal{T}_1 , which are assumed to be known. We present values for some of these parameters in Sec. [III A.](#page-7-0)

A remark must be made on the applicability of the Hamiltonian (1) – (4) . While many assumptions were made in its derivation, there is a broad class of III-V semiconductors in the zinc-blende structure that satisfy all these assumptions [\[41\]](#page-12-0). To have a concrete example in mind during the calculations, a semiconductor with the zinc blende structure (such as AlN or GaAs) is assumed for the remainder of the article.

B. Memory function formalism

To calculate the conductivity of a gas of *N* polarons in the weak-coupling limit, we follow the method used in $[18]$, which is based on the Kubo-Greenwood formula [\[27\]](#page-12-0). It relates the conductivity to a momentum-momentum correlation function:

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \left(i \frac{ne^2}{m_b(\omega + i\delta)} + \frac{ne^2}{Nm_b^2 \hbar(\omega + i\delta)} \times \int_0^{+\infty} \langle [\hat{P}_x(t), \hat{P}_x(0)] \rangle e^{i(\omega + i\delta)t} dt \right), \quad (12)
$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{\mathbf{p}}_{el,i}$ is the total electron momentum operator defined in the Heisenberg picture, and $n := N/V$ is the electron density. Note that the conductivity can be represented by a scalar, since cubic symmetry is assumed. When calculating the conductivity of polarons, it is customary to write this function in the following form [\[23,42\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\sigma(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} i \frac{ne^2}{m_b} \frac{1}{\omega + i\delta - \Sigma(\omega)},
$$
(13)

where the memory function $\Sigma(\omega)$ is defined as

$$
\Sigma(\omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{\Sigma_0(\omega + i\delta)}{1 + \frac{\Sigma_0(\omega + i\delta)}{\omega + i\delta}} = \frac{\Sigma_0(\omega)}{1 + \frac{\Sigma_0(\omega) - \Sigma_0(0)}{\omega}},\qquad(14)
$$

$$
\Sigma_0(\omega) := \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{(\omega + i\delta)}{iNm_b\hbar} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i(\omega + i\delta)t} \langle [\hat{P}_x(t), \hat{P}_x(0)] \rangle dt.
$$
(15)

In practice, all the information of the conductivity is now contained in the simpler function $\Sigma_0(\omega)$, which is written in terms of a retarded momentum-momentum Green's function. In the weak-coupling limit, $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ can be calculated using a Green's function diagrammatic expansion [\[27\]](#page-12-0). Once $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ is known, the conductivity can be calculated using the algebraic formulas (13) and (14) .

In [\[13,18\]](#page-12-0), it is shown that $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ can also be written in terms of a force-force correlation function by applying two partial integrations to Eq. (15):

$$
\Sigma_0(\omega) := \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \frac{1}{iNm_b\hbar(\omega + i\delta)} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\delta t} \times (e^{i\omega t} - 1) \langle [\hat{F}_x(t), \hat{F}_x(0)] \rangle dt, \qquad (16)
$$

where the force operator \hat{F} is defined as

$$
\hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) := \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{P}}}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}(t)].
$$
\n(17)

The force operator can be calculated exactly by plugging in the Hamiltonian (1) – (4) into Eq. (17) . Since the electron Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{el} conserves the total electron momentum $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(t)$, and all phonon operators commute with $\hat{\mathbf{P}}(t)$, it holds that $[\hat{H}_{el}, \hat{P}] = [\hat{H}_{ph}, \hat{P}] = 0$, so that only the interaction Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{int} [\(4\)](#page-1-0) contributes to the force operator. Making use of the identity $[\hat{\rho}_k, \hat{P}] = -\hbar k \hat{\rho}_k$, the force operator can be written as follows:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{F}}(t) = i \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{k} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}},
$$
 (18)

where the auxiliary operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_k$ is a bosonic operator related to the phonon operators:

$$
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}} := \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} (\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \mathcal{V}_{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}}^{(1)} (\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}})
$$

$$
\times (\hat{b}_{\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{q}}).
$$
(19)

Only the electron-phonon interaction terms [\(4\)](#page-1-0) contribute to the force operator (18). This means the product $\hat{F}_x(t)\hat{F}_x(0)$ in Eq. (16) is proportional to α : indeed, in expression (19), both $V_k^{(F)}$ and $V_{-k+q,q}^{(1)}$ are proportional to $\sqrt{\alpha}$ [see expressions both $V_k^{(F)}$ and $V_{-k+q,q}^{(1)}$ are proportional to $\sqrt{\alpha}$ [see expressions [\(8\)](#page-1-0)–[\(11\)](#page-1-0)]. Since one factor α is factored out beforehand, this means $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ can be calculated exactly up to first order in α . In particular, the expectation values with respect to the electron and phonon operators can be factorized, since for any electron operator \hat{A}_{el} and any phonon operator \hat{B}_{ph} , it holds that

$$
\langle \hat{A}_{\rm el} \hat{B}_{\rm ph} \rangle = \langle \hat{A}_{\rm el} \rangle_0 \langle \hat{B}_{\rm ph} \rangle_0 + O(\alpha), \tag{20}
$$

where $\langle \rangle_0$ indicates an expectation value with respect to \hat{H}_{el} [\(2\)](#page-1-0) for the electron operators, and with respect to \hat{H}_{ph} [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for the phonon operators. This factorization means the electron and phonon problems can be treated separately.

Using (18) and (20) , the force-force correlation function in (16) can be written as

$$
\langle [\hat{F}_x(t), \hat{F}_x(0)] \rangle = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 \text{Im}[\langle \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}}(0) \rangle_0
$$

$$
\times \langle \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t) \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}(0) \rangle_0] + O(\alpha^2), \quad (21)
$$

where we used that $\langle \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t) \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{q}}(0) \rangle_0$ is zero unless **k** = **q**. This can be understood by noting that the density operator $\hat{\rho}_{\bf k}$ adds a momentum **k** to the electron system, which must be removed again to end up in the same state. Additionally, since the system is isotropic, k_x^2 was replaced by $(k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2)/3 = k^2/3$.

To calculate the expectation values appearing in (21) , we note that they can be related to more familiar quantities from the literature. In particular, the expectation value of the electron operators is the inverse Fourier transform of the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of the electron gas [\[18,27\]](#page-12-0):

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) := \frac{1}{2\pi N} (1 - e^{-\hbar \beta \omega}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}(t) \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}}(0) \rangle_0 e^{i\omega t} dt.
$$
\n(22)

A similar quantity can be defined for the phonon operators, which we will refer to as the phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k},\omega)$:

$$
M(\mathbf{k}, \omega) := \frac{1}{2\pi} (1 - e^{-\hbar \beta \omega}) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \langle \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}}(0) \rangle_0 e^{i\omega t} dt.
$$
\n(23)

The phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ will be calculated in Sec. II C, and the possible models for the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ will be discussed in Sec. [II D.](#page-6-0) First, the forceforce correlation function [\(21\)](#page-2-0) will be rewritten in terms of these two quantities.

Inverting the Fourier transforms in (22) and (23) gives useful expressions for the expectation values appearing in (21) :

$$
\langle \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t)\hat{\rho}_{\mathbf{k}}(0)\rangle_0 = N \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{S(-\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{1 - e^{-\hbar\beta\omega}} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega, \tag{24}
$$

$$
\langle \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}}(t)\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}}(0)\rangle_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{M(\mathbf{k},\omega)}{1 - e^{-\hbar\beta\omega}} e^{-i\omega t} d\omega. \tag{25}
$$

With these expressions, Eq. [\(21\)](#page-2-0) for the force-force correlation function can be straightforwardly calculated. The result can be written as follows:

$$
\langle [\hat{F}_x(t), \hat{F}_x(0)] \rangle = \tag{26}
$$

$$
-\frac{2Ni}{3}\sum_{\mathbf{k}}k^{2}\int_{0}^{+\infty}\left\{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}[1+n_{B}(\omega')+n_{B}(\omega-\omega')]\right\} \times S(\mathbf{k},\omega-\omega')M(\mathbf{k},\omega')d\omega'\right\}\sin(\omega t)\,d\omega,
$$
 (27)

where $n_B(\omega) = 1/(e^{\hbar \beta \omega} - 1)$. Plugging this force-force correlation function back into (16) , another straightforward calculation shows that $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ can be written in terms of *S*(**k**, ω) and *M*(**k**, ω):

$$
\text{Re}[\Sigma_0(\omega)] = \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{\text{Im}[\Sigma_0(\omega')]}{\omega'^2 - \omega^2} d\omega', \qquad (28)
$$

Im
$$
[\Sigma_0(\omega)]
$$
 = $-\frac{\pi}{3m_b\hbar\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [1 + n_B(\omega') + n_B(\omega - \omega')] S(\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega') M(\mathbf{k}, \omega') d\omega' + O(\alpha^2).$ (29)

Note that (28) is similar to the usual Kramers-Kronig relations, but is not exactly the form found in the literature [\[43\]](#page-12-0) for a function $f(\omega)$ on the domain $\omega \in [0, +\infty[$. This is because the usual Kramers-Kronig relations are derived for a function satisfying $f(-\omega) = f^*(\omega)$, whereas Eq. (28) is for a function satisfying $\Sigma_0(-\omega) = -\Sigma_0^*(\omega)$.

Equations (28) and (29) in combination with (13) and (14) allow for the calculation of the conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ up to first order in α if the dynamical structure factor of the electron gas *S*(**k**, ω) and the phonon spectral function M (**k**, ω) given by (22) and (23) are known. The dynamical structure factor of the electron gas is well-known in the literature, and is related to the dielectric function of the electron gas [\[18,27,44–46\]](#page-12-0). The phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ is the spectral function associated with the operator $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_k$, and it can be calculated analytically. Both of these quantities are discussed in the following sections.

C. Calculation of the phonon spectral function M **(k***, ω*)

The phonon spectral function can be calculated using a Matsubara-Green diagrammatic expansion [\[27\]](#page-12-0). First, the definition (23) is rewritten in terms of a retarded Green's function:

$$
M(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[F_{\text{ret}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)],\tag{30}
$$

$$
F_{\rm ret}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = -i \int_0^{+\infty} \langle [\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}}(t), \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}}(0)] \rangle e^{i\omega t} dt.
$$
 (31)

It can straightforwardly be shown that the definition (30) and (31) is equivalent to the original definition (23) . It is rewritten using a retarded Green's function because a theorem by Matsubara [\[27,47\]](#page-12-0) states that this retarded Green's function $F_{\text{ret}}(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ can be written as the analytic continuation of the Matsubara Green's function $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ [\[27,47\]](#page-12-0), which is a time-ordered Green's function in imaginary time:

$$
M(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im}[\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, \omega + i\delta)],\tag{32}
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = -\int_0^{\hbar \beta} \langle \hat{\mathcal{T}} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\tau) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{k}}(0) \rangle e^{-i\omega_n \tau} d\tau, \qquad (33)
$$

where $\omega_n = 2\pi n/\hbar\beta$ are the bosonic Matsubara frequencies. The time ordering allows one to analyze this Green's function using a diagrammatic expansion. If both anharmonic interactions are neglected, i.e., $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)} = V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(1)} = 0$, the Matsubara Green's function $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ can be calculated exactly by plugging (19) into (33) :

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \left| \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} \right|^2 \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n), \tag{34}
$$

(a)
$$
\bullet \rightarrow \bullet + \alpha
$$
 (b)
\n(b) $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet + \bullet \rightarrow \alpha$ (b) $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet + \bullet \rightarrow \alpha$ (c) $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet + \boxed{\Gamma}$ [c] $\top + \boxed{\Gamma}$ [d] $\rightarrow \alpha$ (e) $\bullet \rightarrow \bullet + \boxed{\Gamma}$ [e] $\top + \boxed{\Gamma}$ [f] $\rightarrow \alpha$ (g) $\boxed{\Gamma}$ + ...

FIG. 2. Contributions to the Matsubara function $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ considered in this article. Dashed lines represent phonons. Fröhlich interactions $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}$ are represented as solid vertices, one-electron– two-phonon interactions $V_{k,q}^{(1)}$ as shaded vertices, and three-phonon interactions $V_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}$ as open vertices. (a) Contributions when the threephonon interactions are neglected: only two diagrams are possible, and the result is exact. (b) Contributions when the one-electron– two-phonon interactions are neglected, which form a Dyson series. (c) Contributions when neither interaction is neglected, expressed in terms of the combined vertex factor Γ defined in (d) and Eq. [\(43\)](#page-5-0).

where the phonon Green's function $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ is defined as [\[27\]](#page-12-0)

$$
\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = -\int_0^{\hbar \beta} \left\langle \hat{\mathcal{T}}(\hat{b}^{\dagger}_{-\mathbf{k}}(\tau) + \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}(\tau))(\hat{b}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}}(0) + \hat{b}_{-\mathbf{k}}(0)) \right\rangle
$$

$$
\times e^{-i\omega_n \tau} d\tau = \frac{2\omega_{\text{LO}}}{(i\omega_n)^2 - \omega_{\text{LO}}^2}.
$$
 (35)

In the case in which the anharmonic terms in the Hamiltonian are not neglected, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ can be calculated using a dia-

grammatic expansion. The diagrams that will be considered in this article are shown in Fig. [2:](#page-3-0) Here, we will motivate these diagrams by investigating the limits where either the three-phonon interaction or the one-electron–two-phonon interaction is negligible. First, let us neglect the three-phonon interaction in the phonon Hamiltonian (3) , so that it is harmonic. The one-electron–two-phonon interaction is included by using expression [\(19\)](#page-2-0) for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_k$. Calculating the expectation value in [\(33\)](#page-3-0) using Wick's theorem eventually leads to two contributions:

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}}^{(1)}|^2 \left(\frac{-1}{\hbar \beta} \sum_{m} \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, i\omega_n - i\omega_m) \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_m) \right).
$$
(36)

This result can be written as the sum of two diagrams, which are shown in Fig. [2\(a\).](#page-3-0) The above result is exact when $\mathcal{T}_0 = 0$: no Dyson summation is necessary.

Next, let us only consider the three-phonon terms as in Fig. $2(b)$ and neglect the one-electron–two-phonon term in [\(19\)](#page-2-0). Then, Eq. [\(33\)](#page-3-0) for $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ reduces to the full phonon Green's function:

$$
\mathcal{F}^{(3ph)}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \left| \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} \right|^2 \frac{\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)}{1 - \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \Pi(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)},
$$
(37)

where $\Pi(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ is the self-energy of the phonon propagator. It is difficult to calculate in general. To proceed analytically, we will approximate it to lowest order: $\Pi(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \approx \Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) + O(\mathcal{T}_0^4)$, where $\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ is a bubble diagram. Using the Feynman rules and vertex factors in [\[41\]](#page-12-0), or by doing the Wick expansion explicitly, this bubble diagram can be evaluated exactly:

$$
\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \mathbf{Q} \begin{cases} \frac{q}{\mathbf{k} - q} \mathbf{D}, \\ k - q \end{cases}
$$
 (38)

$$
= \frac{\omega_{\text{LO}}^2}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}}{\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}} \right|^2 \left(\frac{-1}{\hbar \beta} \sum_{m} \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, i\omega_n - i\omega_m) \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_m) \right). \tag{39}
$$

The Matsubara summation over *m* can be evaluated by complex integration using a contour that encircles the whole complex plane:

$$
\frac{-1}{\hbar \beta} \sum_{m} \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}, i\omega_n - i\omega_m) \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{q}, i\omega_m) = \coth\left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) \frac{4\omega_{\text{LO}}}{(i\omega_n)^2 - 4\omega_{\text{LO}}^2}.
$$
(40)

This result does not depend on **q**. The remaining sum over **q** in (39) has been evaluated in [\[41\]](#page-12-0):

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{q}} \left| \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}}{\hbar \omega_{\mathrm{LO}}} \right|^2 = \frac{4\mathcal{T}_0^2}{15\tilde{V}_0},\tag{41}
$$

where $\tilde{V}_0 = V_0 \left(\frac{2m_b \omega_{\text{LO}}}{\hbar} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ is a dimensionless parameter representing the size of the unit cell. Therefore, the lowest order selfenergy of the phonon propagator is equal to

$$
\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \omega_{\text{LO}}^2 \frac{2\mathcal{T}_0^2}{15\tilde{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) \frac{4\omega_{\text{LO}}}{(i\omega_n)^2 - 4\omega_{\text{LO}}^2}.
$$
\n(42)

With this expression for the self-energy, Eq. (37) corresponds to the Dyson series in Fig. [2.](#page-3-0)

To include both the three-phonon interactions and the one-electron–two-phonon interactions, we consider the same Dyson series as in Fig. $2(a)$, but starting and ending with two possible ways for the electron to create two phonons, as in Fig. $2(b)$. First, the electron can create a phonon through the Fröhlich interaction, which then splits into two phonons through the three-phonon interaction. Secondly, the electron can simultaneously create two phonons through the one-electron–two-phonon interaction. These two processes are due to the first and second term in (19) , respectively; in the derivation of (37) , only the first term was considered. Both processes can be combined into a single vertex Γ , as in Fig. [2\(c\):](#page-3-0)

$$
\Gamma(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q},i\nu_n-i\nu_m;\mathbf{q},i\nu_m) = \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\hbar} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)} \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k},i\nu_n),
$$
\n(43)

$$
= -\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k},\mathbf{q}}^{(0)}}{\hbar \omega_{\mathbf{LO}}} \bigg(\frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\mathcal{T}_0} - \omega_{\mathbf{LO}} \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i v_n) \bigg). \tag{44}
$$

Summing all the terms in Fig. $2(c)$ gives the final expression for the Matsubara Green's function:

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 \left(\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) + \frac{\left(\frac{\mathcal{T}_1}{\omega_{\text{LO}}\mathcal{T}_0} - \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)\right)^2 \Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)}{1 - \mathcal{D}_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) \Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)} \right). \tag{45}
$$

This is a closed expression for the Matsubara Green's function since the lowest order phonon self-energy $\Pi_0(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ is known [\(42\)](#page-4-0). Equation (45) contains both [\(36\)](#page-4-0) and [\(37\)](#page-4-0) as limiting cases: this can be seen by taking the limit $\mathcal{T}_0 \to 0$ or $\mathcal{T}_1 \to 0$. Graphically, this procedure corresponds to starting from Fig. $2(c)$, and removing either the three-phonon vertex or the one-electron–two-phonon vertex: this indeed results in Fig. [2\(a\)](#page-3-0) or [2\(b\),](#page-3-0) respectively. Expression (45) is valid up to second order in \mathcal{T}_0 . To get a more accurate result, the full phonon self-energy $\Pi(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)$ should be used in (45), but in order to continue the analytic treatment of this article, we will be satisfied with the results up to second order in \mathcal{T}_0 .

To calculate the phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, we use expression [\(42\)](#page-4-0) for the phonon self-energy in (45), and we split the resulting Matsubara Green's function into partial fractions. This yields

$$
\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n) = \left| \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)} \right|^2 \left[c_1 \frac{2\omega_{\text{LO}} x_1}{(i\omega_n)^2 - \omega_{\text{LO}}^2 x_1^2} + c_2 \frac{2\omega_{\text{LO}} x_2}{(i\omega_n)^2 - \omega_{\text{LO}}^2 x_2^2} \right],\tag{46}
$$

where the numerical constants x_1, x_2, c_1 , and c_2 are defined as the following combinations of \mathcal{T}_0 , \mathcal{T}_1 , \tilde{V}_0 , and $\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}$:

$$
x_1 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(5 - 3\sqrt{1 + \frac{64\mathcal{T}_0^2}{135\tilde{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)} \right)},
$$
(47)

$$
x_2 := \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \left(5 + 3\sqrt{1 + \frac{64\mathcal{T}_0^2}{135\tilde{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)} \right)},
$$
(48)

$$
c_1 := \frac{1}{2x_1} \left(1 + \frac{4\mathcal{T}_1^2}{15\bar{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) + \left[1 + \left(\frac{8}{3}\mathcal{T}_0\mathcal{T}_1 - \mathcal{T}_1^2\right) \frac{4}{15\bar{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{64\mathcal{T}_0^2}{155\bar{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)}}} \right),\tag{49}
$$

$$
c_2 := \frac{1}{2x_2} \left(\frac{1 + \frac{4T_1^2}{15V_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)}{-\left[1 + \left(\frac{8}{3}T_0T_1 - T_1^2\right)\frac{4}{15V_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)\right]} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{64T_0^2}{135V_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar \beta \omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right)}} \right). \tag{50}
$$

The phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ can then be straightforwardly calculated from [\(32\)](#page-3-0). Since

$$
\lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[\frac{2\nu}{(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 - \nu^2} \right] = \delta(\omega - \nu) - \delta(\omega + \nu) \tag{51}
$$

for any frequency ν , the phonon spectral function becomes

$$
M(\mathbf{k},\omega) = |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 \left[\begin{array}{l} c_1[\delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathrm{LO}}x_1) - \delta(\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{LO}}x_1)] \\ + c_2[\delta(\omega - \omega_{\mathrm{LO}}x_2) - \delta(\omega + \omega_{\mathrm{LO}}x_2)] \end{array} \right] + O(\mathcal{T}_1^4). \tag{52}
$$

The phonon spectral function in the region $\omega > 0$ is therefore a sum of two infinitely sharp δ peaks. In the absence of threephonon interaction, these peaks appear at $\omega = \omega_{\text{LO}}$ and $\omega = 2\omega_{\text{LO}}$, and they can be associated with the Fröhlich interaction and the one-electron–two-phonon interaction, respectively. The three-phonon terms only shift the locations and heights of these δ peaks. Indeed, the δ peaks occur at $\omega = x_1 \omega_{\text{LO}}$ and $\omega = x_2 \omega_{\text{LO}}$, or equivalently

$$
\omega \approx \omega_{\text{LO}} - \mathcal{T}_0^2 \frac{8\omega_{\text{LO}}}{45\tilde{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) + O(\mathcal{T}_0^4),\tag{53}
$$

$$
\omega \approx 2\omega_{\text{LO}} + \mathcal{T}_0^2 \frac{4\omega_{\text{LO}}}{45\tilde{V}_0} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\beta\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2}\right) + O(\mathcal{T}_0^4). \tag{54}
$$

Other treatments of three-phonon anharmonicity [\[48–53\]](#page-12-0) usually lead to a finite lifetime of the phonon, which dampens and broadens the δ peaks in the spectral function *M*(**k**,ω). This does not happen in [\(52\)](#page-5-0) because the Hamiltonian [\(1\)](#page-1-0)–[\(4\)](#page-1-0) of [\[41\]](#page-12-0) only includes one longitudinal optical phonon mode, and neglects all other modes. The only possible three-phonon processes $LO \rightarrow$ LO + LO and LO + LO \rightarrow LO do not satisfy conservation of energy, since the initial (final) state has an energy of $E_i = \hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}$, whereas the final (initial) state has energy $E_f = 2\hbar\omega_{LO}$. Therefore, according to Fermi's golden rule [\[54\]](#page-12-0),

$$
\frac{1}{\tau} \sim \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} \sum_{f} |\langle f|\hat{H}_{3-\text{ph}}|i\rangle|^2 \delta(E_f - E_i),\tag{55}
$$

this process cannot contribute to the finite lifetime of the phonon [\[55\]](#page-12-0). Other three-phonon processes, such as $LO \rightarrow LA$ $+$ LA, would indeed lead to a finite lifetime [\[50\]](#page-12-0). The fact that no broadening is present is a significant limitation of the three-phonon interaction term [\(3\)](#page-1-0). Therefore, for the discussion of the results in Sec. [III,](#page-7-0) we will mainly focus on the effect of the one-electron–two-phonon interaction, rather than the effect of the $LO \rightarrow LO + LO$ three-phonon process.

Because the phonon spectral function is composed of δ peaks, the integral in expression [\(29\)](#page-3-0) for the approximate memory function Im[$\Sigma_0(\omega)$] can be calculated explicitly. Since $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \sim |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2$, and the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = S(k, \omega)$ is isotropic in **k** for the homogeneous electron gas, the sum over **k** in this expression will always be of the following form:

$$
\sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi^2} (\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}})^2 \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m_b \omega_{\text{LO}}}} \times 4\pi \int S(k, \omega) k^2 dk.
$$
 (56)

Therefore, for all further results, we require the integral of the dynamical structure factor over all momenta. A straightforward calculation leads to the following expression for the imaginary part of $\Sigma_0(\omega)$:

$$
\mathrm{Im}[\Sigma_0(\omega)] = -\frac{4\alpha}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_{\mathrm{LO}}}{2m_b}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\pm} \frac{c_i}{\omega} [1 + n_B(\pm x_i\omega_{\mathrm{LO}}) + n_B(\omega \mp x_i\omega_{\mathrm{LO}})] \int S(k, \omega \mp x_i\omega_{\mathrm{LO}}) k^2 dk, \tag{57}
$$

where x_i and c_i are given by expressions [\(47\)](#page-5-0)–[\(50\)](#page-5-0).

The calculation of the structure factor will be discussed in the next section. Once the integrated structure factor $\int S(k,\omega)k^2 dk$ has been calculated, the conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ can be found by using Eq. (57) to find the imaginary part of $\Sigma_0(\omega)$, Eq. (28) to find its real part, Eq. (14) to find the memory function, and Eq. (13) to find the conductivity.

Note that (57) reproduces the result for the Fröhlich po-laron gas in [\[18\]](#page-12-0) if we set $\mathcal{T}_0 = \mathcal{T}_1 = 0$ and work in the zero-temperature limit $\beta \rightarrow +\infty$:

Im
$$
[\Sigma_0(\omega)] = -\frac{\pi}{3m_b\hbar\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 |V_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 S(\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_{\text{LO}}) \Theta(\omega - \omega_{\text{LO}}),
$$
 (58)

where $\Theta(x)$ is the Heaviside function. Combining Eqs. [\(13\)](#page-2-0), [\(14\)](#page-2-0), and [\(28\)](#page-3-0), and the fact that $\Sigma_0(0) = 0$ at zero temperature according to Eqs. (28) and (58) , eventually yields

$$
\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega)] = \frac{\pi n e^2}{3m_b^2 \hbar \omega^3} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k^2 |\mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(F)}|^2 S(\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega_{\text{LO}}) \Theta(\omega - \omega_{\text{LO}}),
$$
\n(59)

which is indeed the result of $[18]$, up to a conventional factor π that is included in the definition of *S*(**k**,ω) in [\[18\]](#page-12-0). The method presented in this section is therefore an extension of the method in $[18]$: the treatment of this section includes finite temperatures as well as one-electron–two-phonon interaction and three-phonon interactions of the form (3) and (4) .

D. Dynamical structure factor of the electron gas

The dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of the homogeneous electron gas, defined by [\(22\)](#page-3-0), is a well-known quantity in the literature [\[27,45,46,56\]](#page-12-0). It represents the response of the homogeneous electron gas to a perturbation with momentum \hbar **k** and energy $\hbar\omega$, and it is related to its dielectric function $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ as follows [\[27\]](#page-12-0):

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{\hbar \varepsilon_{\text{vac}} \varepsilon_{\infty} k^2}{\pi n e^2} \text{Im} \left[\frac{1}{\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega)} \right].
$$
 (60)

The structure factor depends on the density *n* of the electron gas. In this article, the dependence on the density is written in terms of the Wigner-Seitz radius $[27]$ $r_s = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\infty} a_B} (\frac{3}{4\pi n})^{\frac{1}{3}}$, where $a_B = 0.53$ Å is the Bohr radius. The dynamical structure factor is written in terms of standard quantities derived from the density: the Fermi wave vector $k_F = (3\pi^2 n)^{1/3}$, the Fermi energy $E_F = \frac{\hbar^2 k_F^2}{2m_b}$, and the plasma frequency $\omega_{\text{pl}} = \frac{ne^2}{m_b \varepsilon_{\text{vac}}}$ [\[27\]](#page-12-0).

There is no exact expression for the dynamical structure factor. However, there are several models that describe the dynamical structure factor with an increasing degree of precision. Most of these models can be expressed in terms of the Lindhard polarization function [\[27\]](#page-12-0):

$$
P(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbf{k}}^{(C)} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{n_F(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}}) - n_F(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}})}{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{q}} - \varepsilon_{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}} + \hbar(\omega + i\delta)}
$$

 := $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) + iB(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$, (61)

where $\varepsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ and $V_{\mathbf{k}}^{(C)}$ are given by [\(6\)](#page-1-0) and [\(8\)](#page-1-0), and $n_F(E) =$ $1/(e^{\beta(E-\mu)}+1)$ is the Fermi-Dirac distribution. The real and imaginary parts $A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ and $B(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ can be found by evaluating (61) , or by using the expressions found in [\[27](#page-12-0)[,57\]](#page-13-0).

In this article, we will discuss and compare the following four commonly used [\[27\]](#page-12-0) models for the dynamical structure factor, listed in order of increasing precision:

(i) The one-polaron model, which neglects the Coulomb interaction and exchange effects of the electron gas [\[18\]](#page-12-0):

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = \delta\left(\omega - \frac{\hbar k^2}{2m_b}\right).
$$
 (62)

This basic model allows for further analytical calculations due to its simplicity.

(ii) The Hartree-Fock model, which includes the exchange effects but neglects the Coulomb interaction:

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{\hbar \varepsilon_{\text{vac}} \varepsilon_{\infty} k^2}{\pi n e^2} B(\mathbf{k}, \omega).
$$
 (63)

This model is valid when the Coulomb interaction between the electrons is negligible, which is the case when $\hbar \omega_{\text{pl}} \ll E_F$.

(iii) The Lindhard model, also known as the random phase approximation (RPA) model, which includes the Coulomb interaction up to lowest order [\[44\]](#page-12-0):

$$
S(\mathbf{k},\omega) = -\frac{\hbar \varepsilon_{\text{vac}} \varepsilon_{\infty} k^2}{\pi n e^2} \frac{B(\mathbf{k},\omega)}{[1 - A(\mathbf{k},\omega)]^2 + B(\mathbf{k},\omega)^2}.
$$
 (64)

(iv) The Hubbard model, which includes a local field factor $G(k)$ to account for the exchange and correlation hole around the electron [\[27\]](#page-12-0):

$$
G(k) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2}{k^2 + k_F^2 + \frac{3m_b \omega_{\rm pl}^2}{2E_F}}.
$$
\n(65)

The Hubbard dynamical structure factor is then given by

$$
S(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = -\frac{\hbar \varepsilon_{\text{vac}} \varepsilon_{\infty} k^2}{\pi n e^2}
$$

$$
\times \frac{B(\mathbf{k}, \omega)}{\{1 - [1 - G(k)] A(\mathbf{k}, \omega)\}^2 + [1 - G(k)] B(\mathbf{k}, \omega)^2}.
$$
(66)

The Hubbard model is especially good for the large polaron problem, since the local field factor $G(k)$ is small in the $k \to 0$ limit. Therefore, although more specialized models for the structure factor exist [\[45,46\]](#page-12-0), we will limit ourselves to the RPA and Hubbard models in this article—this assumption will be motivated *a posteriori* in Sec. III. Figure 3 shows the dynamical structure factor for the Hubbard model. To calculate the conductivity of the polaron gas [\(57\)](#page-6-0), the structure factor must be integrated over all momenta $k^2 dk$. The dynamical structure factor has a sharp undamped plasmon peak [\[18\]](#page-12-0) when $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = 0$, which needs to be treated carefully when performing this integral. The plasmons lead to a kink at ω_{pl} in the integral of the structure factor, which will lead to additional features in the optical conductivity [\[18\]](#page-12-0).

III. RESULTS

A. Material parameters

The combination of expressions (13) , (14) , (28) , (57) , and any of the structure factors (62) – (66) , allows us to calculate the conductivity of the anharmonic polaron gas, given values for all the necessary material parameters. At the very least, this includes values of the electron density *n* or the Wigner-Seitz radius r_s , and the polaron material parameters α , \mathcal{T}_0 , \mathcal{T}_1 , and \tilde{V}_0 . The phonons and electron gas have their own

 $S_{int}(\omega)$ FIG. 3. Contour plot of the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of

the electron gas, calculated at zero temperature using the Hubbard model (66) with $r_s = 12$. Outside the red lines, the structure factor is exactly zero, except for the Dirac δ peak due to the formation of undamped plasmons (blue). The right inset shows the structure factor integrated over all momenta, defined as $S_{int}(\omega) = \frac{E_F}{\hbar k_F^3} \int S(k, \omega) k^2 dk$.

characteristic energy scales $\hbar \omega_{LO}$ and E_F , so the result will also depend on the ratio of these energies. This parameter can be written in terms of the Rydberg energy E_{Ry} :

$$
\frac{E_F}{\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}} = \frac{E_{\text{Ry}}}{\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}} \left(\frac{9\pi}{4}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{r_s^2},\tag{67}
$$

$$
\frac{E_{\text{Ry}}}{\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{\infty}}{\varepsilon_0}}\right)^2. \tag{68}
$$

 $E_{\text{Ry}}/\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}$ is independent of the electron density, and is therefore another dimensionless material parameter. Finally, the result also depends on the temperature of the system. Table I shows the values of α , \mathcal{T}_1 , \tilde{V}_0 , and $\frac{E_{\text{Ry}}}{\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}}$ for the lightest III-V semiconductors, for which the Hamiltonian (1) –[\(4\)](#page-1-0) is valid. The values of \mathcal{T}_1 for these four materials were calculated by *ab initio* methods [\[63\]](#page-13-0). These materials turn out to have negligible one-electron–two-phonon interaction since their values of \mathcal{T}_1 are quite low ($\mathcal{T}_1 \sim 10^{-3}$). Currently, the values of \mathcal{T}_1 are unknown for all other materials. In the remainder of this section, we will therefore use larger, arbitrarily chosen values for \mathcal{T}_1 to demonstrate the effect of signifi-

TABLE I. Material parameters for electron polarons in several cubic III-V semiconductors The material parameters in the left part of the table are found in [\[58–62\]](#page-13-0); the other parameters are derived quantities, except for \mathcal{T}_1 , which were calculated by [\[63\]](#page-13-0).

	$\omega_{\rm LO}$ (THz) $m_h(m_e)$ ε_0		$\varepsilon_{\infty} \qquad \alpha$	\mathcal{T}_1	V _θ	$\frac{E_{\rm Ry}}{\hbar \omega_{\rm LO}}$
BN	38.41	0.329		6.98 4.62 0.973 -0.00134 0.00121 8.28		
BP	24.48	0.331		9.28 9.19 0.018 -0.00085 0.00123 3.44		
AlN	26.52	0.285		8.59 4.62 1.492 -0.00069 0.00100 10.42		
AIP	14.65	0.311		10.41 8.14 0.561 0.00050 0.00092 6.62		

214315-8

cant one-electron–two-phonon interaction. Similarly, \mathcal{T}_0 is not known for any material to the best of our knowledge. Comparisons with other treatments of three-phonon anharmonicity [\[48–53,55\]](#page-12-0) are difficult for the reasons outlined in Sec. IIC: \mathcal{T}_0 represents the strength of only the LO \rightarrow LO + LO process, whereas most treatments take all possible three-phonon processes into account at once. Therefore, we will choose arbitrary values of \mathcal{T}_0 to show the effect of the three-phonon interaction.

The Wigner-Seitz radius r_s is fully determined by the carrier density *n*, which can be chosen freely in experiments by doping. For concreteness, results in this article will be plotted using $n \sim 5 \times 10^{18}$ cm⁻³, a typical density for doped semiconductors $[18]$. Using the values in Table [I,](#page-7-0) this corresponds to a Wigner-Seitz unit radius of the order $r_s \sim 12$.

B. The low-frequency and high-frequency limits, and the imaginary part of the conductivity

Using the theory of Sec. II , the optical conductivity of the anharmonic polaron gas can be calculated in several different limits. Here, the low-frequency and high-frequency limits are explored, and we show that both limits can be written as a Drude conductivity $[64]$. Combining (13) and (14) allows us to write the optical conductivity $\sigma(\omega)$ in terms of $\Sigma_0(\omega)$:

$$
\sigma(\omega) = i \frac{ne^2}{m_b} \frac{1}{\omega + \frac{i}{\tau_{\text{eff}}}} \left(1 + \frac{\Sigma_0(\omega) - \Sigma_0(0)}{\omega} \right), \quad (69)
$$

where $\tau_{\text{eff}}^{-1} = -\text{Im}[\Sigma_0(0)]$ plays the role of collision rate of the electrons and the phonons. From expressions (28) and [\(29\)](#page-3-0), it can be seen that the imaginary part of $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ is an even function and its real part is an odd function. Taking the $\omega \rightarrow +\infty$ limit of Eq. (69) yields

$$
\lim_{\omega \to +\infty} \sigma(\omega) = i \frac{ne^2}{m_b \omega}.
$$
\n(70)

At high frequencies, the conductivity simply reduces to the Drude conductivity of the free electron gas, as the heavy ions are too slow to follow the fast-moving electrons. Similarly, taking the $\omega \rightarrow 0$ limit of Eq. (69) also yields a Drude conductivity, but with a different effective mass *m*eff and with the relaxation time τ_{eff} :

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \sigma(\omega) = i \frac{ne^2}{m_{\text{eff}}(\omega + \frac{i}{\tau_{\text{eff}}})}.
$$
\n(71)

The relaxation time τ_{eff} and effective mass m_{eff} of the conductivity are defined from the low-frequency behavior of the memory function Σ_0 :

$$
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{eff}}} = -\operatorname{Im}[\Sigma_0(0)] = \frac{4\alpha}{3} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}}{2m_b}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{\pm} c_i n'_B(\pm x_i \omega_{\text{LO}}) \int S(k, \pm x_i \omega_{\text{LO}}) k^2 dk, (72)
$$

$$
\frac{m_b}{m_{\text{eff}}} = 1 + \operatorname{Re}[\Sigma_0'(0)]
$$

$$
= 1 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{\operatorname{Im}[\Sigma_0(\omega)] - \operatorname{Im}[\Sigma_0(0)]}{\omega^2} d\omega. \quad (73)
$$

FIG. 4. The imaginary part of the optical conductivity of the polaron gas at zero temperature, calculated using the Hubbard model [\(66\)](#page-7-0) for the structure factor, $\alpha = 1$, $\tilde{V}_0 = 0.001$, $\mathcal{T}_0 = 0$, $E_{\text{Ry}}/\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}} = 8$, and $r_s = 12$. The dashed lines represent the Drude conductivity: the black dashed line represents the high-frequency limit (70) calculated with the band mass, and the colored dashed lines represent the low-frequency limit (71) calculated with the effective polaron mass.

For a single polaron, τ_{eff} represents the average collision time between collisions with a phonon, and m_{eff} represents the effective polaron mass. Note that at zero temperature, τ_{eff}^{-1} = 0 because no phonons are present. Indeed, in expression (72), the derivative of the Bose-Einstein distribution becomes $n'_B(\pm x_i \omega_{\text{LO}}) \rightarrow -\delta(\pm x_i \omega_{\text{LO}}) = 0$. In practice, this means that the optical conductivity (71) will have a Dirac δ contribution at zero temperature:

$$
\lim_{\omega \to 0} \sigma(\omega)|_{T=0} = \frac{\pi n e^2}{m_{\text{eff}}} \delta(\omega) + i \frac{n e^2}{m_{\text{eff}} \omega}.
$$
 (74)

This contribution is necessary to satisfy the f-sum rule at zero temperature and is well-known in the literature [\[13,18](#page-12-0)[,65\]](#page-13-0). Overall, the imaginary part of the conductivity can be excellently described by a combination of the two limits (70) and (71), as shown in Fig. 4. There are some features in the intermediate region $\omega \sim \omega_{\text{LO}}$, which becomes more pronounced as α is larger; however, when α is too large, the theory presented in this article becomes invalid and more specialized tech-niques are necessary [\[24\]](#page-12-0). In the weak-coupling limit $\alpha \to 0$, the main effect on the imaginary part of the conductivity is to change the effective polaron mass in the low-frequency limit $(71).$

At zero temperature and assuming the single polaron structure factor (62) , the effective polaron mass can be calculated explicitly using expression (73) in combination with (57) . With $n_B(\omega) = \Theta(\omega) - 1$ at zero temperature, the resulting inverse effective mass is

$$
\frac{m_b}{m_{\text{eff}}} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{6} \left(\frac{c_1}{x_1^{3/2}} + \frac{c_2}{x_2^{3/2}} \right) + O(\alpha^2, \mathcal{T}_0^4). \tag{75}
$$

This result is valid up to second order in \mathcal{T}_0 because the phonon self-energy [\(42\)](#page-4-0) was approximated up to lowest order. Expanding the expressions (47) – (50) for the coefficients

FIG. 5. Optical polaron absorption spectra $\text{Re}[\sigma(\omega)]$ of the anharmonic polaron gas, calculated for several different material parameters. Solid lines represent the results when three-phonon interaction is excluded $(T_i = 0)$, and dashed lines represent the results including three-phonon interaction ($T_i = 0.01$). The origin of the indicated peaks is discussed in the main text. (a) Single polaron optical absorption at temperature zero, clearly showing the main polaron peak originating at ω_{LO} and a secondary "anharmonic" polaron peak originating at $2\omega_{\text{LO}}$. (b),(c) Effect of the structure factor of the polaron gas at temperature zero, calculated using $\mathcal{T}_1 = 0.1$ and (b) $r_s = 8$ and (c) $r_s = 12$: the existence of plasmons introduces two new peaks. (d) Effect of the temperature on the absorption spectrum, calculated using the Hubbard structure factor, $T_1 = 0.1$ and $r_s = 12$. Note that the Drude peak now has a finite height, but it is still too high to fit in the figure with the other absorption peaks. Parts (a)–(d) all use $\alpha = 1$, $\tilde{V}_0 = 0.001$, and $E_{\text{Ry}}/\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}} = 8$.

 x_1, x_2, c_1, c_2 up to second order in \mathcal{T}_0 yields

$$
\frac{m}{m_{\text{eff}}} = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{6} - \frac{1}{90\sqrt{2}} \frac{\alpha}{\tilde{V}_0} \left[T_1^2 + \frac{4(4\sqrt{2} - 1)}{3} \mathcal{T}_0 \mathcal{T}_1 \right. \\ + \frac{4(11\sqrt{2} + 1)}{9} \mathcal{T}_0^2 \right] + O(\alpha^2, \mathcal{T}_0^4), \tag{76}
$$

which is the same as the polaron effective mass calculated from perturbation theory [\[41\]](#page-12-0).

C. Optical absorption of the polaron gas

The real part of the optical conductivity contains signatures of polaron formation that can be experimentally measured. Indeed, it is related to the optical absorption coefficient $\Gamma(\omega)$ of a material $[66]$:

$$
\Gamma(\omega) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{vac}} c N} \text{Re}[\sigma(\omega)],\tag{77}
$$

where N is the index of refraction of that material. It is known in the literature that a Fröhlich polaron at zero temperature has an absorption peak that appears near ω_{LO} [Fig. 5(a), blue line], which is usually in the midinfrared region [\[19,27\]](#page-12-0). A manypolaron gas has a second peak that appears near $\omega_{\text{LO}} + \omega_{\text{pl}}$ [see Figs. $5(b)$ and $5(c)$, green and orange lines] due to the formation of plasmons [\[13,18\]](#page-12-0). In this section, the effect of the one-electron–two-phonon interaction on the optical absorption spectrum is investigated. The results are shown in Fig. 5.

The most important result is that the one-electron–twophonon interaction leads to an additional absorption peak, which can be seen on the absorption spectra of a single polaron in Fig. $5(a)$. For a single polaron at temperature zero, without three-phonon terms, the real part of the conductivity actually has an exact expression:

$$
Re[\sigma(\omega)] = \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{ne^2}{m_{eff}} \delta(\omega)
$$
(78)
+
$$
\frac{ne^2}{m_b} \frac{2\alpha}{3} \frac{\omega_{LO}^{3/2}}{\omega^3} \left(\sqrt{\omega - \omega_{LO}} \Theta(\omega - \omega_{LO}) - \frac{2T_1^2}{15V_0} \sqrt{\omega - 2\omega_{LO}} \Theta(\omega - 2\omega_{LO})\right).
$$
(79)

This expression has three terms representing, respectively, the infinitely sharp Drude peak, the polaron absorption peak (whose expression is well-known in the literature [\[13,27\]](#page-12-0)), and a new "secondary polaron peak" that is due to the new one-electron–two-phonon interaction process. Each of these peaks is visible in Fig. $5(a)$. The third term only contributes when $\omega > 2\omega_{LO}$, which can be understood in the following way. The optical conductivity is zero below $\omega < \omega_{LO}$ because there are no phonons naturally present to interact with at zero temperature, so an energy of at least $\hbar \omega_{LO}$ is necessary to create a phonon [\[13\]](#page-12-0). Similarly, two phonons with a total energy of $2\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}$ must be created before the one-electron–two-phonon process of Fig. [1\(c\)](#page-1-0) can contribute to the conductivity, which requires $\hbar \omega > 2\hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}$.

The secondary polaron peak can serve as an experimental fingerprint for beyond-Fröhlich electron-phonon interaction. Its presence indicates that a one-electron–two-phonon interaction term like the one in Fig. $1(c)$ is not negligible. Additionally, from [\(79\)](#page-9-0) it can be seen that the height of the new peak is proportional to \mathcal{T}_1^2 . Therefore, a measurement of the height of the secondary polaron peak provides a way to estimate the value of \mathcal{T}_1 in a material.

At realistic doping densities, there is never a single polaron, but rather a gas of polarons. Figures $5(b)$ and $5(c)$ show the conductivity of the polaron gas at two different electron densities, using different models for the dynamical structure factor. First, we demonstrate *a posteriori* that the RPA model [\(64\)](#page-7-0) for the dynamical structure factor is sufficient to capture the main features of the optical absorption spectra by noting that in Figs. $5(b)$ and $5(c)$ the RPA model captures the same qualitative effects as the Hubbard model: adding the exchange and correlation effects of the electron gas up to lowest order using the Hubbard model does not fundamentally change the absorption spectra. On the contrary, at the densities used in Fig. [5,](#page-9-0) the single polaron and Hartree-Fock models fail to account for plasmon formation in the polaron gas [\[18\]](#page-12-0) and therefore do not capture the fundamental features of the absorption spectrum. Because of the formation of plasmons, the integral of the structure factor over all momenta (shown in Fig. [3\)](#page-7-0) has a kink at the plasma frequency ω_{pl} , which leads to an additional peak in the optical absorption spectra of Figs. [5\(b\)](#page-9-0) and [5\(c\)](#page-9-0) at $\omega_{LO} + \omega_{pl}$. The interplay of both one-electron–two-phonon interaction and plasmons can finally lead to a secondary plasmon peak. Therefore, up to four distinct features may appear in the absorption spectrum: the polaron absorption peak, which starts at ω_{LO} , the plasmon peak at $\omega_{LO} + \omega_{pl}$, the secondary polaron absorption peak at $2\omega_{\text{LO}}$, and a secondary plasmon peak at $2\omega_{\text{LO}} + \omega_{\text{pl}}$. Note that, depending on the relative strength of the couplings, some peaks may appear as shoulders or as kinks in the spectrum. Additionally, some of these features may overlap: for example, in Fig. $5(c)$ only three peaks are visible, because the plasmon peak and the secondary polaron peak cannot be distinguished from each other.

Figure [5\(d\)](#page-9-0) shows the results calculated at finite temperatures. In this case, the relaxation time τ_{eff} in expression [\(69\)](#page-8-0) becomes finite, which causes broadening and smearing of the different peaks. Perhaps most notably, the Drude peak broadens from a Dirac δ function to a peak with finite height and width. The Drude peak is much taller than the other absorption peaks and therefore quickly dominates the whole absorption spectrum. To discern each of the absorption peaks, the spectrum should be measured at sufficiently low temperatures ($k_B T \lesssim 0.1 \hbar \omega_{\text{LO}}$).

FIG. 6. The scattering rate τ_{eff}^{-1} of the electron-phonon collisions as a function of temperature. At zero temperature, no phonons are present and $\tau_{\text{eff}}^{-1} = 0$. In this figure, $\alpha = 1$, $\tilde{V}_0 = 0.001$, $r_s = 12$, $E_{\text{Ry}}/\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}} = 8$, the Hubbard model for the structure factor was used, and $T_0 = 0$ (solid lines) or $T_0 = 0.01$ (dashed lines).

The dashed lines of Fig. [5](#page-9-0) show the result when the three-phonon interaction is included. The results are qualitatively the same: the three-phonon interaction only changes the height of the peaks, and moves the locations of the peaks very slightly. Overall, the three-phonon interaction seems to be most impactful when there is also one-electron–two-phonon interaction.

D. Qualitative prediction for the dc resistivity

The value of the electron-phonon scattering rate τ_{eff}^{-1} is shown in Fig. 6. This scattering rate is also directly proportional to the dc resistivity of the polaron gas $\rho(0) = \frac{m_{\text{eff}}}{ne^2 \tau_{\text{eff}}}$, and therefore it also represents the inverse of the height of the Drude peak in Fig. $5(d)$. The scattering rate is thermally activated, and it remains almost unchanged by the one-electron– two-phonon interaction at low temperatures. This can be understood by noting that the one-electron–two-phonon process requires two phonons to be present in the material: at low temperatures, this is much more unlikely than finding just a single phonon. In the low-temperature limit, using the one-polaron structure factor, and ignoring the three-phonon interaction, the scattering rate can be calculated using (72) :

$$
\tau_{\rm eff}^{-1} \approx \omega_{\rm LO} \frac{4\alpha}{3} \frac{\hbar \omega_{\rm LO}}{k_B T} \left(e^{-\frac{\hbar \omega_{\rm LO}}{k_B T}} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}T_1^2}{15\tilde{V}_0} e^{-\frac{2\hbar \omega_{\rm LO}}{k_B T}} \right), \quad (80)
$$

which highlights the finding that the one-electron–twophonon interaction only starts significantly contributing to the scattering rate at $k_B T \approx 2\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}$, while the Fröhlich interaction already contributes at $k_B T \approx \hbar \omega_{LO}$. The result (80) reduces to the well-known result in the literature if $\mathcal{T}_1 = 0$ [\[13,21,27\]](#page-12-0).

There is a known issue with the calculation of the collision rate τ_{eff}^{-1} from the Kubo formula. The electron-phonon collision rate can also be calculated from the Boltzmann equation [\[16\]](#page-12-0). Comparing the result for $\mathcal{T}_1 = 0$ in Fig. 6 with the result in $[16]$ shows that Fig. 6 is wrong by a factor $3k_BT/2\hbar\omega_{LO}$ [\[67\]](#page-13-0). The difference can be interpreted as an incorrect exchange of limits [\[67\]](#page-13-0): using the Boltzmann equation correctly calculates $\lim_{\alpha \to 0 \omega \to 0} \sigma(\omega)$, whereas using the $\omega \rightarrow 0$

Kubo formula calculates the limit $\lim_{\omega \to 0} \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \sigma(\omega)$, which in this case leads to a different result. The other curves in Fig. [6](#page-10-0) are presumably also incorrect by a similar factor, which might be different when one-electron–two-phonon interaction is included. Therefore, the results in Fig. [6](#page-10-0) should be seen as a qualitative comparison with the Fröhlich result, rather than quantitative predictions.

IV. CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

In this article, we have calculated the optical conductivity and its related quantities for an anharmonic large many-polaron gas, most notably including the one-electron– two-phonon interaction of Fig. $1(c)$. The Hamiltonian (1) – (4) from [\[41\]](#page-12-0) is an extension of the Fröhlich Hamiltonian with analytical expressions for the interaction strengths. In the lowand high-frequency limits, the conductivity can be written as a Drude conductivity. In the low-frequency limit, the carrier mass is equal to the anharmonic polaron mass [\[41\]](#page-12-0), which verifies the intuition that anharmonic polarons play the role of charge carriers in this regime.

The optical absorption spectrum is proportional to the real part of the optical conductivity. A gas of Fröhlich polarons has two peaks: a polaron peak at ω_{LO} , and a polaron-plasmon peak at $\omega_{LO} + \omega_{pl}$ [\[18\]](#page-12-0). In this paper, we have shown that a gas of anharmonic polarons has two more peaks: an anharmonic polaron peak at $2\omega_{LO}$, and an anharmonic polaron-plasmon peak at $2\omega_{\text{LO}} + \omega_{\text{pl}}$.

The method used in this paper is strongly based on the method first proposed in [\[18\]](#page-12-0) to calculate the optical conductivity of a gas of Fröhlich polarons, starting from the Kubo formula. One difference with the method in [\[18\]](#page-12-0) is that we use the memory function formalism to introduce the spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ of the phonons, which is then calculated using the Matsubara-Green's formalism. This has the advantage that the optical conductivity can also be calculated at finite temperatures. Additionally, the method proposed in this article works for any Hamiltonian of the following form:

$$
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\rm el} + \hat{H}_{\rm ph} + \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq \mathbf{0}} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathbf{q}} \hat{\rho}_{-\mathbf{q}},\tag{81}
$$

where the operators \hat{H}_{ph} and $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{q}}$ depend on one or more phonon operators, and the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ must be calculated with respect to the general electron Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{el} . With the choice [\(19\)](#page-2-0) for $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{q}$ and the choice [\(3\)](#page-1-0) for \hat{H}_{ph} , the above Hamiltonian reduces to [\(1\)](#page-1-0)–[\(4\)](#page-1-0). Regardless, many other electron-phonon Hamiltonians can be written in the form (81) . One example is the impurity-boson Hamiltonian in ultracold gases, written in the Bogoliubov approximation and including the one-impurity–two-boson interaction [\[39,40\]](#page-12-0). For such Hamiltonians, the weak-coupling conductivity is still given by expressions [\(13\)](#page-2-0) and [\(14\)](#page-2-0), in combination with (28) and (29) . If one can calculate the dynamical structure factor $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ and the phonon spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ for the Hamiltonian in question, the conductivity can be calculated using this method.

The anharmonic electron-phonon Hamiltonian (1) – (4) in its current form is quite limited in its application to real materials, since it only applies to cubic materials and only contains interaction to a single phonon branch. Furthermore, the most commonly used materials that satisfy those conditions do not have significant one-electron–two-phonon interaction, as shown by the low values of \mathcal{T}_1 in Table [I.](#page-7-0) To study currently relevant anharmonic materials with electron-phonon interac-tion, like SrTiO₃ [\[28\]](#page-12-0) or high-pressure sulfur hydride [\[33\]](#page-12-0), the Hamiltonian (1) – (4) must first be generalized to include multiple phonon branches and noncubic point groups. Fortunately, such a Hamiltonian would be of the form (81) . Therefore, the theory presented in Sec. [II](#page-1-0) of this article can still be applied. For a noncubic material, the conductivity and the memory function $\Sigma_0(\omega)$ will become a 3×3 matrix, so that Eqs. [\(28\)](#page-3-0) and [\(29\)](#page-3-0) become

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left[\Sigma_{ij}^{(0)}(\omega)\right] = \frac{2\omega}{\pi} \mathcal{P} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left[\Sigma_{ij}^{(0)}(\nu)\right]}{\nu^{2} - \omega^{2}} d\nu, \qquad (82)
$$

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left[\Sigma_{ij}^{(0)}(\omega)\right] \approx -\frac{\pi}{m_{b}\hbar\omega} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} k_{i}k_{j} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left[1 + n_{B}(\omega')\right] d\nu + n_{B}(\omega - \omega') J S(\mathbf{k}, \omega - \omega') M(\mathbf{k}, \omega') d\omega'.
$$
(83)

To use these expressions, only the spectral function $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ and perhaps $S(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ would have to be recalculated for the material in question.

An interesting avenue to explore further is the effect of three-phonon interaction on the optical conductivity of the polaron gas. In Sec. \overline{HC} , we demonstrated that the threephonon interaction [\(3\)](#page-1-0) used in this article is insufficient because it only contains interactions between LO phonons. With a Hamiltonian that contains all possible three-phonon processes [\[55\]](#page-12-0), it would be possible to properly study the effect of three-phonon interaction on the optical conductivity. Expressions (32) and (37) suggest that only the phonon self-energies or the phonon spectral functions are sufficient to calculate $M(\mathbf{k}, \omega)$ and study the effect of three-phonon interaction, as long as no one-electron–two-phonon interaction is considered.

It is possible to calculate the conductivity of the anharmonic polaron gas described by the Hamiltonian (1) – (4) using several other methods, which would give results in different regimes. For example, to calculate the optical conductivity of one polaron at intermediate or strong electron-phonon coupling, the path integral method of $[21,22]$ or the diagrammatic Monte Carlo method of [\[24\]](#page-12-0) could be generalized. To calculate the electron-phonon scattering rate τ_{eff}^{-1} and the dc conductivity of the polaron gas, and to verify whether the correct value is still obtained after multiplying with a factor $3k_BT/2\hbar\omega_{\text{LO}}$, one could use the Boltzmann transport equation as in [\[16\]](#page-12-0). Both of these are left as potential further research questions.

We propose the anharmonic polaron absorption peak at $2\omega_{LO}$ as an experimental fingerprint for one-electron– two-phonon interaction in solids. Since the height of the anharmonic polaron peak is proportional to \mathcal{T}_1^2 , a measurement of the height of this peak can be used to estimate the relevance of the one-electron–two-phonon interaction in a material.

The code that was used to generate Figs. [4–6](#page-8-0) is publicly available online [\[68\]](#page-13-0).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded by the University Research Fund (BOF) of the University of Antwerp (Project ID: 38499). We would like to thank S. Klimin and T. Ichmoukhamedov for

- [1] L. D. Landau, [Phys. Z. Sowjet.](http://cds.cern.ch/record/444288) **3**, 664 (1933).
- [2] L. D. Landau and S. I. Pekar, [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.](http://archive.ujp.bitp.kiev.ua/files/journals/53/si/53SI15p.pdf) **18**, 419 (1948).
- [3] E. L. Nagaev, [Phys. Status Solidi B](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.2220650102) **65**, 11 (1974).
- [4] [O. Verzelen, R. Ferreira, and G. Bastard,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.88.146803) Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 146803 (2002).
- [5] [J. Tempere, S. Klimin, I. Silvera, and J. Devreese,](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjb/e2003-00106-7) Eur. Phys. J. B **32**, 329 (2003).
- [6] J. Koepsell, J. Vijayan, P. Sompet, F. Grusdt, T. A. Hilker, E. [Demler, G. Salomon, I. Bloch, and C. Gross,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1463-1) Nature **572**, 358 (2019).
- [7] N. B. Jørgensen, L. Wacker, K. T. Skalmstang, M. M. Parish, J. Levinsen, R. S. Christensen, G. M. Bruun, and J. J. Arlt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**[, 055302 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.055302)
- [8] Y. E. Shchadilova, R. Schmidt, F. Grusdt, and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**[, 113002 \(2016\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.117.113002)
- [9] A. Schirotzek, C.-H. Wu, A. Sommer, and M. W. Zwierlein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**[, 230402 \(2009\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.230402)
- [10] T. D. Lee, F. E. Low, and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. **90**[, 297 \(1953\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.90.297)
- [11] H. Fröhlich, Adv. Phys. **3**[, 325 \(1954\).](https://doi.org/10.1080/00018735400101213)
- [12] R. P. Feynman, Phys. Rev. **97**[, 660 \(1955\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.97.660)
- [13] A. S. Alexandrov and J. T. Devreese, *Advances in Polaron Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010), Vol. 159.
- [14] P. Drude, Ann. Phys. **306**[, 566 \(1900\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19003060312)
- [15] P. Drude, Ann. Phys. **308**[, 369 \(1900\).](https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19003081102)
- [16] L. P. Kadanoff, Phys. Rev. **130**[, 1364 \(1963\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.130.1364)
- [17] V. L. Gurevich, I. G. Lang, and Y. A. Firsov, Sov. Phys. Solid State **4**, 918 (1962).
- [18] J. Tempere and J. T. Devreese, Phys. Rev. B **64**[, 104504 \(2001\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.64.104504)
- [19] [H. Finkenrath, N. Uhle, and W. Waidelich,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(69)90681-4) Solid State Commun. **7**, 11 (1969).
- [20] J. L. M. van Mechelen, D. van der Marel, C. Grimaldi, A. B. Kuzmenko, N. P. Armitage, N. Reyren, H. Hagemann, and I. I. Mazin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**[, 226403 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.226403)
- [21] R. P. Feynman, R. W. Hellwarth, C. K. Iddings, and P. M. Platzman, Phys. Rev. **127**[, 1004 \(1962\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.127.1004)
- [22] [J. Devreese, J. De Sitter, and M. Goovaerts,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.5.2367) Phys. Rev. B **5**, 2367 (1972).
- [23] [F. M. Peeters and J. T. Devreese,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.28.6051) Phys. Rev. B **28**, 6051 (1983).
- [24] A. S. Mishchenko, N. Nagaosa, N. V. Prokof'ev, A. Sakamoto, and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**[, 236401 \(2003\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.91.236401)
- [25] R. Kubo, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.570) **12**, 570 (1957).
- [26] [R. Kubo, M. Yokota, and S. Nakajima,](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.12.1203) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **12**, 1203 (1957).
- [27] G. D. Mahan, *Many-Particle Physics*, 3rd ed. (Kluwer Academic/Plenum, New York, 2000).
- [28] [M. N. Gastiasoro, J. Ruhman, and R. M. Fernandes,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aop.2020.168107) Ann. Phys. **417**, 168107 (2020).
- [29] K. L. Ngai, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.32.215) **32**, 215 (1974).

many interesting discussions and suggestions on the calculations and the results. We also thank L. Ranalli, C. Verdi, C. Franchini, and G. Kresse from the University of Vienna for discussions and especially for their *ab initio* calculation of the anharmonic coefficients \mathcal{T}_1 in Table [I.](#page-7-0)

- [30] [D. van der Marel, F. Barantani, and C. W. Rischau,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.1.013003) *Phys. Rev.* Res. **1**, 013003 (2019).
- [31] [D. E. Kiselov and M. V. Feigel'man,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.L220506) Phys. Rev. B **104**, L220506 (2021).
- [32] [A. Kumar, V. I. Yudson, and D. L. Maslov,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.076601) Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 076601 (2021).
- [33] A. Drozdov, M. Eremets, I. Troyan, V. Ksenofontov, and S. I. Shylin, Nature **525**[, 73 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14964)
- [34] M. Somayazulu, M. Ahart, A. K. Mishra, Z. M. Geballe, M. [Baldini, Y. Meng, V. V. Struzhkin, and R. J. Hemley,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.027001) Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 027001 (2019).
- [35] I. Errea, M. Calandra, C. J. Pickard, J. Nelson, R. J. Needs, Y. [Li, H. Liu, Y. Zhang, Y. Ma, and F. Mauri,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.157004) Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 157004 (2015).
- [36] N. W. Ashcroft, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.21.1748) **21**, 1748 (1968).
- [37] R. P. Dias and I. F. Silvera, Science **355**[, 715 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal1579)
- [38] [P. Loubeyre, F. Occelli, and P. Dumas,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1927-3) Nature **577**, 631 (2020).
- [39] S. P. Rath and R. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. A **88**[, 053632 \(2013\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.88.053632)
- [40] [T. Ichmoukhamedov and J. Tempere,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.100.043605) Phys. Rev. A **100**, 043605 (2019).
- [41] M. Houtput and J. Tempere, Phys. Rev. B **103**[, 184306 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184306)
- [42] S. Klimin, J. Tempere, J. T. Devreese, C. Franchini, and G. Kresse, Appl. Sci. **10**[, 2059 \(2020\).](https://doi.org/10.3390/app10062059)
- [43] G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, and F. E. Harris, in *Mathematical Methods for Physicists*, 7th ed., edited by G. B. Arfken, H. J. Weber, and F. E. Harris (Academic Press, Boston, 2013), pp. 551–598.
- [44] N. Bohr and J. Lindhard, K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd **28**, 29 (1954).
- [45] [J. T. Devreese, F. Brosens, and L. F. Lemmens,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.21.1349) Phys. Rev. B **21**, 1349 (1980).
- [46] [F. Brosens, J. T. Devreese, and L. F. Lemmens,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.21.1363) Phys. Rev. B **21**, 1363 (1980).
- [47] T. Matsubara, [Prog. Theor. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1143/PTP.14.351) **14**, 351 (1955).
- [48] A. Akhieser, J. Phys. (Ussr) **1**, 277 (1939).
- [49] P. Carruthers, Phys. Rev. **125**[, 123 \(1962\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.125.123)
- [50] P. G. Klemens, Phys. Rev. **148**[, 845 \(1966\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.148.845)
- [51] [S. Ushioda and J. McMullen,](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-1098(72)91182-9) Solid State Commun. **11**, 299 (1972).
- [52] [D. Lockwood, G. Yu, and N. Rowell,](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssc.2005.08.030) Solid State Commun. **136**, 404 (2005).
- [53] [C. Setty, M. Baggioli, and A. Zaccone,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.174506) Phys. Rev. B **102**, 174506 (2020).
- [54] L. D. Landau and L. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics Non-Relativistic Theory*, 3rd ed. (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1981), Vol. 3.
- [55] G. P. Srivastava, *The Physics of Phonons* (Routledge, New York, 2019).
- [56] L. U. Ancarani and H. Jouin, [Eur. Phys. J. Plus](https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/i2016-16114-7) **131**, 114 (2016).
- [57] J. T. Devreese, [arXiv:1012.4576.](http://arxiv.org/abs/arXiv:1012.4576)
- [58] K. Persson, Materials data on BN (sg:216) by materials project (2014).
- [59] K. Persson, Materials data on BP (sg:216) by materials project (2014).
- [60] K. Persson, Materials data on AlN (sg:216) by materials project (2014).
- [61] K. Persson, Materials data on AlP (sg:216) by materials project (2014).
- [62] F. Ricci, W. Chen, U. Aydemir, G. J. Snyder, G.-M. Rignanese, A. Jain, and G. Hautier, Sci. Data **4**[, 170085 \(2017\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.85)
- [63] C. Verdi, L. Ranalli, G. Kresse, and C. Franchini (private communication).
- [64] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, in *Solid State Physics* (Holt-Saunders, Philadelphia, 1976), p. 16.
- [65] [J. T. Devreese, L. F. Lemmens, and J. Van Royen,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.15.1212) Phys. Rev. B **15**, 1212 (1977).
- [66] [J. Devreese, W. Huybrechts, and L. Lemmens,](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.2220480104) *Phys. Status* Solidi B **48**, 77 (1971).
- [67] [F. Peeters and J. Devreese,](https://doi.org/10.1002/pssb.2221150225) Phys. Status Solidi B **115**, 539 (1983).
- [68] [https://github.com/MHoutput/AnharmonicPolaronConductivity.](https://github.com/MHoutput/AnharmonicPolaronConductivity)