Dynamical signatures of rank-2 U(1) spin liquids

Emily Z. Zhang , Finn Lasse Buessen, and Yong Baek Kim Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 1A7, Canada

(Received 1 November 2021; revised 9 February 2022; accepted 14 February 2022; published 23 February 2022)

Emergent U(1) gauge theories and artificial photons in frustrated magnets are outstanding examples of manybody collective phenomena. The classical and quantum regimes of these systems provide platforms for classical and quantum spin liquids, and are the subject of current active theoretical and experimental investigations. Recently, realizations of rank-2 U(1) (R2-U1) gauge theories in three-dimensional frustrated magnets have been proposed. Such systems in the quantum regime may lead to the so-called fracton ordered phases—a new class of topological order that has been associated with quantum stabilizer codes and holography. However, there exist few distinguishing characteristics of these states for their detection in real materials. Here we focus on the classical limit and present the dynamical spin structure factor for a R2-U1 spin liquid state on a breathing pyrochlore lattice. Remarkably, we find unique signatures of the R2-U1 state, and we contrast them with the results obtained from a more conventional U(1) spin liquid. These results provide a path of investigation for future inelastic neutron scattering experiments on candidate materials.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.L060408

Introduction. Brought forth from magnetic materials with frustrated spin interactions is a rich world of unconventional phases and emergent laws of nature. Quantum spin liquids (QSLs)—one of the most exotic states known to condensed matter physics thus far—evade ordering and remain highly entangled even down to zero temperature [1–4]. These highly frustrated states can lead to remarkable properties, such as fractionalized quasiparticle excitations and emergent gauge theories, thus their detection in real materials has garnered both fundamental and practical interests.

A well-studied example of a QSL state is the quantum spin ice, whose low-energy excitations on the pyrochlore lattice gives rise to U(1) electrodynamics with emergent photons and magnetic monopoles [5-21]. Another novel branch of QSLs in three dimensions has been suggested for systems that effectively mimic higher rank electrodynamics [22–27], wherein rank-2 or higher electromagnetic tensor fields can emerge out of the strongly interacting spins. Higher-rank tensor gauge theories in quantum systems can give rise to a new type of topological order, known as fractonic phases [23,28–30]. Fractons, the charged excitations of these higher rank systems, have been associated with unusual behavior, such as restricted motion in space [24,28,31–33] and mimicking gravity [22,23,34]. Applications of fractonic phases have been proposed in quantum local stabilizer codes [32,35,36] and holography [37]. Hence, both the quantum and classical regimes of such theories are of great general interest.

Recent studies of a classical spin model [26] have shown that highly frustrated spins situated on a breathing pyrochlore lattice (Fig. 1) behave like an electric field with rank-2 tensor character, earning this state the name of a rank-2 U(1) (R2-U1) spin liquid. At finite temperature, there exists a regime in which the R2-U1 state is stable. In this region, fourfold pinch point (4FPP) singularities in the equal-time spin correlations on certain momentum planes were observed [26,38], which are distinct from the usual twofold pinch point singularities found in spin ice [9,39]. The fourfold structures were seen in the spin-flip channel of the structure factor, and are a preliminary distinguishing characteristic of the R2-U1 state [26]. Whether there are unique dynamic signatures of the R2-U1 state measurable in inelastic neutron scattering experiments remains to be investigated, and serves as the motivation for our studies.

In this Letter, we demonstrate a behavior in the inelastic spin structure factor of the R2-U1 state in the classical limit. We consider a spin model on a breathing pyrochlore lattice (Fig. 1) with Heisenberg antiferromagnetic (HAF) exchange and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya (DM) antisymmetric exchange interactions. Using classical finite temperature Monte Carlo techniques and molecular dynamics, we demonstrate that the 4FPP persists in the dynamic structure factor at low energies. Most notably, we contrast the R2-U1 signatures with those from the usual classical $U(1) \times U(1) \times U(1)$ Heisenberg spin liquid, which we refer to as the U(1) spin liquid from this point forward for brevity. We demonstrate that the signatures of these two frustrated states are highly distinct from one another. We then discuss the potential connections of these classical results to the dynamics of frustrated quantum spin systems. Our results provide an important avenue of exploration for future inelastic neutron scattering experiments on material candidates for the R2-U1 spin liquid.

Model. We consider a HAF model with DM interactions on the breathing pyrochlore lattice, composed of spins residing on the vertices of two different corner-sharing tetrahedra *A* and *B*. The microscopic Hamiltonian is described by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{ij \in A} [J_A \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + D_A \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j)] + \sum_{ij \in B} [J_B \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j + D_B \hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{S}_i \times \mathbf{S}_j)], \qquad (1)$$



FIG. 1. The breathing pyrochlore lattice with A tetrahedra (blue) and B tetrahedra (red) of different sizes. The fluctuations of the lowenergy modes of the A tetrahedra are influenced by the surrounding B tetrahedra. The spin moments are situated on the vertices of the corner-sharing tetrahedra, labeled by 0, 1, 2, 3.

where the bond-dependent vectors $\hat{\mathbf{d}}_{ij}$ can be found in [40–42]. Treating the spins classically, we can write this model in terms of the coarse-grained fields \mathbf{m}_{Γ} that transform according to the irreducible representations $\Gamma = \{\mathbf{A}_2, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{T}_2, \mathbf{T}_{1+}, \mathbf{T}_{1-}\}$ of the tetrahedra point group \mathbf{T}_d [43]. The Hamiltonian then becomes

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Gamma} a_{\Gamma,A} m_{\Gamma,A}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\Gamma} a_{\Gamma,B} m_{\Gamma,B}^2, \qquad (2)$$

with the fields \mathbf{m}_{Γ} and couplings a_{Γ} defined in Refs. [26,30,43]. $a_{\Gamma,A}$ and a_{Γ_B} are functions of the spin exchange interactions, and their explicit forms can be found in [40]. We are interested in a minimal parameter choice in which the R2-U1 state has been shown to arise, given by J_A , $J_B > 0$, $D_A < 0$, and $D_B = 0$ [26]. For the *A* tetrahedra, this leads to the hierarchy

$$a_{\mathsf{E},A} = a_{\mathsf{T}_{1-A}} < a_{\mathsf{A}_{2,A}}, a_{\mathsf{T}_{2,A}}, a_{\mathsf{T}_{1+A}}, \tag{3}$$

while on the *B* tetrahedra, we have

$$a_{\mathsf{E},B} = a_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,B}} = a_{\mathsf{A}_{2},B} = a_{\mathsf{T}_{2,B}} < a_{\mathsf{T}_{1+,B}}.$$
 (4)

These hierarchies dictate the low-energy physics of the system. For example, it is energetically costly for the $T_{1+,B}$ mode to fluctuate, hence, we set $\mathbf{m}_{T_{1+,B}} = 0$. In the low-energy limit, \mathbf{m}_{E} and $\mathbf{m}_{\mathsf{T}_{1-}}$ can fluctuate on the *A* tetrahedra, while the other fields must vanish.

The condition $\mathbf{m}_{B,\mathsf{T}_{1+}} = 0$ on the *B* tetrahedra imposes additional constraints on the *A* tetrahedra [26,30]. These constraints can be expressed as a Gauss' law constraint of the

fluctuating fields living on the A tetrahedra, in the form of

$$\partial_i E_A^{ij} = 0. \tag{5}$$

Using this constraint, a rank-2 electric field tensor \mathbf{E}_A can be constructed in terms of the coarse-grained fields as

$$\mathbf{E}_{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} m_{\mathsf{E},A}^{1} & m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{z} & m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{y} \\ m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{z} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} m_{\mathsf{E},A}^{1} + m_{\mathsf{E},A}^{2} & m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{x} \\ m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{y} & m_{\mathsf{T}_{1-,A}}^{x} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} m_{\mathsf{E},A}^{1} - m_{\mathsf{E},A}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

with properties $E_A^{ij} = E_A^{ji}$ and Tr $\mathbf{E}_A = 0$. These properties fit within the general framework of a self-dual, vector-charged, traceless form of R2-U1 electrodynamics [24,25] that obeys a Gauss' law described by Eq. (5) in the low-energy limit. The f(4FPPsingularity has been observed in the *hk*0 plane of the correlation function, which for a vector-charged traceless R2-U1 theory, has the form [38]

$$\langle E_{ij}(\mathbf{q})E_{kl}(-\mathbf{q})\rangle$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{ij}\delta_{jl} + \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \frac{q_iq_jq_kq_l}{q^4}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{ik}\frac{q_jq_l}{q^2} + \delta_{jk}\frac{q_iq_l}{q^2} + \delta_{il}\frac{q_jq_k}{q^2} + \delta_{jl}\frac{q_iq_k}{q^2}\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left(\delta_{ij} - \frac{q_iq_j}{q^2}\right)\left(\delta_{kl} - \frac{q_kq_l}{q^2}\right).$$

$$(7)$$

The 4FPP singularity appearing in the E_{ij} correlation function can also be seen in the spin-flip channel of the equal-time spin structure factor in the *h*0*k* and *hhk* planes, which is natural since E_{ij} are simply coarse-grained fields due to the spins.

In order to capture the dynamics of the system, we calculate the momentum- and energy-dependent spin correlation, i.e., the inelastic spin structure factor, given by

$$S^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \, e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j) + i\omega t} \langle S_i^{\mu}(t) S_j^{\nu}(0) \rangle.$$
(8)

We investigate the spectrum both with unpolarized neutrons

$$S(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \left(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_{\mu}q_{\nu}}{q^2} \right) S^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\omega), \qquad (9)$$

and in the polarized spin-flip channel

$$\mathcal{S}_{\rm SF}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = \sum_{\mu,\nu} (v_{\perp}^{\mu} v_{\perp}^{\nu}) \mathcal{S}^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{q},\omega), \qquad (10)$$

with neutrons polarized in the $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$ direction perpendicular to the scattering channel, and $\hat{\mathbf{v}}_{\perp} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{q}}{|\hat{\mathbf{v}} \times \mathbf{q}|}$. Further details, along with additional information about the equal-time spin structure factor, can be found in [40].

Numerical methods. To study the finite temperature dynamics of the model in Eq. (1), we utilized finite temperature Monte Carlo (MC) techniques [44,45]. We fixed the magnitude of the classical spins $\mathbf{S} = (S_x, S_y, S_z)$ to be S = 1/2. We studied system sizes of $4 \times L \times L \times L$, up to a maximum of L = 20. We allowed the system to thermalize using a combination of simulated annealing and parallel tempering,



FIG. 2. Momentum-dependent dynamical structure factor in the [*h*0*k*] plane at varying energies, for the parametrization $(J_A, J_B, D_A, D_B) = (1, 1, -0.15, 0)$ at $T/|J_A| = 0.01$. The fourfold pinch point structure is shown in the spin-flip (SF) channel in the right column, while the total dynamic structure factor is shown in the left column. The intensity scale for each panel has been normalized to arbitrary units.

both of which were done for at least 5×10^5 MC sweeps. After thermalization, we performed another 10^6 MC steps with measurements recorded every ten steps.

According to the phase diagram shown in Ref. [26], the R2-U1 state is stable within a finite temperature window between $T_1 \approx 4 \times 10^{-3} |J_A|$ and $T_2 \approx 5 \times 10^{-2} |J_A|$ for the parameter set $(J_A, J_B, D_A, D_B) = (1, 1, -0.15, 0)$. Above T_2 , there is a crossover from the R2-U1 spin liquid state to the U(1) spin liquid. The two phases can be distinguished from each other by their pinch point characteristics in the equal-time structure factor, as shown in Ref. [26]. More specifically, there is an evolution of the pinch point from a fourfold singularity to a twofold one at this temperature. Within the R2-U1 temperature window, we used the many degenerate spin configurations



FIG. 3. Energy dependence of dynamical structure factor along the [*hh*0] momentum cut, for the parametrization $(J_A, J_B, D_A, D_B) =$ (1, 1, -0.15, 0). The total dynamic structure factor (left column) and dynamic structure factor in the spin-flip (SF) channel (right column) are shown for $T/|J_A| = 0.01$ and $T/|J_A| = 0.03$ within the R2-U1 regime, and $T/|J_A| = 0.10$ within the U(1) spin liquid regime. The intensity scale for each panel has been normalized to arbitrary units.

obtained from our MC simulations as the initial conditions (ICs) for the molecular dynamics at various temperatures. We time-evolved each IC according to the semiclassical Landau Lifshitz equations of motion [44–47], and we numerically integrated and averaged over the configurations to obtain the dynamical spin structure factor. Details of the numerical methods used can be found in [40].

Results.– The dynamical structure factor is plotted at varying energies as a function of momentum at fixed temperature, shown in Fig. 2, as a function of energy and momentum at increasing temperatures, shown in Fig. 3, and along high symmetry directions in momentum space, shown in Fig. 4.

Figure 2 depicts the normalized total and spin-flip dynamical structure factor in the *h*0*k* plane at energies 0, 0.1, 0.15, and 0.2 $|J_A|$ for $T = 0.01 |J_A|$ where the R2-U1 state is stable. The 4FPP characteristic present in the equal-time structure factor is also seen in the static structure factor $S_{SF}(\mathbf{q}, \omega)$ in



FIG. 4. Energy dependence of dynamical structure factor in the spin-flip channel along high symmetry directions in the first Brillouin zone (FBZ). The dynamical structure factor is plotted along the FBZ edge (left column) and inside the FBZ (right column), where Γ_2 , K_2 , W_2 , X_2 , U_2 , and L_2 are shorthands for 1/2 of each high symmetry point, respectively. The temperatures used were $T/|J_A| = 0.03$ within the R2-U1 regime, and $T/|J_A| = 0.13$ within the U(1) spin liquid regime. Note that the energy scales between the R2-U1 and U(1) case differ. The intensity scale for each panel has been normalized to arbitrary units.

the spin-flip channel. As the energy increases, the fourfold nature of the pinch point gradually washes out to a twofold pinch point characteristic of U(1) spin liquids. Interestingly, the energy at which this crossover occurs, i.e., approximately 0.2 $|J_A|$, is similar to the temperature above which the 4FPP disappears in the equal-time structure factor. Next, we present the energy dependence of the dynamical structure factor along the *hh*0 momentum path in Fig. 3. The first two rows are depicted for temperatures well within the R2-U1 regime, while the last row shows the dynamics in the U(1) spin liquid regime. There are two important observations to note. First, as the temperature is increased from 0.01 $|J_A|$ to 0.03 $|J_A|$, the energy scale for the excitations also increases. Secondly, the triple-peak structure of the signatures in the R2-U1 state is easily differentiated from the broad structures seen in the U(1) spin liquid case.

Figure 4 shows the dynamical structure factor in the spinflip channel along a high symmetry path Γ -K-W-X-U-L- Γ in the extended first Brillouin zone (FBZ), and along a path Γ_2 - K_2 - W_2 - X_2 - U_2 - L_2 - Γ_2 inside the extended FBZ. There are a few notable distinguishing features of the R2-U1 state to contrast with the U(1) state. Along the FBZ edges, the multipeak structure present in Fig. 3 also appears along the Γ -K path in the R2-U1 regime, whereas the signal is simply broad along this cut for the U(1) spin liquid. On the second path within the FBZ, there is a stark contrast between the R2-U1 and U(1) inelastic structure factors. The signal for the R2-U1 is suppressed between the W_2 and X_2 points and between the X_2 and U_2 points, as opposed to the broad signal along these points in the U(1) case. In other words, the signal suppression in the inelastic structure factor along this second momentum path is another characteristic of the R2-U1 state, in addition to the 4FPP structure seen in Fig. 2.

Discussion. In this Letter, we demonstrated distinguishing characteristics of the R2-U1 state in its inelastic spin structure factor. Not only does the 4FPP characteristic persist at low

energies, shown in Fig. 2, but both Figs. 3 and 4 demonstrate how the energy dependence of the dynamical structure factor can be used to distinguish the R2-U1 from the U(1) state. We emphasize that these features are universal to systems with emergent R2-U1 gauge structures since they originate from the Gauss' law constraint in Eq. (5). Thus, our results illuminate a new path for experimentally detecting the R2-U1 state from inelastic neutron scattering in real materials.

Naturally, the question of whether these features would also be present in the quantum spin system arises. Solving the quantum model and its dynamics for 3D systems, however, has been a historically difficult feat. Meanwhile, classical simulations of frustrated spin systems using molecular dynamics have indicated good qualitative agreement with their quantum counterparts, even in the quantum spin liquid and quantum paramagnetic regimes [48,49]. This type of semiclassical modeling has been done for Kitaev-like frustrated magnets, involving bond-dependent Kitaev [48] and off-diagonal Γ [49] interactions on a honeycomb. These studies showed that qualitative features seen in the classical inelastic spin structure factor persisted in the dynamics of the quantum system, implying that the highly degenerate classical states are participating in quantum fluctuations down to low-energy scales. Due to this quantum-classical correspondence in the dynamics, we believe that our classical results provide invaluable insight into the putative R2-U1 quantum state. This work, therefore, serves as a reference point for future finitetemperature dynamical simulations for the quantum rank-2 U(1) spin liquid state. Moreover, if the magnitude of the spin magnetic moments in real breathing pyrochlore materials is large, then our classical results would directly apply. Yb-based pyrochlore oxides such as $Ba_3Yb_2Zn_5O_{11}$ [50–53], whose Yb atoms form a breathing pyrochlore lattice, have been proposed as candidates to host the R2-U1 state, thus they are a potential playground for future inelastic neutron scattering experiments.

Acknowledgments. We thank SangEun Han for the helpful discussions. We acknowledge support from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Centre of Quantum Materials at the University of

- L. Savary and L. Balents, Quantum spin liquids: A review, Rep. Prog. Phys. 80, 016502 (2017).
- [2] W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, Correlated quantum phenomena in the strong spin-orbit regime, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 5, 57 (2014).
- [3] Y. Zhou, K. Kanoda, and T.-K. Ng, Quantum spin liquid states, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 025003 (2017).
- [4] C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, Quantum spin liquids, Science 367, eaay0668 (2020).
- [5] M. Hermele, M. P. A. Fisher, and L. Balents, Pyrochlore photons: The U(1) spin liquid in a S = 1/2 three-dimensional frustrated magnet, Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064404 (2004).
- [6] A. Banerjee, S. V. Isakov, K. Damle, and Y. B. Kim, Unusual Liquid State of Hard-Core Bosons on the Pyrochlore Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 047208 (2008).
- [7] C. Castelnovo, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Magnetic monopoles in spin ice, Nature (London) 451, 42 (2008).
- [8] K. A. Ross, L. Savary, B. D. Gaulin, and L. Balents, Quantum Excitations in Quantum Spin Ice, Phys. Rev. X 1, 021002 (2011).
- [9] O. Benton, O. Sikora, and N. Shannon, Seeing the light: Experimental signatures of emergent electromagnetism in a quantum spin ice, Phys. Rev. B 86, 075154 (2012).
- [10] N. Shannon, O. Sikora, F. Pollmann, K. Penc, and P. Fulde, Quantum Ice: A Quantum Monte Carlo Study, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 067204 (2012).
- [11] L. Savary and L. Balents, Coulombic Quantum Liquids in Spin-1/2 Pyrochlores, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 037202 (2012).
- [12] K. Kimura, S. Nakatsuji, J. J. Wen, C. Broholm, M. B. Stone, E. Nishibori, and H. Sawa, Quantum fluctuations in spin-ice-like Pr₂Zr₂O₇, Nat. Commun. 4, 4 (2013).
- [13] Z. Hao, A. G. R. Day, and M. J. P. Gingras, Bosonic many-body theory of quantum spin ice, Phys. Rev. B 90, 214430 (2014).
- [14] M. J. P. Gingras and P. A. McClarty, Quantum spin ice: A search for gapless quantum spin liquids in pyrochlore magnets, Rep. Prog. Phys. 77, 056501 (2014).
- [15] Y. Kato and S. Onoda, Numerical Evidence of Quantum Melting of Spin Ice: Quantum-to-Classical Crossover, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 077202 (2015).
- [16] G. Chen, Dirac's "magnetic monopoles" in pyrochlore ice U(1) spin liquids: Spectrum and classification, Phys. Rev. B **96**, 195127 (2017).
- [17] L. Savary and L. Balents, Disorder-Induced Quantum Spin Liquid in Spin Ice Pyrochlores, Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 087203 (2017).
- [18] C. J. Huang, Y. Deng, Y. Wan, and Z. Y. Meng, Dynamics of Topological Excitations in a Model Quantum Spin Ice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 167202 (2018).
- [19] B. Gao, T. Chen, D. W. Tam, C.-L. Huang, K. Sasmal, D. T. Adroja, F. Ye, H. Cao, G. Sala, M. B. Stone, C. Baines, J. A. T. Verezhak, H. Hu, J.-H. Chung, X. Xu, S.-W. Cheong, M.

Toronto. E.Z.Z. was further supported by the NSERC Canada Graduate Scholarships-Doctoral (CGS-D). The computations were performed on the Cedar cluster, which is hosted by WestGrid and SciNet in partnership with Compute Canada.

Nallaiyan, S. Spagna, M. B. Maple, A. H. Nevidomskyy *et al.*, Experimental signatures of a three-dimensional quantum spin liquid in effective spin-1/2Ce₂Zr₂O₇ pyrochlore, Nat. Phys. **15**, 1052 (2019).

- [20] J. Gaudet, E. M. Smith, J. Dudemaine, J. Beare, C. R. C. Buhariwalla, N. P. Butch, M. B. Stone, A. I. Kolesnikov, G. Xu, D. R. Yahne, K. A. Ross, C. A. Marjerrison, J. D. Garrett, G. M. Luke, A. D. Bianchi, and B. D. Gaulin, Quantum Spin Ice Dynamics in the Dipole-Octupole Pyrochlore Magnet Ce₂Zr₂O₇, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 187201 (2019).
- [21] S. T. Bramwell and M. J. Harris, The history of spin ice, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 32, 374010 (2020).
- [22] C. Xu, Gapless bosonic excitation without symmetry breaking: An algebraic spin liquid with soft gravitons, Phys. Rev. B 74, 224433 (2006).
- [23] O. Benton, L. Jaubert, H. Yan, and N. Shannon, A spin-liquid with pinch-line singularities on the pyrochlore lattice, Nat. Commun. 7, 11572 (2016).
- [24] M. Pretko, Subdimensional particle structure of higher rank U(1) spin liquids, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 115139 (2017).
- [25] M. Pretko, Generalized electromagnetism of subdimensional particles: A spin liquid story, Phys. Rev. B 96, 035119 (2017).
- [26] H. Yan, O. Benton, L. D. C. Jaubert, and N. Shannon, Rank-2 U(1) Spin Liquid on the Breathing Pyrochlore Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 127203 (2020).
- [27] H. Yan and A. Nevidomskyy, Phonon induced rank-2 U(1) nematic liquid states, arXiv:2108.11484.
- [28] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, Fracton topological order, generalized lattice gauge theory, and duality, Phys. Rev. B 94, 235157 (2016).
- [29] N. Seiberg and S.-H. Shao, Exotic U(1) symmetries, duality, and fractons in 3+1-dimensional quantum field theory, SciPost Phys. 9, 046 (2020).
- [30] S. Han, A. S. Patri, and Y. B. Kim, Fractonic quantum phases in breathing pyrochlore lattice, arXiv:2109.03835.
- [31] C. Chamon, Quantum Glassiness in Strongly Correlated Clean Systems: An Example of Topological Overprotection, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 040402 (2005).
- [32] J. Haah, Local stabilizer codes in three dimensions without string logical operators, Phys. Rev. A 83, 042330 (2011).
- [33] S. Vijay, J. Haah, and L. Fu, A new kind of topological quantum order: A dimensional hierarchy of quasiparticles built from stationary excitations, Phys. Rev. B 92, 235136 (2015).
- [34] M. Pretko, Emergent gravity of fractons: Mach's principle revisited, Phys. Rev. D 96, 024051 (2017).
- [35] A. T. Schmitz, H. Ma, R. M. Nandkishore, and S. A. Parameswaran, Recoverable information and emergent conservation laws in fracton stabilizer codes, Phys. Rev. B 97, 134426 (2018).
- [36] A. Kubica and B. Yoshida, Ungauging quantum error-correcting codes, arXiv:1805.01836.

- [37] H. Yan, Hyperbolic fracton model, subsystem symmetry, and holography, Phys. Rev. B 99, 155126 (2019).
- [38] A. Prem, S. Vijay, Y.-Z. Chou, M. Pretko, and R. M. Nandkishore, Pinch point singularities of tensor spin liquids, Phys. Rev. B 98, 165140 (2018).
- [39] S. V. Isakov, K. Gregor, R. Moessner, and S. L. Sondhi, Dipolar Spin Correlations in Classical Pyrochlore Magnets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 167204 (2004).
- [40] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/ 10.1103/PhysRevB.105.L060408 for information on the coupling constants and details of the numerical methods.
- [41] V. N. Kotov, M. Elhajal, M. E. Zhitomirsky, and F. Mila, Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya-induced order in the spin-liquid phase of the S = 1/2 pyrochlore antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 014421 (2005).
- [42] B. Canals, M. Elhajal, and C. Lacroix, Ising-like order by disorder in the pyrochlore antiferromagnet with Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interactions, Phys. Rev. B 78, 214431 (2008).
- [43] H. Yan, O. Benton, L. Jaubert, and N. Shannon, Theory of multiple-phase competition in pyrochlore magnets with anisotropic exchange with application to Yb₂Ti₂O₇, Phys. Rev. B 95, 094422 (2017).
- [44] R. Moessner and J. T. Chalker, Properties of a Classical Spin Liquid: The Heisenberg Pyrochlore Antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2929 (1998).
- [45] S. Zhang, H. J. Changlani, K. W. Plumb, O. Tchernyshyov, and R. Moessner, Dynamical Structure Factor of the Three-Dimensional Quantum Spin Liquid Candidate NaCaNi₂F₇, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 167203 (2019).

- [46] M. Lakshmanan, The fascinating world of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation: An overview, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A 369, 1280 (2011).
- [47] C. Rackauckas and Q. Nie, DifferentialEquations.jl—A performant and feature-rich ecosystem for solving differential equations in Julia, J. Open Res. Softw. **5**, 15 (2017).
- [48] A. M. Samarakoon, A. Banerjee, S.-S. Zhang, Y. Kamiya, S. E. Nagler, D. A. Tennant, S.-H. Lee, and C. D. Batista, Comprehensive study of the dynamics of a classical Kitaev spin liquid, Phys. Rev. B 96, 134408 (2017).
- [49] A. M. Samarakoon, G. Wachtel, Y. Yamaji, D. A. Tennant, C. D. Batista, and Y. B. Kim, Classical and quantum spin dynamics of the honeycomb Γ model, Phys. Rev. B 98, 045121 (2018).
- [50] K. Kimura, S. Nakatsuji, and T. Kimura, Experimental realization of a quantum breathing pyrochlore antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B 90, 060414(R) (2014).
- [51] T. Haku, K. Kimura, Y. Matsumoto, M. Soda, M. Sera, D. Yu, R. A. Mole, T. Takeuchi, S. Nakatsuji, Y. Kono, T. Sakakibara, L.-J. Chang, and T. Masuda, Low-energy excitations and ground-state selection in the quantum breathing pyrochlore antiferromagnet Ba₃Yb₂Zn₅O₁₁, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 220407(R) (2016).
- [52] J. G. Rau, L. S. Wu, A. F. May, L. Poudel, B. Winn, V. O. Garlea, A. Huq, P. Whitfield, A. E. Taylor, M. D. Lumsden, M. J. P. Gingras, and A. D. Christianson, Anisotropic Exchange within Decoupled Tetrahedra in the Quantum Breathing Pyrochlore Ba₃Yb₂Zn₅O₁₁, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 257204 (2016).
- [53] J. G. Rau and M. J. P. Gingras, Frustration and anisotropic exchange in ytterbium magnets with edge-shared octahedra, Phys. Rev. B 98, 054408 (2018).