Ground-state phase diagram and thermodynamics of coupled trimer chains

R. R. M[o](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3018-4499)ntenegro-Filho \bullet [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2926-8786) E. J. P. Silva-Júnior \bullet , and M. D. Coutinho-Filho \bullet

Laboratório de Física Teórica e Computacional, Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 50760-901 Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil

(Received 1 January 2022; revised 22 March 2022; accepted 11 April 2022; published 20 April 2022)

The density matrix renormalization group and quantum Monte Carlo methods are used to describe coupled trimer chains in a magnetic field *h*. The Hamiltonian contains exchange terms involving the intratrimer coupling J_1 (taken as the unit of energy) and the intertrimer coupling J_2 , plus the Zeeman interaction for a magnetic field *h* along the *z* direction. Results for the magnetization per trimer *m* are calculated in regimes of positive and negative values of the ratio $J = J_2/J_1$, from which the rich field-induced ground state phase diagram *h* versus *J* is derived, with the presence of a Luttinger liquid, the $1/3$ plateau ($m = 1/2$), and the one of fully polarized magnetization (*m* = 3/2). Also, zero-field Lanczos calculation of the spin-wave dispersion from the $1/3$ plateau for $S^z = 1$ is shown at the previous regimes of *J* values. In addition, we also report on the decay of correlation functions of trimers along open chains, as well as the average two-magnon distribution. The ground state is ferrimagnetic for $0 < J \leq 1$, and is a singlet for $-1 \leq J < 0$. In the singlet phase, the spin correlation functions along the legs present an antiferromagnetic power-law decay, similar to the spin-1/2 linear chain, thus suggesting that the ground state is made of three coupled antiferromagnetically oriented chains. In the singlet phase, the dimensionless thermal magnetic susceptibility per site normalized by $1/|J|$ gets closer to $1/\pi^2$ as the temperature $T \to 0$. For the ferrimagnetic phase, we fit the susceptibility to the experimental data for the compound Pb₃Cu₃(PO₄)₄ and estimate the model exchange couplings: $J_1 = 74.8$ K and $J = 0.4$. These values imply a range of energies for the magnon excitations that are in accord with the data from neutron scattering experiments on Pb₃Cu₃(PO₄)₄ for two excitation modes. The 1/3 plateau closes only at $1/|J| = 0$ with $J < 0$.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevB.105.134423](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.105.134423)

I. INTRODUCTION

Chains of spin-1/2 sites exhibit a rich quantum behavior depending on the way the spins are coupled $[1]$. The spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic linear chain [\[2\]](#page-8-0) is a paradigmatic model with a gapless spectrum that unveiled, for example, collective excitations such as the spinons and holons [\[1\]](#page-8-0). On the other hand, the physics of short-range valence bond states manifests in the singlet gapped ground state of a spin-1/2 two-leg ladder [\[3–5\]](#page-8-0), which has two spins in each unit cell. For ladders with *n* legs, the ground state is gapped, with a finite correlation length, for *n* even, while it is gapless, with a power-law decay of correlation functions, for *n* odd [\[6,7\]](#page-8-0).

In a similar but more complex fashion, chains of coupled spin-1/2 trimers have a unit cell made of three spin-1/2 sites and exhibit rich phase diagrams. We notice two typical exchange pathway arrangements for unfrustrated bipartite lattices, both in accord with the topological Lieb-Mattis theorem $[8]$. In the first case $[9-13]$, the lattice can be divided into two groups with the same number of sites, and the ground state is a gapless singlet. In particular, the magnetic features of the compound $Cu_3(P_2O_6OH)_2$ are investigated through this model $[9,11,14]$. In the second case $[15-17]$, there are two unit-cell sites in one sublattice and one unit-cell site in the other; thus, a spontaneous break of the rotation symmetry occurs. The ground state has a finite magnetic moment in the thermodynamic limit and exhibits a ferrimagnetic order [\[18\]](#page-8-0). The low-energy magnon spectra $[16,17,19]$ have a ferromag-

netic gapless Goldstone mode, due to the broken symmetry, and two gapped excitations, one ferromagnetic and the other antiferromagnetic. The Hamiltonians in this category are used to understand the magnetism of the ferrimagnetic phosphates $A_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ ($A = Ca$, Sr, and Pb). Considering non-bipartite frustrated lattices, we mention the diamond chains $[20,21]$, which have a singlet ground state and model the azurite compound $Cu_3(CO_3)_2(OH)_2$ [\[22–](#page-8-0)[24\]](#page-9-0). Further, frustrated models exhibit frustration-induced magnon condensation [\[25\]](#page-9-0), firstorder phase transitions $[26]$, and pseudo-phase-transitions [\[27\]](#page-9-0).

In any of the above-mentioned coupled trimer chains, a magnetization plateau at 1/3 of the saturation magnetization (a 1/3 plateau) is observed in the magnetization curve as a function of a magnetic field. This is in accord with the topological Oshikawa-Yamanaka-Affleck criteria [\[28\]](#page-9-0), due to the number of unit-cell sites and the absence of broken translation symmetry. However, the quantum state of the three unit-cell spins depends on the parameter regime and the Hamiltonians considered. In some cases, two of the unit-cell spins are in a singlet state [\[21\]](#page-8-0), while in others, the same spins are in a coherent superposition of triplet states [\[19\]](#page-8-0). Further, we mention that the edge states present at the 1/3-plateau phase of unfrustrated [\[29\]](#page-9-0) and frustrated [\[30\]](#page-9-0) models with open boundaries were recently investigated.

In this work, we calculate the phase diagram of coupled trimer chains by using density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [\[31\]](#page-9-0) and quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) methods. In

FIG. 1. Sketch of the spin-1/2 trimer chain Hamiltonian. The total number of trimers is denoted by *L*. The intratrimer coupling is J_1 , while the intertrimer one is J_2 ; the ratio between the couplings is defined as $J \equiv J_2/J_1$.

Sec. II, we present the Hamiltonian of the trimer chain and the details of the methods mentioned above. In Sec. III, we show the bounds of the 1/3 plateau and fully polarized plateau, besides the parameter region in which a singlet antiferromagnetic phase is found. In Sec. [IV,](#page-2-0) we discuss the low-energy excitation modes from the 1/3-plateau state (three-magnon excitations), and from the singlet antiferromagnetic phase, a spinon excitation as in the spin-1/2 linear chain [\[32\]](#page-9-0). Further, in Sec. [V,](#page-3-0) we consider the edge states of open chains, which are associated with the 1/3 and the fully polarized plateaus. We investigate the thermal susceptibility χ at zero magnetic field in Sec. [VI,](#page-4-0) and the closing of the 1/3 plateau in Sec. [VII.](#page-6-0) We summarize our results in Sec. [VIII.](#page-7-0)

II. MODEL AND METHODS

The coupled trimer chain Hamiltonian is sketched in Fig. 1. For a chain of *L* coupled trimers in a magnetic field, the Hamiltonian in units of the intratrimer coupling J_1 reads

$$
\frac{H}{J_1} = \sum_{i=1}^{L} [\mathbf{A}_i \cdot (\mathbf{B}_{1,i} + \mathbf{B}_{2,i}) + J(\mathbf{A}_i \cdot \mathbf{B}_{2,i+1} + \mathbf{B}_{1,i} \cdot \mathbf{A}_{i+1})] - S^z h,
$$
\n(1)

where each trimer *i* has three spin-1/2 spins ($\hbar \equiv 1$) at sites A_i , $B_{1,i}$, and $B_{2,i}$, with spin operators denoted by A_i , $B_{1,i}$, and **B**_{2,*i*}, respectively, while the ratio $J \equiv J_2/J_1$, where J_2 is the intertrimer coupling. We define *h* as the magnetic field in units of $J_1/g\mu_B$, where μ_B is the Bohr magneton and the *g* factor is assumed the same at all sites. The total spin component in the *z* direction (field direction) is S^z . We consider *m* as the magnetization per trimer in units of $g\mu_B$, such that $m \equiv S^z/L$.

Our zero-temperature results were obtained with the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG) on chains with open boundary conditions and exact diagonalization on closed chains. We retained up to 243 states per block in the DMRG calculations and the maximum discarded weight was $1 \times$ 10^{-8} . The thermal magnetic susceptibility was obtained with the quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) method, the looper algorithm, using the code from the Algorithms and Libraries for Physics Simulations (ALPS) project [\[33\]](#page-9-0). We have used 1×10^5 Monte Carlo steps in the QMC calculations.

III. MAGNETIZATION CURVES AND PHASE DIAGRAM

Considering first the case of zero field, $h = 0$, the groundstate total spin for $J > 0$ is very distinct from the $J < 0$ case. For $J > 0$, the ground-state total spin is $1/2$ per trimer, as shown in Fig. $2(a)$, and presents a spontaneously broken

FIG. 2. Magnetization per trimer *m* as a function of the magnetic field *h* for the indicated values of $J = J_2/J_1$. Density matrix renormalization group results for an open chain with $L = 120$ trimers. The insets show a zoom of the curves in the vicinity of (a) the 1/3 plateau and (b) the fully polarized plateau to have a better view of the magnetizations of localized edge states.

rotation symmetry in spin space. On the other hand, for $J < 0$, the ground state is a rotationally invariant singlet state, as shown in Fig. $2(b)$. In particular, the ground-state total spin for $J > 0$ is in accord with the Lieb-Mattis theorem [\[8\]](#page-8-0), while the trimer chain Hamiltonian does not satisfy the requirements of this theorem for $J < 0$. For $J = 0$ the trimers are decoupled and there is a degeneracy between total spin states from 0 to 1/2 per trimer. In particular, we mention that the ferrimagnetic phase is also observed in the phase diagram of frustrated chains, like the distorted diamond chain [\[21\]](#page-8-0), and perturbation theories can be developed from the state of decoupled spin-1/2 trimers [\[21\]](#page-8-0).

In the presence of a magnetic field $h \neq 0$, the magnetization curves of the trimer chain, for $J \ge 0$ [Fig. 2(a)] and $J < 0$ [Fig. $2(b)$], present two magnetization plateaus: the fully polarized plateau (FP plateau) at the saturation magnetization $m_s = 3/2$ and the 1/3 plateau at magnetization $m = m_s/3$ = 1/2. These are integer magnetization plateaus; they do not break the translation symmetry of the Hamiltonian, and are in accord with the topological Oshikawa-Yamanaka-Affleck criteria $[28]$. In the insets of Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$ we show the presence of localized edge states, manifested as in-gap magnetization states inside the thermodynamic-limit plateaus. The edge states are associated with the topological nature of the magnetization plateaus. We notice the presence of edge states related to the 1/3 plateau in a region of positive values of *J* and to the FP plateau for $J < 0$.

We present in Fig. $3(a)$ the phase diagram of the trimer chain as a function of magnetic field and of the ratio *J*. The

(b) 1/3 - plateau average spin

FIG. 3. (a) Magnetic field *h* vs exchange ratio *J* phase diagram of the trimer chain. We show the transition lines from the gapped 1/3 plateau, $h_{1/3,+}$ and $h_{1/3,-}$, and the fully polarized (FP) plateau, *hs*, to gapless Luttinger liquid (LL) phases. The phase diagram is estimated from the DMRG results for chains with up to $L = 120$ trimers. For *h* = 0, the ground state is a singlet for $-1 \leq J < 0$, and is ferrimagnetic for $0 < J \leq 1$. In the inset we present the average spin at *A* and B_1 (or B_2) sites at the $1/3$ plateau. The relative orientation of the average spins changes from a ferrimagnetic pattern, found in the range $-0.75 < J < 1$, to a ferromagnetic orientation in $-1 < J < -0.75$, as sketched in (b).

gapped FP plateau is bounded by the saturation field *hs*, while the 1/3-plateau phase is bounded by the lower and higher critical fields, $h_{1/3,-}$ and $h_{1/3,+}$, respectively. We notice that $h_{1/3,-} = 0$ for *J* ≥ 0 , since, in zero field, the ground-state total spin is $1/2$ per trimer and is degenerate for $J = 0$. The gapped phases are separated by gapless Luttinger liquid (LL) phases. We also show the average spin at *A* and *B* sites in the inset of Fig. $3(a)$ for the 1/3-plateau magnetization. The average spin in a trimer presents an antiferromagnetic arrangement for $-0.75 \lesssim J \leq 1$, implying a ferrimagnetic ground state, and a ferromagnetic orientation for $-1 \leq J \leq -0.75$, as sketched in Fig. 3(b).

IV. LOW-ENERGY EXCITATIONS

In this section we discuss the low-energy magnetic excitations from the $1/3$ -plateau phase and from the singlet ground state of the $-1 \leq J < 0$ region. We obtain the energy $E_a(S^z)$ of finite chains with periodic boundary conditions as a function of the lattice wave vector q for a fixed value of S^z by using exact diagonalization.

For the 1/3-plateau state, we consider three magnetic excitations in zero magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 4. Two of them, $\omega_0^{(-)}$ and $\omega_1^{(-)}$, carry a spin $\Delta S^z = -1$ and are given by

FIG. 4. Spin-wave modes from the 1/3-plateau magnetization $(m = 1/2)$ for the indicated values of *J* and magnetic field $h = 0$, where q is the lattice wave vector. The modes $\omega_0^{(-)}$ and $\omega_1^{(-)}$ have spin $\Delta S^z = -1$, while the mode $\omega^{(+)}$ has spin $\Delta S^z = 1$. The data are exact-diagonalization results for a closed chain with $L = 10$ trimers.

for $n = 0$, lowest energy in the sector $(q, S^z = S_{GS} - 1)$, and $n = 1$, first excitation in the same sector. Notice that the ground state in zero field has the total spin $S_{GS} = L/2$ for $J \geq 0$, while in the range $-1 \leq J \leq 0$, this is the total spin of the ground state only for $h_{1/3,-} \leq h \leq h_{1/3,+}$. In fact, as shown in Fig. 4, $\omega_0^{(-)}(q) < 0$ in zero field for $J < 0$, with the $q = \pi$ sector as the lowest one. For $h \neq 0$, we add the Zeeman term, [−]*hS^z* , to the energy, and the excitation energy changes as

$$
\omega_0^{(-)}(q, h) = \omega_0^{(-)}(q) + h. \tag{3}
$$

Hence, the critical field ($h = h_{1/3,-}$) for which the ground state has $S^z = L/2$ can be obtained from the condition $\omega_0^{(-)}(q = \pi, h_{1/3,-}) = 0$, such that $h_{1/3,-} = -\omega_0^{(-)}(\pi)$. The values of $h_{1/3,-}$ thus obtained are in excellent accord with those from DMRG, shown in Figs. [2](#page-1-0) and 3.

The excitation $\omega_1^{(-)}(q)$ is higher than $\omega_0^{(-)}(q)$, approximately dispersionless and near 1 for $J > 0$. In fact, for $J = 1$ the chain has a local parity symmetry [\[34\]](#page-9-0) and an exact dispersionless mode in the sector $S^z = L/2 - 1$ with one singlet between B_2 of one trimer and the B_1 of the nearest neighbor trimer. In fact, there is a crossing between localized and dispersive modes as follows. The localized excitation is observed [\[34\]](#page-9-0) in the last two points near the zone boundary of the excitation $\omega_0^{(-)}(q)$, while the last two points near the zone boundary of the excitation $\omega_1^{(-)}(q)$ are, in fact, a continuation of the dispersive mode observed in the four points near $q = 0$ of $\omega_0^{(-)}(q)$. As shown in Fig. 4, for $0 < J < 1$ the excitation $\omega_1^{(-)}(q)$ gains some dispersion, implying a certain mobility of the local singlet, but there is not a crossing between the modes, since in this case there is not a local symmetry. Also, $\omega_1^{(-)}(q)$ acquires a minimum in a value of $q \neq 0$ and π .

The third excitation in Fig. 4, $\omega^{(+)}(q)$, carries a spin ΔS^z = +1 and is calculated from

$$
\omega^{(+)}(q) = E_q(S^z = L/2 + 1) - E_{q=0}(S^z = L/2). \tag{4}
$$

For $J > 0$ the minimum energy is observed at $q = 0$, while for $-1 < J < 0$ the minimum is found at $q = \pi$. In the presence of a magnetic field, this mode condenses in the critical field $h = h_{1/3,+}$. Since, for $h \neq 0$, we have

$$
\omega^{(+)}(q,h) = \omega^{(+)}(q) - h,\tag{5}
$$

the condition at critical field is $\omega^{(+)}(q_{\min}, h = h_{1/3,+}) = 0$, where $q_{\text{min}} = 0$ for $J > 0$ and $q_{\text{min}} = \pi$ for $J < 0$. The critical field thus obtained is also in excellent agreement with the DMRG results, shown in Figs. [2](#page-1-0) and [3.](#page-2-0) For $0 \leq J \leq 1$, we notice that analytical results [\[19\]](#page-8-0) from perturbation theory or interacting spin-wave analysis are in good accord with the exact-diagonalization data depending on the value of *J*. Perturbation theory is better for lower values of $J, J \lesssim 0.5$, while spin-wave analysis gives better results for $0.5 \lesssim J \leq 1$.

We start the discussion of the low-energy magnetic excitations from the singlet ground state of the trimer chain in zero field, for $-1 < J < 0$, by considering the spin correlation functions in the ground state. We use DMRG in open chains to calculate the correlation functions in sublattices *A* and *B* as follows:

$$
C_X(l) = \langle \langle \mathbf{S}_{X,i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{X,j} \rangle \rangle_{|i-j|=l},\tag{6}
$$

where $\langle \ldots \rangle_{|i-j|=l}$ is an average over all pairs of trimers *i* and *j* separated by the distance l , $X = A$ or B , and B can be either B_1 or B_2 , since the correlations are equal along the sublattices B_1 and B_2 .

The spin correlation functions are shown in Fig. $5(a)$ and exhibit an alternating pattern. Also, for sufficiently low distances to avoid edge effects, the correlation functions have an 1/*l* decay. In a linear antiferromagnetic chain [\[1\]](#page-8-0), the asymptotic behavior of the spin correlation functions in the singlet ground state, at zero magnetic field, has the following form:

$$
\langle \mathbf{S}(0) \cdot \mathbf{S}(l) \rangle \sim c_1 \frac{1}{l^2} + c_2(-1)^l \frac{\ln^{1/2}(l)}{l},\tag{7}
$$

where the amplitudes c_1 and c_2 are not universal. At large distances, the dominant term in Eq. (7) is $(-1)^{x}/l$, discarding the ln factor. Thus, in the trimer chain, our data suggest that the spins in each sublattice are correlated as in the antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 linear chain, following the form in Eq. (7), with a short-range magnetic pattern as sketched in Fig. $5(b)$.

The low-energy magnetic excitations from the ground state, Ω , shown in Fig. $5(c)$ reinforce this picture. In particular, the antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 linear chain presents an alternation of the ground-state wave vector between the values 0 and π , as shown from the Bethe ansatz solution [\[32\]](#page-9-0). On the other hand, the low-energy excitations of the spin-1/2 linear chain are spinons [\[1\]](#page-8-0), which, in the thermodynamic limit, have the dispersion relation [\[32\]](#page-9-0)

$$
\varepsilon(q)^{(\text{spinon})} = \frac{\pi}{2} J_{\text{linear chain}} |\sin(q)|,\tag{8}
$$

where $J_{linear chain}$ is the superexchange coupling between nearest-neighbor spins.

We use exact diagonalization to calculate $\Omega(q)$ for finite chains with periodic boundary conditions for $-1 \leqslant J < 0$ from

FIG. 5. (a) Density matrix renormalization results for the alternating correlation functions between spins at B_1 (or B_2) sites and at *A* sites, $(-1)^{l}C_{B}(l)$ (left panel) and $(-1)^{l}C_{A}(l)$ (right panel), respectively, as a function of the distance *l* between trimers, for $J < 0$ and the *J* values indicated in the figure, for an open chain with $L =$ 120 trimers. The intermediate-distance behavior suggests a 1/*l* (full lines) antiferromagnetic power-law correlation along each sublattice, $A, B₁,$ or $B₂,$ as sketched in (b). (c) Exact-diagonalization results for the low-lying magnetic excitation mode from $m = 0$, with total spin $S = 1$, for $J = J_2/J_1 = -1.0, -0.8, -0.6, -0.4,$ and -0.2 (from bottom to top) as a function of a translated lattice wave vector |*q* − *q*0| in a closed chain, normalized by |*J*|. We present the data for two system sizes $L = 8$ (filled symbols) and $L = 10$ (open symbols). The ground-state energy (with $S = 0$) is observed at the wave vector $q = q_0$ with $q_0 = \pi$ for $L = 8$ and $q_0 = 0$ for $L = 10$.

where q_0 is the ground-state wave vector, which is $q_0 = \pi$ if *L* is a multiple of 4 and $q_0 = 0$ otherwise, for *L* even, as in the antiferromagnetic spin- $1/2$ linear chain. In Fig. $5(c)$ we show $\Omega(q)$ for $L = 8$ and $L = 10$ as a function of $|q - q_0|$, normalized by |*J*|. Although the system sizes are short, we can suggest some features for the thermodynamic limit. The general trend of the curves points to a periodicity like in Eq. (8) , but the dependence on *J* is not as simple as in Eq. (8) for the system sizes shown.

V. EDGE STATES

The 1/3 and the fully polarized plateaus satisfy the Oshikawa-Yamanaka-Affleck (OYA) topological criterion for

FIG. 6. Average magnon distribution in the state with two magnons added to (a) $1/3$ plateau: $\langle n \rangle = \langle S_i^z \rangle_{S^z = (L/2)+2} - \langle S_i^z \rangle_{S^z = L/2}$ (the inset is a zoom of the main figure), and (b) the FP plateau: $\langle n \rangle = \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = 3L/2} - \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = 3L/2 - 2}$, with *l* as the trimer index, for an open chain with $L = 120$ trimers.

their occurrence in magnetization curves of magnetic insulators $[28]$: $(m_u - S_u)$ = integer, where m_u and S_u are respectively the magnetization and the maximum spin in a unit period of the ground-state wave function. In the trimer chain, there is not a broken translation symmetry; thus $m_u = 1/2$ for the $1/3$ plateau and $m_u = 3/2$ for the fully polarized state, with $S_u = 3/2$ in both cases. Further, the nontrivial topological nature of a magnetic state gives rise to zero-energy edge states, as expected from the bulk-edge correspondence [\[35,36\]](#page-9-0). In fact, edge-mode properties have proved useful in investigating topological quantum phase transitions [\[29,37–](#page-9-0) [40\]](#page-9-0). On the other hand, it was shown [\[41\]](#page-9-0) that spin-*S* antiferromagnets on *d*-dimensional lattices present fractionally quantized magnetization at the corners, similarly to ionic crystals. In addition, the edge magnetization can have a nontopological origin [\[30\]](#page-9-0). In particular, in Ref. [\[30\]](#page-9-0) the authors have shown that $U(1)$ and site-inversion symmetry protects the edge magnetizations in the ferrimagnetic phase of the Union Jack stripe. Besides, the appearance of magnon densities at the edges of alternating ferrimagnetic chains depends on magnon interactions [\[42\]](#page-9-0) and the uniform local potentials of the associated Holstein-Primakoff Hamiltonians.

In Fig. 6, we present the edge magnon densities of the trimer chain by considering the magnon distribution as two magnons are added to the insulating states at $m = 1/2$ (ferrimagnetic state) and $m = 3/2$ (fully polarized state). As evidenced in the inset of Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$, the localized magnetization states inside the thermodynamic plateaus appear for $m = 3/2$ and $J < 0$, and for $m = 1/2$ and $J > 0$. The magnons carry a spin $\Delta S^z = +1$ in the case of $m = 1/2$, and a spin $\Delta S^z = -1$ for $m = 3/2$, such that their distributions

along the chain are calculated through

$$
\langle n \rangle = \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = (L/2) + 2} - \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = L/2}
$$
 (10)

for $m = 1/2$, and through

$$
\langle n \rangle = \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = 3L/2} - \langle S_l^z \rangle_{S^z = 3L/2 - 2},\tag{11}
$$

for $m = 3/2$, where S_l^z is the spin of the trimer *l*.

For the ferrimagnetic state at $m = 1/2$, we observe in Fig. $6(a)$ the presence of magnon density at the edges of the trimer chain for $J > 0$. However, the magnon distribution becomes less localized as *J* decreases from $J = 1$, and turns into bulk excitations for $J < 0.5$. As in the case of ferrimagnetic alternating chains [\[42\]](#page-9-0), the magnon densities at the edges can have two contributions: one from the geometry of the system and the other from the magnon-magnon interactions. In the case of the fully polarized plateau, the edge magnon density shows up for $J < 0$. Here, the sites at the edges are coupled to the chain by only one antiferromagnetic bonding, while the other sites have at least one ferromagnetic bond: $J < 0$. Thus, the localization of the two magnons at the edges of the chain minimizes the total energy, and the edge magnon densities have only a geometrical origin.

VI. SUSCEPTIBILITY

This section discusses the finite-temperature magnetic susceptibility of the trimer chain with quantum Monte Carlo simulations. Initially, we examine the antiferromagnetic regime, $J < 0$, and, next, the ferrimagnetic phase, observed for $J > 0$. In the last case, we compare our results with the experimental data for the $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ phosphate [\[43,44\]](#page-9-0), which is a ferrimagnetic quasi-one-dimensional compound.

In Fig. [7,](#page-5-0) we study the magnetic susceptibility of the trimer chain for $J < 0$. In Fig. [7\(a\),](#page-5-0) we present the susceptibility per site of the trimer chain, and of the antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 linear chain. For simplicity, we define J_1 as the superexchange coupling between the nearest neighbors in the linear chain. The low-*T* behavior of χ for the linear chain is given by [\[45\]](#page-9-0)

$$
\frac{\chi(T)}{Ng^2\mu_B^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi v} + \frac{1}{4\pi v \ln(T/T_0)},
$$
(12)

where the spin-wave velocity $v = J_1 \pi/2$ is the slope of the dispersion relation obtained from the Bethe ansatz and T_0 is a nonuniversal constant. The logarithmic correction implies that $\chi(T)$ has an infinite slope as $T \to 0$. Notwithstanding, Eq. (12) is expected to be universal $[45]$ under some general conditions. Introducing the expression for v into Eq. (12) , we obtain

$$
\frac{\chi J_1}{N g^2 \mu_B^2} = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2 \ln(T/T_0)} \right\},\tag{13}
$$

so that $\chi(0)J_1 = 1/\pi^2$. The value of $T_0 = \sqrt{\pi/8} \exp(\gamma +$ $1/4$ *J* \approx 2.87*J*₁ is an exact result [\[46\]](#page-9-0), and was confirmed by thermodynamic Bethe ansatz calculations [\[47,48\]](#page-9-0). In Fig. [7\(a\),](#page-5-0) we also show that χ for the trimer chain has an increasing slope and approaches the value $1/\pi^2$ as $T \to 0$, in agreement with Eq. (12) . In fact, much lower temperatures are required to obtain the value of T_0 . Also, since the value of $\chi(0)J_1$ is near $1/\pi^2$, the data show that the spin-wave

FIG. 7. Quantum Monte Carlo results for the magnetic susceptibility χ per site. (a) Trimer chain with $L = 128$ and $J = -1$, and antiferromagnetic linear chain with $N = 128$ sites. The cross indicates the exact value of χ at $T = 0$ for the antiferromagnetic linear chain: $1/\pi^2$. In the inset we highlight low-temperature regime. Open symbols are results for a trimer chain with $L = 256$ and for the antiferromagnetic linear chain with $N = 256$. (b) Trimer chain for the indicated values of *J*, the cross also marks the value $1/\pi^2$. In the inset we present $|J|\chi J_1$ which is equal to $|J_2|\chi$.

velocity for the trimer chain is near $v = J_1 \pi/2$ for $J = -1$. As evidenced in the inset of Fig. $7(a)$, finite-size effects are not appreciable in both chains, in the range of temperatures exhibited.

In Fig. $7(b)$, we present χ for different values of *J*. For $J = 0$, decoupled trimers, the susceptibility has a paramagnetic behavior with $\chi \sim 1/T$ as $T \to 0$ [see also Fig. 8(a) for χ *T*]. For *J* < 0, the susceptibility curve exhibits a maximum marking the crossover from the low-temperature regime to the high-temperature decoupled-trimer behavior. This maximum is found in a temperature that increases with decreasing *J*. In the inset of Fig. 7(b), we present $(J_1 \chi)$ normalized by $1/|J|$, so that $(J_1 \chi)/(1/|J|) = |J|(J_1 \chi) = |J_2| \chi$. For any value of *J* (<0), the data approach $J_1\chi(0)$ for the antiferromagnetic linear chain: $1/\pi^2$. A simple reasoning can be used to explain this behavior. Although the spin-wave velocity of the trimer

FIG. 8. (a) Product of the susceptibility χ by the temperature *T* as a function of *T* . Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) results for $J = 0, 0.2, 0.4,$ and 1.0, and experimental data from Ref. [\[43\]](#page-9-0) for the compound $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$; in this case we use two sets of values for J_1 and *g*: $J_1 = 74.8$ K and $g = 2.09$, $J_1 = 96.7$ K, and $g = 2.20$. (b) χT for Pb₃Cu₃(PO₄)₄ per mole of trimers and QMC results with the indicated J with the respective J_1 and g . (c) Spin-wave modes for $J = 0.2$ and $J_1 = 96.7$ K calculated with exact diagonalization for a trimer chain with $L = 10$; they correspond to the normalized modes $\omega_0^{(-)}$, $\omega_1^{(-)}$, and $\omega^{(+)}$ shown in Fig. [4.](#page-2-0) We also indicate the central values of two excitations modes of the compound $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$: 9.00 meV and 13.7 meV, as was estimated in Ref. $[44]$ at $T = 8$ K from neutron scattering experiments.

chain can have a complex dependence on J_2 , we expect that *v* is an increasing function of $|J_2|$. Further, the low-energy magnetic excitations shown in Fig. 5 suggest that v is nearly proportional to $|J|=|J_2|$, by fixing $J_1 \equiv 1$. If we use $v =$

 $|J_2|\pi/2$ in Eq. [\(12\)](#page-4-0), we obtain that $|J_2|\chi(0)/Ng^2\mu_B^2 = 1/\pi^2$, as suggested by the data in the inset of Fig. $7(b)$.

We remark that an antiferromagnetic pattern like that of the linear spin-1/2 chain was reported in Ref. [\[49\]](#page-9-0) for the unfrustrated diamond chain, and their data also suggest a finite susceptibility at $T = 0$.

In Fig. $8(a)$, we present the magnetic susceptibility per trimer for $J > 0$, where the trimer chain is found in the ferrimagnetic regime. One of the signatures of the ferrimagnetic phase is a minimum in the χT versus *T* curve at zero field. This minimum marks a crossover between the low-temperature ferromagnetic regime, for which $χ \sim 1/T^2$ [\[50,51\]](#page-9-0), and the high-temperature decoupled-trimer $(J = 0)$ behavior. Thus, the minimum of χT and its temperature location decrease with *J*. As discussed above, for $J = 0$, the data in Fig. [7\(b\)](#page-5-0) show that $\chi \sim 1/T$ as $T \to 0$, with χT becoming very flat in the low-*T* regime, as shown in Fig. [8\(a\).](#page-5-0) In order to estimate the values of J_1 , J_2 , and *g* for the phosphate $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$, we fit the QMC results to the experimental data for this compound $[43]$. The value of J_1 is estimated by comparing the dimensionless value of the temperature, $k_B T / J_1$, with its value in the experimental curve for all values of *J*. Further, we compare the value of the minimum of χT in the experimental curve and the dimensionless QMC data for all values of *J*, thus obtaining *g* for each value of *J*. Finally, with these values of g and J_1 , we normalize the experimental curve and compare it with the QMC data for all values of *J* simulated. Following this procedure, we have found that the experimental curve is best fitted by $J = 0.2$ and $J_1 = 96.7$ K, in the low-*T* regime, while above the crossover temperature, the best fit occurs for $J = 0.4$ and $J_1 = 74.8$ K, as shown in Fig. [8\(a\)](#page-5-0) and in Fig. [8\(b\).](#page-5-0) The curve for $J = 0.4$ starts to depart from the experimental one at about $T \sim 25$ K. The three-dimensional ordering temperature for this compound is estimated from specific-heat data [\[43\]](#page-9-0) to be $T_{3D} \approx 1.3$ K, and does not affect the value of *J* appreciably. Lastly, we also mention that the enhancement of *J* above the crossover temperature could be associated with lattice vibrations.

The trimer chain compound $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ was also investigated through neutron scattering experiments [\[44\]](#page-9-0). From this study, two excitation modes were observed at $T = 8$ K: one at energy 9.00 meV, and the other at 13.7 meV, as indicated in Fig. [8\(c\).](#page-5-0) We also show in this figure the magnon modes $\omega_0^{(-)}$, $\omega_1^{(-)}$, and $\omega^{(+)}$, previously presented in Fig. [4,](#page-2-0) for $J = 0.2$ and $J_1 = 96.7 \text{ K} = 8.34 \text{ meV}$. We thus see in Fig. [8\(c\)](#page-5-0) that the optical mode $\omega^{(+)}$ is centered at approximately 13.7 meV, indicating that it is one of the excitations observed in the neutron scattering experiment [\[44\]](#page-9-0). Also, the nearly flat mode $\omega_1^{(-)}$ is centered at 7.7 meV, which departs about 16% from the second mode found by neutron scattering. The gapless mode, $\omega_1^{(-)}$, is in a range of energies that was not investigated in detail in Ref. [\[44\]](#page-9-0).

VII. CLOSING OF THE 1*/***3 PLATEAU FOR** *J <* **−1**

The 1/3-plateau width decreases as *J* decreases in the region $J < 0$, as shown in the phase diagram, Fig. $3(a)$. In this section we investigate the $1/3$ plateau for $J < -1$ and discuss two possibilities for the gap-closing behavior in this region.

FIG. 9. Locus of the magnetization at 1/3 of saturation in the *h*/|*J*| (magnetic field in units of $|J_2|/g\mu_B$) versus $1/|J| = J_1/|J_2|$ plane, for $J_2 < 0$. The points are obtained by extrapolating the critical fields from chains of size $L = 120$, 180, and 240. In the inset we present the plateau width $\Delta/|J|$ as a function $1/|J|$. Dashed lines are guides to the eye.

First, we notice that for a finite value of *J*₁ and *J*₂ $\rightarrow -\infty$, or $1/|J| = J_1/|J_2| \rightarrow 0$, the trimers are decoupled with each trimer having a total spin equal to $3/2$; see Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) Since in this case the chain is composed of totally uncorrelated spin- $3/2$ trimers, the total spin is degenerate for $h = 0$. Thus, any finite magnetic field saturates the magnetization at $1/|J| = 0$. We remark that the trimer chain is also decoupled for $J = 0$, however in this case with the trimers in a spin-1/2 total spin with a gap. Thus, a finite magnetic field, $h = 1.5$, is required to put the trimers in the spin-3/2 state and the chain in the fully polarized state, as shown in Figs. [3](#page-2-0) and [4.](#page-2-0)

Since $|J_2|$ is the higher energy scale for $J < -1$, it is better to consider the energy in units of $|J_2|$ instead of J_1 . Thus, because *h* is the magnetic field in units of $J_1/g\mu_B$, the magnetic field in units of $|J_2|/g\mu_B$ is $h/|J| = hJ_1/|J_2|$, and the plateau width (the gap) Δ in units of $|J_2|/g\mu_B$ is given by $\Delta/|J|$.

In Fig. 9 we show the region where the $1/3$ of the saturation magnetization, $m_s/3$, is found in the plane $h/|J|$ versus $1/|J|$, and also indicate the decoupled-chain limit. The points are calculated with DMRG by extrapolating the upper and the lower critical fields for $m = m_s/3$ by using their values for $L = 120$, 180, and 240 trimers. We do not show the scale behavior of these critical fields, but we mention that it follows a simple straight line as a function of 1/*L* for each value of $1/|J|$ considered. For the lower value of $1/|J|$ shown, the value of the plateau width $\Delta/|J|$ is very tiny, in the limit of our precision. In fact, we present in the inset of Fig. 9 the exponential behavior of the plateau width as $1/|J| \rightarrow 0$.

We can draw two scenarios from the numerical data. In the first one, the plateau width becomes exactly null at a value of 1/|*J*| higher than zero. In this case, the transition would be of a Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) type to a gapless Luttinger liquid phases with a power-law decay of the transverse spin correlation functions. In particular, KT transition points were observed in ferrimagnetic chains [\[29,52\]](#page-9-0) and branched chains [\[53,54\]](#page-9-0). In the other scenario, the gap is null only at the

FIG. 10. (a) Transverse spin correlation function $\Gamma_L(l)$ between sites in the *A* sublattice as a function of trimer distance *l* for the indicated system sizes *L* and $1/|J| = J_1/|J_2| = 1/|-3.5| ≈ 0.28$. (b) Exponent $\eta_{[l]}$ calculated from the fitting of $(-1)^l \Gamma_L(l)$ to a function ∼1/*l*^{*n*[*l*]</sub> considering the intervals of *l* shown in the figure and} $1/|J| = 1/|-3.5| \approx 0.28$. (c) Exponent η as a function of $1/|J|$. (d) Linear behavior of $ln(\Delta/|J|)$, where $\Delta/|J|$ is the 1/3-plateau width in units of $|J_2|/g\mu_B$, as a function of $(1/|J|)^{-2/3}$. The fitting straight line implies that $\frac{\Delta}{|J|} \sim 6600 \exp[-9.0(1/|J|)^{-2/3}]$ as $|J| \to$ ∞.

decoupled-chain limit, such that a very tiny gap is observed for any finite value of 1/|*J*|. This behavior is observed in ladder $[4,5]$ and zigzag models $[55,56]$ as the coupling between the two spin-1/2 chains that compose these systems is reduced to zero. We present data below that supports the second scenario for the spin trimer chain.

To investigate the possibility of a KT transition, we follow a strategy that was successfully used in the boson Hubbard model in one dimension [\[57\]](#page-9-0) and in an anisotropic ferrimagnetic chain [\[29\]](#page-9-0). In the gapless Luttinger liquid phase, the asymptotic behavior of the transverse spin correlation functions is

$$
\Gamma(l) \sim \frac{1}{l^{\eta}},\tag{14}
$$

and $\eta = 0.25$ at the KT transition point. We thus use DMRG to estimate η by fitting the transverse spin correlation function in finite-size open systems and extrapolating the result to $L \rightarrow \infty$. For a chain of size *L* the transverse spin correlation function between the spins in the sublattice *A* is calculated through

$$
\Gamma_L(l) \equiv \langle \langle S^+(i)S^-(i+l) \rangle \rangle_i, \tag{15}
$$

where $\langle \langle \ldots \rangle \rangle_i$ indicates the quantum expectation value and an average of the correlation over all pairs of *A* sites at trimers with a distance *l* between then, in order to minimize the effects of the open boundaries. In Fig. $10(a)$ we exemplify the behavior of $\Gamma_L(l)$ for $1/|J| = 1/|-3.5| \approx 0.28$ for $L =$ 120, 180, and 240. To estimate η , we choose three intervals of *l*: [1,16], [16,32], and [32,48], to fit $(-1)^l \Gamma_L(l)$ to Eq. (14). Using a linear scale function in $1/L$, we obtain the extrapolated value $\eta_{[l]}$ for each interval. This procedure is illustrated

in Fig. 10(b) for $1/|J| \approx 0.28$. The estimated values of η are shown in Fig. $10(c)$ as a function of $1/|J|$. We define η as $(\eta_{[l],\text{max}} + \eta_{[l],\text{min}})/2$, with an error $(\eta_{[l],\text{max}} - \eta_{[l],\text{min}})/2$, where $\eta_{[l],\text{max}}$ and $\eta_{[l],\text{min}}$ are the maximum and the minimum values of $\eta_{[l]}$, respectively. Thus, we did not detect a point at which $\eta = 0.25$ for the values of $1/|J|$ investigated, although η approaches 0.25 as $1/|J| \to 0$. This implies that the KT transition does not occur down to the lowest value shown: $1/|-3.9|=1/3.9\approx 0.26$. However, the data do not preclude the possibility of gap closing for $1/|J| \lesssim 0.26$.

Considering the second scenario, that the gap closes exactly at $1/|J| = 0$, we have obtained a good fitting of our data to the analytical formula [\[56\]](#page-9-0) suggested by field theory to the gap closing in the case of the zigzag chain near the decoupled two chains from its antiferromagnetic phase. The analytical expression [\[56\]](#page-9-0) for the zigzag chain adapted to our model is given by

$$
\frac{\Delta}{|J|} = D \exp\left[-c\left(\frac{1}{|J|}\right)^{-2/3}\right],\tag{16}
$$

such that

$$
\ln\left(\frac{\Delta}{|J|}\right) = \ln D - c \left(\frac{1}{|J|}\right)^{-2/3}.\tag{17}
$$

We show in Fig. 10(d) that our gap satisfies this expression for the lowest values of $1/|J|$ calculated.

Thus, with J_2 < 0, down to the lowest values of $1/|J|$, our results indicate that the gap closes only at $1/|J| = 0$. Further, since the chain is not in a critical phase at $1/|J| = 0$ for J_2 < 0, we do not have a genuine phase transition in our case in this scenario. However, a deeper analytical study of the trimer chain, out of the scope of this investigation, can put this conclusion in a more firm basis.

VIII. SUMMARY

We have investigated the rich phase diagram of the spin-1/2 trimer chain for $-1 \leq J \leq 1$ in the presence and in the absence of a magnetic field. The ground state at zero field is ferrimagnetic for $J > 0$, in accord with the Lieb-Mattis theorem, and a singlet state for $-1 \leq J < 0$, a range of *J* for which the Lieb-Mattis theorem does not apply. We have shown that the field-dependent magnetization exhibits the fully polarized plateau, and the 1/3 plateau for all values of *J*. In the 1/3 plateau, the unit-cell spins have a ferrimagnetic orientation for $-0.75 \lesssim J < 1$, and a ferromagnetic orientation for $-1 \leq$ $J \lesssim -0.75$. For finite-size systems with open boundaries, the 1/3 plateau is made of two steps for $0.5 \lesssim J \le 1$; likewise, the fully polarized plateau has the same referred feature for $-1 \leq J < 0$. The breaking of the plateaus is associated with the magnon occupation of localized states at the edges of the chain. We have argued that, for the fully polarized plateau, the magnon density at edge states has a purely geometrical origin. In contrast, the edge densities in the 1/3 plateau have a mixed nature: geometrical and from magnon-magnon interactions. The low-energy bulk magnon excitations have three bands: two ferromagnetic—the first is gapless and dispersive, the second is gapped and nearly flat, for excitations carrying a spin $\Delta S^z = -1$ —and the third is a dispersive antiferromagnetic mode, carrying a spin $\Delta S^z = +1$. The gapless ferromagnetic

mode is unstable for $-1 \leq J < 0$, in which case the singlet state is the ground state at zero magnetic field. The low-energy excitation from the singlet state, normalized by |*J*|, follows a profile similar to the spinon band of the antiferromagnetic spin-1/2 linear chain. In particular, the minimum energy in the excitation spectrum presents an alternation between $q = 0$, for even system sizes excluding multiples of 4, and $q = \pi$, for system sizes that are multiples of 4, as in the spin-1/2 linear chain.

The magnetic susceptibility χ times the temperature, χT , has a minimum as a function of *T* in the ferrimagnetic phase, $0 < J \leq 1$. We determine the values of the coupling constants, *J* and J_1 , to fit the experimental susceptibility of the ferrimagnetic trimer-chain compound $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$. Further, we confirm that the centers of the two gapped magnon bands of the $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ are in accord with the coupling constants estimated from the susceptibility. For the singlet phase, $-1 \leq$ $J < 0$, our data evidence that the dimensionless susceptibility

- [1] T. Giamarchi, *Quantum Physics in One Dimension* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2004).
- [2] G. Müller, H. Thomas, H. Beck, and J. C. Bonner, Quantum spin dynamics of the antiferromagnetic linear chain in zero and nonzero magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B **24**[, 1429 \(1981\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.24.1429)
- [3] E. Dagotto, J. Riera, and D. Scalapino, Superconductivity in ladders and coupled planes, Phys. Rev. B **45**[, 5744 \(1992\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.45.5744)
- [4] T. Barnes, E. Dagotto, J. Riera, and E. S. Swanson, Excitation [spectrum of Heisenberg spin ladders,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.47.3196) Phys. Rev. B **47**, 3196 (1993).
- [5] E. Dagotto and T. M. Rice, Surprises on the way from oneto two-dimensional quantum magnets: The ladder materials, Science **271**[, 618 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5249.618)
- [6] S. R. White, R. M. Noack, and D. J. Scalapino, Resonating [Valence Bond Theory of Coupled Heisenberg Chains,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.886) Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 886 (1994).
- [7] B. Frischmuth, B. Ammon, and M. Troyer, Susceptibility and low-temperature thermodynamics of spin-1/2 Heisenberg ladders, Phys. Rev. B **54**[, R3714 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.R3714)
- [8] E. Lieb and D. Mattis, Ordering energy levels of interacting spin systems, [J. Math. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1724276) **3**, 749 (1962).
- [9] M. Hase, M. Kohno, H. Kitazawa, N. Tsujii, O. Suzuki, K. Ozawa, G. Kido, M. Imai, and X. Hu, 1/3 magnetization plateau observed in the spin-1/2 trimer chain compound $Cu₃(P₂O₆OD)₂$, Phys. Rev. B 73[, 104419 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.104419)
- [10] B. Gu, G. Su, and S. Gao, Thermodynamics of spin-1/2 antiferromagnet-antiferromagnet-ferromagnet and ferromagnet-ferromagnet-antiferromagnet trimerized quantum Heisenberg chains, Phys. Rev. B **73**[, 134427 \(2006\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.73.134427)
- [11] M. Hase, M. Matsuda, K. Kakurai, K. Ozawa, H. Kitazawa, N. Tsujii, A. Dönni, M. Kohno, and X. Hu, Direct observation of the energy gap generating the 1/3 magnetization plateau in the spin-1/2 trimer chain compound $Cu₃(P₂O₆OD)₂$ by inelas[tic neutron scattering measurements,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.064431) Phys. Rev. B **76**, 064431 (2007).
- [12] J.-Q. Cheng, J. Li, Z. Xiong, H.-Q. Wu, A. W. Sandvik, and D.-X. Yao, Fractional and composite excitations of antiferro[magnetic quantum spin trimer chains,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41535-021-00416-4) npj Quantum Mater. **7**, 3 (2022).

per site normalized by $1/|J|$ approaches $1/\pi^2$ as the temperature $T \rightarrow 0$, similarly to the spin-1/2 linear chain. Finally, the transverse spin correlation functions and the gap behavior for $J \to -\infty$ point to a closing of the 1/3 plateau only at $1/|J| = 0$ with $J < 0$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank A. A. Belik for sending to us the susceptibility data of the compound $Pb_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$, and we are grateful for useful discussions with M. Matsuda. We also acknowledge the support from Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (CAPES), Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), and Fundação de Amparo à Ciência e Tecnologia do Estado de Pernambuco (FACEPE), Brazilian agencies, including the PRONEX Program, which is funded by CNPq and FACEPE, Grant No. APQ-0602-1.05/14.

- [13] T. Verkholyak and J. Strečka, Modified strong-coupling treatment of a spin-1/2 Heisenberg trimerized chain developed from [the exactly solved Ising-Heisenberg diamond chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.184415) Phys. Rev. B **103**, 184415 (2021).
- [14] M. Hase, V. Y. Pomjakushin, L. Keller, U. Stuhr, A. Dönni, M. Kohno, and A. Tanaka, Evaluation of field-induced magnetic moments in the spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic trimerized chain compound $Cu_3(P_2O_6OD)_2$, *Phys. Rev. B* 102, 014403 (2020).
- [15] A. M. S. Macêdo, M. C. dos Santos, M. D. Coutinho-Filho, and C. A. Macêdo, Magnetism and Phase Separation in Polymeric Hubbard Chains, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.1851) **74**, 1851 (1995).
- [16] E. P. Raposo and M. D. Coutinho-Filho, Quantum Critical Prop[erties of Ferrimagnetic Hubbard Chains,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4853) Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 4853 (1997).
- [17] E. P. Raposo and M. D. Coutinho-Filho, Field theory of ferrimagnetic Hubbard chains, Phys. Rev. B **59**[, 14384 \(1999\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.14384)
- [18] G.-S. Tian, Coexistence of the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic long-range orders in the generalized antiferromagnetic [Heisenberg model on a bipartite lattice,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/7/012) J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27**, 2305 (1994).
- [19] S. Yamamoto and J. Ohara, Low-energy structure of the homometallic intertwining double-chain ferrimagnets $A_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ ($A = Ca$, Sr, Pb), Phys. Rev. B 76[, 014409 \(2007\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.76.014409)
- [20] K. Takano, K. Kubo, and H. Sakamoto, Ground states with [cluster structures in a frustrated Heisenberg chain,](https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/8/35/009) J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **8**, 6405 (1996).
- [21] K. Okamoto, T. Tonegawa, and M. Kaburagi, Magnetic properties of the $S = 1/2$ distorted diamond chain at $T = 0$, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **15**, 5979 (2003).
- [22] H. Kikuchi, Y. Fujii, M. Chiba, S. Mitsudo, T. Idehara, T. Tonegawa, K. Okamoto, T. Sakai, T. Kuwai, and H. Ohta, Experimental Observation of the 1/3 Magnetization Plateau in the Diamond-Chain Compound Cu₃(CO₃)₂(OH)₂, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 227201 (2005).
- [23] K. C. Rule, A. U. B. Wolter, S. Süllow, D. A. Tennant, A. Brühl, S. Köhler, B. Wolf, M. Lang, and J. Schreuer, Nature of the Spin [Dynamics and 1/3 Magnetization Plateau in Azurite,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.117202) Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 117202 (2008).
- [24] F. Aimo, S. Krämer, M. Klanjšek, M. Horvatić, C. Berthier, and H. Kikuchi, Spin Configuration in the 1/3 Magnetization [Plateau of Azurite Determined by NMR,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.102.127205) Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 127205 (2009).
- [25] R. R. Montenegro-Filho and M. D. Coutinho-Filho, Frustrationinduced quantum phase transitions in a quasi-one-dimensional ferrimagnet: Hard-core boson map and the Tonks-Girardeau limit, Phys. Rev. B **78**[, 014418 \(2008\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.78.014418)
- [26] A. M. do Nascimento-Junior and R. R. Montenegro-Filho, Magnetic phase separation in a frustrated ferrimagnetic chain under a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 064404 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.064404)
- [27] O. Rojas, S. M. de Souza, J. Torrico, L. M. Veríssimo, M. S. S. Pereira, and M. L. Lyra, Low-temperature pseudo[phase-transition in an extended Hubbard diamond chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.103.042123) Phys. Rev. E **103**, 042123 (2021).
- [28] M. Oshikawa, M. Yamanaka, and I. Affleck, Magnetization Plateaus in Spin Chains: "Haldane Gap" for Half-Integer Spins, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.1984) **78**, 1984 (1997).
- [29] R. R. Montenegro-Filho, F. S. Matias, and M. D. Coutinho-Filho, Topology of many-body edge and extended quantum states in an open spin chain: 1/3 plateau, Kosterlitz-Thouless [transition, and Luttinger liquid,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.102.035137) Phys. Rev. B **102**, 035137 (2020).
- [30] S. C. Furuya and M. Sato, Quantized edge magnetizations and their symmetry protection in one-dimensional quantum spin systems, Phys. Rev. B **104**[, 184401 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.104.184401)
- [31] S. R. White, Density Matrix Formulation for Quantum Renormalization Groups, [Phys. Rev. Lett.](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.69.2863) **69**, 2863 (1992); Density[matrix algorithms for quantum renormalization groups,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.10345) Phys. Rev. B **48**, 10345 (1993); U. Schollwöck, The density-matrix renormalization group, [Rev. Mod. Phys.](https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.77.259) **77**, 259 (2005).
- [32] J. des Cloizeaux and J. J. Pearson, Spin-wave spectrum of the antiferromagnetic linear chain, Phys. Rev. **128**[, 2131 \(1962\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.128.2131)
- [33] B. Bauer, L. D. Carr, H. G. Evertz, A. Feiguin, J. Freire, S. Fuchs, L. Gamper, J. Gukelberger, E. Gull, S. Guertler, A. Hehn, R. Igarashi, S. V. Isakov, D. Koop, P. N. Ma, P. Mates, H. Matsuo, O. Parcollet, G. Pawłowski, J. D. Picon *et al.*, The ALPS project release 2.0: Open source software for strongly correlated systems, [J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. \(2011\) P05001.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/2011/05/P05001)
- [34] R. Montenegro-Filho and M. Coutinho-Filho, Quasi-onedimensional quantum ferrimagnets, Physica A **357**[, 173 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2005.05.060)
- [35] H. Hu, C. Cheng, Z. Xu, H.-G. Luo, and S. Chen, Topological nature of magnetization plateaus in periodically modulated quantum spin chains, Phys. Rev. B **90**[, 035150 \(2014\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.90.035150)
- [36] H.-P. Hu, C. Cheng, H.-G. Luo, and S. Chen, Topological incommensurate magnetization plateaus in quasi-periodic quantum spin chains, Sci. Rep. **5**[, 8433 \(2015\).](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08433)
- [37] M. A. Griffith and M. A. Continentino, Casimir amplitudes in [topological quantum phase transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.97.012107) Phys. Rev. E **97**, 012107 (2018).
- [38] S. Rufo, N. Lopes, M. A. Continentino, and M. A. R. Griffith, [Multicritical behavior in topological phase transitions,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.100.195432) Phys. Rev. B **100**, 195432 (2019).
- [39] V. M. Martinez Alvarez and M. D. Coutinho-Filho, Edge states in trimer lattices, Phys. Rev. A **99**[, 013833 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.99.013833)
- [40] S. Rufo, M. A. R. Griffith, N. Lopes, and M. A. Continentino, [Anisotropic scaling for 3D topological models,](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-01888-x) Sci. Rep. **11**, 22524 (2021).
- [41] H. Watanabe, Y. Kato, H. C. Po, and Y. Motome, Fractional [corner magnetization of collinear antiferromagnets,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.134430) Phys. Rev. B **103**, 134430 (2021).
- [42] W. M. da Silva and R. R. Montenegro-Filho, The role of density-dependent magnon hopping and magnon-magnon repulsion in ferrimagnetic spin-(1/2, *S*) chains in a magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B **103**[, 054432 \(2021\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.103.054432)
- [43] A. A. Belik, A. Matsuo, M. Azuma, K. Kindo, and M. Takano, Long-range magnetic ordering of $S = 1/2$ linear trimers in $A_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ ($A = Ca$, Sr, and Pb), [J. Solid State Chem.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2004.12.020) 178, 709 (2005).
- [44] M. Matsuda, K. Kakurai, A. A. Belik, M. Azuma, M. Takano, and M. Fujita, Magnetic excitations from the linear Heisenberg antiferromagnetic spin trimer system $A_3Cu_3(PO_4)_4$ ($A = Ca$, Sr, and Pb), Phys. Rev. B **71**[, 144411 \(2005\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.71.144411)
- [45] S. Eggert, I. Affleck, and M. Takahashi, Susceptibility of the [Spin-1/2 Heisenberg Antiferromagnetic Chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.73.332) Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 332 (1994).
- [46] S. Lukyanov, Low energy effective Hamiltonian for the XXZ spin chain, [Nucl. Phys. B](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0550-3213(98)00249-1) **522**, 533 (1998).
- [47] A. Klümper and D. C. Johnston, Thermodynamics of the Spin-[1/2 Antiferromagnetic Uniform Heisenberg Chain,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.4701) Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4701 (2000).
- [48] D. C. Johnston, R. K. Kremer, M. Troyer, X. Wang, A. Klumper, S. L. Budko, A. F. Panchula, and P. C. Canfield, Thermodynamics of spin antiferromagnetic uniform and [alternating-exchange Heisenberg chains,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.9558) Phys. Rev. B **61**, 9558 (2000).
- [49] B. Gu and G. Su, Magnetism and thermodynamics of spin-1/2 [Heisenberg diamond chains in a magnetic field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.75.174437) Phys. Rev. B **75**, 174437 (2007).
- [50] M. Takahashi and M. Yamada, Spin-1/2 one-dimensional [Heisenberg ferromagnet at low-temperature,](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.54.2808) J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **54**, 2808 (1985).
- [51] M. Yamada and M. Takahashi, Critical behavior of spin-1/2 one-dimensional Heisenberg ferromagnet at low temperatures, [J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.](https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.55.2024) **55**, 2024 (1986).
- [52] T. Sakai and S. Yamamoto, Critical behavior of anisotropic [Heisenberg mixed-spin chains in a field,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.60.4053) Phys. Rev. B **60**, 4053 (1999).
- [53] L. M. Veríssimo, M. S. S. Pereira, J. Strečka, and M. L. Lyra, Kosterlitz-Thouless and Gaussian criticalities in a mixed spin-(1/2, 5/2, 1/2) Heisenberg branched chain with exchange anisotropy, Phys. Rev. B **99**[, 134408 \(2019\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.99.134408)
- [54] K. Karl'ová, J. Strečka, and M. L. Lyra, Breakdown of intermediate one-half magnetization plateau of spin-1/2 Ising-Heisenberg and Heisenberg branched chains at triple and [Kosterlitz-Thouless critical points,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.100.042127) Phys. Rev. E **100**, 042127 (2019).
- [55] S. R. White and I. Affleck, Dimerization and incommensurate spiral spin correlations in the zigzag spin chain: Analogies to the Kondo lattice, Phys. Rev. B **54**[, 9862 \(1996\).](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.9862)
- [56] C. Itoi and S. Qin, Strongly reduced gap in the zigzag spin [chain with a ferromagnetic interchain coupling,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.63.224423) Phys. Rev. B **63**, 224423 (2001).
- [57] T. D. Kühner, S. R. White, and H. Monien, One-dimensional [Bose-Hubbard model with nearest-neighbor interaction,](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.61.12474) Phys. Rev. B **61**, 12474 (2000).