Thermodynamics of the metal-insulator transition in the extended Hubbard model from determinantal quantum Monte Carlo

Alexander Sushchyev and Stefan Wessel®

Institute for Theoretical Solid State Physics, RWTH Aachen University, JARA Fundamentals of Future Information Technology, and JARA Center for Simulation and Data Science, 52056 Aachen, Germany

Received 1 June 2022; revised 30 September 2022; accepted 4 October 2022; published 12 October 2022)

Using finite-temperature determinantal quantum Monte Carlo simulations, we examine the thermodynamic properties of the extended Hubbard model on the half-filled square lattice in the Slater regime at intermediate coupling. We consider both the case of nearest-neighbor interactions and long-range Coulomb interactions, for coupling strengths in which the presence of nonlocal interactions still allows us to perform sign-problem free quantum Monte Carlo simulations. In particular, we assess a recently proposed scenario from variational calculations in terms of a first-order metal-insulator transition in this interaction regime.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.106.155121

I. INTRODUCTION

The Hubbard model [1], describing itinerant electrons in the presence of a local (on-site) repulsion, provides a most basic model to study the competition between kinetic energy and interaction effects in fermionic quantum many-body systems. A wide range of theoretical and computational approaches have been employed in order to explore its physical properties and its relevance to a wide breath of fundamental phenomena in condensed matter physics has been demonstrated, including the Mott-insulator transition, and the emergence of symmetry broken states, such as antiferromagnetism (AFM) or superconductivity (see, e.g., Ref. [2] for a recent review). This effort has contributed substantially to our current understanding of strongly correlated electron systems. Moreover, cold atom experiments provide us with a unique platform to study the physics of the Hubbard model over a wide range of controllable parameters [3].

However, in view of the fact that in solid-state materials the long-range Coulomb (LRC) interaction is only partially screened, it is important to also account for the effects of more extended interactions in addition to the local Hubbard U on the physical properties. Indeed, nonlocal interactions affect various quantities such as the electronic bandwidth [4,5], and they can induce charge density wave states [6–10], to name but a few consequences.

Recently, the effects of nonlocal interactions on the metalinsulator transition on the half-filled square lattice have been explored, based on a variational approach [11,12]. More specifically, by means of the Peierls-Feynmann-Bogoliubov variational principle, the extended Hubbard model was approximated in Ref. [12] by an effective (local) Hubbard model in terms of an effective hopping parameter and local interaction strength \tilde{U} . For this purpose, the variational free energy was calculated based on the integration of thermodynamic data for the effective (local) Hubbard model, obtained using determinantal quantum Monte Carlo (DQMC) simulations on a dense parameter grid, applying a two-dimensional SavitzkyGolay filter and spline interpolation to the grid data [12]. Based on this variational approach, several conclusions regarding the effects of nonlocal interactions were drawn. In particular, two distinct mechanisms are described in Ref. [12], how nonlocal interactions suppress correlation effects: Within the Fermi-liquid regime they reduce \tilde{U} , while they increase the effective hopping strength within the insulating regime. Moreover, the competition between both mechanisms was found to drive a first-order metal-insulator transition in the presence of nonlocal interactions. From a comparison of the associated entropy jump across the transition with available experimental data on materials with purely electronic metal-insulator transitions, the authors conclude that nonlocal interactions are at least in part responsible for the discontinuous metal-insulator transitions observed in correlated electron materials. In view of the above, it is mandatory to compare the results from the variational approach to unbiased calculations that take the nonlocal interactions fully into account. In fact, as noted in Ref. [12], the parameter region in which the discontinuous thermodynamic behavior was observed is accessible to sign-problem free DQMC simulations, i.e., including a full treatment of the nonlocal interaction terms [13,14].

Here we report results from unbiased DQMC simulations of the extended Hubbard model in order to assess the qualitative and quantitative appropriateness of the variational approach [12]. In particular, we probe for unbiased evidence for the emergence of the discontinuous thermodynamic behavior reported in Ref. [12] as a result of nonlocal interactions. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we define the extended Hubbard models that we consider here, and also specify our computational approach. The results obtained from our DQMC calculations are then reported in Sec. III, and final conclusions are given in Sec. IV.

II. MODEL AND METHOD

In the following we consider the extended Hubbard model with nonlocal density-density interactions, described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} (c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + \text{H.c.}) + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{j\downarrow} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} n_{i} n_{j}$$
(1)

on the square lattice. Here $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}(c_{i\sigma})$ denotes the creation (annihilation) operator for electrons on site *i* with spin projection σ , $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger}c_{i\sigma}$ the local spin-resolved occupation operators, and $n_i = n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$ the total local occupation. Furthermore, *t* denotes the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, *U* the local (Hubbard) repulsion, and V_{ij} the nonlocal interaction between electrons on site *i* and *j*. In the following we will focus on two different cases: (i) the so-called *U*-*V* model with nearestneighbor interactions of strength *V*, and (ii) LRC interactions with $V_{ij} = V_C/d_{ij}$, where d_{ij} denotes the distance between sites *i* and *j* (the lattice constant a = 1). In all our investigations, we consider the case of half-filling.

In order to examine the thermodynamic properties of the above model, we use DQMC simulations [15], performed using the ALF code [16]. This allows us to simulate the above model sign-problem free using appropriate Hubbard-Stratanovich decoupling schemes [13,14] for both the on-site and nonlocal interactions within the regimes (i) $V \leq U/4$ for the U-V model, and (ii) $V_C \lesssim 0.62U$, such that $U\delta_{ij} + V_{ij}$ is a positive-definite matrix, for the LRC-Hubbard model case. Note that an earlier DQMC work on the U-V extended Hubbard model used a decoupling scheme that leads to a sign problem for any finite V > 0 [7] (this fact is not mentioned explicitly in that reference). For the DQMC simulations, we consider finite square lattices with $N = L \times L$ lattice sites with periodic boundary conditions in both lattice directions and the standard minimum image convention for the case of LRC interactions. We denote the (inverse) temperature by T ($\beta =$ 1/T) in the following ($k_B = 1$).

In our analysis, we mainly concentrate on DQMC results for the double occupancy,

$$D = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \langle n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} \rangle, \qquad (2)$$

for which we perform a spatial averaging in order to enhance the statistical accuracy. We also draw attention to a recent proposal on how to improve the DQMC sampling procedure in order to further reduce statistical fluctuations on such local quantities [17]. For the DQMC simulations a Trotter decomposition of *H* is used with a small imaginary-time step $\Delta \tau$. For the reported DQMC results for *D*, we preformed a $\Delta \tau \rightarrow 0$ extrapolation, as detailed in Appendix A, and the data shown below has always been obtained from this analysis. Furthermore, we report results for the entropy *S* (per site), which we obtain from the DQMC values of the internal energy *E* (per site) at $\Delta \tau = 0.1/t$ via thermodynamic integration,

$$S(\beta) = \beta E(\beta) + \ln(4) - \int_0^\beta E(\beta') d\beta'.$$
 (3)

The integral is evaluated numerically using the trapezoidal rule on a dense β mesh. In addition to these thermodynamic quantities, we also measured in the QMC simulations the structure factors for AFM, stabilized, e.g., in the ground state



FIG. 1. Temperature dependence of the double occupancy D for the Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9. The inset focuses on the lowtemperature regime containing the local maximum.

of the Hubbard model at half-filling,

$$S_{\rm AF} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \langle \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \rangle, \qquad (4)$$

as well as for the commensurate charge density wave (CDW) state that is expected to be stabilized for sufficiently strong V in the U-V model [7],

$$S_{\text{CDW}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j \langle n_i n_j \rangle.$$
 (5)

Here $\varepsilon_i = \pm 1$, depending on which sublattice the site *i* belongs to on the bipartite square lattice.

III. RESULTS

In this section we report results from DQMC simulations and compare them with previously reported findings. Before we consider the case of nonlocal interactions, we first present DQMC results for the Hubbard model (i.e., $V = V_C = 0$).

A. The Hubbard model

While highly accurate and detailed DQMC results of various thermodynamic quantities for the Hubbard model has been reported in Ref. [18], these do not include the thermal behavior of both D and S, which we report below. In order



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of the entropy S for the Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9. The inset focuses on the low-temperature regime.

to allow for a direct comparison to the numerical results reported from the variational approach in Ref. [12], we focus in the following on the specific parameter ratio U/t = 1.9, which locates the electronic system within the Slater regime. A characteristic feature of D in this regime is the appearance of a maximum at low temperatures. In Fig. 1 we show the DQMC results for D as a function of temperature as obtained for various system sizes L. Also included is the result from an extrapolation to the thermodynamic limit (TDL), performed as detailed in Appendix B.

A prominent feature in the Slater regime is the nonmonotonous behavior of D in Fig. 1, displaying both a local minimum and maximum. We quickly review, how this behavior comes about. A minimum in D is observed also in cases where the long-range AFM order in the ground state is quenched (e.g., by geometric frustration [19] or within the nonmagnetic dynamical-mean-field-theory approximation of the Hubbard model [20]). It results from the initial decrease of D with increasing T via an entropic effect, in analog of the Pomeranchuk effect in helium 3 or ultracold atoms [21]. Namely, the formation of nonordered local magnetic moments leads to an entropy gain with respect to a state of itinerant electrons. Upon further increasing T however, D eventually needs to increase again towards the noninteracting value of 1/4 at infinite temperature. Taken together, this results in the local minimum of D that is visible in Fig. 1, with $T_{\min} = 0.83t$ obtained from the DQMC simulations.



FIG. 3. Temperature dependence of the double occupancy D for the U-V model at U/t = 1.9, V/t = 0.3. The inset focuses on the low-temperature regime containing the local maximum.

The formation of a local maximum in D in Fig. 1 at $T_{\text{max}} = 0.085t$ results from the proliferation of AFM fluctuations for the Hubbard model on the bipartite square lattice via an energetic effect: In the Slater regime, the onset of AFM fluctuations lead to a decrease of the local Hubbard interaction energy UD when decreasing D upon further lowering the temperature [18]. Two mechanisms are thus responsible for the low-temperature maximum appearing in D: Moving away from the maximum towards lower temperature, D is lowered to decrease the interaction energy (Slater effect), while D is suppressed towards higher temperature to increase the spin entropy (Pomeranchuk effect). We note that the DQMC data in Fig. 1 exhibits a more rapid drop of D upon decreasing T from the position of the local maximum at T_{max} than upon increasing T.

We can directly compare the DQMC data to the results reported from the variational approach [12], where we focus in particular on the local maximum in the low-temperature region. With respect to the position of the maximum, both approaches agree rather well. However, both the finite-size DQMC data and the TDL values of *D* at the local maximum fall below 0.2, whereas within the variational approach the local maximum extends beyond 0.207. While this difference might be considered small, it is are actually relevant in view of the fact that the jumps in *D* reported in Ref. [12] for finite *V*, *V_C* > 0 are of even smaller magnitude, as detailed below.



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of the entropy *S* for the *U*-*V* model at U/t = 1.9, V/t = 0.3. The inset focuses on the low-temperature regime.

Before we turn to nonzero values of V, we briefly report the DQMC results for the entropy S for the same value of U/t = 1.9, cf. Fig. 2. In the intermediate temperature regime below about $T \approx t$, we observe an essentially linear decrease of S for large systems, down to a temperature of $T \approx 0.08t$. At lower temperatures, the entropy decreases more rapidly with decreasing temperature. Overall, this behavior matches the aforementioned similar asymmetric drop in D near its local maximum at T_{max} . The enhanced reduction of both D and Sbelow T_{max} reflects the Stoner effect mentioned above upon entering the regime where AFM fluctuations proliferate. In the finite-size data of the entropy we furthermore identify a small temperature window below T_{max} , in which S exhibits a (mild) increase with system size L, in contrast to its decrease with increasing L outside of this regime. A possible explanation for the anomalous behavior is the growth of the AFM correlation length on scales comparable to the simulated system sizes in this regime. By contrast, for lower (higher) temperatures, the correlation length instead resides well beyond (below) the finite size of the simulation cell.

B. The U-V model

We next turn to the *U*-*V* model, and consider in particular a value of V/t = 0.3, again for U/t = 1.9. Namely, for this value of *V* a noticeable jump in *D* was observed within the variational approach at a temperature of $T \approx 0.085t$, and was taken as indication of a first-order metal-insulator transition



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the TDL-extrapolated double occupancy D (top panel) and the entropy S for the L = 20 systems (middle panel) for the considered models with U/t = 1.9, for V/t = 0.3 and $V_C/t = 1.9$, respectively. The bottom panel shows the difference $S - S_0$ of the entropy for the models with extended interactions with respect to the entropy of the Hubbard model (denoted S_0 here).

[12]. Performing the data analysis as in the previous section, we obtain the DQMC results shown in Figs. 3 and 4 for *D* and *S*, respectively. For the purpose of a direct comparison, the QMC data for both *D* and *S* for the different models are also collected in Fig. 5. In agreement with general expectations and the results from the variational approach, we observe an increase of *D* for the case of finite *V* as compared to the V = 0 case, corresponding to an overall decrease of the local correlations. Correspondingly, we also observe a mild enhancement of the entropy in the low-temperature region for finite V > 0, while otherwise *S* also shows a behavior similar to the one at V = 0. Besides the overall increase in the values of *D*, we observe no significant change in, e.g., the temperature of the maximum in *D*.

In contrast to the variational approach, the finite-size DQMC data does not exhibit any indication for the onset of a discontinuity in *D* near the local maximum. We do observe in the TDL extrapolation a somewhat steeper drop of *D* on the low-temperature side of the maximum than for V = 0, but no indication for a jump is obtained. It was already noted in Ref. [12] that the discontinuity obtained within the variational approach is of order 3×10^{-4} for V/t = 0.3 and thus actually rather small. On the other hand, we noted already for the case V = 0, discussed in the previous section, that the values for *D*



FIG. 6. Temperature dependence of the TDL-extrapolated double occupancy D for the U-V model (left panel) and the LRC-Hubbard model (right panel) at U/t = 1.9 for various values of V and V_C , respectively.

obtained in Ref. [12] deviate from the DQMC results by much larger differences (of order 7×10^{-3}). Moreover, the finite-size systems studied in Ref. [12] for V = 0 extend up to L = 12, i.e., well below the value of L up to 20 used here. Together, these observations suggest that the deviations seen between the DQMC data and the variational approach are due to the interpolation and approximation schemes that were employed in Ref. [12]. While we cannot exclude from our analysis that an extremely weak discontinuity may eventually emerge (e.g., for values of V > U/4, i.e., outside the regime accessible to DQMC), the above direct comparison of the magnitude of the deviations obtained from the variational approach with the DQMC data for the case of V = 0 indicates that the weak values of the reported discontinuity actually fall well within the error margins of the variational approach.

Thus far we concentrated on the specific value of V/t = 0.3. In addition, we performed DQMC simulations at several other values of V, up to and including the limiting case of V = U/4 = 0.475t for sign-problem free DQMC simulations at U/t = 1.9. The TDL-extrapolated values of D obtained from these extended simulations of the U-V model are shown in the left panel of Fig. 6, while the left panel of Fig. 7 summarizes the results for the entropy S obtained on the largest considered system size (L = 20) for the U-V model.

Both quantities exhibit similar behavior and trends for the various values of V as for the case V/t = 0.3 considered in de-



FIG. 7. Temperature dependence of the entropy *S* for the *U*-*V* model (left panel) and the LRC-Hubbard model (right panel) at U/t = 1.9 for various values of *V* and V_C , respectively, on the L = 20 system.

tail above-the most noticeable difference being the presence of a (weak) peak in D at the largest accessible values of $V/t \gtrsim$ 0.4. We can understand the corresponding enhancement in the local density fluctuations from considering the ordering tendencies of the U-V model: Namely, for sufficiently strong V, the U-V model is expected to stabilize a CDW ground state [7]. Recent zero-temperature DQMC simulations [22] have shown that for $V \leq U/4$ the system has an AFM ground state, i.e., CDW order sets in for V sufficiently larger than U/4only. In Fig. 8 (left panel) and Fig. 9 (left panel) we provide DQMC data for the structure factors S_{AF} and S_{CDW} of the U-V model, respectively. Both quantities are shown as functions of temperature on the largest considered system size, L = 20, and for various values of V. We find that within the DQMCaccessible region, the AFM structure factor increases steadily with decreasing T, in accord with the AFM ground state in this regime (by the Mermin-Wagner theorem, AFM order is destroyed by thermal fluctuations at any finite temperatures in the TDL). On the other hand, the CDW structure factor, while initially increasing upon lowering the temperature, is eventually suppressed again at low T, in accord with the findings in Ref. [22], i.e., for $V \leq U/4$ the ground state has AFM but no CDW order.

In contrast to AFM, the CDW order that emerges for large V is stable with respect to (weak) thermal fluctuations, i.e., the CDW order stabilized at sufficiently large V melts at a



FIG. 8. Temperature dependence of the AFM structure factor S_{AF} for the *U*-*V* model (left panel) and the LRC-Hubbard model (right panel) at U/t = 1.9 for various values of *V* and V_C , respectively, on the L = 20 system.

finite critical temperature across a thermal phase transition (from symmetry considerations, this transition is continuous and belongs to the two-dimensional Ising universality class). For values of V below but near U/4, the critical local density fluctuations associated with this nearby thermal critical region will enhance the double occupancy D, an effect that apparently is captured by the data in Fig. 6. From these considerations one may identify the peak position in D at about $T/t \approx 0.06$ as a rough estimate for the critical temperature of the CDW melting transition in the interaction regime where the CDW ground state emerges. It would certainly be interesting to explore this regime in more detail by simulating the system for even larger values of V > U/4 and to examine the thermal phase diagram in this regime. However, due to the sign problem, this is not feasible within the DQMC approach.

C. The LRC-Hubbard model

For completeness, we also consider the case of LRC interactions. More specifically, we fix again U/t = 1.9 and set $V_C/t = 0.3$, corresponding to the case where the variational approach yields a discontinuity in *D* of a similar magnitude than for the *U*-*V* model case. In Figs. 10 and 11 we present our DQMC data for this case. See also Fig. 5 for a comparison to the Hubbard and *U*-*V* model. For the LRC case, we again



FIG. 9. Temperature dependence of the CDW structure factor S_{CDW} for the *U*-*V* model (left panel) and the LRC-Hubbard model (right panel) at U/t = 1.9 for various values of *V* and V_C , respectively, on the L = 20 system.

observe an overall enhancement of D and S with respect to the Hubbard model. More specifically, the presence of the additional interactions beyond the nearest-neighbor terms leads to a weaker enhancement of D than for the U-V model, while the low-temperature enhancement of S over the Hubbard model case is larger for the LRC-Hubbard model than for the U-Vmodel. On the other hand, also for the LRC-Hubbard case we do not obtain any indication for the presence or for the onset of a discontinuity in the DQMC data for D, in contrast to the variational approach [12]. Also for the LRC-Hubbard we performed additional DQMC simulations for varying values of V_C within the sign-problem free regime for U/t = 1.9. The DQMC data for the various considered quantities D, S, S_{AFM}, and S_{CDW} are shown in the right panels of Figs. 6–9, respectively. While for the considered values of V_C/t larger than 0.6 we were not able to reach sufficiently down to the asymptotic low-temperature regime to see, e.g., the asymptotic low-Tsuppression of the entropy (cf. Fig. 7), the additional DQMC data exhibits very similar behavior and trends as for $V_C/t =$ 0.3 within the relevant temperature range around $T/t \approx 0.06$, throughout the accessible interaction regime.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have examined the thermodynamic behavior of the double occupancy and the entropy of both the



FIG. 10. Temperature dependence of the double occupancy *D* for the LRC-Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9, $V_C/t = 0.3$. The inset focuses on the low-temperature regime containing the local maximum.

U-V and LRC extended Hubbard model, focusing on the low-temperature regime, for which a recent variational calculation reported the emergence of a weakly first-order MIT transition, as compared to the smooth crossover in the local Hubbard model limit [12]. In agreement with the variational calculations, we observe an overall enhancement of the double occupancy for the systems with extended interactions and we also identify an associated increase of the entropy within the low-temperature regime. However, both our finite-size data as well as carefully extrapolated TDL limit values do not provide us with any evidence for the presence or the onset of noncontinuous behavior as reported from the variational approach. Furthermore, we observe deviations from the variational calculations already for the local Hubbard model case of an order of magnitude that is significantly larger than the size of the weak discontinuities reported in Ref. [12]. This indicates that the discontinuous behavior reported previously steams from inherent limitations from the variational calculations in combination with the interpolations employed in Ref. [12]. Upon approaching the limiting values of V = U/4 for sign-problem free DQMC simulations for the U-V model, we observe a (weak) peak emerging in the temperature dependence of the double occupancy. This could be linked to the enhancement of local density fluctuations in the vicinity of the thermal critical point of the CDW order that emerges for sufficiently large values of V (but beyond the DQMC-accessible interaction regime).



FIG. 11. Temperature dependence of the entropy *S* for the LRC-Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9, $V_C/t = 0.3$. The inset focuses on the low-temperature regime.

It would certainly be interesting to extend these investigations on the thermodynamic effects of extended interactions in systems of correlated electrons with even larger values of the extended interaction terms, beyond the limits of signproblem free DQMC simulations in future works, based on, e.g., tensor network or minimally entangled thermal typical state approaches.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We acknowledge support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through Grant No. WE/3649/4-2 of the FOR 1807 and through RTG 1995, and thank the IT Center at RWTH Aachen University and the JSC Jülich for access to computing time through the JARA Center for Simulation and Data Science.

APPENDIX A: TROTTER-DISCRETIZATION EXTRAPOLATION

Based on to the Hermiticity of the physical observables, the Trotter error that arises in the discrete-time DQMC calculations due to the finite Trotter discretization scales proportional to $\Delta \tau^2$ in the asymptotic region [15]. This property allows us to systematically extrapolate the DQMC data to the $\Delta \tau \rightarrow 0$ limit. This process is illustrated for representative data sets in Fig. 12 (access to all the obtained finite- $\Delta \tau$ DQMC data is provided via an online repository [23]).

FIG. 12. Trotter-discretization extrapolation of the double occupancy *D* for the Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9, L = 20, for different values of the temperature *T*.

APPENDIX B: FINITE-SIZE EXTRAPOLATION

In order to extrapolate the finite-size DQMC data to the TDL, we need to account for the leading finite-size correction at low temperatures in terms of a finite (correlation) length scale from thermal fluctuations. In particular, for the double occupancy, the TDL value D_{TDL} is obtained by fitting the finite-size data D (as obtained from performing the $\Delta \tau \rightarrow 0$

- J. Hubbard and B. H. Flowers, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser. A 276, 238 (1963).
- [2] D. P. Arovas, E. Berg, S. A. Kivelson, and S. Raghu, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 13, 239 (2022).
- [3] T. Esslinger, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 1, 129 (2010).
- [4] T. Ayral, S. Biermann, P. Werner, and L. Boehnke, Phys. Rev. B 95, 245130 (2017).
- [5] Y. In 't Veld, M. Schüler, T. O. Wehling, M. I. Katsnelson, and E. G. C. P. van Loon, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **31**, 465603 (2019).
- [6] R. A. Bari, Phys. Rev. B 3, 2662 (1971).
- [7] Y. Zhang and J. Callaway, Phys. Rev. B 39, 9397 (1989).
- [8] H. Terletska, T. Chen, and E. Gull, Phys. Rev. B 95, 115149 (2017).
- [9] H. Terletska, T. Chen, J. Paki, and E. Gull, Phys. Rev. B 97, 115117 (2018).
- [10] J. Paki, H. Terletska, S. Iskakov, and E. Gull, Phys. Rev. B 99, 245146 (2019).
- [11] M. Schüler, E. G. C. P. van Loon, M. I. Katsnelson, and T. O. Wehling, Phys. Rev. B 97, 165135 (2018).
- [12] M. Schüler, E. G. C. P. van Loon, M. I. Katsnelson, and T. O. Wehling, SciPost Phys. 6, 067 (2019).

- [13] M. Hohenadler, F. Parisen Toldin, I. F. Herbut, and F. F. Assaad,
- Phys. Rev. B 90, 085146 (2014).
- [14] M. Golor and S. Wessel, Phys. Rev. B 92, 195154 (2015).
- [15] R. Blankenbecler, D. J. Scalapino, and R. L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D 24, 2278 (1981).
- [16] M. Bercx, F. Goth, J. S. Hofmann, and F. F. Assaad, SciPost Phys. 3, 013 (2017).
- [17] M. Ulybyshev and F. Assaad, Phys. Rev. E **106**, 025318 (2022).
- [18] L. Fratino, P. Sémon, M. Charlebois, G. Sordi, and A. M. S. Tremblay, Phys. Rev. B 95, 235109 (2017).
- [19] M. Laubach, R. Thomale, C. Platt, W. Hanke, and G. Li, Phys. Rev. B 91, 245125 (2015).
- [20] A. Georges and W. Krauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1240 (1992).
- [21] F. Werner, O. Parcollet, A. Georges, and S. R. Hassan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 056401 (2005).
- [22] M. Yao, D. Wang, and Q.-H. Wang, arXiv:2207.11555.
- [23] A. Sushchyev and S. Wessel, Thermodynamics of the metal-insulator transition in the extended Hubbard model from determinantal quantum Monte Carlo, Zenodo (2022), doi:10.5281/zenodo.7116398.

T/t = 0.25

DQMC

extrap.

0.007 0.014

0.000

 $\xi = 1.9$

T/t = 0.1

U/t = 1.9

V/t = 0.0

 $e^{-L/\xi}$

FIG. 13. Finite-size extrapolation of the double occupancy D for

the Hubbard model at U/t = 1.9 for different values of the tempera-

extrapolation, cf. Appendix A) to the finite-size form D(L) –

 $D_{\text{TDL}} \propto \exp(-L/\xi)$, where ξ is a T-dependent parameter that

quantifies the corresponding length scale. This procedure is illustrated for several representative data sets in Fig. 13 (access

to all the obtained finite- $\Delta \tau$ DQMC data is provided via an

 $\xi = 3.4$

0.200

0.198

0.196

0.194

0.192

0.190

0.188

ture T.

online repository [23]).

T/t = 0.07

 $\xi = 5.25$

 $0.00 \ \ 0.08 \ \ 0.16 \ \ 0.00 \ \ 0.03 \ \ 0.06$